Communicated 10 May 1950 by MARCEL RIESZ and ARNE BEURLING

## **On singular monotonic functions whose spectrum has a given Hausdorff dimension**

## By R. SALEM

1. This paper deals exclusively with continuous monotonic functions which are singular and of the Cantor type, that is to say which are constant in each interval contiguous to a perfect set of measure zero. This perfect set will be called the spectrum of the function.

We shall first prove the following results:

**Theorem I.** *Given any number a,*  $0 < a < 1$ *, and a positive*  $\varepsilon$ *, arbitrarily small, but /ixecl, there exists a per/ect set E, with Hausdor]/ dimension a, and a*   $n$ *m-decreasing †unction F(x), singular, with spectrum E, such that the Fourier* 

*Stieltjes transform of d F belongs to L<sup>q</sup> for every*  $q \geq \frac{2}{q} + \epsilon$ *.* 

**Theorem II.** *Given any number*  $\alpha$ *,*  $0 < \alpha < 1$ *, and a positive*  $\epsilon$ *, arbitrarily*  $x$ <sub>small</sub>, but fixed, there exists a perfect set  $E$ , with Hausdorff dimension  $a$ , and  $a$  $non-decreasing$  function  $F(x)$ ,  $singular$ , with spectrum  $E$ , such that the Fourier-

*Stieltjes coefficients of dF are of order*  $1/n^{\frac{\alpha}{2} - \epsilon}$ 

## **Remarks.**

1). Theorem I could be deduced from Theorem II, but since the method of the proof is the same, we prove both theorems.

2). Theorem I has been proved in an earlier paper<sup>1</sup> for the case  $a = 1$  (the Lebesgue measure of the set being of course zero), even in the stronger form, that the Fourier Stieltjes transform of the singular function belongs to  $L^q$  for every  $q > 2$ . The argument is the same as in the present paper, although much simpler.

We next prove:

**Theorem III.** *No singular function (except constant) exists having as spectrum a perfect set of Hausdorff dimension*  $a > 0$ *, and whose Fourier-Stieltjes transform ,) belongs to*  $L^q$  *for some*  $q < \frac{1}{a}$ *.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> R. SALEM. On sets of multiplicity for trigonometrical series. American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 64 (1942), pp.  $531-538$ .