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#### Abstract

Let $\Phi$ be an element of the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ of genus $g(\geq 2)$ such that $\Phi$ is the isotopy class of a pseudo periodic map of negative twists. It is expected that, for each $\Phi$ which commutes with a hyperelliptic involution, there exists a hyperelliptic family whose monodromy is the conjugacy class of $\Phi$ in the mapping class group. In this paper, we give a partial solution for the conjecture in the case where $\Phi$ is a semistable element.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\phi: S \rightarrow \Delta$ be a proper surjective holomorphic map from a nonsingular complex surface $S$ to a small disk $\Delta:=\{t \in \mathbf{C}| | t \mid<\varepsilon\}$ such that $\phi^{-1}(t)$ is a nonsingular curve of genus $g \geq 2$ for each $t \in \Delta^{*}:=\Delta \backslash\{0\}$. We call $(\phi, S, \Delta)$ a degeneration of curves or a family of curves of genus $g$. If all $\phi^{-1}(t)\left(t \in \Delta^{*}\right)$ are hyperelliptic curves, we call $(\phi, S, \Delta)$ a hyperelliptic family. We call $\phi^{-1}(0)$ the special fiber of $S$. Two degenerations $(\phi, S, \Delta)$ and $\left(\phi^{\prime}, S^{\prime}, \Delta^{\prime}\right)$ are said to be topologically equivalent if there exist orientation preserving homeomorphisms $\psi: S \rightarrow S^{\prime}$ and $\bar{\psi}: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta^{\prime}$ which satisfy $\phi^{\prime} \circ \psi=\bar{\psi} \circ \phi$. For a topological equivalence class of a degeneration, we can uniquely determine the topological monodromy (called the monodromy, for short) as the conjugacy class of the isotopy class of a pseudo periodic map of negative twists in the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ of genus $g$ (cf. [3]).

Let $\Sigma_{g}$ be a compact real surface of genus $g$ without boundary. It is well-known fact that $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ is generated by Dehn twists at simple closed curves on $\Sigma_{g}$ (cf. [2]). We denote by $D_{C_{i}}^{n_{i}}$ the $n_{i}$-times right hand Dehn twists at a simple closed curve $C_{i}$ on $\Sigma_{g}$. An involution $I$ of $\Sigma_{g}$ is called a hyperelliptic involution if it has $2 g+2$ fixed points. We call $\Phi$ a hyperelliptic element with $I$ if there exists a homeomorphism $\widetilde{\Phi}$ whose isotopy class is $\Phi$ satisfying $I \circ \widetilde{\Phi}=\widetilde{\Phi} \circ I$ as map. We denote by [ $\Phi$ ] the conjugacy class of $\Phi$ in $\mathcal{M}_{g}$. An element $\Phi$ of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ is called semistable if there exists a disjoint union of simple closed curves $\mathcal{C}:=\left\{C_{i}\right\}_{i=1,2, \ldots, r}$ and positive integers $\left\{n_{i}\right\}_{i=1,2, \ldots, r}$ satisfying $\Phi=D_{C_{1}}^{n_{1}} \cdots D_{C_{r}}^{n_{r}}$. We call $\mathcal{C}$ an admissible system of $\Phi$ if any two simple closed curves are not homotopic to each other.

[^0]Let $\Phi$ be the isotopy class of a pseudo-periodic map of negative twists. It is expected that if $\Phi$ is a hyperelliptic element, there exists a hyperelliptic family of curves of genus $g$ with monodromy $[\Phi]$. In this paper, we give a partial solution for the conjecture in the case where $\Phi$ is a semistable element. Thus, our main theorem is the following;

Theorem 1.1. Let $\Phi$ be a hyperelliptic semistable element. Then, there exists a hyperelliptic family with monodromy [ $\Phi$ ].

To prove Theorem 1.1, for each $\Phi$, we construct a hyperelliptic family using a double covering of $\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \Delta$. Thus, for each hyperelliptic semistable element $\Phi$, we give not only the proof of the existence but also an algorithm to construct a hyperelliptic family with monodromy [ $\Phi$ ].

## 2. Hyperelliptic semistable monodromy

Let $\langle I\rangle$ be the cyclic group generated by a hyperelliptic involution $I$. We denote by $\Pi: \Sigma_{g} \rightarrow \Sigma_{g} /\langle I\rangle \simeq S^{2}$ the canonical projection from $\Sigma_{g}$ to the quotient of $\Sigma_{g}$ by $\langle I\rangle$. Let $\mathcal{P}:=\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{2 g+2}\right\}$ be the set of the branch points of $\Pi$. To prove the main proposition (Lemma 2.4) in this section, we need to observe simple closed curves on $\Sigma_{g}$ and their images on $\Sigma_{g} /\langle I\rangle$ by $\Pi$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\Phi=D_{C_{1}}^{n_{1}} \cdots D_{C_{r}}^{n_{r}}$ be a hyperelliptic semistable element with $I$, where $\left\{C_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, r}$ is an admissible system of $\Phi$. Then, for each $i$, there exists $j(1 \leq$ $j \leq r)$ such that $I\left(C_{i}\right)$ is homotopic to $C_{j}$ with $n_{i}=n_{j}$.

Proof. Let $\widetilde{D}_{C}$ and $\widetilde{D}_{I(C)}$ be homeomorphisms whose isotopy classes are $D_{C}$ and $D_{I(C)}$, respectively. Since $I$ is homeomorphism of $\Sigma_{g}$, we see that $I \circ \widetilde{D}_{C}$ is isotopic to $\widetilde{D}_{I(C)} \circ I$ (cf. [2], Lemma 1). Since $\Phi$ is a hyperelliptic element, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{I\left(C_{1}\right)}^{n_{1}} \cdots D_{I\left(C_{r}\right)}^{n_{r}}=D_{C_{1}}^{n_{1}} \cdots D_{C_{r}}^{n_{r}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $U_{C_{i}}$ be a small annular open neighbourhood of $C_{i}$ such that $U_{C_{i}} \cap U_{C_{j}}=\emptyset$ for all $i, j$. Note that there exists a homeomorphism whose isotopy class is $\Phi$ such that the restriction to $\Sigma_{g} \backslash\left\{\bigcup U_{C_{i}}\right\}$ is the identity. Thus from the equation (1), we see that each $I\left(C_{i}\right)$ does not intersect properly some $C_{j}$. So, $I\left(C_{i}\right)$ is homotopic to some $C_{j}$ with $n_{i}=n_{j}$ or $I\left(C_{i}\right)$ is homotopic to a curve contained in $\Sigma_{g} \backslash\left\{\bigcup U_{C_{i}}\right\}$. In the latter case, the restriction map of any homeomorphisms in the isotopy class of $D_{I\left(C_{1}\right)}^{n_{1}} \cdots D_{I\left(C_{r}\right)}^{n_{r}}$ to $\Sigma_{g} \backslash\left\{\bigcup U_{C_{i}}\right\}$ are not identity, a contradiction.

Let $c:[0,1] \rightarrow \Sigma_{g}$ be a simple closed curve on $\Sigma_{g}$ such that the curve $\Pi_{\circ} c$ is the composite $c_{2} \circ c_{1}$ of curves $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, where $c_{1}:[0,1] \rightarrow S^{2}$ is a simple closed curve rounding only one branch point $P_{i}$. Taking another curve homotopic to $c$ if necessary,
we may assume that $\Pi \circ c$ intersects itself transversally at the initial point of $c_{1}$. We also assume that any branch points are not on $\Pi \circ c$. We denote by $D_{i}$ the disk with boundary $c_{1}$ that contains $P_{i}$ in its inside.

Lemma 2.2. Notation is as above. There exists a simple closed curve $\widetilde{c}$ homotopic to $c$ satisfying $\Pi \circ \tilde{c}=c_{2} \circ c_{1}^{-1}$. Then, any simple closed curve on $\Sigma_{g}$ is homotopic to a lift of a curve on $S^{2}$ which has no subloop rounding only one branch point of $\Pi$.

