A LOCAL LIMIT THEOREM FOR A CERTAIN CLASS OF RANDOM WALKS¹

BY WALTER A. ROSENKRANTZ

Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences

1. Introduction. In [3] Karlin and McGregor considered random walks on the non-negative integers of the following type:

(1)
$$P(X_{n+1} = j + 1 \mid X_n = j) = p_j = \frac{1}{2}[1 + \lambda/(j + \lambda)],$$

$$P(X_{n+1} = j - 1 \mid X_n = j) = q_j = \frac{1}{2}[1 - \lambda/(j + \lambda)],$$

$$j = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where λ is a real number greater than $-\frac{1}{2}$. Thus $p_0 = +1$, i.e. the origin is a reflecting barrier. Using the theory of orthogonal polynomials Karlin and McGregor were able to obtain integral representations for the *n*-step transition probabilities. In particular they showed that

(2)
$$P(X_{n+m} = j \mid X_m = i) = \int_{-1}^{1} t^n Q_i(t) Q_j(t) d\psi(t) / \int_{-1}^{1} Q_j^2(t) d\psi(t),$$

where $d\psi(t) = c(1-t^2)^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}}$ and the Q_i 's are the polynomials, orthogonal on [-1, 1] with respect to the weight function $d\psi$, and c is a normalizing constant.

For random walks of the type (1), Lamperti (see [4]) showed that the limiting distribution of the random variables $X_n/n^{\frac{1}{2}}$ exists and moreover he gave an explicit formula for the limiting distribution. For example when $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, his result states that

(3)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P(X_n/n^{\frac{1}{2}} \le t) = \int_0^t s e^{-s^2/2} ds = \Phi(t).$$

Lamperti proved (3) by using the method of moments. It is the purpose of this note to prove (3) in another way; by a method which will yield a stronger result. Our method is to exploit the integral representation (2) in order to obtain a local limit theorem for X_n . This in turn will enable us to conclude the following more delicate asymptotic formula:

(4)
$$P(X_n/n^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge t_n) \sim 1 - \Phi(t_n)$$

where t_n satisfies the following growth condition:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(t_n^2/n^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) = 0.$$

A further consequence of (4) is a kind of law of the iterated logarithm for

Received 6 October 1965.

¹ This paper represents results obtained at the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, under the sponsorship of the Sloan Foundation Grant for Probability and Statistics.