

A smooth pseudoconvex domain in \mathbf{C}^2 for which L^∞ -estimates for $\bar{\partial}$ do not hold

Bo Berndtsson⁽¹⁾

Let \mathcal{D} be a smoothly bounded domain in \mathbf{C}^n . It is well known (see [HL] and [Ø]) that if \mathcal{D} is strictly pseudoconvex then we can solve the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation with estimates in L^p for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. It has also been known for some time that this is no longer true if \mathcal{D} is merely pseudoconvex. Namely, Sibony [S2] found an example of such a domain in \mathbf{C}^3 where L^∞ -estimates do not hold. The reader should also consult the paper [FS1] which contains a discussion of L^p -estimates in general and many counterexamples to this type of questions. However, all counterexamples known seem to treat the case $n \geq 3$ and L^p -estimates for $p > 2$.

In this paper we shall prove

Theorem 1. *There is a smoothly bounded Hartogs domain in \mathbf{C}^2 , and a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(0, 1)$ -form g in \mathcal{D} , which extends continuously to $\bar{\mathcal{D}}$, such that the equation $\bar{\partial}u = g$ has no bounded solution.*

Recall that a Hartogs domain is a domain of the form

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{D} = \{(z, w); |w| < e^{-\varphi(z)}\}$$

where φ is subharmonic. If e.g. φ is smooth in the disk and

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{1-|z|^2}$$

near the boundary of the disk, then $\partial\mathcal{D}$ will be smooth.

There is a special reason why we are interested in the case $n=2$. The form g in Theorem 1 extends continuously to $\partial\mathcal{D}$. So, the same example shows that we don't have L^∞ -estimates for $\bar{\partial}_b$ either. But in \mathbf{C}^2 there is a duality between $\bar{\partial}_b$ in L^∞ and in L^1 . Therefore we get

⁽¹⁾ Supported by Naturvetenskapliga Forskningsrådet