Proof. Let $\overline{c_{1}}$ and $\overline{c_{2}}$ be curves on $\Sigma_{g}$ such that $\Pi \circ \overline{c_{i}}=c_{i}(i=1,2)$ and the composite $\overline{c_{2}} \circ \overline{c_{1}}$ is $c$. Since $c_{1}$ rounds only one branch point $P_{i}, \Pi^{-1}\left(D_{i}\right)$ is a disk on $\Sigma_{g}$. Thus, there exists a curve $\widetilde{c_{1}}$ on $\Sigma_{g}$ such that $\Pi \circ \widetilde{c_{1}}=c_{1}$ and the composite $\bar{c}_{1} \circ \widetilde{c_{1}}$ is the boundary of $\Pi^{-1}\left(D_{i}\right)$. Then, we see that the curve $\widetilde{c}:=\overline{c_{2}} \circ \widetilde{c_{1}}{ }^{-1}$ is homotopic to $c$ and $\Pi \circ \tilde{c}=c_{2} \circ c_{1}^{-1}$. Since the configuration of $\Pi \circ \tilde{c}$ near $P_{i}$ is as shown in Fig. 1 (1), we can find a curve on $S^{2}$ homotopic to $c_{2} \circ c_{1}^{-1}$ such that it does not have a subloop rounding only $P_{i}$ (see, Fig. 1 (2)).

Remark 2.3. Assume that $c$ is a curve on $\Sigma_{g}$ such that the configuration of $\Pi \circ c$ near $P_{i}$ is as shown in Fig. 1 (3). Then $c$ is not a simple closed curve on $\Sigma_{g}$.

Let $\Phi$ be a hyperelliptic semistable element with $I$. For each simple closed curve $C_{i}$ in an admissible system of $\Phi$, we denote it by $\vec{C}_{i}$ when we emphasize its orientation. By Lemma 2.1, we can classify the curves in an admissible system into the following three types;
(Type A') $I\left(\vec{C}_{i}\right)$ is homotopic to $\overrightarrow{C_{i}^{-1}}$.
(Type $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ ) $I\left(\vec{C}_{i}\right)$ is homotopic to $\vec{C}_{i}$.
(Type $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ ) There exists $j(\neq i)$ such that $I\left(\vec{C}_{i}\right)$ is homotopic to $\vec{C}_{j}$ or $\overrightarrow{C_{j}^{-1}}$.
We consider the case where $I\left(C_{i}\right) \neq C_{i}$ and $I\left(C_{i}\right) \cap C_{i} \neq \emptyset$. We may assume that $I\left(C_{i}\right)$ intersects $C_{i}$ transversally and there exist no branch points of $\Pi$ on $\Pi\left(C_{i}\right)$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.2, we may assume that $\Pi\left(C_{i}\right)$ does not have a subloop rounding only one branch point of $\Pi$. Let $\overrightarrow{\zeta_{1}}$ be an oriented subcurve of $\overrightarrow{C_{i}}$ such that $\overrightarrow{\zeta_{1}} \cap$ $I\left(\vec{C}_{i}\right)=\left\{Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right\}$, where $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ are the initial and end points of $\overrightarrow{\zeta_{1}}$, respectively. We assume that there exists a subcurve $\overrightarrow{\zeta_{2}}$ of $I\left(C_{i}\right)$ (or $I\left(C_{i}\right)^{-1}$ ) such that the composite $\overrightarrow{\zeta_{2}} \circ \overrightarrow{\zeta_{1}}$ is homotopic to zero and $\overrightarrow{\zeta_{1}} \cap \overrightarrow{\zeta_{2}}=\left\{Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{D}_{Q_{1} Q_{2}}$ the disk on $\Sigma_{g}$ with boundary $\overrightarrow{\zeta_{2}} \circ \overrightarrow{\zeta_{1}}$. If $I\left(Q_{1}\right) \neq Q_{2}$, then $I\left(\mathcal{D}_{Q_{1} Q_{2}}\right) \cap \mathcal{D}_{Q_{1} Q_{2}}=\emptyset$. Thus, we see that $\Pi\left(\mathcal{D}_{Q_{1} Q_{2}}\right)$ is a disk on $S^{2}$ containing no branch points and the configuration of $\Pi\left(C_{i}\right)$ near $\Pi\left(Q_{1}\right)$ and $\Pi\left(Q_{2}\right)$ is as shown in Fig. 2 (1). A lift $\widetilde{C}_{i}$ of $\Pi\left(C_{i}\right)^{\prime}$ as shown in Fig. $2(2)$ is homotopic to $C_{i}$. Replacing $C_{i}$ to $\widetilde{C}_{i}$, we can obtain more simpler admissible system. Repeating this, we may assume that $I\left(Q_{1}\right)=Q_{2}$.

Moreover, by Lemma 2.2, we may assume that $I\left(\overrightarrow{\zeta_{1}}\right) \neq \overrightarrow{\zeta_{2}}$. Let $\overrightarrow{\zeta_{1}^{c}}$ be the subcurve of $\vec{C}_{i}$ satisfying $\overrightarrow{\zeta_{1}^{c}} \circ \overrightarrow{\zeta_{1}}=\overrightarrow{C_{i}}$. Since $I\left(\overrightarrow{\zeta_{1}}\right) \neq \overrightarrow{\zeta_{2}}$, we see that $\overrightarrow{\zeta_{2}^{-1}}=I\left(\overrightarrow{\zeta_{1}^{c}}\right)$, namely, $I\left(\overrightarrow{\zeta_{1}^{c}}\right)$ is homotopic to $\overrightarrow{\zeta_{1}}$. Thus, $\overrightarrow{\zeta_{1}^{c}}$ is homotopic to $I\left(\overrightarrow{\zeta_{1}}\right)$. Set $C_{i}^{\prime}:=I\left(\overrightarrow{\zeta_{1}}\right) \circ \overrightarrow{\zeta_{1}}$. By the argument above, we see that $C_{i}^{\prime}$ is homotopic to $C_{i}$ and $I\left(\vec{C}_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\vec{C}_{i}^{\prime}$. In this case, $C_{i}^{\prime}$ is of Type $B^{\prime}$. Repeating this process, we obtain a new admissible system $\left\{C_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ satisfying $C_{i} \cap I\left(C_{i}\right)=\emptyset$ or $C_{i}=I\left(C_{i}\right)$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\Phi$ be a hyperelliptic semistable element with I. Then, we can find an admissible system $\left\{C_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, r}$ of $\Phi$ such that each $C_{i}$ satisfies one of the following conditions:
(Type A) $I\left(\vec{C}_{i}\right)=\overrightarrow{C_{i}^{-1}}$.
(Type B) $I\left(\vec{C}_{i}\right)=\overrightarrow{C_{i}}$.
(Type C) There exists $j(\neq i)$ such that $I\left(C_{i}\right)=C_{j}$.
Proof. Let $\left\{C_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ be an admissible system of $\Phi$ obtained from an admissible system by repeating the above process. For each $C_{i}^{\prime}$, we find a curve $C_{i}$ homotopic to $C_{i}^{\prime}$ satisfying one of the three conditions in Lemma 2.4. In the case where $C_{i}^{\prime}=I\left(C_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, we set $C_{i}:=C_{i}^{\prime}$. In the case where $C_{i}^{\prime} \cap I\left(C_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset, C_{i}^{\prime}$ is not of Type $B^{\prime}$ because $\Pi\left(C_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ is a simple closed curve on $S^{2}$. Thus, we may assume that $C_{i}^{\prime}$ is of Type A or Type C.

Assume that $C_{i}^{\prime}$ is of Type $A^{\prime}$. We see that $\Pi\left(C_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ rounds the two branch points of $\Pi$. Let $c_{i}$ be a simple path connecting the two branch points satisfying $c_{i} \cap \Pi\left(C_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset$. We see that $C_{i}:=\Pi^{-1}\left(c_{i} \circ c_{i}^{-1}\right)$ is of Type A and homotopic to $C_{i}^{\prime}$. Assume that $C_{i}^{\prime}$ is of Type $C^{\prime}$. Let $C_{j}^{\prime}$ be a curve that is homotopic to $I\left(C_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. In this case, we set $C_{i}:=C_{i}^{\prime}$ and $C_{j}:=I\left(C_{i}\right)$. We obtain an admissible system $\left\{C_{i}\right\}$ that we want.

Definition 2.5. An admissible system of $\Phi$ is called simple if each simple closed curve in the admissible system satisfies one of the conditions Type A, B, or C in Lemma 2.4.

Remark 2.6. If $C_{i}$ is of Type B , there exists a simple closed curve $c$ on $S^{2}$ such that $c \circ c=\Pi\left(C_{i}\right)$. Moreover, we see that the number of the branch points of $\Pi$ contained in the disk with boundary $c$ is odd. Thus, without fear of confusions, we consider that $\Pi\left(C_{i}\right)$ is a simple closed curve on $S^{2}$.

