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## 1. Introduction

The Gevrey well possedness for the weakly hyperbolic equations has been studied by many people (see [1], [5], [13], [16] etc.). They got the results concerned with the relation between the order of Gevrey classes and the maximal multiplicity of characteristic roots.

While F. Colombini, E. Jannelli, S. Spagnolo and T. Nishitani gave the interesting results concerned with the relation between the order of Gevrey classes and the regularity of the coefficients for the second order weakly hyperbolic equations (see [3], [12]).

But there are few papers for the weakly hyperbolic systems. K. Kajitani got the Gevrey well possedness for the weakly hyperbolic systems with Leray-Volevich's weights (see [8]). As for the analytic well posedness P. D'ancona and S. Spagnolo treated the nonlinear weakly hyperbolic systems (see [4]). Moreover E. Jannelli treated the weakly hyperbolic systems with the coefficients which belong $L_{1}$ (see [6]).

For the strictly hyperbolic systems E. Jannelli got the result concerned with the relation between the order of Gevrey classes and the regularity of the coefficients (see [7]). With a differnt method M. Cicognani also got this result for the strictly pseudodifferential systems (see [2]). In this paper, we shall extend this result to the weakly hyperbolic systems and investigate the relation among the Gevrey wellposednes and the regularity and the form of the matrices of the coefficients.

We shall consider the following system in $[0, T] \times \mathbf{R}_{x}^{n}$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u=\sum_{h=1}^{n} A_{h}(t) \partial_{h} u+B(t) u  \tag{1}\\
u(0, x)=u_{0}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $A_{h}(t)(1 \leq h \leq n), B(t)$ are $N \times N$ matrices, while $u(t, x), u_{0}(x)$ are $N$ vectors.

We denote by $C^{\sigma}([0, T])(0<\sigma \leq 1)$ the space of $\sigma$-Hölder continuous functions. Now we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{h}(t)(1 \leq h \leq n) \in C^{\sigma}([0, T]), \quad B(t) \in C^{0}([0, T]) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (1) is weakly hyperbolic, i.e.,
(3) $\sum_{h=1}^{n} A_{h}(t) \xi_{h}$ has real eigenvalues (allowing multiplicity) for ${ }^{\forall} t \in[0, T],{ }^{\forall} \xi \in \mathbf{R}_{\xi}^{n}$.

We shall treat the following two cases.
Case 1. No condition is imposed.
Case 2. There exists a non-singular matrix $P(t, \xi)$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(t, \xi) A(t, \xi) P(t, \xi)^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{D_{1}, D_{2}, \cdots D_{k}\right\} \quad\left(1 \leq^{\exists} k \leq N\right) \\
|P(t, \xi)|+\left|P(t, \xi)^{-1}\right| \leq^{\exists} C \text { for } t \in[0, T], \quad|\xi|=1,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $D_{j}(1 \leq j \leq k)$ are the triangular matrices whose diagonal components are real and whose sizes are $m_{j} \times m_{j}$.

We introduce the space of Gevrey functions as follows.

$$
L_{\rho, \kappa, \nu}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{x}^{n}\right)=\left\{u(x) \in L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{x}^{n}\right) ; e^{\rho\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}} \hat{u}(\xi) \in L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}_{\xi}^{n}\right)\right\}
$$

where $\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}=\left(|\xi|^{2}+\nu^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad(\nu>0)$.
Then we get the following result.
Theorem. Let $0<\rho_{0}<\infty$ and $\nu_{0}>0$. Assume that the coefficients $A_{h}(t)(1 \leq$ $h \leq n)$ and $B(t)$ satisfy (2), (3) and case 1 (resp. case 2). Then there exists $\nu>$ 0 such that for any $u_{0} \in L_{\rho_{0}, \kappa, \nu_{0}}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$, the Cauchy problem (1) has unique solution $u(t, x) \in C^{1}\left([0, T], L_{\rho_{1}, \kappa, \nu}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)\right.$, provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\rho_{1}<\rho_{0}, \quad 1<s<\frac{\mu\left(1+\sigma^{-1}\right)}{\mu\left(1+\sigma^{-1}\right)-1}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ is equal to the dimension of the system, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=N \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(resp. the maximal sizes of $D_{j}(1 \leq j \leq k)$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\max _{1 \leq i \leq k} m_{i} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

), and $s=\kappa^{-1}$.

We remark that by taking the parameter $\nu>0$ large, $\rho_{1}$ (the convergence radius of the Gevrey solution) does not decrease with time and also can be chosen arbitrarily close to $\rho_{0}$.

In case 1 , we find that "No condition is imposed" means that the multiplicity of eigenvalues of $\sum_{h=1}^{n} A_{h}(t) \xi_{h}$ is variable. As for case 2 the following examples can be also treated.

Example 1. The multiplicity of eigenvalues of $\sum_{h=1}^{n} A_{h}(t) \xi_{h}$ is independent of t, $\xi$, i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\lambda-\sum_{h=1}^{n} A_{h}(t) \xi_{h}\right)=\Pi_{i=1}^{k}\left(\lambda-\lambda_{i}(t, \xi)\right)^{m_{i}} \text { for }{ }^{\forall} t \in[0, T], \quad{ }^{\forall} \xi \in \mathbf{R}_{\xi}^{n}
$$

with $1 \leq{ }^{\exists} k \leq N,{ }^{\exists} m_{i} \in \mathbf{N}^{1}(1 \leq i \leq k)$, where $\lambda_{i}(t, \xi)(1 \leq i \leq k)$
satisfy that if $i \neq j, \lambda_{i}(t, \xi) \neq \lambda_{j}(t, \xi)$ for $t \in[0, T],|\xi|=1$.

We shall show in Appendix that Ex 1 is included by case 2 and $\mu$ is equal to the maximal multiplicity of the eigenvalues of $\sum_{h=1}^{n} A_{h}(t) \xi_{h}$, i.e., $\mu=\max _{1 \leq i \leq k} m_{i}$.

