## Characterization of removable sets in strongly pseudoconvex boundaries

Guido Lupacciolu

## I. Introduction

Let M be a complex-analytic manifold<sup>(1)</sup> of complex dimension  $n \ge 2$ .

Given an open domain  $D \subset \subset M$ , a proper closed subset K of the boundary bD of D is called *removable* in case  $bD \setminus K$  is  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -smooth and every continuous CR-function f on  $bD \setminus K$  has a continuous extension F to  $\overline{D} \setminus K$  which is holomorphic on D.

A general account of the subject of removable sets is given in [19], where one can also find most of the related references.

One main result in this area is the following characterization of removable sets in the two-dimensional case (see [19; II.10]):

**Theorem 0.** Let M be a Stein manifold of dimension two and  $D \subset \subset M$  a  $C^2$ bounded strongly pseudoconvex domain such that  $\overline{D}$  is  $\mathcal{O}(M)$ -convex. Then for a proper closed subset K of bD the following two conditions are equivalent:

- (a) K is removable;
- (b) K is  $\mathcal{O}(M)$ -convex.

This connection, in dimension two, between removability and  $\mathcal{O}(M)$ -convexity is of considerable interest, and has been recently used by Forstnerič and Stout [6] to exhibit some new instances of polynomially convex sets in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

On the other hand, Theorem 0 does not hold for general  $n \ge 2$  (it being only true, for  $n \ge 3$ , that  $(b) \Rightarrow (a)$ ) and a result of this kind valid for  $n \ge 2$  seems to be still unknown, due perhaps to the fact that the known proof of Theorem 0 depends on a version of a theorem of Słodkowski [18; Theorem 2.1] on two-dimensional

 $<sup>(^1)</sup>$  Throughout the paper manifolds are assumed to be connected and with countable topology.