

Vasile Ene, Ovidius University Constanța, Romania
Current address: 23 August 8717, Jud. Constanța, Romania
e-mail: ene@univ-ovidius.ro

LOCAL SYSTEMS AND TAYLOR'S THEOREM

Abstract

In this article we generalize Taylor's theorem, using the local systems introduced by B. S. Thomson in [8].

We shall denote by \mathcal{C} the class of all continuous functions, by \mathcal{D} the class of all Darboux functions, by \mathcal{B}_1 the class of all Baire one functions, and by \mathcal{DB}_1 the class of all Darboux Baire one functions.

Definition 1 (Thomson). ([8], p. 3). A family $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathcal{S}(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$ is said to be a local system if each $\mathcal{S}(x)$ is a collection of sets with the following properties:

- (i) $\{x\} \notin \mathcal{S}(x)$;
- (ii) If $\sigma_x \in \mathcal{S}(x)$ then $x \in \sigma_x$;
- (iii) If $\sigma_x \in \mathcal{S}(x)$ and $\sigma_x \subset A$ then $A \in \mathcal{S}(x)$;
- (iv) If $\sigma_x \in \mathcal{S}(x)$ and $\delta > 0$ then $\sigma_x \cap (x - \delta, x + \delta) \in \mathcal{S}(x)$.

Definition 2. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathcal{S}(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathcal{S}' = \{\mathcal{S}'(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$ be local systems and let $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $A \subset \mathbb{R}$.

- (Thomson, [8], p. 5) We define the following local system: $\mathcal{S} \wedge \mathcal{S}' = \{(\mathcal{S} \wedge \mathcal{S}')(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$, where $(\mathcal{S} \wedge \mathcal{S}')(x) = \mathcal{S}(x) \cap \mathcal{S}'(x)$ (it is easy to verify that this is a local system).
- (Thomson, [8], p. 37). \mathcal{S} is said to be bilateral at x if σ_x has x as a bilateral accumulation point, whenever $\sigma_x \in \mathcal{S}(x)$. \mathcal{S} is bilateral on A if it is bilateral at each point of A .

Key Words: local systems, \mathcal{D} , \mathcal{B}_1 , \mathcal{DB}_1 , *uCM*, Taylor's Theorem
Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 26A24; 26A21; 26A15
Received by the editors October 10, 1995

- (Thomson, [8], p. 18). Let $\mathcal{S}_\infty = \{\mathcal{S}_\infty(x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ denote the local system defined at each point x as $\mathcal{S}_\infty(x) = \{\sigma : \sigma \text{ contains } x \text{ and has } x \text{ as an accumulation point}\}$. We can define right and left versions of this, by writing: $\mathcal{S}_\infty^+(x) = \{\sigma : \sigma \text{ contains } x \text{ and has } x \text{ as a right accumulation point}\}$ and $\mathcal{S}_\infty^-(x) = \{\sigma : \sigma \text{ contains } x \text{ and has } x \text{ as a left accumulation point}\}$.
- Let $\mathcal{S}_{\infty,\infty} = \mathcal{S}_\infty^+ \wedge \mathcal{S}_\infty^-$. Clearly $\mathcal{S}_{\infty,\infty}(x) = \{\sigma : \sigma \text{ contains } x \text{ and has } x \text{ as a bilateral accumulation point}\}$.
- \mathcal{S} is said to be \mathcal{S}' -filtering at x if $\sigma'_x \cap \sigma''_x \in \mathcal{S}'(x)$ whenever $\sigma'_x, \sigma''_x \in \mathcal{S}(x)$. \mathcal{S} is said to be \mathcal{S}' -filtering on A if it is so at each point of A .
- \mathcal{S} is said to be filtering at x if \mathcal{S} is \mathcal{S} -filtering at x (this is in fact Thomson's definition of [8], p. 10).

Remark 1. If \mathcal{S} is $\mathcal{S}_{\infty,\infty}$ -filtering on a set A then it is a bilateral local system on A .