We describe the configuration of the special fiber of a family of curves whose monodromy is the conjugacy class of a semistable element (cf. [3]).

Let $\left\{\left(C_{i}, n_{i}\right)\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ be pairs of simple closed curves on $\Sigma_{g}$ and positive integers. We assume that $\left\{C_{i}\right\}$ be a disjoint union of simple closed curves. For each $C_{i}$, we choose an open neighbourhood $U_{C_{i}}$ of $C_{i}$ satisfying; (I) $U_{C_{i}}$ is homeomorphic to an
open annulus, (II) $\overline{U_{C_{i}}} \cap \overline{U_{C_{j}}}=\emptyset(i \neq j)$, where $\overline{U_{C_{i}}}$ is the closure of $U_{C_{i}}$. We denote by $\partial_{C_{i}}^{1}$ and $\partial_{C_{i}}^{2}$ the connected components of the boundary of $\Sigma_{g} \backslash U_{C_{i}}$.

Let $R\left(C_{i}\right)_{n_{i}}:=L_{C_{i}, 0} \cup L_{C_{i}, 1} \cup \cdots \cup L_{C_{i}, n_{i}-1} \cup L_{C_{i}, n_{i}}$ be a union of two closed disks and $n_{i}-1$ spheres satisfying the following;
(A) $L_{C_{i}, 0}$ and $L_{C_{i}, n_{i}}$ are disks with boundaries $\partial L_{C_{i}, 0}$ and $\partial L_{C_{i}, n_{i}}$, respectively.
(B) $L_{C_{i}, j}$ intersects $L_{C_{i}, j+1}$ at a point and $L_{C_{i}, j} \cap L_{C_{i}, k}=\emptyset$ when $|j-k|>1$.
(C) $L_{C_{i}, 0} \cap L_{C_{i}, 1}$ and $L_{C_{i}, n_{i}-1} \cap L_{C_{i}, n_{i}}$ are inner points of $L_{C_{i}, 0}$ and $L_{C_{i}, n_{i}}$, respectively. Identifying $\partial_{C_{i}}^{1}$ with $\partial L_{C_{i}, 0}$ and $\partial_{C_{i}}^{2}$ with $\partial L_{C_{i}, n_{i}}$, we obtain the topological space

$$
X_{\left\{\left(C_{i}, n_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq r}\right\}}:=\left(\Sigma_{g} \backslash \bigcup U_{C_{i}}\right) \cup\left(\bigcup R\left(C_{i}\right)_{n_{i}}\right)
$$

called the chorizo space (cf. [3]). An irreducible component of $X_{\left\{\left(C_{i}, n_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq r}\right\}}$ which is not contained in any $R\left(C_{i}\right)_{n_{i}}$ is called a body component of $X_{\left\{\left(C_{i}, n_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq r}\right\}}$. We call the sub chorizo space $L_{C_{i}, 1} \cup \cdots \cup L_{C_{i}, n_{i}-1}$ of $X_{\left\{\left(C_{i}, n_{i}\right)_{\leq i \leq 1}\right\}}$ the core chain at $C_{i}$. We call a union of spheres satisfying the condition (B) $a \mathbf{P}^{1}$-chain. We call a point at which two components intersect $a$ double point. When $g=0$, we can also define the chorizo space, similarly. It is well-known fact that the special fiber of a family of curves is homeomorphic to $X_{\left\{\left(C_{i}, n_{i}\right)_{\leq \leq i \leq r}\right\}}$ if the monodromy of the family is the conjugacy class [ $\Phi$ ] of a semistable element $\Phi=D_{C_{1}}^{n_{1}} \cdots D_{C_{r}}^{n_{r}}$. Conversely, the monodromy of a family with the special fiber $X_{\left\{\left(C_{i}, n_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i s r}\right\}}$ is [ $[\Phi]$. We set $X_{[\Phi]}:=X_{\left\{\left(C_{i}, n_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq r}\right\}}$, for short.

Example 2.7. Let $\left\{C_{i}, \bar{C}_{j}, C_{k}^{\prime}, C_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right\}_{1 \leq i, k \leq 3,1 \leq j \leq 5}$ be a set of simple closed curves on $\Sigma_{12}$ as shown in Fig. 3. If the monodromy of a family is the conjugacy class of

$$
\Phi=D_{C_{1}} D_{C_{2}} D_{C_{3}}^{3} D_{\bar{C}_{1}}^{4} D_{\bar{C}_{2}} D_{\bar{C}_{3}}^{2} D_{C_{4}}^{2} D_{\bar{C}_{5}}^{2} D_{C_{1}^{\prime}}^{3} D_{C_{1}^{\prime \prime}}^{3} D_{C_{2}^{\prime}} D_{C_{2}^{\prime \prime}} D_{C_{3}^{\prime}}^{2} D_{C_{3}^{\prime \prime}}^{2},
$$

the configuration of the special fiber is as shown in Fig. 4.

## 3. Proof of Theorem $\mathbf{1 . 1}$

3.1. Canonical resolution of double covering. In this section, we review the canonical resolution for double coverings introduced by Horikawa (cf. [1]). For a positive small real number $\varepsilon$, we set $\Delta_{\varepsilon}:=\{t \in \mathbf{C}| | t \mid<\varepsilon\}$ and $W_{0}:=\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \Delta_{\varepsilon}$. Let $\pi_{0}: W_{0} \rightarrow \Delta_{\varepsilon}$ be the second projection, $\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}: \widetilde{Z}_{1}\right)$ a homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ and $t$ a parameter of $\Delta_{\varepsilon}$. Let $F\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}, \widetilde{Z}_{1}, t\right) \in \mathbf{C}\left[\widetilde{Z}_{0}, \widetilde{Z}_{1}, t\right]$ be a polynomial satisfying the following conditions; (a) $F$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $2 g+2$ with respect to $\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}: \widetilde{Z}_{1}\right)$, (b) the equation $F\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}, 1, t\right)=0$ has $2 g+2$ distinct roots for each $t \in \Delta_{\varepsilon} \backslash\{0\}$. Let $B_{0}$ and $\left[B_{0}\right]$ be the divisor defined by $F\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}, \widetilde{Z}_{1}, t\right)=0$ and the associated line bundle on $W_{0}$, respectively. By (a), $\left[B_{0}\right]$ is even, namely, there exists a line bundle $F_{0}$ satisfying $\left[B_{0}\right] \simeq F_{0}^{\otimes 2}$. Thus, there exists a morphism $\psi_{0}: S_{0} \rightarrow W_{0}$ of degree two branched along the divisor $B_{0}$. By (b), the fibers $\left(\pi_{0} \circ \psi_{0}\right)^{-1}(t)\left(t \in \Delta_{\varepsilon} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ are smooth hyperelliptic curves. We set $\Gamma_{t}:=\pi_{0}^{-1}(t)$.

We define $\tau_{i}, \widetilde{\tau}_{i}, \pi_{i}, B_{i}, F_{i}, E_{i}$ and $\psi_{i}$ inductively as follows: We choose a singular point $p_{i-1}$ of $B_{i-1}$. Let $\tau_{i}: W_{i} \rightarrow W_{i-1}$ be the blowing-up at $p_{i-1}$. We denote the multiplicity of $B_{i-1}$ at $p_{i-1}$ by $m_{p_{i-1}}$. Let $E_{i}$ be the exceptional set of $\tau_{i}$. We set $B_{i}:=\tau_{i}^{*} B_{i-1}-2\left[m_{p_{i-1}} / 2\right] E_{i}$ and $F_{i}:=\tau_{i}^{*} F_{i-1}-\left[m_{p_{i-1}} / 2\right] E_{i}$, where $\left[m_{p_{i-1}} / 2\right]$ is the greatest integer not exceeding $m_{p_{i-1}} / 2$. Since $\left[B_{i}\right] \simeq F_{i}^{\otimes 2}$, we can take a double covering $\psi_{i}: S_{i} \rightarrow W_{i}$ branched along $B_{i}$ and naturally define a bimeromorphic map $\widetilde{\tau}_{i}: S_{i} \rightarrow S_{i-1}$ (cf. [1,§2]). We set $\pi_{i}:=\pi_{i-1} \circ \tau_{i}$. Repeating this process, we obtain a sequence of blowing-ups $W_{r} \xrightarrow{\tau_{r}} \cdots \rightarrow W_{1} \xrightarrow{\tau_{1}} W_{0}$ satisfying that $B_{r}$ is nonsingular. Since the set of singular points of $S_{i}$ coincides with the inverse image of the set of the singular points of $B_{i}$ by $\psi_{i}$, we see that $S_{r}$ is nonsingular. We obtain the relatively minimal model $\phi: S \rightarrow \Delta_{\varepsilon}$ by the composite of the blowing-downs of suitable $(-1)$-curves successively on $S_{r}$. We call the above process Horikawa's canonical resolution (the canonical resolution, for short).