Example 2. The multiplicity of factors of all the elementary divisors of $\sum_{h=1}^{n} A_{h}(t) \xi_{h}$ is independent of $t, \xi$, i.e.,
$e_{l}(\lambda)=\Pi_{i=1}^{k}\left(\lambda-\lambda_{i}(t, \xi)\right)^{m(i, l)}(1 \leq l \leq N)$ for ${ }^{\forall} t \in[0, T],{ }^{\forall} \xi \in \mathbf{R}_{\xi}^{n}$
with $1 \leq{ }^{\exists} k \leq N,{ }^{\exists} m(i, l) \in \mathbf{N}^{1}(1 \leq l \leq N, 1 \leq i \leq k)$, where $\lambda_{i}(t, \xi)$
$(1 \leq i \leq k)$ satisfy that if $i \neq j, \lambda_{i}(t, \xi) \neq \lambda_{j}(t, \xi)$ for $t \in[0, T],|\xi|=1$.

By Jordan normal form, we can see that $D_{j}(1 \leq j \leq k)$ are the Jordan blocks whose sizes are $m(i, l) \times m(i, l)(m(i, l)$ denotes the multiplicity of the factor $\left(\lambda-\lambda_{i}\right)$ of the elementary divisors $e_{l}(\lambda)$ of $\left.\sum_{h=1}^{n} A_{h}(t) \xi_{h}\right)$ and $\mu$ is equal to the maximal multiplicity of factors of the elementary divisors (or the minimal polynomial) of $\sum_{h=1}^{n} A_{h}(t) \xi_{h}$, i.e., $\mu=\max _{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq l \leq N} m(i, l)$.

When the maximal multiplicity for factors of the minimal polynomial of $\sum_{h=1}^{n} A_{h}(t) \xi_{h}$ is equal to 1 in case 3 , the system is symetrizable and K. Kajitani proved that the Cauchy problem (1) is $\gamma^{s}$-well posed ( $1<s<1+\sigma$ ) (see [9]). Moreover when $\sum_{h=1}^{n} A_{h}(t) \xi_{h}$ has real distinct eigenvalues or is Hermitian, the Cauchy problem (1) is $L^{2}$-wellposed (see [11]). Concerned with the higher order single equation, the conditon corresponding to (4) is $1<s<\mu \sigma^{-1} /\left(\mu \sigma^{-1}-1\right)$ (see [13]).

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we shall construct the algebraic lemmas which is necessary to prove the theorem.

Lemma 1. Let $A$ be a $N \times N$ constant matrix which has real eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}$, $\lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{N}$ (allowing multiplicity). Then for ${ }^{\forall} \eta \in(0,1]$, there exists a non-singular matrix $P_{\eta}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\eta} A P_{\eta}^{-1}=\tilde{A}+R_{\eta} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{A}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{N}\right\}$ is Hermitian, and $P_{\eta}, P_{\eta}^{-1}, R_{\eta}$ satisfy that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{\eta}\right| \leq C_{1}, \quad\left|P_{\eta}^{-1}\right| \leq C_{2} \eta^{1-N}, \quad\left|R_{\eta}\right| \leq C_{3} \eta . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constants $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ are independent of $A$, but $C_{3}>0$ depends on $|A|$.
Proof. From linear algebra we find that there exists a unitary matrix $P$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P A P^{-1}=\tilde{A}+R \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{A}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{N}\right\}$ is Hermitian, and $R$ is a strictly lower triangular matrix with zeroes on the diagonal (see [15]).

Since $\left|\lambda_{i}\right| \leq|A|(1 \leq i \leq N)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|R| \leq\left|P A P^{-1}\right|+|\tilde{A}| \leq C_{1}|A| C_{2}+|A|=\left(C_{1} C_{2}+1\right)|A| . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining $Q_{\eta}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{1, \eta, \cdots, \eta^{N-1}\right\}$ and putting $P_{\eta}=Q_{\eta} P$, by (9) we have

$$
P_{\eta} A P_{\eta}^{-1}=Q_{\eta}(\tilde{A}+R) Q_{\eta}^{-1}=\tilde{A}+R_{\eta}
$$

where $R_{\eta}=Q_{\eta} R Q_{\eta}^{-1}$. Hence we get (7).
At last noting that $Q_{\eta}^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{1, \eta^{-1}, \cdots, \eta^{-(N-1)}\right\}$, we can easily estimate $P_{\eta}$, $P_{\eta}^{-1}$ as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|P_{\eta}\right| \leq\left|Q_{\eta}\right||P| \leq 1 \cdot C_{1} \equiv C_{1} \\
\left|P_{\eta}^{-1}\right| \leq\left|P^{-1}\right|\left|Q_{\eta}^{-1}\right| \leq C_{2} \cdot \eta^{1-N} \equiv C_{2} \eta^{1-N}
\end{gathered}
$$

Here actually $C_{1}=C_{2}=1$ since $P$ is a unitary matrix.
Noting that $(R)_{i j}=0$ for $j \geq i$ and (10), we can estimate $R_{\eta}$ as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|R_{\eta}\right| & =\max _{1 \leq j<i \leq N}\left|\left(R_{\eta}\right)_{i j}\right|=\max _{1 \leq j<i \leq N}\left|\eta^{i-j}(R)_{i j}\right| \\
& \leq \eta \max _{1 \leq j<i \leq N}\left|(R)_{i j}\right|=\eta|R| \\
& \leq\left(C_{1} C_{2}+1\right)|A| \eta \equiv C_{3} \eta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we get (8).
Lemma 2. Let $A(\xi)$ be a $N \times N$ matrix which has real eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}(\xi)$, $\lambda_{2}(\xi), \cdots, \lambda_{n}(\xi)$ (allowing multiplicity), and is continuous and homogeneous of degree one in $\xi \in \mathbf{R}_{\xi}^{n}$. Then for ${ }^{\forall} \eta \in(0,1]$, there exists a non-singular matrix $P_{\eta}(\xi)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\eta}(\xi) A(\xi) P_{\eta}^{-1}(\xi)=\tilde{A}(\xi)+R_{\eta}(\xi) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{A}(\xi)$ is Hermitian, and $P_{\eta}(\xi), P_{\eta}^{-1}(\xi), R_{\eta}(\xi)$ satisfy that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{\eta}(\xi)\right| \leq C_{1}, \quad\left|P_{\eta}(\xi)^{-1}\right| \leq C_{2} \eta^{1-N}, \quad\left|R_{\eta}(\xi)\right| \leq C_{5} \eta|\xi| \quad \text { for } \quad{ }^{\forall} \xi \in \mathbf{R}_{\xi}^{n} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $C_{5}>0$ is independent of $\xi$.
Proof. $\quad S^{n-1}=\left\{\xi \in \mathbf{R}_{\xi}^{n} ;|\xi|=1\right\}$ is a compact set, for any fixed $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a finite partition $\Gamma_{i}(1 \leq i \leq l=l(\varepsilon))$ of $S^{n-1}$ such that

$$
\sup _{\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in \Gamma_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq l}\left|\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \cup_{i} \Gamma_{i}=S^{n-1}
$$

