

ON A CHARACTERIZATION OF COVARIANCES

BY A. V. BALAKRISHNAN

University of California at Los Angeles

1. Introduction. Let $F(s, t)$, $-\infty < s, t < \infty$, be a covariance function, that is to say $F(s, t) = \overline{F(t, s)}$ and $F(s, t)$ is non-negative definite. Let $m(s)$ be any complex valued function on $-\infty < s < \infty$. It is trivial that then

$$F(s, t) + m(s)\overline{m(t)}$$

is also a covariance. However, this is no longer true if we consider instead

$$(1) \quad F(s, t) - m(s)\overline{m(t)}.$$

In this paper we obtain a set of necessary and sufficient conditions on $m(s)$ in order that (1) be a covariance under the restriction that $F(s, t)$ is a stationary covariance; i.e., $F(s, t) = F(s - t)$. We also indicate an application of the result to the problem of estimating the mean value of a stochastic process.

2. Main results.

THEOREM 1. *Let $R(t)$ be a continuous stationary covariance function with $R(0)$ finite. Let $m(s)$ be any function on $-\infty < s < \infty$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition that*

$$(2) \quad R(s, t) = R(s - t) - m(s)\overline{m(t)}$$

be a covariance function is that $m(t)$ have the representation

$$(3) \quad m(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(itx) d\mu,$$

where $\mu(\cdot)$ is a function of bounded variation, and that, further,

$$(4) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |d\mu/dG|^2 dG \leq 1,$$

$G(\cdot)$ being the spectral distribution corresponding to $R(t)$, so that

$$(5) \quad R(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(itx) dG.$$

PROOF. Necessity: Let $R(s, t)$ given by (2) be a covariance. Then we can (see [1], p. 72) construct a Gaussian process $y(t)$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, with zero mean so that $E[y(s)y(t)] = R(s, t)$. Now, since $R(t, t)$ must be non-negative if (2) is to yield a covariance, $m(t)$ is necessarily bounded. Letting

$$x(t) = y(t) + m(t),$$

we have $E[x(s)x(t)] = R(s - t)$, so that the $x(t)$ process has finite first and second moments and is stationary in the wide sense. Moreover, $R(t)$ is continuous. Using the spectral representation theorem ([1], p. 527), we have

Received November 10, 1958; revised February 24, 1959.