Note that if a component $E$ of $\left(\tau_{1} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{r}\right)^{*} \Gamma_{0}$ is a component of $B_{r}$, the multiplicity of $\psi_{r}^{*}(E)$ is $2 n_{i}$, and $n_{i}$, otherwise.
3.2. Construction of hyperelliptic families. In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1, namely, for any hyperelliptic semistable element $\Phi$, we construct a hyperelliptic family with monodromy [ $\Phi$ ]. Set

$$
\Phi=D_{C_{1}}^{n_{1}} \cdots D_{C_{k}}^{n_{k}} D_{\bar{C}_{1}}^{\bar{n}_{1}} \cdots D_{\bar{C}_{m}}^{\bar{n}_{m}} D_{C_{1}^{\prime}}^{n_{1}^{\prime}} D_{I\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right)}^{n_{1}^{\prime}} \cdots D_{C_{s}^{\prime}}^{n_{s}^{\prime}} D_{I\left(C_{s}^{\prime}\right)}^{n_{s}^{\prime}},
$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{A}:=\left\{C_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}, \mathcal{C}_{B}:=\left\{\bar{C}_{j}\right\}_{1 \leq j \leq m}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{C}:=\left\{C_{l}^{\prime}, I\left(C_{l}^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{1 \leq l \leq s}$ are the sets of simple closed curves of Type A, Type B and Type C, respectively.

Since the monodromy of a family is [ $\Phi$ ] if and only if the special fiber of the family is homeomorphic to $X_{[\Phi]}$, we construct a hyperelliptic family whose special fiber is $X_{[\Phi]}$. We would obtain such a family as the nonsingular minimal model of a double covering $\psi_{0}: S_{0} \rightarrow W_{0}:=\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \Delta$ introduced in Section 3.1. Our strategy is as follows;

In Step 1, we construct the chorizo spaces $\widetilde{X}_{[\Phi]}$ and $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$ and an involution $\tilde{I}$ on $\tilde{X}_{[\Phi]}$. There exists a surjective map $\Pi_{[\Phi]}: \widetilde{X}_{[\Phi]} \rightarrow X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$ of degree two such that $\Pi_{[\Phi]}$ is induced from the natural map $\widetilde{X}_{[\Phi]} \rightarrow \widetilde{X}_{[\Phi]} /\langle\tilde{I}\rangle$, where $\widetilde{X}_{[\Phi]} /\langle\tilde{I}\rangle$ is the quotient by the group $\langle\tilde{I}\rangle$ generated by $\tilde{I}$. In Step 2, we give a symbol to each irreducible component of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$ and each point at which two components intersect for convenience. In Step 3, we give the defining equation of the branch locus $B_{0}$ on $W_{0}$ using the symbols defined in Step 2 and observe the canonical resolution. Let $W_{r} \xrightarrow{\tau_{r}} \cdots \rightarrow W_{1} \xrightarrow{\tau_{1}} W_{0}$ be the subsequence of the blowing-ups obtained by the canonical resolution satisfying that $S_{r}$ admits only rational double points of type $A_{n}$. We can easily see that $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$ is homeomorphic to $\left(\tau_{r} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{1}\right)^{*} \Gamma_{0}$ and $\widetilde{X}_{[\Phi]}$ is homeomorphic to the singular fiber of $S_{r}$. Finally, we show that the special fiber of the nonsingular minimal model of $S_{r}$ is homeomorphic to $X_{[\Phi]}$.

STEP 1 We first consider $\Sigma_{g} /\langle I\rangle \simeq S^{2}$ with the data $\left(\Pi\left(C_{i}\right), \Pi\left(\bar{C}_{j}\right), \Pi\left(C_{l}^{\prime}\right), n_{i}\right.$, $\left.\bar{n}_{j}, n_{l}^{\prime}\right)$ and the set of the branch points $\mathcal{P}=\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots P_{2 g+2}\right\}$ of $\Pi$. Let $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ be the subset of $\mathcal{P}$ such that each point in $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ is not on $\Pi\left(\mathcal{C}_{A}\right)$.

Since $\mathcal{C}_{A} \cup \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}} \cup \mathcal{C}_{C}$ is a simple admissible system, the set $\left\{\Pi\left(\overline{C_{j}}\right)\right\}_{1 \leq j \leq m} \cup$ $\left\{\Pi\left(C_{l}^{\prime}\right)\right\}_{1 \leq l \leq s}$ is the disjoint union of simple closed curves (cf. Remark 2.6). Thus, we can consider the chorizo space

$$
\left.X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}:=X_{\left\{\left(\Pi\left(\bar{C}_{j}\right), 2 \bar{n}_{j}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq m},\left(\Pi\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right), n_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{1 \leq \leq \leq s}\right\}}\right\}
$$

defined in Section 2. With this chorizo space, we consider the data $\left\{\left(\Pi\left(C_{i}\right), n_{i}\right)\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ and $\mathcal{P}$. For example, in the case where $\Phi$ is an element in Example 2.7, $S^{2}$ with the data is as shown in Fig. 5 and $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$ with the data is as shown in Fig. 6. For each $C_{i}$, we take a point on $\Pi\left(C_{i}\right)$ and denote it by $P_{C_{i}}$.

We use the same notations as in Section 2. For each simple closed curve $C$ in $\mathcal{C}_{A} \cup \mathcal{C}_{B} \cup \mathcal{C}_{C}$, we denote a small annular open neighbourhood by $U_{C}$ satisfying $I\left(U_{C}\right)=$ $U_{I(C)}$ and $U_{C} \cap \Pi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset$. We assume that they do not intersect each other. Moreover, we assume that $I\left(\partial_{C_{i}^{\prime}}^{1}\right)=\partial_{I\left(C_{C^{\prime}}\right)}^{1}$ and $I\left(\partial_{C_{i}^{\prime}}^{2}\right)=\partial_{I\left(C_{i}^{\prime}\right)}^{2}$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the union of all annular neighbourhoods defined as above. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\widetilde{X}_{[\Phi]}:=X_{\left\{\left(C_{i}, 1\right)_{1 \leq i \leq k},\left(\bar{C}_{j}, 2 \bar{n}_{j}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq m^{\prime}},\left(C_{i}^{\prime}, n_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{1 \leq \leq \leq s},\left(I\left(C_{l}^{\prime}\right), n_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{1 \leq \leq \leq s}\right\}}\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define an orientation preserving homeomorphism $\tilde{I}: \widetilde{X}_{[\Phi]} \rightarrow \widetilde{X}_{[\Phi]}$ induced from $I$ as follows; Set $\mathcal{B} o:=\Sigma_{g} \backslash \mathcal{U}$. We decompose $\widetilde{X}_{[\Phi]}$ as $\widetilde{X}_{[\Phi]}=\mathcal{B} o \cup \mathcal{C} h_{A} \cup$ $\mathcal{C} h_{B} \cup \mathcal{C} h_{C}$, where $\mathcal{C} h_{A}:=\bigcup R\left(C_{i}\right)_{1}, \mathcal{C} h_{B}:=\bigcup R\left(\bar{C}_{j}\right)_{2 \bar{n}_{j}}$, and $\mathcal{C} h_{C}:=\bigcup\left(R\left(C_{l}^{\prime}\right)_{n_{l}^{\prime}} \cup\right.$ $\left.R\left(I\left(C_{l}^{\prime}\right)\right)_{n_{i}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$. For $\mathcal{B} o$ and each member of $\mathcal{C} h_{A} \cup \mathcal{C} h_{B} \cup \mathcal{C} h_{C}$, we define an orientation preserving homeomorphism satisfying suitable conditions in the following way.

