

Krzysztof Płotka, Department of Mathematics, University of Scranton,
Scranton, PA 18510, USA. email: Krzysztof.Plotka@Scranton.edu
Ireneusz Reclaw, Institute of Mathematics, Gdańsk University, Wita Stwosza
57, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland. email: reclaw@math.univ.gda.pl

FINITELY CONTINUOUS HAMEL FUNCTIONS

Abstract

A function $h: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is called a Hamel function if it is a Hamel basis for \mathbb{R}^{n+k} . We prove that there exists a Hamel function which is finitely continuous (its graph can be covered by finitely many partial continuous functions). This answers the question posted in [3].

We consider functions with values in \mathbb{R}^k . No distinction is made between a function and its graph. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ be a function and $\kappa \leq \mathfrak{c}$ be a cardinal number. We say that the function f is a Hamel function if f , considered as a subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+k} , is a Hamel basis for \mathbb{R}^{n+k} . The function f is called κ -continuous if it can be covered by the union of κ many partial continuous functions from \mathbb{R}^n . We write $f|A$ for the restriction of f to a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. For $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the symbol $\text{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}(B)$ stands for the smallest linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^n over \mathbb{Q} that contains B .

In [3], it was asked whether there exists a Hamel function which is ω -continuous (Problem 3.2). We give an affirmative answer to this question.

Theorem 1. *There exists a Hamel function $h: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ which is $(n+2)$ -continuous ($k, n \geq 1$).*

Let us mention here that it is unknown whether the number $(n+2)$ is optimal, however it cannot be replaced by 1 (e.g. $(n+2)$ -continuity cannot be replaced by continuity; see [3, Fact 3.1 (iii)]).

Problem 2. Is the number $(n+2)$ in Theorem 1 optimal?

To prove Theorem 1, we will need the following lemma.

Key Words: finitely continuous function; Hamel function
Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary 26A15
Received by the editors November 11, 2004
Communicated by: Krzysztof Chris Ciesielski

Lemma 3. *Let $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Hamel basis. Assume that $h: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is such that $h|_H \equiv 0$. Then h is a Hamel function iff $h|(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus H)$ is one-to-one and $h[\mathbb{R}^n \setminus H] \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ is a Hamel basis.*

PROOF. First assume that h is a Hamel function. We will show that $h|(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus H)$ is a bijection onto a Hamel basis. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^k$. There exist $x_1, \dots, x_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $q_1, \dots, q_j \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\sum_1^j q_i h(x_i) = y$. But since $h|_H \equiv 0$ we get $y = \sum_1^j q_i h(x_i) = \sum_{x_i \notin H} q_i h(x_i)$. Hence $\text{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}(h[\mathbb{R}^n \setminus H]) = \mathbb{R}^k$.

Next suppose that $\sum_1^l p_i h(x_i) = 0$ for some distinct $x_1, \dots, x_l \in (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus H)$ and $p_1, \dots, p_l \in \mathbb{Q}$. Since $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a Hamel basis, there exist $x_{l+1}, \dots, x_m \in H$ and $p_{l+1}, \dots, p_m \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\sum_{l+1}^m p_i x_i = -\sum_1^l p_i x_i$. Recall that $h|_H \equiv 0$, hence $\sum_1^m p_i(x_i, h(x_i)) = (0, 0)$. Since h is a Hamel function we conclude that $p_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. This finishes the proof that $h|(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus H)$ is a bijection onto a Hamel basis.

Now we prove the converse. To see that h is a Hamel function, first observe that the graph of h is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . Indeed, let $\sum_1^r q_i(x_i, h(x_i)) = (0, 0)$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_r \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $q_1, \dots, q_r \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^r q_i(x_i, h(x_i)) &= \sum_{x_i \in H} q_i(x_i, h(x_i)) + \sum_{x_i \notin H} q_i(x_i, h(x_i)) = \\ &= \sum_{x_i \in H} q_i(x_i, 0) + \sum_{x_i \notin H} q_i(x_i, h(x_i)) = (0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\sum_{x_i \notin H} q_i h(x_i) = 0$. Since $h|(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus H)$ is a bijection onto a Hamel basis, we conclude that $q_i = 0$ for $x_i \notin H$. Consequently, $\sum_{x_i \in H} q_i x_i = 0$. This implies that $q_i = 0$ for $x_i \in H$.