Defining

$$
A_{\varepsilon}(\xi)= \begin{cases}A\left(\xi^{(i)}\right) \cdot|\xi| & \text { for } \quad \xi \neq 0, \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \in \Gamma_{i}(1 \leq i \leq l) \\ 0 & \text { for } \quad \xi=0,\end{cases}
$$

with ${ }^{\exists} \xi^{(i)} \in \Gamma_{i}$, we get from the hypotheses

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A(\xi)-A_{\varepsilon}(\xi)\right| \leq C_{6} \varepsilon|\xi| . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we apply Lemma 1 to each constant matrix $A\left(\xi^{(i)}\right)$. We can construct, for ${ }^{\exists} \eta \in(0,1]$, non-singular matrix $P_{i, \eta}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i, \eta} A\left(\xi^{(i)}\right) P_{i, \eta}^{-1}=\tilde{A}_{i}+R_{i, \eta} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{A}_{i}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\lambda_{1}\left(\xi^{(i)}\right), \lambda_{2}\left(\xi^{(i)}\right), \cdots, \lambda_{N}\left(\xi^{(i)}\right)\right\},\left|P_{i, \eta}\right| \leq C_{1},\left|P_{i, \eta}^{-1}\right| \leq C_{2} \eta^{1-N}$, $\left|R_{i, \eta}\right| \leq C_{3} \eta$. The constant $C_{3}$ depends on $\left|A\left(\xi^{(i)}\right)\right|$, however $C_{3}$ can be taken independently of $\xi$ since $\left|A\left(\xi^{(i)}\right)\right|$ is bounded for ${ }^{\forall} \xi^{(i)} \in \Gamma_{i}$.

Hence, multiplying the both sides of (14) by $|\xi|$ and putting

$$
P_{\eta}(\xi)= \begin{cases}P_{i, \eta} & \text { for } \quad \xi \neq 0, \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \in \Gamma_{i}(1 \leq i \leq l) \\ 0 & \text { for } \quad \xi=0\end{cases}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{A}(\xi)= \begin{cases}\tilde{A}_{i}|\xi| & \text { for } \quad \xi \neq 0, \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \in \Gamma_{i}(1 \leq i \leq l) \\
0 & \text { for } \quad \xi=0,\end{cases} \\
& R_{\eta}^{\prime}(\xi)= \begin{cases}R_{i, \eta}|\xi| & \text { for } \quad \xi \neq 0, \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \in \Gamma_{i}(1 \leq i \leq l) \\
0 & \text { for } \xi=0,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{\eta}(\xi) A(\xi) P_{\eta}(\xi)^{-1} & =P_{\eta}(\xi) A_{\varepsilon}(\xi) P_{\eta}(\xi)^{-1}+P_{\eta}(\xi)\left(A(\xi)-A_{\varepsilon}(\xi)\right) P_{\eta}(\xi)^{-1} \\
& =\tilde{A}(\xi)+R_{\eta}(\xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{A}(\xi)$ is Hermitian, and $P_{\eta}(\xi), P_{\eta}(\xi)^{-1}, R_{\eta}(\xi)$ satisfy that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|P_{\eta}(\xi)\right| \leq C_{1}, \quad\left|P_{\eta}(\xi)^{-1}\right| \leq \eta^{1-N} \\
&\left|R_{\eta}(\xi)\right|=\left|R_{\eta}^{\prime}(\xi)+P_{\eta}(\xi)\left(A(\xi)-A_{\varepsilon}(\xi)\right) P_{\eta}(\xi)^{-1}\right| \\
& \leq C_{3} \eta|\xi|+C_{1}\left|A(\xi)-A_{\varepsilon}(\xi)\right| C_{2} \eta^{1-N} \\
& \text { using (13) and taking } \varepsilon=\eta^{N}, \\
& \leq\left(C_{3}+C_{1} C_{2} C_{6}\right) \eta|\xi| \\
& \equiv C_{5} \eta|\xi| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we get (11), (12).
Lemma 3. Let $T>0, A(t, \xi)$ be a $N \times N$ matrix which has real eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}(t, \xi), \lambda_{2}(t, \xi), \cdots, \lambda_{N}(t, \xi)$ (allowing multiplicity), and is $\sigma$-Hölder continuous in $t \in[0, T]$, and continuous and homogeneous of degree one in $\xi \in \mathbf{R}_{\xi}^{n}$. Then for ${ }^{\forall} \eta \in$ $(0,1]$, there exists a non-singular matrix $P_{\eta}(t, \xi)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\eta}(t, \xi) A(t, \xi) P_{\eta}^{-1}(t, \xi)=\tilde{A}(t, \xi)+R_{\eta}(t, \xi) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{A}(t, \xi)$ is Hermitian, and $P_{\eta}(t, \xi), P_{\eta}^{-1}(t, \xi), R_{\eta}(t, \xi)$ satisfy that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|P_{\eta}(t, \xi)\right| \leq C_{1}, \quad\left|P_{\eta}(t, \xi)^{-1}\right| \leq C_{2} \eta^{1-N}, \quad\left|R_{\eta}(t, \xi)\right| \leq C_{7} \eta|\xi|  \tag{16}\\
\int_{0}^{t}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial s} P_{\eta}(s, \xi)\right| d s \leq 2 C_{1} t \eta^{-N / \sigma} \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\text { for }{ }^{\forall} t \in[0, T], \quad{ }^{\forall} \xi \in \mathbf{R}_{\xi}^{n} .
$$

Proof. Since $\xi \in \mathbf{R}_{\xi}^{n}$ is fixed to the end of the proof, we shall omit the letter $\xi$.

