

ON THE NONEXISTENCE OF ε -OPTIMAL RANDOMIZED STATIONARY POLICIES IN AVERAGE COST MARKOV DECISION MODELS

BY SHELDON M. ROSS

University of California, Berkeley

1. Introduction. Consider a Markov decision process [see Derman (1966) or Ross (1968)] having a countable state space I and a finite action space A . If action a is chosen when in state i , then

- (i) a cost $c[i, a]$ is incurred, and
- (ii) the next state is determined according to the transition probabilities $\{P_{ij}(a), j \in I\}$.

A policy is any measurable rule for choosing actions, and is called stationary if the (possibly randomized) action the policy chooses at any time depends only on the state of the process at that time. In Maitra (1966), the question is asked if whether or not there always exists an ε -optimal stationary policy under the average expected cost criterion. That is, is there a stationary policy whose average expected cost is within ε of the infimum over all policies? We answer this in the negative by the following counterexample.

2. The counterexample. Let the states be given by $1, 1', 2, 2', \dots, n, n', \dots, \infty$. In state $n, 1 \leq n < \infty$, there are two actions, with transition probabilities given by

$$P_{n,n+1}(1) = 1$$

$$P_{n,n'}(2) = \alpha_n = 1 - P_{n,\infty}(2).$$

In state n' , there is a single action, having transition probabilities

$$P_{n',(n-1)'} = 1, \quad n \geq 2$$

$$P_{1',1} = 1.$$

State ∞ is an absorbing state and once entered is never left, i.e.,

$$P_{\infty\infty} = 1.$$

The costs depend only on the state and are given by

$$c[n, a] = 2 \quad \text{all } n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty, \text{ all actions } a$$

$$c[n', a] = 0 \quad \text{all } n \geq 1, \text{ all } a.$$

The values α_n are chosen to satisfy

- (i) $\alpha_n < 1$
- (ii) $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \frac{3}{4}$.

Received December 22, 1970.