## **NOTES**

## ON MEASURES EQUIVALENT TO WIENER MEASURE1

## By Thomas Kailath

Stanford University

Recently Shepp [5] has given, among several other results, a simple condition for the equivalence of a Gaussian measure to Wiener measure. His proof is ingenious but long. The purpose of this note is to record a somewhat simpler proof of this result which we had obtained independently. Our proof uses a reproducing kernel Hilbert space (*rkhs*) criterion, due to Oodaira [2], for the equivalence of Gaussian measures.

We first state Shepp's theorem (in a terminology more familiar to engineers): Discrimination between a zero-mean Gaussian process with covariance function R(t, s) and a Wiener process with covariance function min (t, s),  $[0 \le t, s \le T]$  will be nonsingular if and only if there exists a unique, symmetric, square-integrable function on  $T \times T$ ,

(1) 
$$K(t, s) = K(s, t), \int_0^T \int_0^T K^2(t, s) dt ds < \infty$$

such that

(2) 
$$R(t, s) - \min(t, s) = \int_0^t \int_0^s K(u, v) du dv$$

and

(3) 1 is not an eigenvalue of 
$$K(u, v)$$

We shall, as mentioned above, obtain this result by direct application of the following theorem<sup>3</sup> of Oodaira [2]: Discrimination between zero-mean Gaussian processes with covariance functions  $R_i(t,s)$ ,  $t,s \in T \times T$ , i=1,2, will be nonsingular if and only if (i)  $R_1 - R_2$  belongs to the direct product space  $H(R_2) \otimes H(R_2)$ , where  $H(R_2)$  is the reproducing kernel Hilbert space (rkhs) of  $R_2$  and (ii) there exist positive (>0) constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that  $c_1R_2 \leq R_1 \leq c_2R_2$ .

We shall apply this theorem when  $R_1(t, s) = R(t, s)$  and  $R_2(t, s) = \min(t, s)$ ,  $0 \le t, s \le T$ . We need to calculate  $H(R_2) \otimes H(R_2)$ . This is easy to do and ac-

261

Received 31 May 1966; revised 23 September 1966.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  This work was supported by AFOSR Contract AF 49(638)1517 and Tri Service Contract Nonr 225(83).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> That is, the measures corresponding to these two processes will be equivalent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> We refer for definitions to Oodaira [2] or Parzen [4]. A similar theorem was given by Capon [1]. Both these theorems are extensions of, and were suggested by, the work of Parzen [3] [4]. We note that other rkhs proofs are possible, see, e.g., J. I. Golosov, Soviet Math. Doklady 7 (1966), 48-51. This reference was also noted by Shepp [5].