

D	N	P	Q	L	O	H	A	A	B	B	C	C	F	F	K	K	J	J	G	G
E	R	U	T	M	S	I	N	R	H	I	H	I	D	E	D	E	D	E	D	E
A	B	F	C	J	K	G	O	S	L	M	M	L	L	M	I	H	H	I	M	K
B	F	C	J	K	G	A	P	T	P	Q	N	O	Q	O	N	Q	O	P	P	N
C	J	K	G	A	B	F	Q	U	T	U	S	R	S	T	T	R	U	S	R	U

The lower left hand group of blocks constitutes the design (b), and the lower right hand group of blocks is the GD design with parameters $v = b = 14, r = k = 4, \lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = 1, m = 7, n = 2$. The groups are $(D, E), (N, R), (P, U), (Q, T), (L, M), O, S$, and (H, I) . Thus, for example, D occurs zero times with E in the GD design and once with $N, R, P, U, Q, T, L, M, O, S$ and I .

A design with these parameters was obtained in [4] using the method of differences and is listed as number R24 in [5]. For $s = 3$ the resulting design has parameters,

$$v = b = 78, r = k = 9, \lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = 1, m = 13, n = 6.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] R. C. BOSE, "On the construction of balanced incomplete block designs," *Ann. Eugenics* Vol. 10 (1939), pp. 352-399.
- [2] R. D. CARMICHAEL, *Introduction to the Theory of Groups of Finite Order*, Dover Publications, 1956, pp. 334-336.
- [3] R. C. BOSE, AND W. S. CONNOR, "Combinatorial properties of group divisible incomplete block designs," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 23 (1952), pp. 367-383.
- [4] R. C. BOSE, S. S. SHRIKHANDE, AND K. N. BHATTACHARYA, "On the construction of group divisible incomplete block designs," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 24 (1953), pp. 167-195.
- [5] "Tables of partially balanced designs with two associate classes," Institute of Statistics, University of North Carolina, reprint series No. 50, p. 184 and p. 192.

ALTERNATIVE PROOF OF A THEOREM OF BIRNBAUM AND PYKE

BY NICOLAAS H. KUIPER

Landbouwhogeschool, Wageningen, Netherlands

Let U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n be an ordered sample of a random variable (r.v.) X having a uniform distribution $(0, 1)$. If i^* is the value of $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ at which $i/n - U_i$ is maximized and $U^* = U_{i^*}$, then U^* is a r.v. with values $(0, 1)$. The probability that the sample cannot be ordered or that i^* is not uniquely defined is zero, and hence these possibilities are neglected. Theorem 3 [1] states that U^* has a uniform distribution $(0, 1)$. Another proof of this fact was given in [2].

Received June 30, 1958.