Definition 3. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathcal{S}(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a local system. Let $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in [a, b]$. F is said to be \mathcal{S} -continuous at t if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\sigma_t \in \mathcal{S}(t)$ such that $|F(x) - F(t)| < \epsilon$, whenever $x \in \sigma_t \cap [a, b]$. F is said to be \mathcal{S} -continuous on a set $A \subset [a, b]$ if it is so at each point $t \in A$.

Remark 2. For $t \in (a, b)$, Definition 3 is a reformulation of Thomson's Definition 31.1 of [8] (p. 70). However, our definition considers $t \in [a, b]$.

Lemma 1. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathcal{S}(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a local system $\mathcal{S}_{\infty,\infty}$ -filtering. Let $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in [a, b]$. Suppose that there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ with the following property: for every neighborhood U_c of c there is a set $\sigma_t \in \mathcal{S}(t)$ such that $(F(x) - F(t))/(x - t) \in U_c$, whenever $x \in \sigma_t \cap [a, b]$ and $x \neq t$. Then the number c is unique.

PROOF. Suppose that there exists a number $d, d \neq c$, with the same properties as c . Let U_c and U_d be neighborhoods for c respectively d such that $U_c \cap U_d = \emptyset$. Let $\sigma'_t, \sigma''_t \in \mathcal{S}(t)$, such that $(F(x) - F(t))/(x - t) \in U_c$, whenever $x \in \sigma'_t \cap [a, b]$, $x \neq t$, and $(F(y) - F(t))/(y - t) \in U_d$, whenever $y \in \sigma''_t \cap [a, b]$, $y \neq t$. Since \mathcal{S} is $\mathcal{S}_{\infty,\infty}$ -filtering it follows that $\sigma'_t \cap \sigma''_t \setminus \{t\} \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction. \square

Definition 4. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathcal{S}(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a local system $\mathcal{S}_{\infty,\infty}$ -filtering. Let $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in [a, b]$.

- (1) We denote the unique number c of Lemma 1 by $\mathcal{SDF}(t)$ (the \mathcal{S} -derivative of F at t).

- (2) The function F is said to be \mathcal{S} -derivable on $[a, b]$ if $SDF(t)$ exists and is finite at each $t \in [a, b]$.
- (3) If F is \mathcal{S} -derivable on $[a, b]$ and the \mathcal{S} -derivative of SDF exists (finite or infinite) at t then we denote this derivative by $SDF^{(2)}(t)$.
- (4) F is said to be $\mathcal{S}^{(2)}$ -derivable on $[a, b]$ if $SDF^{(2)}(t)$ exists and is finite at each $t \in [a, b]$.
- (5) Inductively we may define $SDF^{(i)}(t)$ and the $\mathcal{S}^{(i)}$ -derivability on $[a, b]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Let $SDF^{(0)}(t) = F(t)$.

Remark 3. For $t \in (a, b)$, Definition 4, (1) is a reformulation of a part of Definition 7.1 of [8] (p. 14). Of course, Definition 4, (1) is less general, because Thomson's definition does not impose any conditions on the local system. However, our definition considers $t \in [a, b]$.

Lemma 2. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathcal{S}(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a local system $\mathcal{S}_{\infty, \infty}$ -filtering. Let $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If F is $\mathcal{S}^{(i)}$ -derivable on $[a, b]$ then $SDF^{(i-1)}$ is \mathcal{S} -continuous on $[a, b]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$

Definition 5. We define the following local systems:

- $\mathcal{S}_{1,1} = \{\mathcal{S}_{1,1}(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$, where $\mathcal{S}_{1,1}(x) = \{S : x \in S \text{ and } \underline{d}_+^i(S, x) = \underline{d}_-^i(S, x) = 1\}$. (Here \underline{d}_+^i and \underline{d}_-^i are the interior right respectively left densities of S at x – see for example [8], p. 22). Let $F_{ap}^{(i)}(x) = \mathcal{S}_{1,1}DF^{(i)}(x)$.
- For $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$, let $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha, \beta} = \{\mathcal{S}_{\alpha, \beta}(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$, where $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha, \beta}(x) = \{S : x \in S \text{ and } \underline{d}_-^i(S, x) > \alpha, \underline{d}_+^i(S, x) > \beta\}$. Let $F_{pr}^{(i)}(x) = \mathcal{S}_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}DF^{(i)}(x)$.

