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#### Abstract

We give families of elliptic curves having good reduction everywhere over number fields which are generated by their $j$-invariants of given degree.


Keywords: elliptic curves, everywhere good reduction, $j$-invariants.

It is known that the $j$-invariant $j(E)$ of an elliptic curve $E$ defined over a number field $K$ is an algebraic integer if and only if there exists a finite extension $F / K$ such that $E$ attains good reduction everywhere over $F$ (cf. [3, Proposition VII.5.5]). It follows that every algebraic integer $\alpha$ belongs to $j\left(\mathcal{E}_{F}^{0}\right)$ for some extension field $F$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Here, $\mathcal{E}_{F}$ is the set of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves defined over $F, \mathcal{E}_{F}^{0}$ is the subset of $\mathcal{E}_{F}$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{E}_{F}^{0}=\left\{E \in \mathcal{E}_{F}: E \text { has good reduction everywhere over } F\right\}
$$

and $j\left(\mathcal{E}_{F}^{0}\right)=\left\{j(E): E \in \mathcal{E}_{F}^{0}\right\}$. However, we have $\alpha \notin j\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}^{0}\right)$ for many algebraic integers $\alpha$, because it is known that $\mathcal{E}_{K}^{0}$ is a finite set for any $K$. For example, we have $\alpha \notin j\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}^{0}\right)$ for any rational integer $\alpha$, because there exist no elliptic curves having good reduction everywhere over $\mathbb{Q}$, that is, $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{0}=\emptyset$. We consider the following problem.

Problem. Find algebraic integers $\alpha$ such that $\alpha \in j\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}^{0}\right)$, i.e., $\alpha=j(E)$ for some elliptic curve $E$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ and having good reduction everywhere over $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.

In [2], Rohrlich considered a specific case of the problem. He gave a necessary and sufficient condition for an algebraic integer $\alpha$ to be the $j$-invariant of an elliptic curve $E \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}^{0}$ with complex multiplication by the ring of integers of an imaginary
quadratic field. By his result, it is immediately shown that there exist infinitely many algebraic integers $\alpha$ satisfying $\alpha \in j\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}^{0}\right)$. However, since there exist only finitely many imaginary quadratic fields with given class number, his result gives a finite number of algebraic integers $\alpha \in j\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}^{0}\right)$ of given degree. In this paper, we prove the following theorem.
Theorem 1. For any $n \geqslant 2$, there exist infinitely many algebraic integers $\alpha$ of degree $n$ such that $\alpha \in j\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}^{0}\right)$.

Theorem 1 is known to be true for the case $n \leqslant 3$. Tate showed that a root $\alpha$ of the polynomial $x^{2}-1728 x+a^{12}$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ prime to 6 satisfies $\alpha \in j\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}^{0}\right)$. Actually, the elliptic curve defined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}+x y=x^{3}-\frac{36}{\alpha-1728} x-\frac{1}{\alpha-1728} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the $j$-invariant equal to $\alpha$ and has good reduction everywhere over the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ (see the remark following the proof of Proposition 2). The author gave a family of elliptic curves having good reduction everywhere over cubic fields with cubic $j$-invariants ([4, Theorem 1.2]).

We give two families of elliptic curves having good reduction everywhere in Propositions 2 and 3. The elliptic curves in Proposition 2 are inspired by the example of Tate, and Proposition 3 is a straightforward generalization of the result of the author.

Proposition 2. Let $n, a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \geqslant 2$. Assume that a satisfies $a^{4} \equiv 1(\bmod$ 1728) and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a, 1728^{n}(n-1)-1\right)=1$. The polynomial

$$
f_{n, a}(x)=x^{n}+\left(\frac{a^{4}-1}{1728}-1728^{n-1}\right) x+1
$$

is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. For a root $\alpha$ of $f_{n, a}(x)$, let $E$ be the elliptic curve defined by (1). Then $j(E)=\alpha$ and $E$ has good reduction everywhere over $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.
Proposition 3. Let $n, a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \geqslant 2$. The polynomial

$$
g_{n, a}(x)=x^{n}-16^{n-2}(a-16) x^{n-1}+a x-1
$$

is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. For a root $\epsilon$ of $g_{n, a}(x)$, let $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ be the elliptic curves defined by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}: y^{2}+x y=x^{3}+16 \epsilon x^{2}+8 \epsilon x+\epsilon \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}: y^{2}+x y=x^{3}-8 \epsilon x^{2}+2 \epsilon(8 \epsilon-3) x+\epsilon(4 \epsilon-1) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ have good reduction everywhere over $\mathbb{Q}(\epsilon)$. Moreover, their $j$-invariants, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{1}=\frac{\left(4096 \epsilon^{2}-256 \epsilon+1\right)^{3}}{\epsilon(16 \epsilon-1)} \quad \text { and } \quad j_{2}=\frac{\left(256 \epsilon^{2}+224 \epsilon+1\right)^{3}}{\epsilon(1-16 \epsilon)^{4}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively, satisfy $\mathbb{Q}(\epsilon)=\mathbb{Q}\left(j_{1}\right)=\mathbb{Q}\left(j_{2}\right)$.

