## THE CLOSEST PACKING OF CONVEX TWO-DIMENSIONAL DOMAINS, CORRIGENDUM

## BY

## C. A. ROGERS

## London

Sometime ago I published an  $account(^1)$ , in outline, of certain results, which had been anticipated and largely superseded by work of L. Fejes Tóth(<sup>2</sup>). I now find that it is necessary to correct one of the results.

Let K be an open convex two-dimensional set. A system  $K + \mathbf{a}_1, K + \mathbf{a}_2, \ldots$  of translates of K by vectors  $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \ldots$  is called a packing, if no two of the sets have any point in common. Let d(K) denote the lower bound of the determinants of the lattices  $\Lambda$ , with the property that the system of translates of K by the vectors of  $\Lambda$  forms a packing.

My 1951 paper only proves

THEOREM 1a. Let K and S be any open bounded convex sets with areas a(K)and a(S). Let K be symmetrical. If n sets K can be packed into S (with  $n \ge 1$ ), then

$$(n-1) d(K) + a(K) \le a(S).$$

It incorrectly claims to prove such a result without the supposition that K should be symmetrical. No restriction to symmetrical sets is needed in Theorem 2, nor in the main conclusion that it is impossible to find a packing of similarly orientated congruent convex domains, which is closer than the closest lattice packing of the domains.

The error arises in the proof of Lemma 5; there is no justification for the assertion that it is permissible to suppose that the point  $\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d})$  coincides with the origin, since in this lemma a change of origin changes the area of the polygon  $\Pi$ . It is easy to see that this movement of the origin increases the area of  $\Pi$  by  $\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{a}| \cdot (h_2-h_1)$ ,

<sup>(1)</sup> Acta Mathematica, 86 (1951), 309-321.

<sup>(2)</sup> Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 12 (1950), 62-67.