

# SOME CONVERGENCE THEOREMS FOR INDEPENDENT RANDOM VARIABLES<sup>1</sup>

BY Y. S. CHOW

*Purdue University*

**1. Introduction.** Let  $a_{nk}$ ,  $a_n$  be real numbers and  $x_n$  be independent random variables. The convergence of  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}x_k$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  has been discussed in [4], [5], [7], [14] and [15]. Section 2 of this paper is suggested by Hill's work [7], and is devoted to the convergence of  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}x_k$  under the condition  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}^2 = o(\log^{-1} n)$ . As an application, we prove the following theorem, relating to a result of Pruitt [14]. *If  $x_n$  are identically distributed,  $Ex_n = 0$ ,  $Ex_n^2 = 1$ , and  $\sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk}^2 = 1$ , then  $n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk}x_k$  tends to zero a.e.* Section 3 is suggested by Kahane's work [9]. Salem-Zygmund's sample continuity theorem [15] for  $\sum_1^{\infty} a_n x_n \cos nt$  is extended from Bernoulli random variables to generalized Gaussian random variables (defined in Section 2). Sections 4 and 5 are devoted to the extensions of Hsu-Robbins' complete convergence theorem [8]; the material in these two sections is closely related to the work of Franck and Hanson [4].

The first counter-example showing that a directed set indexed martingale of bounded variation may diverge pointwise is due to Dieudonné [1]. A simpler counter example is given in Section 6. Section 7 contains some theorems about a.e. unconditional convergence of sums of independent identically distributed random variables, and in Section 8 the following theorem is proved. *If  $E \sup_n |x_n| < \infty$ , then  $\sum_1^{\infty} x_n$  converges a.e. implies that  $\sum_1^{\infty} Ex_n$  converges.*

**2. Extension of Hill's theorems.** In this section, we assume that for  $n, k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $a_{nk}$  are real numbers and  $A_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}^2 < \infty$  for each  $n$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $x$  be a random variable,  $Ex = 0$  and  $|x| \leq 1$ . Then for every real number  $t$ ,*

$$(1) \quad Ee^{tx} \leq e^{t^2}.$$

**PROOF.** If  $0 < t \leq 1$ , then  $E \exp [tx] \leq 1 + t^2 \leq \exp [t^2]$ . If  $t > 1$ , then  $E \exp [tx] \leq \exp [t] \leq \exp [t^2]$ . By symmetry, we obtain (1).

In [9], a symmetric random variable  $x$  is said to be semi-Gaussian, if there exists  $\alpha \geq 0$  such that for every real number  $t$

$$(2) \quad E \exp [tx] \leq \exp [\alpha^2 t^2 / 2].$$

The minimum of those  $\alpha$  satisfying (2) is denoted by  $\tau(x)$ . Obviously, a  $N(0, 1)$  random variable  $x$  is semi-Gaussian (with  $\tau(x) = 1$ ), and by Lemma 1, if  $x$  is symmetric and bounded by  $K$ ,  $x$  is semi-Gaussian (with  $\tau(x) \leq 2^{1/2}K$ ). For

Received 11 July 1966.

<sup>1</sup> Research under National Science Foundation Contract No. GP-4590.