We can naturally define $I_{\mathcal{B} o}: \mathcal{B} o \rightarrow \mathcal{B} o$ by the restriction of $I$ to $\Sigma_{g} \backslash \mathcal{U}$. Note that $\Pi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)$ is the set of fixed points of $I_{\mathcal{B} o}$. Thus, we can consider that $\Pi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)$ is the set of points on $\widetilde{X}_{[\Phi]}$.

For each $R\left(C_{i}\right)_{1}=L_{C_{i}, 0} \cup L_{C_{i}, 1}$, we can define a homeomorphism $I_{C_{i}}: R\left(C_{i}\right)_{1} \rightarrow$ $R\left(C_{i}\right)_{1}$ of order two such that $I_{C_{i}}$ coincides with $I_{\mathcal{B} o}$ on $\partial_{C_{i}}^{1} \cup \partial_{C_{i}}^{2}$ (we identify $\partial L_{C_{i}, 0}$ with $\partial_{C_{i}}^{1}$, and $\partial L_{C_{i}, 1}$ with $\partial_{C_{i}}^{2}$ ). Note that $I_{C_{i}}\left(L_{C_{i}, 0}\right)=L_{C_{i}, 1}$ and the fixed point is $L_{C_{i}, 0} \cap L_{C_{i}, 1}$.

For each $R\left(\bar{C}_{j}\right)_{2 \bar{n}_{j}}$, we define a homeomorphism $I_{\bar{C}_{j}}: R\left(\bar{C}_{j}\right)_{2 \bar{n}_{j}} \rightarrow R\left(\bar{C}_{j}\right)_{2 \bar{n}_{j}}$ of order two such that $I_{\bar{C}_{j}}$ coincides with $I_{\mathcal{B} o}$ on $\partial \overline{\bar{C}}_{j} \cup \partial \overline{\bar{C}}_{j}$ and the fixed locus is $\bigcup_{d=1}^{\bar{n}_{j}} L_{\bar{C}_{j}, 2 d-1}$. Thus, the restriction map $\left.I_{\bar{C}_{j}}\right|_{L_{\bar{c}_{j}, 2 d}}\left(d=2, \ldots, \bar{n}_{j}-1\right)$ is a homeomorphism of $L_{\bar{C}_{j}, 2 d}$ of order two with fixed points $L_{\bar{C}_{j}, 2 d} \cap L_{\bar{C}_{j}, 2 d+1}$ and $L_{\bar{C}_{j}, 2 d} \cap L_{\bar{C}_{j}, 2 d-1}$.

For $R\left(C_{l}^{\prime}\right)_{n_{l}^{\prime}} \cup R\left(I\left(C_{l}^{\prime}\right)\right)_{n_{i}^{\prime}}$, we can define a homeomorphism $I_{C_{l}^{\prime}}: R\left(C_{l}^{\prime}\right)_{n_{l}^{\prime}} \cup$ $R\left(I\left(C_{l}^{\prime}\right)\right)_{n_{i}^{\prime}} \rightarrow R\left(C_{l}^{\prime}\right)_{n_{l}^{\prime}} \cup R\left(I\left(C_{l}^{\prime}\right)\right)_{n_{l}^{\prime}}$ of order two such that $I_{C_{l}^{\prime}}\left(L_{C_{l}^{\prime}, d}\right)=L_{I\left(C_{l}^{\prime}\right), d}$ and $I_{C_{l}^{\prime}}$ coincides with $I_{\mathcal{B} o}$ on $\partial_{C_{l}^{\prime}}^{1} \cup \partial_{C_{l}^{\prime}}^{2} \cup \partial_{I\left(C_{l}^{\prime}\right)}^{1} \cup \partial_{I\left(C_{l}^{\prime}\right)}^{2}$.

By gluing these maps, we obtain a homeomorphism $\tilde{I}$ of $\widetilde{X}_{[\Phi]}$. Since we see that $\tilde{I}$ is an involution, we can consider the quotient map $\widetilde{\Pi}: \widetilde{X}_{[\Phi]} \rightarrow \widetilde{X}_{[\Phi]} /\langle\tilde{I}\rangle$ of degree two. From the construction, there exists a natural homeomorphism $\Theta: \widetilde{X}_{[\Phi]} /\langle\tilde{I}\rangle \rightarrow$ $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$ such that $\Theta\left(\widetilde{\Pi}\left(\Pi^{-1}\left(P_{i}\right)\right)\right)=P_{i}\left(P_{i} \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\Theta\left(\widetilde{\Pi}\left(L_{C_{i}, 0} \cap L_{C_{i}, 1}\right)\right)=P_{C_{i}}$.

Then we can consider the surjective map $\Pi_{[\Phi]}: \widetilde{X}_{[\Phi]} \rightarrow X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$ of degree two such that the branch locus is $\bigcup\left(\bigcup L_{\bar{C}_{j}, 2 d-1}\right)$ and the set of isolated branch points is $\mathcal{P}^{\prime} \cup$ $\left\{P_{C_{i}}\right\}$. Note that $\Pi_{[\Phi]}^{-1}\left(P_{i}\right)\left(P_{i} \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)$ is not a double point and $\Pi_{[\Phi]}^{-1}\left(P_{C_{i}}\right)$ is a double point.

STEP 2 A component of a chorizo space which intersects only one component is called a terminal component. Since the dual graph of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$ is a tree, there exists at least one terminal component. For later use, we give a symbol to each component of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$ by the following way (see Fig. 7, for example, in Fig. 7, we give a symbol to each component of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$ appearing in Example 2.7. The lines mean irreducible components of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$ ); Choose a terminal component of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$ and denote it by $Z_{0}$. If $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$ has another terminal component, then $Z_{0}$ intersects only one component of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$. We denote it by $Z_{0,1}^{1}$. If there exist components of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]} \backslash Z_{0}$ which intersect $Z_{0,1}^{1}$, choose a component among them and denote it by $Z_{0,2}^{1}$. Inductively, if there exist components of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]} \backslash Z_{0, i-1}^{1}$ intersecting $Z_{0, i}^{1}$, choose such a component and denote it by $Z_{0, i+1}^{1}$. Finally, we obtain a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-chain $Z_{0} \cup Z_{0,1}^{1} \cup \cdots \cup Z_{0, k_{\xi}}^{1}$ such that $Z_{0, k_{\xi}}^{1}$ is a terminal component of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$.

Let $Z_{0, j}^{1}$ be a component which is not a terminal component of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]} \backslash\left\{Z_{0, j-1}^{1}\right\}$. If $j=1$, we set $Z_{0,0}^{1}:=Z_{0}$. We denote by $Z_{0, j, 1}^{1,1}, Z_{0, j, 1}^{1,2}, \ldots, Z_{0, j, 1}^{1, d}$ the components of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]} \backslash\left\{Z_{0, j-1}^{1}, Z_{0, j+1}^{1}\right\}$ that intersect $Z_{0, j}^{1}$. For each $Z_{0, j, 1}^{1, i}$ which is not a terminal component of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$, choose a component of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]} \backslash Z_{0, j}^{1}$ intersecting $Z_{0, j, 1}^{1, i}$ and denote it by $Z_{0, j, 2}^{1, i}$. Inductively, if $Z_{0, j, j^{\prime}}^{1, i}$ is not a terminal component of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}^{0, j]}$, choose a component of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]} \backslash Z_{0, j, j^{\prime}-1}^{1, i}$ intersecting $Z_{0, j, j^{\prime}}^{1, i}$, and denote it by $Z_{0, j, j^{\prime}+1}^{1, i}$. Finally, we obtain a $\mathbf{P}^{1}$-chain $Z_{0, j, 1}^{1, i} \cup \cdots \cup Z_{0, j, \eta}^{1, i}$ such that $Z_{0, j, \eta}^{1, i}$ is a terminal component of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$.