Theorem 1 follows immediately from Proposition 2 since there exist infinitely many $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying the conditions. In the case $n \geqslant 3$, Theorem 1 also follows from Proposition 3 since the number of the roots $\epsilon$ defining the same $j$-invariant is only finite by (4). When $n=2$, the polynomial $g_{2, a}(x)=x^{2}+16 x-1$ does not depend on $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, so Proposition 3 only gives elliptic curves defined over the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\epsilon)=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{65})$. The two propositions give almost distinct algebraic integers $\alpha$ satisfying $\alpha \in j\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)}^{0}\right)$ (see Proposition 5).

In order to prove the irreducibility of $f_{n, a}(x)$ and $g_{n, a}(x)$ in Propositions 2 and 3 , we use the following lemma which follows immediately from the irreducibility criterion of Perron ([1, Theorem 2]).

Lemma 4. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \geqslant 2$ and

$$
F(x)=x^{n}+s x^{n-1}+t x \pm 1,
$$

where $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $|s|>|t|+2$ or $|t|>|s|+2$, then $F(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$.
We begin the proofs of the propositions.
Proof of Proposition 2. Set $b=\frac{a^{4}-1}{1728}-1728^{n-1}$. We have $|b|>2$. Indeed, $(x, y)=\left(12^{n}, a^{2}\right)$ is on the elliptic curve $y^{2}=x^{3}+1728 b+1$, but this curve has no integral point of such a form if $|b| \leqslant 2$. Therefore $f_{n, a}(x)=x^{n}+b x+1$ is irreducible by Lemma 4.

The discriminant of (1) is given by

$$
\Delta=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{(\alpha-1728)^{3}} .
$$

We denote by $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the normalized additive valuation on $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ at $\mathfrak{p}$. Assume that a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ satisfies $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha-1728)=0$. The coefficients of (1) are $\mathfrak{p}$-integral. Moreover, we have $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta)=0$ since $\alpha$ is a unit by the definition. Thus $E$ has good reduction at $\mathfrak{p}$. Assume that $\mathfrak{p}$ satisfies $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha-1728)>0$. Then we have $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha)=\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(6)=0$. To prove that $E$ has good reduction at $\mathfrak{p}$, we have only to show that $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta) \equiv 0(\bmod 12)(c f .[3$, Exercise 7.2]). Since $\alpha$ is a root of $f_{n, a}(x)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{4} \alpha & =-1728 \alpha^{n}+1728^{n} \alpha+\alpha-1728 \\
& =(\alpha-1728)\left(1-1728 \alpha \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} 1728^{i} \alpha^{n-2-i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(a)>0$, which implies $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(1728^{n}(n-1)-1\right)=0$ by the assumption on $a$. On the other hand, we have

$$
1-1728 \alpha\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} 1728^{i} \alpha^{n-2-i}\right) \equiv 1-1728^{n}(n-1)(\bmod \mathfrak{p})
$$

since $\alpha \equiv 1728(\bmod \mathfrak{p})$. Thus $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha-1728)=\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(a^{4}\right)=4 \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(a)$. This shows that $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Delta)=2 \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha)-3 \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha-1728)=-12 \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) \equiv 0(\bmod 12)$ as desired.

Remark. As in the proof above, $E$ with discriminant $\Delta=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{(\alpha-1728)^{3}}$ has good reduction at a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ with $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(6)=0$ if $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) \geqslant 0$ and $2 \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) \equiv 3 \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha-$ $1728)(\bmod 12)$. For the example of Tate, this condition is verified by $\alpha(\alpha-1728)=$ $a^{12}$. Our curves are constructed so that $\alpha$ is a unit and $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha-1728) \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$.
Proof of Proposition 3. When $n=2$ and 3, the polynomial $g_{n, a}(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ since $g_{n, a}( \pm 1) \neq 0$. When $n \geqslant 4$, if $a \neq 16$, we have $16^{n-2}|a-16|>$ $|a|+2$. So $g_{n, a}(x)$ is irreducible by Lemma 4. The irreducibility of $g_{n, 16}(x)=$ $x^{n}+16 x-1$ also follows by Lemma 4 .