For any fixed $\tau>0$, we take a finite collection of disjoint intervals $I_{i}(1 \leq i \leq$ $l=[t / \tau]+1)$ of $[0, t]$ such that

$$
I_{i}= \begin{cases}{[(i-1) \tau, i \tau)} & \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq l-1 \\ {[[t / \tau] \tau, t]} & \text { for } i=l .\end{cases}
$$

Defining $A_{\tau}(t)=A\left(t^{(i)}\right)$ for $t \in I_{i}(1 \leq i \leq l)$ with ${ }^{\exists} t^{(i)} \in I_{i}$, we get from the hypothesis,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A(t)-A_{\tau}(t)\right| \leq C_{8} \tau^{\sigma}|\xi| . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now applying Lemma 2 to each matrix $A\left(t^{(i)}\right)$, we can get

$$
P_{i, \eta} A\left(t^{(i)}\right) P_{i, \eta}^{-1}=\tilde{A}_{i}+R_{i, \eta}
$$

where $\tilde{A}_{i}$ is Hermitian,

$$
\left|P_{i, \eta}\right| \leq C_{1}, \quad\left|P_{i, \eta}^{-1}\right| \leq C_{2} \eta^{1-N}, \quad\left|R_{i, \eta}\right| \leq C_{5} \eta|\xi| .
$$

Hence putting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\eta}(t)=P_{i, \eta} \quad \text { for } t \in I_{i} \quad(1 \leq i \leq l), \\
& \tilde{A}(t)=\tilde{A}_{i} \quad \text { for } t \in I_{i} \quad(1 \leq i \leq l), \\
& R_{\eta}^{\prime}(t)=R_{i, \eta} \quad \text { for } t \in I_{i} \quad(1 \leq i \leq l),
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\eta}(t) A(t) P_{\eta}(t)^{-1}=\tilde{A}(t)+R_{\eta}(t), \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{A}(t)$ is Hermitian, and

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|P_{\eta}(t)\right| \leq C_{1}, \quad\left|P_{\eta}(t)^{-1}\right| \leq C_{2} \eta^{1-N}  \tag{20}\\
&\left|R_{\eta}(t)\right|=\left|R_{\eta}^{\prime}(t)+P_{\eta}(t)\left(A(t)-A_{\tau}(t)\right) P_{\eta}(t)^{-1}\right| \\
& \leq C_{5} \eta|\xi|+C_{1}\left|A(t)-A_{\tau}(t)\right| C_{2} \eta^{1-N} \\
& \text { using (18) and taking } \tau=\eta^{N / \sigma}, \\
& \leq C_{7} \eta|\xi| .
\end{align*}
$$

By (19), (20) we get (15), (16).
It remains the estimate (17). For any fixed $\tau>0$, defining with delta function $\delta(t)$

$$
\delta_{i}(t)=\delta(t-i \tau) \quad \text { for } \quad 1 \leq i \leq l-1
$$

and noting that $P_{\eta}(t)$ is the piecewise constant function satisfying

$$
\left|P_{i, \eta}-P_{i-1, \eta}\right| \leq\left|P_{i, \eta}\right|+\left|P_{i-1, \eta}\right| \leq 2 C_{1} \quad(2 \leq i \leq l),
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial s} P_{\eta}(s)\right| d s & \leq \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} 2 C_{1} \delta_{i}(s) d s \\
& =2 C_{1}(l-1) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(s) d s \\
& =2 C_{1}\left[\frac{t}{\tau}\right] \leq 2 C_{1} \frac{t}{\tau}=2 C_{1} t \eta^{-N / \sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$

here we used $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(s) d s=1$ and $\tau=\eta^{N / \sigma}$. Hence we get (17).
Lemma 4. Let $T>0, A(t, \xi)$ be a $N \times N$ matrix which has real eigenvalues (allowing multiplicity), and is $\sigma$-Hölder continuous in $t \in[0, T]$, and continuous and homogeneous of degree one in $\xi \in \mathbf{R}_{\xi}^{n}$. Moreover assume that there exists a non-singular matrix $P(t, \xi)$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(t, \xi) A(t, \xi) P(t, \xi)^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{D_{1}, D_{2}, \cdots D_{k}\right\} \quad\left(1 \leq^{\exists} k \leq N\right) \\
|P(t, \xi)|+\left|P(t, \xi)^{-1}\right| \leq^{\exists} C,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $D_{j}(1 \leq j \leq k)$ are the triangular matrices whose diagonal components are real and whose sizes are $m_{j} \times m_{j}$. Then for ${ }^{\forall} \eta \in(0,1]$, there exists a non-singular matrix $P_{\eta}(t, \xi)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\eta}(t, \xi) A(t, \xi) P_{\eta}^{-1}(t, \xi)=\tilde{A}(t, \xi)+R_{\eta}(t, \xi) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{A}(t, \xi)$ is Hermitian, and $P_{\eta}(t, \xi), P_{\eta}^{-1}(t, \xi), R_{\eta}(t, \xi)$ satisfy that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|P_{\eta}(t, \xi)\right| \leq C_{9}, \quad\left|P_{\eta}(t, \xi)^{-1}\right| \leq C_{10} \eta^{1-N}, \quad\left|R_{\eta}(t, \xi)\right| \leq C_{11} \eta|\xi|  \tag{22}\\
\int_{0}^{t}\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial s} P_{\eta}(s, \xi)\right| d s \leq 2 C_{9} t \eta^{-r / \sigma}
\end{gather*}
$$

for ${ }^{\forall} t \in[0, T],{ }^{\forall} \xi \in \mathbf{R}_{\xi}^{n}$, where $r=\max _{1 \leq j \leq k} m_{j}$.
Proof. Since $\xi \in \mathbf{R}_{\xi}^{n}$ is fixed to the end of the proof, we shall omit the letter $\xi$. For $A(t)$ using again the disjoint intervals $I_{i}(1 \leq i \leq l)$ and $A_{\tau}(t)\left(=A\left(t^{(i)}\right)\right.$ for $t \in I_{i}$ with ${ }^{\exists} t^{(i)} \in I_{i}$ ) of Lemma 3, we get (18).

From the assumption, for each matrix $A\left(t^{(i)}\right)$, there exists a non-singular matrix $P_{i}$ such that

$$
P_{i} A\left(t^{(i)}\right) P_{i}^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{D_{1}^{(i)}, D_{2}^{(i)}, \cdots D_{k}^{(i)}\right\}\left(1 \leq^{\exists} k \leq N\right)
$$

$$
\left|P_{i}\right|+\left|P_{i}^{-1}\right| \leq^{\exists} C,
$$

where $D_{j}^{(i)}(1 \leq j \leq k)$ are the triangular matrices whose diagonal components are real and whose sizes are $m_{j} \times m_{j}$.

