

## CORRECTIONS

### TESTING WHETHER NEW IS BETTER THAN USED WITH RANDOMLY CENSORED DATA<sup>1</sup>

BY YUAN YAN CHEN, MYLES HOLLANDER AND NAFTALI A. LANGBERG

*Annals of Statistics* (1983) **11** 267–274.

When calculating  $\hat{\sigma}_{211}^2$  for the prostate cancer data, we made a numerical error. The correct value obtained from (2.4) is  $\hat{\sigma}_{211}^2 = 0.021$  which changes  $J^*$  to  $-5.73$  with a corresponding one-sided  $P$  value that strongly supports the NBU alternative.

The authors thank Dr. Chen-Hsin Chen for pointing out this error.

YUAN YAN CHEN  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY  
SYRACUSE, NEW YORK 13210

MYLES HOLLANDER  
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS  
THE FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY  
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32306

NAFTALI A. LANGBERG  
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS  
UNIVERSITY OF HAIFA  
MT. CARMEL  
HAIFA 31999, ISRAEL

### ROBUSTNESS OF FERGUSON'S BAYES ESTIMATOR OF A DISTRIBUTION FUNCTION<sup>2</sup>

BY ROBERT HANNUM AND MYLES HOLLANDER

*University of Denver and Florida State University*

*Annals of Statistics* (1983) **11** 632–639

A printer's error appears in the displayed equation in Proposition 3.4, page 637. The denominator of the term in square brackets should be  $\alpha(\mathcal{R})\{\alpha(\mathcal{R}) + n\}$  rather than the printed  $\alpha(\mathcal{R})\{\alpha(\mathcal{R}) + \alpha(\mathcal{R}) + n\}$ .

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS AND OPERATIONS RESEARCH  
UNIVERSITY OF DENVER  
DENVER, COLORADO 80208

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS  
THE FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY  
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32306

<sup>1</sup> Received May 1983.

<sup>2</sup> Received June 1983.