Let $\epsilon$ be a root of $g_{n, a}(x)$. The discriminants of $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ are given by $-\epsilon(1-16 \epsilon)$ and $\epsilon(1-16 \epsilon)^{4}$ respectively. Clearly $\epsilon$ is a unit by the definition, and $1-16 \epsilon$ is also a unit since $1-16 \epsilon$ is a root of $(-16)^{n} g_{n, a}\left(\frac{1-x}{16}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ which is a monic polynomial with constant term $1-16^{n-1}(a-16)+16^{n-1} a-16^{n}=$ 1. Therefore $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ have unit discriminants, that is, $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ have good reduction everywhere over $\mathbb{Q}(\epsilon)$. By (4), $\epsilon^{-1}$ is a root of the polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{6}+\left(j_{1}-768\right) x^{5}-2^{4}\left(j_{1}-13056\right) x^{4}-2^{21} 11 x^{3}+2^{24} 51 x^{2}-2^{32} 3 x+2^{36} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Every conjugate of $\epsilon^{-1}$ over $\mathbb{Q}\left(j_{1}\right)$ is a unit and a root of (5). On the other hand, (5) have only one 2 -adic unit root since $j_{1}$ is a 2 -adic unit by (4). Therefore $\epsilon^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}\left(j_{1}\right)$. This means $\mathbb{Q}(\epsilon)=\mathbb{Q}\left(j_{1}\right)$. We can show that $j_{2}$ satisfies $\mathbb{Q}\left(j_{2}\right)=\mathbb{Q}(\epsilon)$ by using the same argument, because $\epsilon^{-1}$ is a root of the polynomial of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{6}-\left(j_{2}-672\right) x^{5}+2^{6}\left(j_{2}+2364\right) & x^{4}-2^{9}\left(3 j_{2}-22624\right) x^{3} \\
& +2^{14}\left(2364+j_{2}\right) x^{2}-2^{16}\left(j_{2}-672\right) x+2^{24}
\end{aligned}
$$

over $\mathbb{Q}\left(j_{2}\right)$ by (4).
Remark (cf. [4, Remark 4.1 (A2)]). $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ are isogenous to the elliptic curve

$$
E_{3}: y^{2}+x y=x^{3}-8 \epsilon x^{2}+\epsilon(16 \epsilon-1) x \quad \text { with } j_{3}=\frac{\left(256 \epsilon^{2}-16 \epsilon+1\right)^{3}}{\epsilon^{2}(1-16 \epsilon)^{2}}
$$

which has three $\mathbb{Q}(\epsilon)$-rational points of order 2 . Therefore, we have the four curves $E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}$ and

$$
E_{4}: y^{2}+x y=x^{3}-2 \epsilon x^{2}+\epsilon^{2} x \quad \text { with } j_{4}=\frac{\left(16 \epsilon^{2}-16 \epsilon+1\right)^{3}}{\epsilon^{4}(1-16 \epsilon)}
$$

isogenous to each other. So $E_{3}$ and $E_{4}$ also belong to $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}(\epsilon)}^{0}$. It is shown that the degree of $j_{3}$ (resp. $j_{4}$ ) is greater than or equal to $\frac{n}{2}$ (resp. $\frac{n}{4}$ ) by applying the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3. Actually, there is a case that the degrees of $j_{3}$ and $j_{4}$ are $\frac{n}{2}$. For example, when $(n, a)=(4,32)$, we have $\mathbb{Q}\left(j_{3}\right)=\mathbb{Q}\left(j_{4}\right)=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{16385})$.

We end this paper by remarking that the number fields given in Propositions 2 and 3 have different number of real places in general.

## Proposition 5.

(i) Assume $n$ is odd and $|a|>\sqrt[4]{1728^{n}+1}$. Then the number of real places of the field defined by $f_{n, a}(x)$ is 1 .
(ii) Assume $n$ is even. Then the number of real places of the field defined by $f_{n, a}(x)$ is less than or equal to 2.
(iii) Assume $a \neq 16$ (resp. $a \leqslant-48$ or $a>16$ ) if $n$ is odd (resp. even). Then the number of real places of the field defined by $g_{n, a}(x)$ is 3 (resp. 4).

Proof. Count the number of the real roots of $f_{n, a}(x)$ and $g_{n, a}(x)$.
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