By the same way, we give symbols to all components of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$; For simplicity, we denote a sequence $1, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l-1}$ by $I_{l}$ and a sequence $0, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{l-1}$ by $J_{l}$. Let $Z_{J_{l}, j l}^{I_{l}}$ be a component which is not a terminal component of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]} \backslash Z_{J_{l}, j-1}^{I_{l}}$. We denote by $Z_{J_{l}, j_{1}, 1}^{I_{1}, 1}, Z_{J_{l}, j_{l}, 1}^{l_{l}, 2}, \ldots, Z_{J_{l}, j_{l}, 1}^{I_{l}, i_{l}}$ the components of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]} \backslash\left\{Z_{J_{l}, j-1}^{I_{l}}, Z_{J_{l}, j_{i+1}}^{I_{l}}\right\}$ which intersect $Z_{J_{l}, j l}^{I_{l}}$. For each $Z_{J_{l}, j_{l}, 1}^{I_{l}, \alpha,}$, choose a subchorizo space $Z_{J_{l}, j, 1}^{I_{l}, \alpha} \cup Z_{J_{l}, j_{l}, 2}^{I_{l}, \alpha} \cup \cdots \cup$ $Z_{J_{l}, j_{i}, j_{l+1}}^{I_{l}, \alpha}$ of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$ such that $Z_{J_{l}, j_{i}, j_{l+1}}^{I_{l}, \alpha}$ is a terminal component of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$.

We also give a symbol to each point at which two components intersect. We denote by $a_{J_{l}, j_{l}}^{I_{l}}$ the point at which $Z_{J_{l}, j_{i}}^{I_{l}}$ intersects $Z_{J_{l}, j_{i}-1}^{I_{l}}$ when $j_{l} \neq 1$. We denote by $a_{J_{l}, j, 1}^{I_{l}, \alpha}$ the point at which $Z_{J_{l}, j_{l}, 1}^{I_{l}, \alpha}$ intersects $Z_{J_{l}, j,}^{I_{l}}$. We set

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\Phi}:=\left\{\left(I_{l}, J_{l}, j_{l}\right) \mid a_{J_{l}, j_{l}}^{I_{l}} \in X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}\right\} .
$$

When $\theta=\left(I_{l}, J_{l}, j_{l}\right) \in \mathcal{I}_{\Phi}$, we sometimes write $a_{\theta}$ and $Z_{\theta}$ instead of writing $a_{J_{l}, j_{l}}^{I_{l}}$ and $Z_{J_{l}, j l^{\prime}}^{I_{l}}$, for simplicity.

STEP 3 Let $P_{\xi} \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ be a point on $Z_{0, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{i}}^{1, i_{1}, \ldots i_{l-1}}$. We define the polynomial $f_{P_{\xi}}\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}\right.$, $t, a_{\theta}, P_{\xi}$ ) associated to $P_{\xi}$ as follows;

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{P_{\xi}}\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}, t, a_{\theta}, P_{\xi}\right):=\widetilde{Z}_{0}-( & \sum_{j=1}^{j_{1}} a_{0, j}^{1} t^{j-1}+\sum_{j=1}^{j_{2}} a_{0, j_{1}, j}^{1, i_{1}} t^{j_{1}+j-1}+\cdots \\
& \left.+\sum_{j=1}^{j_{l}} a_{0, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{i-1}, j}^{1, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l-1}}{ }^{j_{1}+j_{2}+\cdots+j_{l-1}+j-1}+P_{\xi} t^{j_{1}+j_{2}+\cdots+j_{l}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $P_{\xi}$ is on $Z_{0}$, we set $f_{P_{\xi}}:=\widetilde{Z}_{0}-P_{\xi}$.
Let $\Pi\left(C_{i}\right)$ be the image of a curve of Type A by $\Pi$ on $Z_{0, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{i}}^{1, i_{1}, \ldots i_{-1}}$. We define the polynomial $g_{C_{i}}\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}, t, a_{\theta}, P_{C_{i}}\right)$ associated to $\Pi\left(C_{i}\right)$ as following;

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{C_{i}}\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}, t, a_{\theta}, P_{C_{i}}\right):= & \left\{\widetilde{Z}_{0}-\left(\sum_{j=1}^{j_{1}} a_{0, j}^{1} t^{j-1}+\sum_{j=1}^{j_{2}} a_{0, j_{1}, j}^{1, i_{1}} t^{j_{1}+j-1}+\cdots\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\sum_{j=1}^{j_{1}} a_{0, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{l-1}, j}^{1, i_{1}, \ldots i_{1}} t^{j_{1}+j_{2}+\cdots+j_{l-1}+j-1}+P_{C_{i}} t^{j_{1}+j_{2}+\cdots+j_{i}}\right)\right\}^{2} \\
& -t^{n_{i}+2\left(j_{1}+\cdots+j_{i}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\Pi\left(C_{i}\right)$ is on $Z_{0}$, we set $g_{C_{i}}\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}, t, P_{C_{i}}\right):=\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}-P_{C_{i}}\right)^{2}-t^{n_{i}}$. Set

$$
F\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}, t,\left\{P_{C_{i}}\right\},\left\{P_{\xi}\right\},\left\{a_{\theta}\right\}\right):=\Pi_{P_{\xi} \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}} \Pi_{C_{i} \in \mathcal{C}_{A}} f_{P_{\xi}}\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}, t, a_{\theta}, P_{\xi}\right) g_{C_{i}}\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}, t, a_{\theta}, P_{C_{i}}\right) .
$$

Fix $\left\{\left[P_{C_{i}}\right],\left[P_{\xi}\right],\left[a_{\theta}\right]\right\}$ a set of mutually distinct complex non-zero numbers and consider the polynomial $F\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}, t\right):=F\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}, t,\left\{\left[P_{C_{i}}\right]\right\},\left\{\left[P_{\xi}\right]\right\},\left\{\left[a_{\theta}\right]\right\}\right)$. Note that the degree of $F\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}, t\right)$ with respect to $Z_{0}$ is $2 g+2$. Moreover, since $\left\{\left[P_{C_{i}}\right],\left[P_{\xi}\right],\left[a_{\theta}\right]\right\}$ is a set of mutually distinct complex numbers, the roots of $F\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}, t\right)=0$ is mutually distinct when $t \neq 0$ and $|t|$ is sufficiently small. Let $\varepsilon$ be the small positive real number such that the roots of $F\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}, t\right)=0$ is mutually distinct. We set $\Delta:=\{t \in \mathbf{C}| | t \mid<\varepsilon\}$. Let $\widetilde{F}\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}, \widetilde{Z}_{1}, t\right)$ be the homogeneous polynomial of degree $2 g+2$ with respect to $\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}: \widetilde{Z}_{1}\right)$ satisfying $\widetilde{F}\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}, 1, t\right)=F\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}, t\right)$.

Let $\psi_{0}: S_{0} \rightarrow W_{0}:=\mathbf{P}^{1} \times \Delta$ be the double covering branched along $B_{0} ; \widetilde{F}\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}, \widetilde{Z}_{1}\right.$, $t)=0$, where $\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}: \widetilde{Z}_{1}\right)$ is a homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbf{P}^{1}$. Since the divisor defined by $\widetilde{Z}_{1}=0$ does not intersect $B_{0}$, it is sufficient to observe $B_{0}$ on $\widetilde{Z}_{1} \neq 0$ defined by $F\left(\widetilde{Z}_{0}, t\right)=0$. We observe the canonical resolution of the family $\pi_{0} \circ \psi_{0}: S_{0} \rightarrow \Delta$. In the case where $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$ has only one component, the assertion is clear because each simple closed curve in a simple admissible system of $\Phi$ is of Type A.

Let $\tau_{1}: W_{1} \rightarrow W_{0}$ be the blowing-up at $\widetilde{Z}_{0}-\left[a_{0,1}^{1}\right]=t=0$. Let $\bar{Z}_{0,1}^{1}$ be the exceptional set of $\tau_{1}$. We denote by $\widetilde{Z}_{0,1}^{1}$ an affine coordinates of the exceptional set satisfying $\widetilde{Z}_{0}-\left[a_{0,1}^{1}\right]=t \widetilde{Z}_{0,1}^{1}$.

If $(1 ; 0,2) \in \mathcal{I}_{\Phi}$, we blow up at $\widetilde{Z}_{0,1}^{1}-\left[a_{0,2}^{1}\right]=t=0$ and denote by $\bar{Z}_{0,2}^{1}$ the exceptional set of this blowing-up. We denote by $\widetilde{Z}_{0,2}^{1}$ an affine coordinates satisfying $\widetilde{Z}_{0,1}^{1}-\left[a_{0,2}^{1}\right]=t \widetilde{Z}_{0,2}^{1}$. Similarly, if $(1, d ; 0,1,1) \in \mathcal{I}_{\Phi}$, we blow up at $\widetilde{Z}_{0,1}^{1}-\left[a_{0,1,1}^{1, d}\right]=$ $t=0$. We denote by $\bar{Z}_{0,1,1}^{1, d}$ the exceptional set of this blowing-up. We denote by $\widetilde{Z}_{0,1,1}^{1, d}$ an affine coordinates of the exceptional set satisfying $\widetilde{Z}_{0,1}^{1}-\left[a_{0,1,1}^{1, d}\right]=t \widetilde{Z}_{0,1,1}^{1, d}$.

