

# NON-UNITARY DUAL SPACES OF GROUPS

BY

J. M. G. FELL

*University of Washington, Seattle, Wash., U.S.A.*

## 1. Introduction

The infinite-dimensional unitary representations of an arbitrary locally compact group  $G$  have been extensively studied since 1947. For some purposes, however, the unitary restriction is very undesirable—for example, if we wish to carry out “analytic continuation” of representations of  $G$ . This paper investigates some general concepts concerning non-unitary representations. Extending the ideas of [3], we define a “non-unitary dual space”  $\hat{G}$  of  $G$ . Roughly speaking,  $\hat{G}$  is the space of all equivalence classes of irreducible (not necessarily either unitary or finite-dimensional) representations of  $G$ . It is not however a trivial matter to decide what we ought to mean by ‘representation’, ‘irreducible’, or ‘equivalence class’. At first sight it might appear reasonable to restrict ourselves to representations living in a Banach space. We shall therefore begin with an example showing that Banach spaces form too narrow a framework if we have in mind analytic continuation of representations of general groups.

Let  $G$  be the Galilean group, that is, the three-dimensional nilpotent Lie group of all triples of real numbers, multiplication being given by  $\langle a, b, c \rangle \langle a', b', c' \rangle = \langle a + a', b + b', c + c' - ab' \rangle$ . The unitary representations of  $G$  are well known (see [15]). For each non-zero real number  $\lambda$  there is a unique (infinite-dimensional) irreducible unitary representation  $T^\lambda$  of  $G$  with the property that, for each real  $c$ ,  $T^\lambda$  sends the central element  $\langle 0, 0, c \rangle$  of  $G$  into the scalar operator  $e^{i\lambda c} \cdot 1$ . One would hope by a process of “analytic continuation” to obtain non-unitary irreducible representations  $T^\lambda$  having the same property for *complex*  $\lambda$ . But we shall now show that such a  $T^\lambda$  could not live in a Banach space. Indeed: Let us write  $\gamma_1(a) = \langle a, 0, 0 \rangle$ ,  $\gamma_2(b) = \langle 0, b, 0 \rangle$ ,  $\gamma_3(c) = \langle 0, 0, c \rangle$  ( $a, b, c$  real); and let us suppose that  $T$  is a homomorphism of  $G$  into the group of bounded invertible operators on some Banach space  $H$  such that  $T_{\gamma_3(c)} = e^{i\lambda c} \cdot 1$  for all real  $c$ , where  $\lambda$  is a non-real complex number (and  $1$  is the identity operator on  $H$ ). Since  $\gamma_1(-1)\gamma_2(b)\gamma_1(1) = \gamma_3(b)\gamma_2(b)$ , we have