Defining

$$
Q_{\eta}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{1, \cdots, \eta^{m_{1}-1}, 1, \cdots, \eta^{m_{2}-1}, \cdots \cdots, 1, \cdots, \eta^{m_{k}-1}\right\}
$$

and putting $P_{i, \eta}=Q_{\eta} P_{i}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{i, \eta} A\left(t^{(i)}\right) P_{i, \eta}^{-1} & =Q_{\eta} P_{i} A\left(t^{(i)}\right) P_{i}^{-1} Q_{\eta}^{-1} \\
& =Q_{\eta} \operatorname{diag}\left\{D_{1}^{(i)}, D_{2}^{(i)}, \cdots, D_{k}^{(i)}\right\} Q_{\eta}^{-1} \\
& =\tilde{A}_{i}+R_{\eta}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{A}_{i}$ is Hermitian, and

$$
\left|P_{i, \eta}\right| \leq C_{9}, \quad\left|P_{i, \eta}^{-1}\right| \leq C_{10} \eta^{1-r}, \quad\left|R_{i, \eta}\right| \leq C_{12} \eta|\xi| .
$$

Hence we can connect the proof of Lemma 3 and get (21), (22).

## 3. Proof of Theorem

For the proof of Theorem for case 1, case 2, we use Lemma 3, Lemma 4, respectively. The defference of the result of each Lemma is only the meaning of the parameter $\mu$. Therefore it is sufficient to prove Theorem in the case 1 .

Assuming that $u$ is the solution of (1), we shall derive the energy estimates. By Fourier transform the system (1) can be changed to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} v=i A(t, \xi) v+B(t) v \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A(t, \xi)=\sum_{h=1}^{n} A_{h}(t) \xi_{h}$.
Furtheremore we shall change the system (23). With some function $\rho(t) \in$ $C^{1}([0, T])$ and some constant $\kappa \in(0,1]$, putting $w(t, \xi)=P_{\eta}(t, \xi) e^{\rho(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}} v(t, \xi)$, and multiplying the both sides of (22) by $P_{\eta}(t, \xi) e^{\rho(t)(\xi)^{\kappa}}$, we have the following.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{\rho(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}} P_{\eta}(t, \xi) \partial_{t}\left\{e^{-\rho(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}} P_{\eta}(t, \xi)^{-1} w(t, \xi)\right\} \\
&= i e^{\rho(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}} P_{\eta}(t, \xi) A(t, \xi) e^{-\rho(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}} P_{\eta}(t, \xi)^{-1} w(t, \xi) \\
&+e^{\rho(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}} P_{\eta}(t, \xi) B(t) e^{-\rho(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}} P_{\eta}(t, \xi)^{-1} w(t, \xi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we obtain
the left side

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & e^{\rho(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}} P_{\eta}(t, \xi)\left(-\rho^{\prime}(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}\right) e^{-\rho(t)\langle\xi)_{\nu}^{\kappa}} P_{\eta}(t, \xi)^{-1} w(t, \xi) \\
& \quad+e^{\rho(t)\langle\xi)_{\nu}^{\kappa}} P_{\eta}(t, \xi) e^{-\rho(t)\langle\xi)_{\nu}^{\kappa}} \partial_{t}\left\{P_{\eta}(t, \xi)^{-1} w(t, \xi)\right\} \\
=-\rho^{\prime}(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa} w(t, \xi) & +P_{\eta}(t, \xi) \partial_{t}\left\{P_{\eta}(t, \xi)^{-1} w(t, \xi)\right\} \\
=-\rho^{\prime}(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa} w(t, \xi) & +\partial_{t}\left\{P_{\eta}(t, \xi) P_{\eta}(t, \xi)^{-1} w(t, \xi)\right\} \\
& \quad-\left\{\partial_{t} P_{\eta}(t, \xi)\right\}\left\{P_{\eta}(t, \xi)^{-1} w(t, \xi)\right\} \\
= & -\rho^{\prime}(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa} w(t, \xi)+\partial_{t} w(t, \xi)-\left\{\partial_{t} P_{\eta}(t, \xi)\right\}\left\{P_{\eta}(t, \xi)^{-1} w(t, \xi)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

While by Lemma 3 we obtain
the right side

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =i P_{\eta}(t, \xi) A(t, \xi) P_{\eta}(t, \xi)^{-1} w(t, \xi)+P_{\eta}(t, \xi) B(t, \xi) P_{\eta}(t, \xi)^{-1} w(t, \xi) \\
& =i \tilde{A}(t, \xi) w(t, \xi)+i R_{\eta}(t, \xi) w(t, \xi)+B(t, \xi) w(t, \xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B(t, \xi)=P_{\eta}(t, \xi) B(t) P_{\eta}(t, \xi)^{-1}$.
Thus we get the system

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} w(t, \xi)= & i \tilde{A}(t, \xi) w(t, \xi)+i R_{\eta}(t, \xi) w(t, \xi)+\rho^{\prime}(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa} w(t, \xi)  \tag{24}\\
& +\left\{\partial_{t} P_{\eta}(t, \xi)\right\}\left\{P_{\eta}(t, \xi)^{-1} w(t, \xi)\right\}+B(t, \xi) w(t, \xi)
\end{align*}
$$

