

20. Strong Continuity of the Solution to the Ljapunov Equation $XL - BX = C$ Relative to an Elliptic Operator L

By Takao NAMBU

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, Kumamoto University

(Communicated by Kôsaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., March 13, 1989)

§ 1. Introduction. An operator equation, the so called Ljapunov equation, often appears in stabilization studies of linear parabolic systems. The equation is written as $XL - BX = C$, where the operators L , B , and C are given linear operators acting in separable Hilbert spaces, and are derived from a specific boundary feedback control system [6, 7, 8]. A general stabilization scheme for an unstable parabolic equation has been established in [6]. The parabolic equation containing L as a coefficient operator is often affected by small perturbations which may be sometimes interpreted as errors in mathematical formulation of a physical system. In such a case, does the feedback scheme still work for stabilization of the perturbed equation? A study of continuity of a solution X relative to L is fundamental to answer the question. It is the purpose of the paper to examine the continuity of X . We will see in § 2 below an affirmative result on this problem.

Let us specify the operators L , B , and C . \mathcal{L} will denote a strongly elliptic differential operator of order 2 in a connected bounded domain Ω of \mathbb{R}^m with a finite number of smooth boundaries Γ of $(m-1)$ -dimension;

$$\mathcal{L}u = - \sum_{i,j=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^m b_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + c(x)u,$$

where $a_{ij}(x) = a_{ji}(x)$, $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, and for some positive δ

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \delta |\xi|^2, \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m), \quad x \in \Omega.$$

Associated with \mathcal{L} is a generalized Neumann boundary operator τ ;

$$\tau u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + \sigma(\xi)u,$$

where $\partial/\partial \nu = \sum_{i,j=1}^m a_{ij}(\xi) \nu_i(\xi) \partial/\partial x_j$, and $(\nu_1(\xi), \dots, \nu_m(\xi))$ indicates the outward normal at $\xi \in \Gamma$. Then, L is defined in $L^2(\Omega)$ by

$$Lu = \mathcal{L}u, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(L) = \{u \in H^2(\Omega); \tau u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma\}.$$

All norms hereafter will be either $L^2(\Omega)$ - or $\mathcal{L}(L^2(\Omega))$ -norm unless otherwise indicated. As is well known [2], the spectrum $\sigma(L)$ lies in the interior of a parabola $\{\lambda = \sigma + i\tau; \sigma = a\tau^2 - b, \tau \in \mathbb{R}^1\}$, $a > 0$. Second, the general structure of the operator B is specified in the following lemma:

Lemma 1.1 [6]. *Let A be a positive-definite self-adjoint operator in a separable Hilbert space H_0 with a compact resolvent. Let $\{\mu_i^2, \zeta_{ij}; i \geq 1, 1 \leq j \leq n_i (< \infty)\}$ denote the eigenpairs of A (μ_i^2 are labelled according to increasing order, and ζ_{ij} normalized). Define H and B as*

$$H = \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2}) \times H_0,$$

and

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ A & 2aA^{1/2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{D}(B) = \mathcal{D}(A) \times \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2}), \quad a \in (0, 1)$$

respectively. Furthermore, set

$$\eta_{ij}^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \mu_i} \begin{bmatrix} \zeta_{ij} \\ -\mu_i \omega^\pm \zeta_{ij} \end{bmatrix}, \quad i \geq 1, 1 \leq j \leq n_i, \quad \omega^\pm = a \pm \sqrt{1 - a^2} i.$$

Then

- (i) $\sigma(B) = \{\mu_i \omega^\pm; i \geq 1\}$, $0 < \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \dots \rightarrow \infty$;
- (ii) $B\eta_{ij}^\pm = \mu_i \omega^\pm \eta_{ij}^\pm$, $i \geq 1, 1 \leq j \leq n_i$; and
- (iii) the set $\{\eta_{ij}^\pm; i \geq 1, 1 \leq j \leq n_i\}$ forms a normalized Riesz basis for H .

Remark. Define a real Hilbert space \hat{H} by

$$\hat{H} = \left\{ h \in H; h = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (h_{ij} \eta_{ij}^+ + \overline{h_{ij}} \eta_{ij}^-), \sum_{i,j} |h_{ij}|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Then, it is easy to see that B maps $\mathcal{D}(B) \cap \hat{H}$ onto \hat{H} .