Remark 4. The $\mathcal{S}_{1,1}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha, \beta}$ local systems are slight modifications of some systems introduced in [6] (pp. 81, 85), [7] (I, p. 75, 76) and [2] (p. 99).

Definition 6 (Preiss). ([5] or [3], p. 35). Let $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. F is said to be lower *internal**, if $F(x+) \geq F(x)$, whenever $x \in [a, b)$ and $F(x+)$ exists, and $F(x-) \leq F(x)$, whenever $x \in (a, b]$ and $F(x-)$ exists. F is said to be upper *internal** if $-F$ is lower *internal**. F is said to be *internal** if it is simultaneously upper and lower *internal**.

Definition 7 (C.M.Lee). ([4], [3], p. 35). Let $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. F is said to be *uCM* if it is increasing on $[c, d] \subseteq [a, b]$, whenever it is so on (c, d) . F is said to be *lCM* if $-F$ is *uCM*. Let $CM = lCM \cap uCM$ and $sCM = \{F : F(x) + \lambda x \in CM \text{ for each } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Remark 5. ([3], p. 36). Let $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then we have:

- (i) $\mathcal{C} + \text{internal}^* = \text{internal}^*$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{DB}_1 \subset \mathcal{D} \subset \text{internal}^* \subset sCM \subset CM \subset uCM$;

Theorem 1 (Thomson). (*A special case of Theorem 33.1 of [8], p. 74*). Let \mathcal{S} be a local system satisfying an intersection condition of the form $\sigma_x \cap \sigma_y \neq \emptyset$, and let $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If F is \mathcal{S} -continuous then $F \in \mathcal{B}_1$.

Theorem 2 (Thomson). ([8], p. 77). Let \mathcal{S} be a bilateral local system, and let $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If F is \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{S} -continuous on $[a, b]$ then $F \in \mathcal{D}$ on $[a, b]$.

PROOF. See [1] (Theorem 1.1, (1), (2), pp. 8-9). □

Theorem 3. ([3], p. 30.) Let $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathcal{S}(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a local system satisfying the following conditions:

- \mathcal{S} is $\mathcal{S}_{\infty, \infty}$ -filtering on $[a, b]$;
- $\sigma_x \cap \sigma_y \cap (-\infty, x] \neq \emptyset$;
- $\sigma_x \cap \sigma_y \cap [y, +\infty) \neq \emptyset$;
- $\mathcal{S}DF(x)$ exists (finite or infinite) at each point $x \in [a, b]$.

Then $\mathcal{S}DF(x)$ is \mathcal{B}_1 on $[a, b]$.

Theorem 4. ([3], p. 149-150). Let \mathcal{S} be a local system $\mathcal{S}_{\infty, \infty}$ -filtering, satisfying intersection condition $\sigma_x \cap \sigma_y \cap [x, y] \neq \emptyset$, and let $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $F \in sCM$ on $[a, b]$;
- (2) \mathcal{S} -derivative $\mathcal{S}DF(x)$ exists (finite or infinite) at each $x \in [a, b]$ (respectively $x \in [a, b]$; $x \in (a, b)$);
- (3) $\mathcal{S}DF(x)$ is \mathcal{B}_1 on $[a, b]$ (respectively $[a, b]$; (a, b)).

Then we have:

- (i) $\mathcal{S}DF(x)$ is \mathcal{D} and
- (ii) F fulfills the Mean Value Theorem.