Hence we shall derive the energy estimate. Noting that $\tilde{A}(t, \xi)$ is Hermitian, by (16) we get the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t}|w(t, \xi)|^{2} & =2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\partial_{t} w(t, \xi), w(t, \xi)\right)  \tag{25}\\
& =2 \operatorname{Re}\left(i R_{\eta} w+\rho^{\prime}\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa} w+\partial_{t} P_{\eta} \cdot P_{\eta}^{-1} w+B w, w\right) \\
& \leq 2\left(C_{7} \eta|\xi|+\rho^{\prime}\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}+C_{2}\left|\partial_{t} P_{\eta}\right| \eta^{1-N}+C_{1} C_{2} C_{13} \eta^{1-N}\right)|w|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{13}=\max _{0 \leq t \leq T}|B(t)|$.
Writing the left side of (25) as

$$
\frac{d}{d t}|w(t, \xi)|^{2}=2|w(t, \xi)| \frac{d}{d t}|w(t, \xi)|
$$

and deviding the both sides of (25) by $2|w(t, \xi)|$, we get the estimate
$\frac{d}{d t}|w(t, \xi)| \leq\left(C_{7} \eta|\xi|+\rho^{\prime}(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}+C_{2}\left|\partial_{t} P_{\eta}(t, \xi)\right| \eta^{1-N}+C_{1} C_{2} C_{13} \eta^{1-N}\right)|w(t, \xi)|$.
Moreover by Gronwall's inequality and (17), we get the estimate

$$
|w(t, \xi)| \leq|w(0, \xi)| \exp \left\{\int _ { 0 } ^ { t } \left(C_{7} \eta|\xi|+\rho^{\prime}(s)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}\right.\right.
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\left.+C_{2}\left|\partial_{s} P_{\eta}(s, \xi)\right| \eta^{1-N}+C_{1} C_{2} C_{13} t \eta^{1-N}\right) d s\right\} \\
\leq|w(0, \xi)| \exp \left\{C_{7} t \eta|\xi|+\rho(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}-\rho(0)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}\right. \\
\left.+2 C_{1} C_{2} t \eta^{1-N\left(1+\sigma^{-1}\right)}+C_{1} C_{2} C_{13} t \eta^{1-N}\right\} \\
\leq C_{14}|w(0, \xi)| \exp \left\{\rho^{\prime}(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}-\rho(0)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}\right. \\
\left.+t\left(C_{7} \eta|\xi|+3 C_{1} C_{2} \eta^{1-N\left(1+\sigma^{-1}\right)}\right)\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

where $C_{14}=\exp \left\{p^{-1} q^{1-p} C_{1} C_{2} C_{13}^{p} T\right\}$. Here we used

$$
a b \leq \frac{1}{p} a^{p}+\frac{1}{q} b^{q} \quad\left(1<p, q<\infty, \quad \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1\right)
$$

and supposing $p \leq 1+(N-1) /\left(N \sigma^{-1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp \left\{C_{1} C_{2} C_{13} t \eta^{1-N}\right\}= \exp \left\{\left\{C_{13}^{1 / q} q^{-1 / q}\left(C_{1} C_{2} C_{13} t \eta^{1-N}\right)^{1 / p} \eta^{1 / q N \sigma^{-1}}\right\}\right. \\
&\left.\times\left\{C_{13}^{-1 / q} q^{1 / q}\left(C_{1} C_{2} C_{13} t \eta^{1-N}\right)^{1 / q} \eta^{-1 / q N \sigma^{-1}}\right\}\right\} \\
& \leq \exp \left\{\frac{1}{p}\{\text { the first factor }\}^{p}+\frac{1}{q}\{\text { the second factor }\}^{q}\right\} \\
&= \exp \left\{p^{-1} C_{13}^{p-1} q^{1-p} C_{1} C_{2} C_{13} t \eta^{1-N+(p-1) N \sigma^{-1}}\right\} \\
& \quad \times \exp \left\{C_{1} C_{2} t \eta^{1-N\left(1+\sigma^{-1}\right)}\right\} \\
&< \exp \left\{p^{-1} q^{1-p} C_{1} C_{2} C_{13}^{p} T \eta^{0}\right\} \exp \left\{C_{1} C_{2} t \eta^{1-N\left(1+\sigma^{-1}\right)}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0<\kappa_{0}=\frac{N\left(1+\sigma^{-1}\right)-1}{N\left(1+\sigma^{-1}\right)}<\kappa \leq 1, \quad \eta=\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{-1+\kappa_{0}} \leq 1  \tag{26}\\
&|w(t, \xi)| \leq C_{14}|w(0, \xi)| \exp \left\{\rho(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}-\rho(0)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}\right. \\
&\left.\quad+t\left(C_{7}\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{-1+\kappa_{0}}|\xi|+3 C_{1} C_{2}\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\left(-1+\kappa_{0}\right)\left(1-N\left(1+\sigma^{-1}\right)\right)}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq C_{14}|w(0, \xi)| \exp \left\{\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}(\rho(t)-\rho(0))\right. \\
&\left.\left.+t\left(C_{7}\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa_{0}-\kappa}+3 C_{1} C_{2}\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa_{0}-\kappa}\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{-1+N\left(1+\sigma^{-1}\right)-\kappa_{0} N\left(1+\sigma^{-1}\right)}\right)\right)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

using $\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa_{0}-\kappa} \leq \nu^{\kappa_{0}-\kappa}$ and $\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{-1+N\left(1+\sigma^{-1}\right)-\kappa_{0} N\left(1+\sigma^{-1}\right)}=\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{0}=1$,

$$
\leq C_{14}|w(0, \xi)| \exp \left\{\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}\left(\rho(t)-\rho(0)+C_{15} \nu^{\kappa_{0}-\kappa} t\right)\right\}
$$

where $C_{15}=C_{7}+3 C_{1} C_{2}$.
Here if we choose $\rho(t)$ such that in $[0, T]$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho(t)-\rho(0)+C_{15} \nu^{\kappa_{0}-\kappa} t=0 \\
\rho(0)=\tilde{\rho}_{0}, \\
i . e .,
\end{array}\right. \\
\rho(t)=\tilde{\rho}_{0}-C_{15} \nu^{\kappa_{0}-\kappa} t \quad(t \in[0, T]), \tag{27}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\tilde{\rho}_{0}=\omega \rho_{0}+(1-\omega) \rho_{1}$ for $0<{ }^{\forall} \omega<1,0<{ }^{\forall} \rho_{1}<\rho_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|w(t, \xi)| \leq C_{14}|w(0, \xi)| . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Noting that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|w(0, \xi)| & =\left|P_{\eta}(0, \xi) e^{\rho(0)\left\langle(\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}\right.} v(0, \xi)\right| \\
& \leq C_{1} e^{\rho_{0}(\xi)_{\nu}^{\kappa}} v(0, \xi) \mid \\
|v(t, \xi)| & =\left|e^{-\rho(t)\langle\xi)_{\nu}^{\kappa}} P_{\eta}(t, \xi)^{-1} w(t, \xi)\right| \\
& \leq C_{2} \eta^{1-N} e^{-\rho(t)\left\langle(\xi)_{\nu}^{\kappa}\right.}|w(t, \xi)| \\
& =C_{2}\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\left(-1+\kappa_{0}\right)(1-N)} e^{-\rho(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}}|w(t, \xi)|,
\end{aligned}
$$