Let c be a positive constant, and set $L_c = L + c$ so that $\sigma(L_c)$ is entirely contained in the right half-plane. Choose real-valued $w_k \in L^2(\Gamma)$, and $\xi_k \in \hat{H}$, $1 \leq k \leq N$, N being some integer. Then, the operator C is defined as

$$Cu = - \sum_{k=1}^N \langle L_c^{\alpha/2} u, w_k \rangle_r \xi_k, \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{2} + 2\varepsilon, \quad 0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{4},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_r$ indicates the inner product in $L^2(\Gamma)$. Physically, w_k 's are interpreted as weighting functions for observations located on Γ , and ξ_k 's as actuators of a so called compensator [6, 7, 8] in a feedback control system. The number N plays an important role in stabilization studies. Let ξ_k be expressed by $\sum_{i,j} (\xi_{ij}^k \eta_{ij}^+ + \overline{\xi_{ij}^k} \eta_{ij}^-)$. Finally, we assume that

$$\sigma(L) \cap \sigma(B) = \emptyset.$$

Under this assumption, we have

Theorem 1.2 [6]. *The Ljapunov equation $XL - BX = C$ on $\mathcal{D}(L)$ has a unique solution $X \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\Omega); H) \cap \mathcal{L}(L_R^2(\Omega); \hat{H})^*$. The solution X is expressed by*

$$(1) \quad Xu = \sum_{i,j} \sum_{k=1}^N f_k(\mu_i \omega^+; u) \xi_{ij}^k \eta_{ij}^+ + \sum_{i,j} \sum_{k=1}^N f_k(\mu_i \omega^-; u) \overline{\xi_{ij}^k} \eta_{ij}^-, \\ f_k(\lambda; u) = \langle L_c^{\alpha/2} (\lambda - L)^{-1} u, w_k \rangle_r, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N.$$

When \mathcal{L} and τ are perturbed, the resultant operators will be written as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}u = - \sum_{i,j=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\tilde{a}_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{b}_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + \tilde{c}(x)u,$$

and

$$\tilde{\tau}u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{y}} + \tilde{\sigma}(\xi)u = \sum_{i,j=1}^m \tilde{a}_{ij}(\xi) \nu_i(\xi) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} + \tilde{\sigma}(\xi)u$$

respectively. Then, \tilde{L} is defined by

$$\tilde{L}u = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}u, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{L}) = \{u \in H^2(\Omega); \tilde{\tau}u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma\}.$$

Here, the symmetry of \tilde{a}_{ij} is not generally assumed, i.e., $\tilde{a}_{ij} \neq \tilde{a}_{ji}$. The

*) $L_R^2(\Omega)$ indicates a subspace of $L^2(\Omega)$ consisting of real-valued functions.

strong ellipticity of \tilde{L} is ensured when $\tilde{a}_{ij} - a_{ij}$ are small enough. \tilde{X} will denote the solution to the Ljapunov equation with L replaced by \tilde{L} , i.e., $\tilde{X}\tilde{L} - B\tilde{X} = \tilde{C} = -\sum_{k=1}^N \langle \tilde{L}_c^{\alpha/2}, w_k \rangle \xi_k$. Our goal is to show strong continuity of \tilde{X} relative to \tilde{a}_{ij} , \tilde{b}_i , \tilde{c} , and $\tilde{\sigma}$. For a control theoretic and geometric property of X , we refer the reader to [6, 7, 8].

§ 2. Main result. In order to ensure strong continuity of \tilde{X} , we assume throughout the section that $\tilde{a}_{ij}(x)$ and $\tilde{b}_i(x)$, $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, are uniformly bounded in $C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ and so is $\tilde{\sigma}(\xi)$ in $C^2(\Gamma)$. We further assume that ξ_k satisfy

$$\sum_{i,j} \mu_i^{2\alpha} |\xi_{ij}^k|^2 < \infty, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N.$$

Then, our main result is stated as follows :

Theorem 2.1. *The operator \tilde{X} strongly converges to X uniformly in every bounded set of $L^2(\Omega)$ if $\delta = \sum_{i,j=1}^m \|\tilde{a}_{ij} - a_{ij}\|_{C^1(\bar{\Omega})} + \sum_{i=1}^m \|\tilde{b}_i - b_i\|_{C^0(\bar{\Omega})} + \|\tilde{c} - c\|_{C^0(\bar{\Omega})} + \|\tilde{\sigma} - \sigma\|_{C^1(\Gamma)}$ tends to 0.*

Outline of the proof. The operator \tilde{X} is written by (1) with $f_k(\lambda; u)$ replaced by $\tilde{f}_k(\lambda; u) = \langle \tilde{L}_c^{\alpha/2}(\lambda - \tilde{L})^{-1}u, w_k \rangle_{\Gamma}$. We have to estimate the $L^2(\Gamma)$ -norm of

$$h(\lambda) = \tilde{L}_c^{\alpha/2}(\lambda - \tilde{L})^{-1}u - L_c^{\alpha/2}(\lambda - L)^{-1}u, \quad \lambda = \mu_i \omega^{\pm}.$$

Define an auxiliary operator \hat{L} by

$$\hat{L}u = \tilde{L}u, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(\hat{L}) = \mathcal{D}(L).$$