Lemma 3. *Let \mathcal{S} be a local system $\mathcal{S}_{\infty, \infty}$ -filtering, satisfying intersection condition $\sigma_x \cap \sigma_y \cap [x, y] \neq \emptyset$. Let $F, G, H : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $H(x) = (F(b) - F(a)) \cdot G(x) - (G(b) - G(a)) \cdot F(x)$ such that $SDF(x)$ exists finite or infinite on (a, b) , G' exists finite on (a, b) and $H \in sCM$ on $[a, b]$. Then there exists $\xi \in (a, b)$ such that*

$$(F(b) - F(a)) \cdot G'(\xi) = (G(b) - G(a)) \cdot SDF(\xi).$$

PROOF. We have $H(b) = H(a) = F(b)G(a) - G(b)F(a)$. Clearly $SDH(x)$ exists finite or infinite on (a, b) . By Theorem 4, (ii), there exists $\xi \in (a, b)$ such that $SDH(\xi) = 0$. Now the conclusion of our lemma follows immediately. \square

Corollary 1. *Let \mathcal{S} be a local system $\mathcal{S}_{\infty, \infty}$ -filtering, satisfying intersection condition $\sigma_x \cap \sigma_y \cap [x, y] \neq \emptyset$. Let $F, G : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If*

- (i) $F \in \text{internal}^*$ and $G \in \mathcal{C}$ on $[a, b]$,
- (ii) \mathcal{S} -derivative $SDF(x)$ exists finite or infinite on (a, b) and $G'(x)$ exists finite on (a, b) ,

then there exists $\xi \in (a, b)$ such that

$$(F(b) - F(a)) \cdot G'(\xi) = (G(b) - G(a)) \cdot SDF(\xi).$$

PROOF. Let H be the function defined in Lemma 3. Since $\mathcal{C} + \text{internal}^* = \text{internal}^* \subset sCM$ (see Remark 5) it follows that $H \in sCM$ on $[a, b]$. Now the proof follows by Lemma 3. \square

Remark 6. In Lemma 3 and Corollary 1 we may put SDG instead of G' if \mathcal{S} is supposed to be filtering.

Theorem 5. *(A strong form of Taylor's Theorem). Let \mathcal{S} be a local system $\mathcal{S}_{\infty, \infty}$ -filtering, satisfying the following intersection conditions:*

- $\sigma_x \cap \sigma_y \cap [x, y] \neq \emptyset$;
- $\sigma_x \cap \sigma_y \cap (-\infty, x] \neq \emptyset$;
- $\sigma_x \cap \sigma_y \cap [y, +\infty) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $F(b-) = F(b)$ if $F(b-)$ exists, and let $n > 1$ be an integer. If

- (i) F is $\mathcal{S}^{(i)}$ -derivable on $[a, b]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and
- (ii) $SDF^{(n+1)}(x)$ exists finite or infinite on (a, b) ,

then there exists $\xi \in (a, b)$ such that

$$F(b) = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\mathcal{SDF}^{(i)}(a)}{i!} (b-a)^i + \frac{\mathcal{SDF}^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!} (b-a)^{n+1}.$$

PROOF. Let

$$R(x) = F(x) - \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\mathcal{SDF}^{(i)}(a)}{i!} (x-a)^i \text{ and } G(x) = (x-a)^{n+1}.$$

