

$(S_1 S_2 S_3 S_4)$, such that $ad_{ii} = \sum_{k=1}^4 {}_k s_{ii}^2$, where ${}_k s_{ii}$ is the entry in the i th column of S_k . This can always be done by the 4 square problem ([2], p. 235). Let $B = a^{1/2}(P^T)^{-1}B_1$, then B has integral entries, since B_1 and $a^{1/2}(P^T)^{-1}$ have integral entries. Then

$$P^T B = a^{1/2} B_1, \quad P^T B B^T P = a B_1 B_1^T = a^2 D,$$

Thus $B B^T = a(P^T)^{-1} a^{1/2} D a^{1/2} P^{-1}$. But $A = (P^T)^{-1} D P^{-1}$. Thus $B B^T = a^2 A$.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. C. MACDUFFEE, *The Theory of Matrices*, Chelsea Publishing Co., 1946.
 [2] EDMUND LANDAU, *Vorlesungen uber Zahlentheorie*, Vol. 1, Chelsea Publishing Co., 1949.

 ABSTRACTS OF PAPERS

(Abstracts of papers presented at the Iowa City Meeting of the Institute, November 26-27, 1945)

1. **The Distribution of the Number of Components of a Random Mapping Function. (Preliminary Report.)** LEO KATZ, Michigan State College, and JAY E. FOLKERT, Michigan State College and Hope College.

A set H , of N elements, is mapped into itself by a function f . The most general function takes each point into an arbitrary number of points of the set. A function is said to be random if the r_i images of the point x_i may with equal probability be any subset of r_i points of H . A subset h of H is a *component* of the mapping if it is a minimal subset such that $f(h) \subset h$ and $f^{-1}(h) \subset h$. Every mapping f decomposes H into a number of disjoint components. The probability distribution of the number of components of a random mapping, where only the numbers of images of each point are known in advance, is obtained. The probability distribution of the number of components is also obtained for a variant case in which the mapping is hollow in the sense that no point maps into itself. The two distributions are obtained through a modification of the King-Jordan-Frechét formula. For each case two specializations are considered; first, one in which the multiplicity of images is the same for each point of the set, and second, where this common multiplicity is unity (so that the function f is single-valued). Numerical examples and approximations to the exact distribution are considered. This work was supported by the Office of Naval Research.

2. **Approximate Sequential Tests for Hypotheses about the Proportion of a Normal Population to One Side of a Given Number.** WILLIAM KRUSKAL, University of Chicago.

It is sometimes of interest to test the hypothesis that the proportion of a given population exceeding a given number U is p_0 against the hypothesis that this proportion is p_1 . This testing situation has been called that of testing for one-sided fraction defective. If the population is normal then the problem is to test the hypothesis $(U - \mu)/\sigma = K_0$ against the hypothesis $(U - \mu)/\sigma = K_1$. (Here μ is the mean, σ^2 the variance, and K_i the unit-normal deviate exceeded with probability p_i .) A simple translation puts this in the form: $\mu/\sigma = K_0$ vs. $\mu/\sigma = K_1$. If a sequential test is desired, it is very reasonable to base it on the sequence of Student t values computed from the first n observations. Application of the Wald sequential probability-ratio method to this sequence gives a procedure that may be called the WAGR test (after Wald, Arnold, Goldberg, and Rushton). Another sequential test