Inductively, we blow up and give the symbols to the exceptional sets of the blowing-ups in the way similar to the above; If $\left(I_{l} ; J_{l}, j_{l}+1\right) \in \mathcal{I}_{\Phi}$, we blow up at $\widetilde{Z}_{J_{l}, j_{i}}^{I_{l}}-\left[a_{J_{l}, j_{i}+1}^{I_{l}}\right]=t=0$ and denote by $\bar{Z}_{J_{l}, j+1}^{I_{l}}$ the exceptional set of this blowing-up. We denote by $\widetilde{Z}_{J_{l}, j_{i}+1}^{I_{l}}$ an affine coordinates of the exceptional set satisfying $\widetilde{Z}_{J_{l}, j_{l}}^{I_{l}}$ $\left[a_{J_{l}, j_{i+1}}^{I_{l}}\right]=t \widetilde{Z}_{J_{l}, j_{i+1}}^{I_{l}}$. If there exists $d \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $\left(I_{l}, d ; J_{l}, j_{l}, 1\right) \in \mathcal{I}_{\Phi}$, we blow up at $\widetilde{Z}_{J_{l}, j_{l}}^{I_{l}}-\left[a_{J_{l}, j_{i}, 1}^{I_{l}, d}\right]=t=0$ and give the symbol $\bar{Z}_{J_{l}, j, 1}^{I_{l}, d}$ to the exceptional set of this blowing-up. We denote by $\widetilde{Z}_{J_{l}, j_{l}, 1}^{I_{1}, d}$ an affine coordinates satisfying $\widetilde{Z}_{J_{l}, j_{l}}^{I_{l}}-\left[a_{J_{l}, j, 1}^{I_{l}, d}\right]=$ $t \widetilde{Z}_{J_{l}, j, 1,1}^{I_{l}, d}$.

Let $W_{r} \xrightarrow{\tau_{r}} W_{r-1} \xrightarrow{\tau_{r}-1} \cdots \xrightarrow{\tau_{1}} W_{0}$ be the sequence of the blowing-ups obtained by the process above. Then, we obtain the chorizo space $\left(\tau_{1} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{r}\right)^{*} \Gamma_{0}=\bigcup_{\theta \in \mathcal{I}_{\Phi}} \bar{Z}_{\theta}$. Here, we use the same symbol for the exceptional set of each blowing-up $\tau_{r^{\prime}}: W_{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow W_{r^{\prime}-1}$ ( $r^{\prime} \leq r$ ) and its strict transform by $\tau_{r^{\prime}+1} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{r}$. Note that the multiplicity of each component of $\bar{Z}_{\theta}$ is one. For each $r^{\prime}$, we can define the double covering $\psi_{r^{\prime}}: S_{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow W_{r^{\prime}}$ branched along $B_{r^{\prime}}$ and bimeromorphic map $\widetilde{\tau_{r^{\prime}}}: S_{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow S_{r^{\prime}-1}$ introduced in the previous section. Since $\bar{Z}_{J_{l}, j_{i}+1}^{I_{l}}$ intersects $\bar{Z}_{J_{l}, j_{i}}^{I_{l}}$ at $\widetilde{Z}_{J_{l}, j_{i}}^{l_{l}}=\left[a_{J_{l}, j_{l}+1}^{I_{l}}\right]$ and $\bar{Z}_{J_{l}, j_{l}, 1}^{I_{l}, \alpha}$ intersects $\bar{Z}_{J_{l}, j i}^{I_{l}}$ at $\widetilde{Z}_{J_{l}, j_{l}}^{I_{l}}=\left[a_{J_{l}, j, 1}^{I_{l}, \alpha}\right]$, there exists a natural homeomorphism between $\bigcup \bar{Z}_{\theta}$ to $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$ that sends each exceptional set $\bar{Z}_{\theta}\left(\theta \in \mathcal{I}_{\Phi}\right)$ to the irreducible component $Z_{\theta}$ of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$. Then, we can identify $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$ with $\left(\tau_{1} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{r}\right)^{*} \Gamma_{0}$. Moreover, if $P_{\xi} \in Z_{\theta}$, the strict transform of $f_{P_{\xi}}=0$ on $W_{r}$ intersects the exceptional set $\bar{Z}_{\theta}$ at $\widetilde{Z}_{\theta}=\left[P_{\xi}\right]$, transversally. Thus, we can identify the point $P_{\xi} \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ on $Z_{\theta}$ with the point on the component $\bar{Z}_{\theta}$ defined by $\widetilde{Z}_{\theta}=\left[P_{\xi}\right]$. If $\Pi\left(C_{i}\right) \subset Z_{\theta}$, the strict transform of $g_{C_{i}}=0$ on $W_{r}$ intersects the exceptional set $\bar{Z}_{\theta}$ at $\widetilde{Z}_{\theta}=\left[P_{C_{i}}\right]$. Then we identify naturally the point $P_{C_{i}} \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ on $Z_{\theta}$ of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$ with the point on $\bar{Z}_{\theta}$ defined by $\widetilde{Z}_{\theta}-\left[P_{C_{i}}\right]=t=0$.

We can easily see that the defining equation of the strict transform of $g_{C_{i}}=0$ on $W_{r}$ near $\widetilde{Z}_{\theta}=\left[P_{C_{i}}\right]$ is $\left(\widetilde{Z}_{\theta}-\left[P_{C_{i}}\right]\right)^{2}=t^{n_{i}}$. Thus, if $\bar{Z}_{\theta}$ is not a component of $B_{r}$, the singular point on $S_{r}$ over $\widetilde{Z}_{\theta}-\left[P_{C_{i}}\right]=t=0$ is a rational double point of type $A_{n_{i}-1}$. In the proof of Claim 3.2, we show that a exceptional set corresponding to a body component of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$ is not a component of $B_{r}$.

CLaim 3.1. Let $\tau_{r^{\prime}}: W_{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow W_{r^{\prime}-1}$ be the blowing-up at $Q ; \widetilde{Z}_{\theta}-\left[a_{\theta^{\prime}}\right]=t=0$. Then, the strict transform $\widetilde{B}_{r^{\prime}-1}$ of the divisor $B_{0}$ by $\bar{\tau}_{r^{\prime}-1}=\tau_{1} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{r^{\prime}-1}$ is singular
at $Q$.

Proof of Claim 3.1. Note that the strict transform of $f_{P_{\xi}}=0$ or $g_{C_{i}}=0$ by $\bar{\tau}_{r^{\prime}-1}$ contains $Q$, if and only if $f_{P_{\xi}}$ or $g_{C_{i}}$ include a monomial whose coefficient is [ $a_{\theta^{\prime}}$ ]. Assume that $\widetilde{B}_{r^{\prime}-1}$ is nonsingular at $\widetilde{Z}_{\theta}-\left[a_{\theta^{\prime}}\right]=t=0$. Then, there exists unique irreducible component $D$ of $\widetilde{B}_{r^{\prime}-1}$ that contains $Q$. Let $D^{\prime}$ be the irreducible component of $B_{0}$ such that the strict transform of $D^{\prime}$ by $\widetilde{\tau}_{r^{\prime}-1}$ is $D$. If the defining equation of $D^{\prime}$ is $g_{C_{i}}=0$, we see that $\Pi\left(C_{i}\right)$ is on the component $Z_{\theta}$ of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$ because if $\Pi\left(C_{i}\right)$ is not on $Z_{\theta}$, the strict transform of $D^{\prime}$ by $\bar{\tau}_{r^{\prime}-1}$ is singular. Then, the strict transform of $g_{C_{i}}=0$ intersects $\bar{Z}_{\theta}$ at $\widetilde{Z}_{\theta}=\left[P_{C_{i}}\right]$. It contradicts that $\left\{\left[P_{C_{i}}\right],\left[P_{\xi}\right],\left[a_{\theta}\right]\right\}$ is a set of mutually distinct complex numbers. Thus, there exists a branch point $P_{\xi} \in Z_{\tilde{\theta}}$ of $\Pi$ such that the defining equation of $D^{\prime}$ is $f_{P_{\xi}}=0$. If $\theta=\widetilde{\theta}$, it contradicts the fact $\left[P_{\xi}\right] \neq\left[a_{\theta}\right]$. If $\theta \neq \widetilde{\theta}$, we see that there exists no $\Pi\left(C_{j}\right)$ and no branch points but $P_{\xi}$ on $Z_{\tilde{\theta}}$. Moreover, we see that $Z_{\tilde{\theta}}$ is a terminal component of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$. It contradicts the assumption that $\mathcal{C}_{A} \cup \mathcal{C}_{B} \cup \mathcal{C}_{C}$ is an admissible system of $\Phi$.

