

A LIMIT THEOREM FOR CONDITIONED RECURRENT RANDOM WALK ATTRACTED TO A STABLE LAW¹

BY BARRY BELKIN

Cornell University and Daniel H. Wagner, Associates

1. Introduction. Consider an ensemble of independent particles whose motion describes a random walk on Z^d , the d -dimensional lattice of integers. If A is an arbitrary subset of Z^d and the random walk is assumed recurrent (consequently $d \leq 2$), then as time passes it becomes increasingly unlikely that any given particle has avoided A . Suppose, however, that at each stage attention is restricted to only those particles whose past history is such that A has been avoided. Then it is of interest to investigate the possible distortive effects of this conditioning on the asymptotic behavior of the particle motion. Suppose A is finite and $\tilde{g}_A(0) \neq 0$ (the function $\tilde{g}_A(x)$ of potential-theoretic interest is defined below and the connection between this condition and the motion of the random walk established) and suppose that the underlying distribution F governing the particle transitions is attracted to a stable law G_α ($1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ is the index of the stable law). The principal result of the paper (Theorem 2.1) states that the conditional distribution of the particles whose past motion has avoided the set A is also attracted to a limit distribution H_α . Except for the case $d = 1$ with G_α a Cauchy distribution and the case $d = 2$ with G_α a normal distribution, the distributions G_α and H_α are in general different. For $d = 1$ and $\alpha = 2$, under certain further restrictions on A , G_α turns out to be a two-sided Rayleigh distribution. It is the case, however, that the same constants normalizing the particle position may be used in the statement of the attraction of the conditioned motion to H_α as in the statement of the attraction of the unconditioned motion to the stable law G_α . In preparation we first review some basic definitions and record some preliminary facts about recurrent lattice random walk.

We let $p: Z^d \times Z^d \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be the transition function of the random walk. Thus,

$$(i) \quad p(x_1, x_2) = p(0, x_2 - x_1) \quad \text{for } x_1, x_2 \in Z^d$$

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{x \in Z^d} p(0, x) = 1,$$

and we inductively define

$$p_n(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{y \in Z^d} p_{n-1}(x_1, y)p(y, x_2) \quad \text{for } n = 2, 3, \dots$$

An underlying probability space (Ω, P, B) is assumed to have been constructed, on which a sequence of independent random variables $X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$ (the increments of the random walk) are defined, such that $P[X_i = x] = p(0, x), i = 1, 2, \dots$.

Received March 28, 1969; revised June 6, 1969.

¹ Research supported in part by the Office of Naval Research under Contract No. NONR 401(50). Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government. This paper is based on a portion of the author's doctoral thesis written at Cornell University.