

**ON THE USE OF THE SAMPLE RANGE IN AN ANALOGUE  
OF STUDENT'S  $t$ -TEST**

BY JOSEPH F. DALY

*Bureau of Ships, Navy Department*

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_N$  represent independent observations on a variate  $x$  which is normally distributed with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Assuming no prior information about the value of either parameter, let  $H_0$  be the hypothesis that  $\mu$  is equal to or less than a specified quantity  $\mu_0$ . The classical test of this asymmetrical form of "Student's" hypothesis [1] is based upon the statistic

$$t = \sqrt{N}(\bar{x} - \mu_0) / \sqrt{\frac{\sum(x - \bar{x})^2}{N - 1}},$$

the region of rejection being defined by the relation  $t > t_\epsilon$ .

For certain applications of a routine nature, however, such as production line inspection, the usefulness of this test is rather seriously impaired by the arithmetical work involved in the computation of  $t$ . For this reason Dodge [2] and Knudsen [3] among others have proposed tests of  $H_0$  based on a statistic of the form

$$G = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{w}$$

where  $w$  is the sample range. It is the object of this note to show how the probability distribution of  $G$  can be obtained with the aid of the distribution law of  $w$  tabulated by Pearson and Hartley [4], and to present some numerical results which indicate that the power of the resulting test is the same for all practical purposes as that of "Student's"  $t$ -test for sample sizes  $N \leq 10$ .

The calculation of the percent points of the  $G$  distribution is greatly facilitated by the following result, which does not appear to be generally known:

**LEMMA:** *If  $\bar{x}$  and  $w$  represent respectively the average and the range of a sample of  $N$  independent observations on a normally distributed variate  $x$ , then  $\bar{x}$  and  $w$  are statistically independent.*

**PROOF:** No generality is lost by putting  $\mu = 0, \sigma^2 = 1$ . The joint characteristic function of  $\bar{x}$  and the  $\frac{1}{2}N(N - 1)$  differences  $x_j - x_k, (j < k)$ , is then

$$\varphi(t, t_{jk}) = (2\pi)^{-(N/2)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\sum_j x_j^2 + i\frac{t}{N}\sum_j x_j + i\sum_{j,k} t_{jk}(x_j - x_k)} dx_1 \dots dx_N$$

where the summation runs from 1 to  $N$  on each index with the understanding that  $t_{jk} \equiv 0$  for  $j \geq k$ . The usual process of completing the square in the exponent then yields

$$\varphi(t, t_{jk}) = e^{-i\sum_j \left[ \frac{t}{N} + \sum_k (t_{jk} - t_{kj}) \right]^2} \cdot (2\pi)^{-(N/2)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\sum_j \left\{ x_j - i \left[ \frac{t}{N} + \sum_k (t_{jk} - t_{kj}) \right] \right\}^2} dx_1 \dots dx_N.$$