CLaim 3.2. Let $\bar{Z}_{\theta_{1}} \cup \cdots \cup \bar{Z}_{\theta_{N}}$ be a set of the exceptional sets corresponding to the core chain at the image of a curve of Type B or Type $C$ by $\Pi$. Let $\bar{Z}_{\theta_{0}}$ and $\bar{Z}_{\theta_{N+1}}$ are the exceptional sets corresponding to the body components such that $\bar{Z}_{\theta_{0}}$ and $\bar{Z}_{\theta_{N+1}}$ intersect $\bar{Z}_{\theta_{1}}$ and $\bar{Z}_{\theta_{N}}$, respectively. Then, if $\bar{Z}_{\theta_{1}} \cup \cdots \cup \bar{Z}_{\theta_{N}}$ is a set of the exceptional sets corresponding to the core chain at the image of a curve of Type $C$, each $\bar{Z}_{\theta_{i}}$ is not a component of $B_{r}$. If $\bar{Z}_{\theta_{1}} \cup \cdots \cup \bar{Z}_{\theta_{N}}$ is corresponding to the core chain at the image of a curve of Type B by $\Pi$, then each $\bar{Z}_{\theta_{i}}$ is a component of $B_{r}$ when $i$ is odd and not a component of $B_{r}$ when $i$ is even.

Proof of Claim 3.2. Let $\tau_{r^{\prime}}: W_{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow W_{r^{\prime}-1}$ be the blowing-up whose exceptional set is $\bar{Z}_{\theta_{1}}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\bar{Z}_{\theta_{2}}, \ldots, \bar{Z}_{\theta_{N}}$ are not the exceptional sets of $\bar{\tau}_{r^{\prime}-1}$. Thus, we can consider that $\tau_{r^{\prime}}$ is the blowing-up at $Q ; \widetilde{Z}_{\theta_{0}}-$ $\left[a_{\theta_{1}}\right]=t=0$.

Let $\left\{\theta_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots \theta_{w}^{\prime}\right\}$ be the subset of $\mathcal{I}_{\Phi}$ such that each $Z_{\theta_{i}^{\prime}}$ is contracted to $Q$ by $\tau_{r^{\prime}} \circ$ $\cdots \circ \tau_{r}$. By the definition of $f_{P_{\xi}}$ and $g_{C_{i}}$, we see that each strict transform of $f_{P_{\xi}}=$ 0 (resp. $g_{C_{i}}=0$ ) by $\bar{\tau}_{r^{\prime}-1}$ contains $Q$ if and only if there exists $\theta_{i}^{\prime}$ such that $f_{P_{\xi}}$ (resp. $g_{C_{i}}$ ) includes a monomial whose coefficient is $\left[a_{\theta_{i}}\right]$. The multiplicities of the strict transform of $f_{P_{\xi}}=0$ and $g_{C_{i}}=0$ at $Q$ are one and two, respectively if they contain $Q$. Thus, the multiplicity of the strict transform $\widetilde{B}_{r^{\prime}-1}$ of $B_{0}$ at $Q$ by $\bar{\tau}_{r^{\prime}-1}$ coincides with the number of the branch points of $\Pi$ that are on the components $Z_{\theta_{1}^{\prime}}, \ldots$, $Z_{\theta_{w}^{\prime}}$ of $X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$. Then, we see that the multiplicity of $\widetilde{B}_{r^{\prime}-1}$ at $Q$ is odd if $\bar{Z}_{\theta_{1}} \cup \cdots \cup \bar{Z}_{\theta_{N}}$ is the core chain at the image of a curve of Type B , and even if not. If $\bar{Z}_{\theta_{0}}$ is not a component of $B_{r}$, the assertion is clear because $N$ is odd when $\bar{Z}_{\theta_{1}} \cup \cdots \cup \bar{Z}_{\theta_{N}}$ is the core chain at the image of a curve of Type B. Though, since the strict transform of $\Gamma_{0}$ is a component corresponding to a body component and not a component of $B_{r}$, we see that all components corresponding to body components are not components
of $B_{r}$.
Let $\bar{P}_{i}$ and $\bar{P}_{C_{i}}$ be points on $\left(\tau_{1} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{r}\right)^{*} \Gamma_{0}$ corresponding to $P_{i}$ and $P_{C_{i}}$, respectively. Let $\widetilde{r}: \widetilde{S}_{r} \rightarrow S_{r}$ be the minimal resolution of all singular points of type $A_{n}$ on $S_{r}$ and $\widetilde{S}_{r} \rightarrow S$ the blowing-downs of suitable ( -1 )-curves successively on $\widetilde{S}_{r}$ such that $S$ has no ( -1 )-curve. Let $\widetilde{X}$ be the singular fiber of $\pi_{r} \circ \psi_{r}: S_{r} \rightarrow \Delta$. By Claim 3.2, $\left.\psi_{r}\right|_{\tilde{X}}: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow\left(\tau_{1} \circ \cdots \circ \tau_{r}\right)^{*} \Gamma_{0} \simeq X_{[\Phi, \Pi]}$ is a double cover branched along the components corresponding to $\bigcup\left(\bigcup L_{\bar{C}_{j}, 2 d-1}\right)$ and branched at the points corresponding to $\mathcal{P}^{\prime} \cup\left\{P_{C_{i}}\right\}$. Moreover, $\left.\psi_{r}\right|_{\tilde{X}} ^{-1}\left(\bar{P}_{C_{i}}\right)$ is a double point of $\widetilde{X}$ and $\left.\psi_{r}\right|_{\tilde{X}} ^{-1}\left(\bar{P}_{i}\right)$ is nonsingular point of $\tilde{X}$. Thus we see that $\psi_{r} \mid \tilde{X}$ satisfies the same conditions as $\Pi_{[\Phi]}$ and $\widetilde{X}$ is homeomorphic to $\widetilde{X}_{[\Phi]}$. Since $\psi_{r}^{-1}\left(\bar{P}_{C_{i}}\right)$ is a rational double point of type $A_{n_{i}-1}$, the singular fiber of $\pi_{r} \circ \psi_{r} \circ \widetilde{r}: \widetilde{S}_{r} \rightarrow \Delta$ is homeomorphic to

$$
X_{\left\{\left(C_{i}, n_{i}\right)_{i \leq k},\left(\bar{C}_{j}, 2 \bar{n}_{j}\right)_{j \leq m},\left(C_{l}^{\prime}, n_{l}\right)_{l \leq s},\left(I\left(C_{l}^{\prime}\right), n_{l}\right)_{l \leq s}\right\}}
$$

because $\widetilde{X}_{[\Phi]}$ is given by (2).
By the proof of Claim 3.2, we see that $\bar{Z}_{\theta}$ is a component of $B_{r}$ if and only if $\bar{Z}_{\theta}$ corresponds to a component of $\bigcup\left(\bigcup L_{\bar{C}_{j}, 2 d-1}\right)$. Since the multiplicity of $\psi_{r}^{*}\left(\bar{Z}_{\theta}\right)$ is two when $\bar{Z}_{\theta} \subset B_{r}, \psi_{r}^{*}\left(\bar{Z}_{\theta}\right)$ is a ( -1 )-curve. Moreover, we see that $\psi_{r}^{*}\left(\bar{Z}_{\theta}\right)$ is not a $(-1)$-curve when $\bar{Z}_{\theta}$ does not correspond to a component of $\cup\left(\bigcup L_{\bar{C}_{j}, 2 d-1}\right)$ by Claim 3.1. Thus, we see that the special fiber of $\phi: S \rightarrow \Delta$ is homeomorphic to $X_{[\Phi]}$. We complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.
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