Note that $\hat{L}_c = \hat{L} + c$ is not necessarily an accretive operator. There is a sector $\bar{\Sigma} = \{\lambda = \mu - d; \theta \leq |\arg \mu| \leq \pi\}$, $d > 0$, $0 < \theta < \pi/2$, such that the resolvents $(\lambda - L)^{-1}$, $(\lambda - \tilde{L})^{-1}$, and $(\lambda - \hat{L})^{-1}$ exist in $\bar{\Sigma}$ and satisfy

$$\|(\lambda - L)^{-1}\|, \quad \|(\lambda - \tilde{L})^{-1}\|, \quad \|(\lambda - \hat{L})^{-1}\| \leq \frac{\text{const}}{1 + |\lambda|}, \quad \lambda \in \bar{\Sigma},$$

and that $\mu_i \omega^{\pm} \in \bar{\Sigma}$, $i \geq 1$. Here, the above constant is independent of δ , and so will be constants appearing below. As is well known [1], $\mathcal{D}(L_c) = \mathcal{D}(\tilde{L}_c) = H^{2r}(\Omega)$ if $0 \leq r < 3/4$ (constants for the equivalence relations depend on δ).

A further analysis via \hat{L} shows

Lemma 2.2. *If $0 \leq r < 3/4$, $\|\tilde{L}_c L_c^{-r}\|$ is uniformly bounded, and $\tilde{L}_c L_c^{-r}$ strongly converges to 1 as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.*

According to m -accretiveness of $\tilde{L}_c^{1/2}$ and $L_c^{1/2}$, we can show

Lemma 2.3. *If $0 \leq r \leq 1/2$, $\|L_c \tilde{L}_c^{-r}\|$ is uniformly bounded. As a consequence of Lemma 2.2, $L_c \tilde{L}_c^{-r}$ strongly converges to 1 as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.*

Given a $g \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$, let us consider the boundary value problem

$$(2) \quad (\lambda - \tilde{L})u = 0, \quad \tilde{\tau}u = g.$$

Lemma 2.4. *There exists a unique solution $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ to eqn. (2) for $\lambda \in \bar{\Sigma}$. The solution u is denoted by $\tilde{N}(\lambda)g$. Then, $\tilde{N}(\lambda)$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}(H^{1/2}(\Gamma); H^2(\Omega))$, and satisfies an estimate*

$$\|\tilde{L}_c \tilde{N}(\lambda)g\| \leq \text{const} |\lambda|^r \|g\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)}, \quad \lambda \in \bar{\Sigma}, \quad 0 \leq r < \frac{3}{4}.$$

Before estimating $h(\lambda)$, let us note a relation

$$h(\lambda) = -\tilde{L}_c^{\alpha/2} \tilde{N}(\lambda)(\tilde{\tau} - \tau)(\lambda - \hat{L})^{-1}u + \tilde{L}_c^{\alpha/2}(\lambda - L)^{-1}(\hat{L} - L)(\lambda - \hat{L})^{-1}u + (\tilde{L}_c^{\alpha/2} - L_c^{\alpha/2})(\lambda - L)^{-1}u.$$

Based on the preceding lemmas and the trace theorem [2, 5], we estimate $h(\lambda)$ as

$$\|h(\mu_i \omega^\pm)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \leq \text{const } \mu_i^\alpha \delta \|u\| \\ + \text{const } \|(\tilde{L}_c^\alpha L_c^{-\alpha} - \tilde{L}_c^{\alpha/2} L_c^{-\alpha/2}) L_c^\alpha (\mu_i \omega^\pm - L)^{-1} u\|.$$

By recalling that each $L_c^\alpha (\mu_i \omega^\pm - L)^{-1}$ is a compact operator, the second term of the above right-hand side converges to 0 uniformly in $i \geq 1$ and in u (in a bounded set of $L^2(\Omega)$). Thus, the assertion of Theorem 2.1 immediately follows. Details of the proof will appear elsewhere.

References

- [1] D. Fujiwara: Concrete characterization of the domain of fractional powers of some elliptic differential operators of the second order. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **43**, 82–86 (1967).
- [2] D. Gilberg and N. S. Trudinger: *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*. Springer-Verlag, New York (1977).
- [3] T. Kato: A generalization of the Heinz inequality. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **37**, 305–308 (1961).
- [4] S. G. Krein: *Linear Differential Equations in Banach Space*. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I. (1971).
- [5] J. L. Lions and E. Magenes: *Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications*. vol. 1, Springer-Verlag, New York (1972).
- [6] T. Nambu: On stabilization of partial differential equations of parabolic type: Boundary observation and feedback. *Funkcial. Ekvac.*, **28**, 267–298 (1985).
- [7] —: The Ljapunov equation and an application to stabilisation of one-dimensional diffusion equations. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, Sec. A*, **104**, 39–52 (1986).
- [8] —: An extension of stabilizing compensators for boundary control systems of parabolic type (accepted for publication in *J. Dynamics and Differential Equations*).
- [9] M. Rosenblum: On the operator equation $BX - XA = Q$. *Duke Math. J.*, **23**, 263–269 (1956).