

Erratum: Rates of convergence in the central limit theorem for martingales in the non stationary setting

Jérôme Dedecker^{1,a}, Florence Merlevède^{2,b} and Emmanuel Rio^{3,c}

¹MAP5, Université Paris Cité, UMR 8145 CNRS, 45 rue des Saints-Pères, F-75006 Paris, France, ^ajerome.dedecker@u-paris.fr

²LAMA, Univ Gustave Eiffel, Univ Paris Est Créteil, UMR 8050 CNRS, F-77454 Marne-La-Vallée, France, ^bflorence.merlevede@univ-eiffel.fr

³LMV, Université de Versailles, UMR 8100 CNRS, 45 avenue des Etats-Unis, F-78035 Versailles, France, ^cemmanuel.rio@uvsq.fr

Received 12 March 2023; accepted 16 March 2023

There is an error in the statement of the main result of the article [2], namely the Theorem 2.1 (the error concerns only the case $r \in]2, p]$). In this erratum, we give the correct statement of this theorem, and also of Proposition 5.1 of [2], which is the main step to prove the theorem. We also correct some typos in our Corollary 2.1.

We first recall the notations.

Let $(\xi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ denote a sequence of martingale differences in \mathbb{L}^2 , with respect to the increasing filtration $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let $\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2) = \sigma_i^2$, $a > 0$, $\alpha = (1 + a^2)/a^2$ and

$$M_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i, \quad V_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2, \quad \delta_n = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\sigma_i|, \quad v_n(a) = a^2 \delta_n^2 + \alpha V_n,$$

For $p \geq 2$ and $\ell \geq 2$, define

$$(1) \quad U_{\ell,n}(p) = \left\| (\|\xi_{\ell-1}\| \vee \sigma_{\ell-1})^{p-2} \left| \sum_{k=\ell}^n (\mathbb{E}_{\ell-1}(\xi_k^2) - \sigma_k^2) \right| \right\|_1,$$

where $\mathbb{E}_i(\cdot) = \mathbb{E}(\cdot | \mathcal{F}_i)$. Let also, for $r \in]0, p]$,

$$L_n(p, r, a\delta_n) = \sum_{\ell=2}^n \frac{U_{\ell,n}(p)}{(V_n - V_{\ell-1} + a^2 \delta_n^2)^{(p-r)/2}}$$

and

$$\psi_n(t) = \sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{\mathbb{E} \inf(t \delta_n \xi_k^2, |\xi_k|^3)}{\sigma_k^2}.$$

When $p \in]2, 3]$ note that

$$\psi_n(t) \leq (t \delta_n)^{3-p} \sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{\mathbb{E}(|\xi_k|^p)}{\sigma_k^2}.$$

Recall that, for $r > 0$, $\zeta_r(\mu, \nu)$ (resp. $W_r(\mu, \nu)$) is the Zolotarev (resp. Wasserstein) distance of order r between two probability measures μ, ν (as defined for instance in Section 1 of [2]).

The correct statement of Theorem 2.1 in [2] is the following:

Theorem 0.1. *Let $p \in]2, 3]$ and $r \in]0, p]$.*