(28) is changed to the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\rho(t)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}}|v(t, \xi)| \leq C_{1} C_{2} C_{14}\langle\xi\rangle^{\left(1-\kappa_{0}\right)(N-1)} e^{\bar{\rho}_{0}\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}}|v(0, \xi)| . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

It holds generally that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-x} \leq n!x^{-n} \quad \text { for } \quad x>0, \quad n=\left[\frac{\left(1-\kappa_{0}\right)(N-1)}{\kappa}\right] \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $\nu_{1} \geq \nu_{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu_{1}}^{\kappa}-\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu_{2}}^{\kappa} & =\left.\left(\nu_{1}-\nu_{2}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \partial_{\nu}\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}\right|_{\nu=\nu_{2}+\theta\left(\nu_{1}-\nu_{2}\right)} d \theta  \tag{31}\\
& =\left(\nu_{1}-\nu_{2}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \kappa\left(\nu_{2}+\theta\left(\nu_{1}-\nu_{2}\right)\right)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu_{2}+\theta\left(\nu_{1}-\nu_{2}\right)}^{\kappa-2} d \theta \\
& =\kappa\left(\nu_{1}-\nu_{2}\right) \nu_{1} \nu_{2}^{\kappa-2} \leq \kappa \nu_{1}^{2} \nu_{2}^{\kappa-2} .
\end{align*}
$$

If we put $\nu=\left(C_{15} T /\left\{\omega\left(\rho_{0}-\rho_{1}\right)\right\}\right)^{1 /\left(\kappa-\kappa_{0}\right)}$ and take $0<\omega<\min \left\{1, C_{15} T /\left\{\left(\rho_{0}\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.-\rho_{1}\right) \nu_{0}^{\kappa-\kappa_{0}}\right\}\right\}$, we get $\nu>\nu_{0}$. Hence by (30), (31) the right side of (29) is changed to
(32) the right side of $(29) \leq C_{1} C_{2} C_{14}\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\left(1-\kappa_{0}\right)(N-1)} e^{-\left(\rho_{0}-\tilde{\rho}_{0}\right)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}}$

$$
\times e^{\rho_{0}\left(\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}-\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu_{0}}^{\kappa}\right)} e^{\rho_{0}\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu_{0}}^{\kappa}}|v(0, \xi)|
$$

$$
\leq C_{1} C_{2} C_{14}\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\left(1-\kappa_{0}\right)(N-1)} n!\left\{\left(\rho_{0}-\tilde{\rho}_{0}\right)\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}\right\}^{-n}
$$

$$
\times e^{\rho_{0} \kappa \nu^{2} \nu_{0}^{\kappa-2}} e^{\rho_{0}\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu_{0}}^{\kappa}}|v(0, \xi)|
$$

$$
\leq C_{1} C_{2} C_{14} n!\left\{(1-\omega)\left(\rho_{0}-\rho_{1}\right)\right\}^{-n}
$$

$$
\times e^{\rho_{0} \kappa\left(C_{15} T /\left(\omega\left(\rho_{0}-\rho_{1}\right)\right)\right)^{1 /\left(\kappa-\kappa_{0}\right)} \nu_{0}^{\kappa-2}} e^{\rho_{0}\langle\xi\rangle_{0}^{\kappa}}|v(0, \xi)|
$$

While, noting that

$$
\rho(t) \geq \rho(T)=\tilde{\rho}_{0}-C_{15} \nu^{\kappa_{0}-\kappa} T
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\omega \rho_{0}-(1-\omega) \rho_{1}-C_{15}\left\{\left(\frac{C_{15} T}{\omega\left(\rho_{0}-\rho_{1}\right)}\right)^{1 /\left(\kappa-\kappa_{0}\right)}\right\}^{\kappa_{0}-\kappa} T \\
& =\rho_{1} \quad \text { for } \quad{ }^{\forall} t \in[0, T],
\end{aligned}
$$

the left side of (29) is changed to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { the left side of (29) } \geq e^{\rho_{1}\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}}|v(t, \xi)| \text {. } \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by (29), (32), (33) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{\rho_{1}\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}}|v(t, \xi)| \leq & C_{1} C_{2} C_{14} n!\left\{(1-\omega)\left(\rho_{0}-\rho_{1}\right)\right\}^{-n}  \tag{34}\\
& \times e^{\rho_{0} \kappa\left(C_{15} T /\left(\omega\left(\rho_{0}-\rho_{1}\right)\right)\right)^{1 /\left(\kappa-\kappa_{0}\right)} \nu_{0}^{\kappa-2}} e^{\rho_{0}\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu_{0}}^{\kappa}}|v(0, \xi)| \\
\leq & \text { const. } e^{\rho_{0}\langle\xi\rangle_{\nu_{0}}^{\kappa}}|v(0, \xi)| \text { for } \quad{ }^{\forall} t \in[0, T],{ }^{\forall} \xi \in \mathbf{R}_{\xi}^{n},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho_{1}$ and $\kappa$ satisfy

$$
0<\rho_{1}<\rho_{0}, \quad \frac{N\left(1+\sigma^{-1}\right)-1}{N\left(1+\sigma^{-1}\right)}<\kappa \leq 1
$$

respectively from (26), (27). This implies (4) and (5) of the case 1.
From (34) we have the following energy inqualities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\|_{L_{\rho_{1}, \kappa, \nu}^{2}} \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L_{\rho_{0}, \kappa, \nu_{0}}^{2}} \quad \text { for } \quad{ }^{\forall} t \in[0, T] \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\partial_{t} u(t)\right\|_{L_{\rho_{1}, \kappa, \nu}^{2}} & \leq C\|\langle D\rangle u(t)\|_{L_{\rho_{1}, \kappa, \nu}^{2}} \\
& \leq C\|u(t)\|_{L_{\rho_{2}, \kappa, \nu}^{2}} \quad\left(\rho_{1}<^{\forall} \rho_{2}<\rho_{0}\right) \\
& \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L_{\rho_{0}, \kappa, \nu_{0}}^{2}} \quad \text { for } \quad{ }^{\forall} t \in[0, T] .
\end{aligned}
$$