To see that $\text{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}(h) = \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$, choose $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Since $h|(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus H)$ is a Hamel basis for \mathbb{R}^k , there exist $x_1, \dots, x_s \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus H$ and $p_1, \dots, p_s \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\sum_1^s p_i h(x_i) = y$. Similarly, since H is a Hamel basis for \mathbb{R}^n , there exist $x_{s+1}, \dots, x_t \in H \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $p_{s+1}, \dots, p_t \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\sum_{s+1}^t p_i x_i = x - \sum_1^s p_i x_i$. Next observe that $\sum_1^t p_i h(x_i) = \sum_1^s p_i h(x_i) = y$ by the assumption $h|_H \equiv 0$. Finally, we obtain $\sum_1^t p_i(x_i, h(x_i)) = (x, y)$. So $\text{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}(h) = \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let $P \subseteq \{(x, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^k : x \notin \mathbb{Q}\}$ be a perfect set linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} (see e.g., [2, Theorem 2, p. 270]) and $Y \subseteq (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q})^k$ be a Hamel basis such that $P \subseteq Y$. The existence of such a basis follows from the fact that $\text{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}((\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q})^k) = \mathbb{R}^k$ and the fact from elementary linear algebra

that every linearly independent set can be extended to a linear basis. Next choose a Hamel basis $H \subseteq (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that H is dense in \mathbb{R}^n (such a basis exists because $\text{Lin}_{\mathbb{Q}}((\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^n$). Since $X = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus H$ has topological dimension $\leq (n-1)$ (as the complement of a dense set; see [1, Theorem IV.3 p. 44]), it can be decomposed into n 0-dimensional spaces E_1, \dots, E_n (see [1, Theorem III.3 p. 32]). For every perfect set $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and 0-dimensional space E , there exists an embedding $g: E \rightarrow Q$. (See e.g., [1, Theorem V.6 p. 65].) Hence, if $P = P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \cdots \cup P_n$ is a partition of P into n perfect sets, then there exists an embedding $g_{P_i}^{E_i}: E_i \rightarrow P_i$ for every $i \leq n$. Now define $g_1 = \bigcup_1^n g_{P_i}^{E_i}: X \rightarrow Y$ and note that it is an injective n -continuous function. Next, since Y is also 0-dimensional (as a subset of a 0-dimensional space $(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q})^k$), it can be embedded into any perfect set, hence also into the set X . Let $g_2: Y \rightarrow X$ be an embedding. Now, following the proof of Cantor-Bernstein Theorem, define a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} g_1(x) & \text{if } x \notin A \\ g_2^{-1}(x) & \text{if } x \in A, \end{cases}$$

where $A_0 = g_2[Y \setminus g_1[X]]$, $A_{m+1} = g_2[g_1[A_m]]$ for $m \geq 0$, and $A = \bigcup_{m=0}^\infty A_m$. The function f is a bijection. To see this observe that $g_1[X \setminus A] = g_1[X] \setminus g_1[A]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} g_2^{-1}[A] &= \bigcup_{m=0}^\infty g_2^{-1}[A_m] = (Y \setminus g_1[X]) \cup \bigcup_{m=0}^\infty g_1[A_m] \\ &= (Y \setminus g_1[X]) \cup g_1[A]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $g_1[X \setminus A] \cap g_2^{-1}[A] = \emptyset$ and $g_1[X \setminus A] \cup g_2^{-1}[A] = Y$. Since both g_1 and g_2^{-1} are injections, the latter implies that f is bijective.

Now, by recalling that g_1 is n -continuous and g_2^{-1} is continuous, we conclude that f is $(n+1)$ -continuous. Finally, we define $h: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in H \\ f(x) & \text{if } x \notin H. \end{cases}$$

It follows from Lemma 3 that h is a Hamel function. Obviously, h is $(n+2)$ -continuous. □

References

- [1] W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension Theory*, Princeton University Press, 1948.
- [2] M. Kuczma, *An Introduction to the Theory of Functional Equations and Inequalities*, Polish Scientific Publishers, PWN, Warszawa, 1985.
- [3] K. Płotka, *On functions whose graph is a Hamel basis*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **131** (2003), 1031–1041.