Clearly $R(a) = \mathcal{SDR}(a) = \dots = \mathcal{SDR}^{(n)}(a) = 0$ and $\mathcal{SDR}^{(n+1)}(x) = \mathcal{SDF}^{(n+1)}(x)$ for each $x \in (a, b)$. But $G(a) = G'(a) = \dots = G^{(n)}(a) = 0$ and $G^{(n+1)}(x) = (n+1)!$ on (a, b) . By Theorem 3, $\mathcal{SDF}^{(i)}$ is \mathcal{B}_1 on $[a, b]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $\mathcal{SDF}^{(n+1)}$ is \mathcal{B}_1 on (a, b) . By Theorem 4, (i) it follows that $\mathcal{SDF}^{(i)} \in \mathcal{D}$ on $[a, b]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $\mathcal{SDF}^{(n+1)} \in \mathcal{D}$ on (a, b) . By Lemma 2, F is \mathcal{S} -continuous on $[a, b]$, so by Theorem 1, $F \in \mathcal{B}_1$ on $[a, b]$. By Theorem 2, $F \in \mathcal{D}$ on $[a, b]$. By Remark 5, (ii) and the fact that $F(b-) = F(b)$ if $F(b-)$ exists, it follows that $F \in \text{internal}^*$ on $[a, b]$. Then $R \in \text{internal}^*$ on $[a, b]$ (see Remark 5, (i)). Applying Corollary 1, it follows that there exists $c_1 \in (a, b)$ such that $R(b)/G(b) = \mathcal{SDF}(c_1)/G'(c_1)$. Since $\mathcal{SDF} \in \mathcal{DB}_1 \subset \text{internal}^*$ on $[a, c_1]$ (see Remark 5), applying Corollary 1 again, it follows that there exists $c_2 \in (a, c_1)$ such that $\mathcal{SDF}(c_1)/G'(c_1) = \mathcal{SDF}^{(2)}(c_2)/G^{(2)}(c_2)$. Continuing, we obtain $b > c_1 > c_2 > \dots > c_n > c_{n+1} > a$ such that

$$\frac{R(b)}{G(b)} = \frac{\mathcal{SDR}(c_1)}{G'(c_1)} = \dots = \frac{\mathcal{SDR}^{(n)}(c_n)}{G^{(n)}(c_n)} = \frac{\mathcal{SDR}^{(n+1)}(c_{n+1})}{(n+1)!} = \frac{\mathcal{SDF}^{(n+1)}(c_{n+1})}{(n+1)!}.$$

Putting $\xi = c_{n+1}$ the assertion of the theorem follows. □

Corollary 2. Let $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Suppose that

- (1) $F(b-) = F(b)$ if $F(b-)$ exists;
- (2) $F_{ap}^{(i)}(x)$ (respectively $F_{pr}^{(i)}(x)$) exists and is finite on $[a, b]$, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and
- (3) $F_{ap}^{(n+1)}(x)$ (respectively $F_{pr}^{(n+1)}(x)$) exists finite or infinite on (a, b) .

Then there exists $\xi \in (a, b)$ such that

$$F(b) = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{F_{ap}^{(i)}(a)}{i!} (b-a)^i + \frac{F_{ap}^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!} (b-a)^{n+1}$$

(respectively

$$F(b) = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{F_{pr}^{(i)}(a)}{i!} (b-a)^i + \frac{F_{pr}^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!} (b-a)^{n+1}).$$

References

- [1] A. M. Bruckner, *Differentiation of real functions*, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 659, Springer-Verlag, 1978.
- [2] A. M. Bruckner, R. J. O'Malley, and B. S. Thomson, *Path derivatives: a unified view of certain generalized derivatives*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **283** (1984), 97–125.
- [3] V. Ene, *Real functions - current topics*, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 1603, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [4] C. M. Lee, *An analogue of the theorem Hake-Alexandroff-Looman*, Fund. Math. **C** (1978), 69–74.
- [5] D. Preiss, *Approximate derivatives and Baire classes*, Czech. Math. J. **21** (1971), no. 96, 373–382.
- [6] B. S. Thomson, *On full covering properties*, Real Analysis Exchange **6** (1980-81), no. 1, 77–93.
- [7] B. S. Thomson, *Derivation bases on the real line, I and II*, Real Analysis Exchange **8** (1982-1983), 67–208 and 280–442.
- [8] B. S. Thomson, *Real functions*, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 1170, Springer-Verlag, 1985.