

## ON UNIVERSAL MEASURABILITY AND PERFECT PROBABILITY

BY R. B. DARST

*Purdue University*

A subset  $E$  of the real numbers  $R$  is an element of the set  $\mathcal{U}$  of universally measurable subsets of  $R$  if, and only if,  $\mu^*(E) = \mu_*(E)$  for each probability measure  $\mu$  on the Borel subsets  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $R$ . A subset  $E$  of  $R$  is an element of the sigma ideal  $\mathcal{N}$  of universal null sets if, and only if,  $\mu^*(E) = 0$  whenever  $\mu$  is a nonatomic probability measure on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

The purpose of this note is to recount some properties of the sigma algebra  $\mathcal{U}$  and its sigma ideal  $\mathcal{N}$ .

When dealing with a finite, nonnegative measure  $\mu$  on a sigma algebra  $\mathcal{S}$  of subsets of a set  $X$  it suffices, for our purposes, to normalize and, hence, suppose that  $\mu$  is an element of the set  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$  of probability measures on  $\mathcal{S}$ . An element  $\mu$  of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$  is said to be perfect if for each  $\mathcal{S}$ -measurable function  $f$ , there exists  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $B \subset f(X)$  and  $\mu(f^{-1}(B)) = 1$ .

If  $A$  is a subset of  $R$ , then  $\mathcal{B}_A$  will denote the sigma algebra of Borel subsets of  $A$ .

D. Blackwell [1] used

- (1) If  $A$  is an analytic subset of  $R$  and  $f$  is a  $\mathcal{B}_A$ -measurable function, then  $f(A)$  is an analytic set, and
- (2) The sigma algebra of subsets of  $R$  generated by the analytic sets in a subset of  $\mathcal{U}$ , to show
- (3) If  $A$  is an analytic subset of the interval  $I = [0, 1]$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_A)$ , then  $\mu$  is perfect.

Then he asked whether there be subsets  $A$  of  $I$ , other than analytic sets, with the property that every  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_A)$  is perfect.

V. V. Sazonov ([6] Lemma 3) answered Blackwell's question by showing that

- (4) The necessary and sufficient condition in order that every  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_A)$  be perfect is that  $A \in \mathcal{U}$ .

Meanwhile, G. Kallianpur introduced the notion of a  $D$ -space (i.e.,  $(A, \mathcal{B}_A)$  is a  $D$ -space if, and only if,  $f(A) \in \mathcal{U}$  for every  $\mathcal{B}_A$ -measurable function  $f$ ) in [3]. He showed that

- (5) The necessary and sufficient condition in order that  $(A, \mathcal{B}_A)$  be a  $D$ -space is that for every separable subsigma algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{B}_A$ , every  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$  is perfect.

Unaware of Kallianpur's paper, Sazonov ([6] Theorem 9) gave a proof of the sufficiency of Kallianpur's result and a proof of the necessity of a stronger result:

---

Received February 18, 1969; revised December 12, 1969.