

A NECESSARY CONDITION ON THE EXTREME POINTS OF A CLASS OF HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS II

FRANK FORELLI

We correct an oversight in the paper of the same title by pointing out that a theorem holds which is stronger than the theorem of that paper.

1. Let X be a complex manifold. (We agree that X is connected.) It will be convenient to denote by $H(X)$ the class of all holomorphic functions on X . Let $p \in X$, and let:

$$N(X, p) = \{f: f \in H(X), \operatorname{Re} f > 0, f(p) = 1\}$$

$$W(X, p) = \{g: g \in H(X), |g| < 1, g(p) = 0\}$$

$$W(X) = \{g: g \in H(X), |g| \leq 1\}.$$

Thus

$$N(X, p) = \{(1 + g)/(1 - g): g \in W(X, p)\}.$$

Let $g \in W(X)$. We will say that g is irreducible [1] if whenever $g = \varphi\psi$ where $\varphi, \psi \in W(X)$, then either φ or ψ is a constant of modulus one. The purpose of this brief note is to correct an oversight in [2] by pointing out that the following theorem (which is stronger than the theorem of [2]) holds.

THEOREM. *Let $g \in W(X, p)$ and let $f = (1 + g)/(1 - g)$. If $N(X, p) \neq \{1\}$, and if f is an extreme point of $N(X, p)$, then g is irreducible.*

Proof. Our proof is based on the following three identities.

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{1 - zw}{(1 - z)(1 - w)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 + w}{1 - w}.$$

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{1 + zw}{1 - zw} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{(1 - z)(1 - w)}{1 - zw} + s \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{(1 + z)(1 + w)}{1 - zw} - s \right].$$

And

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{1 + [w(z + w)/(1 + zw)]}{1 - [w(z + w)/(1 + zw)]} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - z) \frac{1 - w}{1 + w} + \frac{1}{2}(1 + z) \frac{1 + w}{1 - w}.$$

The identity (1.1) proves that

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{1 - zw}{(1 - z)(1 - w)} > 0$$

if $|z| < 1$, $|w| < 1$. This in turn proves that

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{(1 - z)(1 - w)}{1 - zw} > 0$$

if $|z| < 1$, $|w| < 1$. Thus if $\operatorname{Re} s = 0$, then

$$(1.4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{(1 - z)(1 - w)}{1 - zw} + s \right] \geq 0$$

if $|z| < 1$, $|w| \leq 1$.

Let $g = \varphi\psi$ where $\varphi \in W(X, p)$, $\psi \in W(X)$. It is to be proved that ψ is a constant of modulus one. If $t \in T$, then by the identity (1.2),

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{(1 - t\varphi)(1 - \bar{t}\psi)}{1 - \varphi\psi} + s \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{(1 + t\varphi)(1 + \bar{t}\psi)}{1 - \varphi\psi} - s \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\beta. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$(1.5) \quad \alpha(p) = 1 - \bar{t}\psi(p) + s.$$

Let t in T satisfy $\operatorname{Re} [\bar{t}\psi(p)] = 0$ and let $s = \bar{t}\psi(p)$. Then by (1.4) and (1.5) we have $\alpha, \beta \in N(X, p)$. Thus $\alpha = \beta$. This gives

$$(1.6) \quad \sigma = \bar{t}\psi = \frac{s - t\varphi}{1 + st\varphi} = \frac{s - \tau}{1 + s\tau}.$$

Thus

$$(1.7) \quad f = \frac{1 + \tau\sigma}{1 - \tau\sigma} = \frac{1 + [\tau(s - \tau)/(1 + s\tau)]}{1 - [\tau(s - \tau)/(1 + s\tau)]}.$$

We have $s = i\gamma$, $-1 \leq \gamma \leq 1$. By (1.7) and the identity (1.3),

$$(1.8) \quad f = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma) \frac{1 - i\tau}{1 + i\tau} + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma) \frac{1 + i\tau}{1 - i\tau}.$$

If $-1 < \gamma < 1$, then by (1.8), $i\tau = -i\tau$, hence $\tau = 0$. Thus $f = 1$ which contradicts the fact that 1 is not an extreme point of $N(X, p)$ if $N(X, p) \neq \{1\}$. Thus $\gamma = \pm 1$, hence by (1.6), $\bar{t}\psi = s$ which proves that g is irreducible.

2. Let $X = D$. If $g \in W(D, 0)$, then by the lemma of Schwarz, $g(z) = z\psi(z)$ where $\psi \in W(D)$. Thus by the foregoing we have a

quite elementary proof of the fact that if f is an extreme point of $N(\mathbf{D}, 0)$, then

$$f(z) = (1 + tz)/(1 - tz)$$

where $t \in \mathbf{T}$. There is a different elementary proof of this in [3].

3. The identity (1.1) states that if

$$(3.1) \quad f(z, w) = (1 - z)(1 - w)/(1 - zw),$$

then $1/f$ is not an extreme point of $N(\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{D}, 0)$. We will prove that f on the other hand is extreme. Thus if

$$(3.2) \quad g = (f - 1)/(f + 1),$$

then the Cayley transform of g is extreme, whereas the Cayley transform of $-g$ is not.

3.1. If A is a convex set, then we will denote by ∂A the class of all extreme points of A . If B is a compact Hausdorff space, then we will denote by $M_+(B)$ the class of all Radon measures on B . Thus if $\mu \in M_+(B)$ and $E \subset B$, then $\mu(E) \geq 0$.