To show the existence of solutions for system (1), we consider the following system in $[0, T] \times \mathbf{R}_{x}^{n}$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u_{l}=\sum_{h=1}^{n} A_{h}(t) i l \sin \left(D_{h} / l\right) u_{l}+B(t) u_{l}  \tag{36}\\
u_{l}(0, x)=u_{0}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here we remark that $\zeta_{l}(\xi)=\left(l \sin \left(\xi_{1} / l\right), \cdots, l \sin \left(\xi_{n} / l\right)\right)$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\text { i) } & \zeta_{l}(\xi) \rightarrow \xi \quad(l \rightarrow \infty) \\
\text { ii) } & \left|\zeta_{l}(\xi)\right| \leq|\xi| \\
\text { iii) } & \left|\zeta_{l}^{(\alpha)}(\xi)\right| \leq C_{\alpha}\left\langle\zeta_{l}(\xi)\right\rangle^{1-|\alpha|}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Since $i l \sin \left(D_{h} / l\right)(h=1,2, \cdots, n)$ belong to $O P S^{0}$ for any fixed $l$, $\sum_{h} i l \sin \left(D_{h} / l\right)$ is a bounded linear operator on $L_{\rho_{1}, \kappa, \nu}^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. Thus the solvability and uniqueness of (36) is elementary.

With the same methods, we can get the analogous estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|e^{\rho_{1}\left\langle\zeta_{l}(D)\right\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}} u_{l}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}} & \leq C\left\|e^{\rho_{0}\left\langle\zeta_{l}(D)\right\rangle_{\nu_{0}}^{\kappa}} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}  \tag{37}\\
& \leq C\left\|e^{\rho_{0}\langle D\rangle_{\nu_{0}}^{\kappa}} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}=C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L_{\rho_{0}, \kappa, \nu_{0}}^{2}} \\
\left\|e^{\rho_{1}\left\langle\zeta_{l}(D)\right\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}} \partial u_{l}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}} & \leq C\left\|e^{\rho_{0}\left\langle\zeta_{l}(D)\right\rangle_{\nu_{0}}^{\kappa}} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq C\left\|e^{\rho_{0}\langle D\rangle_{\nu_{0}}^{\kappa}} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}=C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L_{\rho_{0}, \kappa, \nu_{0}}^{2}}  \tag{38}\\
& \text { for } \quad{ }^{\forall} t \in[0, T] .
\end{align*}
$$

Futhermore by (38) it holds that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|e^{\rho_{1}\left(\zeta_{l}(D)\right\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}}\left(u_{l}(t)-u_{l}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\|_{L^{2}} & \leq \int_{t^{\prime}}^{t}\left\|e^{\rho_{1}\left\langle\zeta_{l}(D)\right\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}} \partial_{t} u_{l}(\tau)\right\|_{L^{2}} d \tau  \tag{39}\\
& \leq C\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L_{\rho_{0}, \kappa, \nu_{0}}^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

From (37) and (39), we find that the sequence $\left\{e^{\rho_{1}\left\langle\zeta_{l}(D)\right\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}} u_{l}(t)\right\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded in $L_{2}$ and has a weak limit $e^{\rho_{1}\langle D\rangle_{\nu}^{\kappa}} u(t)$ which is also a solution of (1) and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u(t)-u\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L_{\rho_{1}, \kappa, \nu}^{2}} \leq C\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L_{\rho_{0}, \kappa, \nu_{0}}^{2}} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

we also get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\langle D\rangle\left(u(t)-u\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\|_{L_{\rho_{1}, \kappa, \nu}^{2}} & \leq\left\|u(t)-u\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L_{\rho_{2}, \kappa, \nu}^{2}} \quad\left(\rho_{1}<\rho_{2}<\rho_{0}\right)  \tag{41}\\
& \leq C\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L_{\rho_{0}, \kappa, \nu_{0}}^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

By (40), (41) we can see $u(t), \partial_{h} u(t) \in C^{0}\left([0, T], L_{\rho_{1}, \kappa, \nu}^{2}\right)$. Thus by (1) we find $u(t) \in C^{1}\left([0, T], L_{\rho_{1}, \kappa, \nu}^{2}\right)$.

This concludes the proof of Theorem under the case 1 . Theorem under case 2 also can be proved quite similarly.

## Appendix

We shall show that the Ex 1 is included by case 2 and $\mu$ is equals to the maximal multiplicity of the eigenvalues of $A_{h}(t) \xi_{h}$, i.e., $\mu=\max _{1 \leq i \leq k} m_{i}$. Since the multiplicty of the eigenvalues is constant, it is sufficient to consider the constant matrix $A$. Moreover for the simplicity we may suppose that the $N \times N$ matrix $A$ has two distinct real eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ whose multiplicity are $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ respectively. Then
similarly as Lemma 1, we can get a non-singular matrix $P$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
P A P^{-1}=\tilde{A}+R & =\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\lambda_{1} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
a_{2,1} & \lambda_{1} & \ddots & & & & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \vdots \\
a_{m_{1}, 1} & \cdots & a_{m_{1}, m_{1}-1} & \lambda_{1} & \ddots & & \vdots \\
a_{m_{1}+1,1} & \cdots & \cdots & a_{m_{1}+1, m_{1}} & \lambda_{2} & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & & & & & \ddots & 0 \\
a_{N, 1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & a_{N, N-1} & \lambda_{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D_{1} & 0 \\
E & D_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As it is well known, if $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ have no eigenvalues in common, the matrix equation $D_{2} X-X D_{1}=E$ has a unique solution $X$ (see [14]). Hence putting $\tilde{P}=$ $\left(\begin{array}{ll}I & 0 \\ X & I\end{array}\right) P$, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{P} A \tilde{P}^{-1} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
X & I
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D_{1} & 0 \\
E & D_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
-X & I
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
D_{1} & 0 \\
X D_{1}-D_{2} X+E & D_{2}
\end{array}\right)=\operatorname{diag}\left\{D_{1}, D_{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we can easily see that $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are the triangular matrices whose sizes are $m_{1} \times m_{1}$ and $m_{2} \times m_{2}$ respectively. Therefore $\mu$ is equals to the maximal multiplicity of the eigenvalues of $A$.
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