

# Wiener's theorem, the Radon—Nikodym theorem, and $m_0(\mathbf{T})$

Russell Lyons

## 1. Introduction

Let  $M(\mathbf{T})$  denote the class of complex Borel measures on the circle  $\mathbf{T}=\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$  and  $M_0(\mathbf{T})$  the subclass  $\{\mu: \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mu}(n)=0\}$ . It was recently proved [5, 6] that  $M_0(\mathbf{T})$  is characterized by its class of common null sets. To make this more precise, we use the following notation. For any subclass  $\mathcal{C} \subset M(\mathbf{T})$ , we let

$$\mathcal{C}^\perp = \{E \subset \mathbf{T}: E \text{ is a Borel set and } \forall \mu \in \mathcal{C} \ |\mu|(E) = 0\}$$

be the class of common null sets of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Likewise, if  $\mathcal{E}$  is a class of Borel subsets of  $\mathbf{T}$ , we write

$$\mathcal{E}^\perp = \{\mu \in M(\mathbf{T}): \forall E \in \mathcal{E} \ |\mu|(E) = 0\}$$

for the class of measures annihilating  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then by definition, the class of sets of uniqueness in the wide sense,  $U_0$ , is equal to  $M_0(\mathbf{T})^\perp$  and [6] shows that  $U_0^\perp = M_0(\mathbf{T})$ . That is,  $M_0(\mathbf{T})^{\perp\perp} = M_0(\mathbf{T})$ .

Now notice that we can write  $M_0(\mathbf{T})$  in another way. Let  $PM$  be the pseudo-measure topology on  $M(\mathbf{T})$ :  $\|\mu\|_{PM} \equiv \sup_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} |\hat{\mu}(n)|$ . If  $\mathcal{P}$  denotes the trigonometric polynomials and  $\lambda$  Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{T}$ , then  $M_0(\mathbf{T})$  is the  $PM$ -closure of  $\mathcal{P} \cdot \lambda$ .

If  $M$  denotes the usual norm topology on  $M(\mathbf{T})$ , then the  $M$ -closure of  $\mathcal{P} \cdot \sigma$ , for any  $\sigma \in M(\mathbf{T})$ , is  $L^1(\sigma) = \{f \cdot \sigma: \int |f| d|\sigma| < \infty\}$ . It is clear that  $L^1(\sigma)^\perp = \{E: |\sigma|(E) = 0\}$ , whence the Radon—Nikodym theorem is equivalent to the assertion  $L^1(\sigma)^{\perp\perp} = L^1(\sigma)$ . This leads us to ask if the analogous theorem holds for  $PM$ . In other words, if  $L^{PM}(\sigma)$  denotes the  $PM$ -closure of  $\mathcal{P} \cdot \sigma$ , is  $L^{PM}(\sigma)^{\perp\perp} = L^{PM}(\sigma)$ ?

Consider now Wiener's theorem [3, p. 42], which says that for all  $\mu \in M(\mathbf{T})$ ,

$$(1) \quad V(\mu) \equiv \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{|n| \leq N} |\hat{\mu}(n)|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$