

## THE DEPENDENCE OF DELAYS IN TANDEM QUEUES

BY P. J. BURKE

*Bell Telephone Laboratories, Holmdel, N. J.*

Edgar Reich [1] proved that in single-server tandem queues, the durations of time spent by a customer in successive systems are independent. In this connection Reich stated "... if the waiting times are defined so as *not* to include the service times ... the question of mutual independence of these quantities ... is apparently an open problem."

It is proved below that these waiting, or delay, times are not mutually independent.

We assume two single-server queueing systems  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  in tandem that have exponential service-time distributions with respective service rates  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ . The customers arrive in a Poisson process at  $Q_1$ , and as soon as their service is completed in  $Q_1$  they enter  $Q_2$ . The arrival rate, or parameter of the Poisson arrival process, is  $\lambda$ ; and  $\lambda < \mu_1$ ,  $\lambda < \mu_2$ . We assume further that statistical equilibrium obtains with respect to the distributions of the numbers of customers in  $Q_1$  and in  $Q_2$ . Under these conditions, it has been proved that the input to  $Q_2$  is a Poisson process with parameter  $\lambda$  ([2] or [3] p. 45). Although the further assumption of order-of-arrival service is required for Reich's proof of the independence of the times spent in the successive systems, the present result holds for all queue disciplines that do not allow defections or pre-emption.

The method, in essence, is a comparison of the conditional delay distribution in  $Q_2$ , given that there is no previous delay in  $Q_1$ , with the unconditional (marginal) delay distribution in  $Q_2$ .

Let  $W_j$  be the delay of a customer in  $Q_j$ . For  $W_1$  to be independent of  $W_2$ , it is necessary that their joint distribution function be factorizable into the marginal distribution functions. In particular, if  $\Pr\{\cdot\}$  designates the probability of the event in the braces, it is necessary that  $\Pr\{W_1 = 0, W_2 = 0\} = \Pr\{W_1 = 0\} \cdot \Pr\{W_2 = 0\}$ , or  $\Pr\{W_2 = 0\} = \Pr\{W_2 = 0 \mid W_1 = 0\}$ .

It will be proved that in fact  $\Pr\{W_2 = 0\} < \Pr\{W_2 = 0 \mid W_1 = 0\}$ , and hence that the necessary condition for the independence of  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  is contradicted.

We know that  $\Pr\{W_2 = 0\} = 1 - \lambda/\mu_2$ . We shall show that  $\Pr\{W_2 = 0 \mid W_1 = 0\} > 1 - \lambda/\mu_2$ .

Consider, in  $Q_1$ , an arrival epoch,  $T$ , of an undelayed call. By Jackson's theorem [4] the number of customers in  $Q_2$  at  $T$  has the unconditional equilibrium state distribution. If  $N_2$  designates the number of customers in  $Q_2$  at  $T$ ,

$$\Pr\{N_2 = k\} = (1 - \lambda/\mu_2)(\lambda/\mu_2)^k.$$

Therefore the time for the customers in  $Q_2$  at  $T$  to complete service in  $Q_2$  has the distribution given by a mass at the origin,  $1 - \lambda/\mu_2$ , and a density function,

Received 6 May 1963; revised 13 November 1963.