Let $f \in N(\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{D}, 0)$. Then $\operatorname{Re} f$ is the Poisson integral μ^* of a measure μ in $M_+(\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{T})$. It will be convenient to denote this measure by f^* . Thus

$$\operatorname{Re} f = (f^*)^*.$$

Let F be a closed subset of the torus $\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{T}$. We will denote by N_F the class of those f in $N(\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{D}, 0)$ for which $\operatorname{spt}(f^*) \subset F$.

PROPOSITION. $\partial N_F \subset \partial N(\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{D}, 0)$.

Proof. Let $f \in \partial N_F$. It is to be proved that $f \in \partial N(\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{D}, 0)$. Thus let $f = 1/2g + 1/2h$ where $g, h \in N(\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{D}, 0)$. Then $g^* + h^* = 2f^*$, hence $g^* \leq 2f^*$. This proves that $g \in N_F$. Likewise $h \in N_F$. Thus $f = g = h$.

3.2. Henceforth we let

$$F = \{(t, \bar{t}): t \in \mathbf{T}\},$$

and we define $\pi: \mathbf{T} \rightarrow F$ by $\pi(t) = (t, \bar{t})$. Let $f \in N_F$ and let $\mu = f^*$. Then $\mu = \pi_* \lambda$ where $\lambda \in M_+(\mathbf{T})$. We have

$$\hat{\mu}(j, k) = \int \bar{z}^j \bar{w}^k d\mu(z, w) = \int \bar{t}^j t^k d\lambda(t) = \hat{\lambda}(j - k).$$

Thus $\hat{\lambda}(j-k) = 0$ if $jk < 0$, hence $\hat{\lambda}(n) = 0$ if $n \neq 0, \pm 1$. This proves that

$$(3.3) \quad d\lambda = \left(\frac{\bar{a}}{2} e^{-i\theta} + 1 + \frac{a}{2} e^{i\theta} \right) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$$

where $a \in \mathbf{C}$. We have

$$\frac{\bar{a}}{2} e^{-i\theta} + 1 + \frac{a}{2} e^{i\theta} \geq 0,$$

hence $1 - |a| \geq 0$. Thus we see that N_F may be identified with

$$\bar{D} = \{a: a \in \mathbf{C}, |a| \leq 1\}$$

and that ∂N_F may be identified with

$$T = \partial D = \{a: a \in \mathbf{C}, |a| = 1\}.$$

3.3. Let (3.1) hold. We have

$$f(z, w) = 1 + 2 \left(\sum_1^{\infty} z^k w^k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_0^{\infty} z^{k+1} w^k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_0^{\infty} z^k w^{k+1} \right),$$

hence $f^* = \pi_* \lambda$ if in (3.3) we let $a = -1$. This proves that $f \in \partial N_F$, hence by Proposition 3.1, $f \in \partial N(D \times D, 0)$. Furthermore, we see that

$$\partial N_F = \{(1 - az)(1 - \bar{a}w)/(1 - zw): a \in T\}.$$

3.4. A comment on the foregoing. Let (3.1) and (3.2) hold, let $t \in T$, and let

$$h = (1 + tg)/(1 - tg).$$

Let $t \neq -1$, let $s = (\bar{t} - 1)/2$, and let

$$\varphi(z) = t(z + s)/(1 + \bar{s}z).$$

Then

$$f(\varphi(z), w) = ah(z, w) + ib$$

where $a + ib = f(\varphi(0), 0)$. By Proposition 3.3 of [2], this proves that $h \in \partial N(D \times D, 0)$.

4. A concluding comment. Let G be a region in D . It will be convenient to say that $D - G$ is a Painlevé null set if every bounded holomorphic function on G has a holomorphic extension to D . By way of a corollary to Theorem 1, we have the following converse of the lemma of Schwarz.

THEOREM. *Let $W(X)$ separate the points of X , and let φ in $W(X, p)$ satisfy*

$$W(X, p) = \varphi W(X) .$$

Then the complex manifold X may be identified with the open unit disc D modulo a Painleve null set.

Proof. If $g \in W(X, p)$, then $g = \varphi\psi$ where $\psi \in W(X)$. Thus by Theorem 1,

$$\partial N(X, p) \subset \{(1 + t\varphi)/(1 - t\varphi) : t \in T\} .$$

This proves, by the Krein-Milman theorem, that if $f \in N(X, p)$, then

$$(4.1) \quad f = \int \frac{1 + t\varphi}{1 - t\varphi} d\mu(t)$$

where $\mu \in M_+(T)$. This in turn proves, since $W(X)$ separates the points of X , that φ is univalent. Thus we may identify X with $\varphi(X)$, in which case (4.1) becomes

$$(4.2) \quad f(z) = \int \frac{1 + tz}{1 - tz} d\mu(t)$$

if $z \in X$. The right side of (4.2), however, belongs to $N(D, 0)$. Thus $D - X$ is a Painleve null set, which completes the proof of Theorem 4.

REFERENCES

1. P. Ahern and W. Rudin, *Factorizations of bounded holomorphic functions*, Duke Math. J., **39** (1972), 767-777.
2. F. Forelli, *A necessary condition on the extreme points of a class of holomorphic functions*, Pacific J. Math., **73** (1977), 81-86.
3. F. Holland, *The extreme points of a class of functions with positive real part*, Math. Ann., **202** (1973), 85-87.

Received February 16, 1979 and in revised form July 3, 1979. Partially supported by the National Science Foundation.

THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON
MADISON, WI 53706

