

**THE FIRST-PASSAGE MOMENTS AND THE INVARIANT MEASURE
OF A MARKOV CHAIN**

BY JOHN LAMPERTI¹

Stanford University

We consider an irreducible, recurrent Markov chain with transition probability matrix $P = [p_{ij}]$. The random variables constituting the chain are $\{X_n\}$; let $N > 0$ be the smallest positive time n at which $X_n = 0$. Then the quantities

$$E\{N(N - 1) \cdots (N - k + 1) \mid X_0 = i \neq 0\} = \mu_{i0}^{(k)}$$

are the factorial first-passage time moments. In case $i = 0$, we will let $\mu_{00}^{(k)} = \delta_{0k}$. However, it is also convenient to introduce the actual recurrence-time moments for state 0:

$$E\{N(N - 1) \cdots (N - k + 1) \mid X_0 = 0\} = \mu_0^{*(k)}.$$

Let $\{\pi_i\}$ be the unique positive solution of the equation

$$(1) \quad \pi_j = \sum_i \pi_i p_{ij},$$

often called the "invariant measure" of the chain. Then this measure and the first-passage moments are related by the

THEOREM. *The equation*

$$(2) \quad \pi_0 \mu_0^{*(k+1)} = (k + 1) \sum_i \pi_i \mu_{i0}^{(k)}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

is always valid. (Both sides may be $+\infty$.)

REMARKS. If $k = 0$, (2) reduces to the familiar assertion that the mean recurrence time of state 0 is $\pi_0^{-1} \sum \pi_i$. If $k = 1$, (2) is equivalent to a "remarkable formula" discovered by Chung [1], who gave a proof rather different from that which follows.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. We shall use generating functions; let

$$\begin{aligned} f_{i0}^{(n)} &= \Pr \{X_n = 0, X_l \neq 0 \text{ for } l < n \mid X_0 = i \neq 0\} \\ &= \Pr \{N = n \mid X_0 = i \neq 0\}; \quad f_{00}^{(n)} = \delta_{n0}; \quad F_{i0}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{i0}^{(n)} x^n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $F_{00}(x) = 1$, and $F_{i0}^{(k)}(1) = \mu_{i0}^{(k)}$ for all i including 0. Similarly we put $g_0^{(n)} = \Pr \{X_n = 0, X_l \neq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq l < n \mid X_0 = 0\} = \Pr \{N = n \mid X_0 = 0\}$, and $G_0(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_0^{(n)} x^n$. Notice that $g_0^{(n)} = \sum_i p_{0i} f_{i0}^{(n-1)}$, so that

$$(3) \quad G_0(x) = x \sum_i p_{0i} F_{i0}(x).$$

Received August 3, 1959; revised November 27, 1959.

¹ This research was partially supported by the Office of Naval Research.

