

In Theorem 2 change $\mathcal{D}^{(b)}$ to $\mathcal{D}^{(a)}$ (in the proof the subscript of M should be F). In the last Corollary add $\mathcal{D}^{(a)}\{\alpha, y(\alpha); -\}$, delete “ $\mathcal{D}^{(c)}$ is closed,” and change $\mathcal{D}^{(c)}\{\alpha, y(\alpha); -\}$ to $\mathcal{D}'\{\alpha, y(\alpha); -\}$ with \mathcal{D}' any closed subset of $\mathcal{D}^{*(b)}$ or $\mathcal{D}^{*(c)}$, \mathcal{D}^* denoting the set of all possible decision procedures (In the proof the first paragraph should be deleted, and $\mathcal{D}^{(b)}$ changed to $\mathcal{D}^{(a)}$.)

In the penultimate paragraph of Section 2 change $\mathcal{D}^{(b)}$ to $\mathcal{D}^{(a)}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{(c)}$ to \mathcal{D}' , where \mathcal{D}' is a closed convex subset of $\mathcal{D}^{(b)}$ or $\mathcal{D}^{(c)}$ satisfying (v). The enumeration of exceptions in the next paragraph should read

“ $\mathcal{D}_{y_0}, \mathcal{D}\{-; \beta, z(\beta)\}$, and \mathcal{D}' and its subclasses for $\mathcal{D}' \subset \mathcal{D}^{(c)}$.”

ABSTRACTS OF PAPERS

(Abstracts of papers presented at the Washington meeting of the Institute, December 27-30, 1953)

1. **Confidence Intervals of Fixed Length for the Poisson Mean and the Difference Between Two Poisson Means.** ALLAN BIRNBAUM, Columbia University.

1. To construct an estimate λ' of the unknown parameter λ of a Poisson process $X(t)$ such that with probability at least $1 - \alpha$, $|\lambda' - \lambda| \leq \epsilon$, where α and ϵ are given positive constants, let n be a positive integer. Observe T_n , the waiting time required for the occurrence of n events. Let $c = \alpha\epsilon^2/2n$. Perform additional observation of the process for $1/2cT_n$ units of time; let X be the number of events observed in this period. Set $\lambda' = 2cT_nX$.
 2. To construct an estimate Δ' of $\Delta = \lambda_2 - \lambda_1$, where λ_1, λ_2 are the unknown parameters of two Poisson processes, such that with probability at least $1 - \beta$, $|\Delta' - \Delta| \leq \eta$, where β and η are given positive constants, we may set $\Delta' = \lambda'_2 - \lambda'_1$, where λ'_1 and λ'_2 are obtained as above, taking $\epsilon = \eta/2$ and $(1 - \alpha)^2 = 1 - \beta$. In case the two processes can be observed simultaneously, a more efficient estimate can be given. At least for λ exceeding some lower bound, c can be replaced by $c^* = \epsilon^2/k$, where $\Pr\{z \geq k\} = \alpha$ if z is the product of independent chi-square variates with 1 and $2n$ d.f.

2. **Convexity Properties of the alpha-beta-set Under Composite Hypotheses.** HERMAN RUBIN AND OSCAR WESLER, Stanford University.

Suppose one is presented with a statistical decision problem of the following kind. A random variable X is observed and it is desired to test whether the (not necessarily finite-dimensional) parameter of the distribution of X is in Ω_1 or in Ω_2 . Define, as usual, $\alpha(\varphi)$ to be the supremum of the probability of an error of the first kind and $\beta(\varphi)$ to be the supremum of the probability of an error of the second kind when the random decision procedure φ is used. If Ω_1 and Ω_2 consist of one point each, it is known that the set S of all points $(\alpha(\varphi), \beta(\varphi))$ is convex and symmetric about $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$. It is shown that the subset T of S lying on or below the line $\alpha + \beta = 1$ is convex, and that if the set of distributions under consideration is dominated by a σ -finite measure, the lower boundary of T belongs to T . It is also shown that the symmetric image of T , and possibly more, belongs to S . An example is given to show that this “more” can destroy convexity.

3. **Critical Regions in Terms of Lower Dimensional Critical Regions.** L. M. COURT, Diamond Ordnance Fuze Laboratory.

Let $p_1(x | \theta) = p_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1} | \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{m_1})$ and $p_2(y | \phi) = p_2(y_1, \dots, y_{n_2} | \phi_1, \dots, \phi_{m_2})$ be two independent density distributions and $p(x, y | \psi) = p_1(x | \theta)p_2(y | \phi)$ the joint dis-