For small n these equations can be solved by iteration, which was done in constructing Table 1. Initial conditions an  $U_k(0) = 1$ ,  $U_i(0) = 0$  for  $i \neq k$ . It might be noted that the  $U_i(j+1)$  are subtotals of the  $U_i(j)$  so that the iteration proceeds very rapidly on an adding machine. The probability that  $d \leq k/n$  is  $[U_0(n) + U_1(n) + U_2(n) \cdots + U_k(n)]n!n!/(2n)!$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. Feller, "On the Kolmogorov-Smirnov theorems," Annals of Math. Stat., Vol. 19 (1948), pp. 177-189.
- [2] F. Massey, "A note on the estimation of a distribution function by confidence limits," Annals of Math. Stat., Vol. 21 (1950), pp. 116-119.
- [3] F. Massey, "A note on the power of a non-parametric test," Annals of Math. Stat., Vol. 21 (1950), pp. 440-443.
- [4] N. SMIRNOV, "On the estimation of the discrepancy between empirical curves of distribution for two independent samples," Bulletin Mathématique de l'Université de Moscou, Vol. 2 (1939), fasc. 2.
- [5] N. SMIRNOV, "Table for estimating the goodness of fit of empirical distributions," Annals of Math. Stat., Vol. 19 (1948), pp. 279-281.

## A NOTE ON THE SURPRISE INDEX

## By R. M. REDHEFFER

## Harvard University

Let  $p_m(m=0, 1, 2, \cdots)$  be a set of probabilities of events  $E_m$ , and suppose that the event  $E_i$ , with probability  $p_i$ , actually occurred. Is the fact that  $E_i$  occurred to be regarded as surprising? In a recent article [1] this question is answered by introducing the surprise index  $S_i$ ,

$$S_i = (\Sigma p_m^2)/p_i,$$

which gives a comparison between the probability expected and that actually observed. The event is to be regarded as surprising when  $S_i$  is large.

The author remarks on the difficulty of computing (1) for the Poisson and binomial distribution. The problem consists in evaluating the numerator, which we shall express here in terms of tabulated functions. The Poisson case leads to Bessel functions, the binomial case to Legendre or hypergeometric functions, and the asymptotic behavior involves square roots only.

1. The Poisson case. For the Poisson case we have  $p_m = \lambda^m e^{-\lambda}/m!$  so that the generating function is

$$(2) e^{-\lambda}e^{\lambda x} = \sum p_m x^m.$$

Let  $x = e^{i\theta}$ , then  $e^{-i\theta}$ ; multiply; integrate from 0 to  $2\pi$ ; and simplify slightly to obtain

(3) 
$$\Sigma p_m^2 = (e^{-2\lambda}/\pi) \int_0^\pi e^{2\lambda\cos\theta} d\theta.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cf. also [6].