50. Regular Near-rings without Non-zero Nilpotent Elements

By Iwao YAKABE

Department of Mathematics, College of General Education, Kyushu University

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., June 13, 1989)

1. Introduction. In ring theory, it is well known that regular rings without non-zero nilpotent elements are characterized in terms of quasiideals, that is, the following conditions on a ring R are equivalent:

(a) R is regular and has no non-zero nilpotent elements.

(b) Every quasi-ideal of R is idempotent.

(c) For any two quasi-ideals Q_1 , Q_2 of R, $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = Q_1Q_2$.

See [4, Theorem 11.5].

The purpose of this note is to characterize regular zero-symmetric near-rings without non-zero nilpotent elements, in terms of quasi-ideals. The analogy between the regular rings and near-rings without non-zero nilpotent elements is not complete.

For the basic terminology and notation we refer to [3].

2. Preliminaries. Let N be a near-ring, which always means right zero-symmetric one throughout this note.

If A, B and C are three non-empty subsets of N, then AB (ABC) denotes the set of all finite sums of the form $\sum a_k b_k$ with $a_k \in A$, $b_k \in B$ ($\sum a_k b_k c_k$ with $a_k \in A$, $b_k \in B$, $c_k \in C$). Note that $ABC = (AB)C \subseteq A(BC)$ in general.

A right N-subgroup (left N-subgroup) of N is a subgroup H of (N, +) such that $HN \subseteq H$ $(NH \subseteq H)$. For every subgroup H of (N, +), HN is a right N-subgroup of N.

A quasi-ideal of N is a subgroup Q of (N, +) such that $QN \cap QN \subseteq Q$ (see [5, Proposition 3]). Right N-subgroups and left N-subgroups are quasi-ideals. The intersection of a family of quasi-ideals is again a quasi-ideal.

A near-ring N is called regular, if for every element n of N there exists an element x in N such that nxn = n.

Lemma 1. If a near-ring N is regular, then every quasi-ideal Q of N has the form QNQ=Q.

Proof. Let Q be a quasi-ideal of N, that is, $QN \cap NQ \subseteq Q$. By the regularity of N, $Q \subseteq QNQ$. Moreover we have $QNQ \subseteq QN$ and $QNQ \subseteq NQ$. Hence it follows that

$$Q \subseteq QNQ \subseteq QN \cap NQ \subseteq Q.$$

Thus Q = QNQ.

Now we state here some known results which will be used later.

Lemma 2 (Ligh-Utumi [2]). If N is a regular near-ring without nonzero nilpotent elements, then for any two elements n, m of N there exists an element x in N such that nm=nxn.

A near-ring N is said to be an S-near-ring, if $n \in Nn$ for every element n of N. A near-ring N is called left bipotent, if $Nn = Nn^2$ for every element n of N.

Lemma 3 (Jat-Choudhary [1]). If N is a left bipotent S-near-ring, then N is regular and has no non-zero nilpotent elements.

3. Main result. First of all, we consider some difference between the regular rings and near-rings without non-zero nilpotent elements.

In case R is a near-ring, neither the condition (b) nor (c) in Introduction is equivalent to the condition (a), as illustrated by the following examples.

Example 1. Let $M = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be the near-ring due to [3, Near-rings of low order (D-5)] defined by the tables

+	0	1	2	3	•	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	2	3	0	1	0	1	1	0
2	2	3	0	1	2	0	2	2	0
3	3	0	1	2	3	0	3	3	0

Then *M* has three quasi-ideals: $\{0\}$, $\{0, 2\}$ and *M*. All of them are idempotent. But *M* is not regular, since 3m3=0 for every element *m* of *M*. Thus (b) does not imply (a).

Example 2. Let $V = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be the near-ring due to [3, Near-rings of low order (*E*-1)] defined by the tables

+	0	1	2	3	•	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	3	2	1	0	1	1	1
2	2	3	0	1	2	0	2	2	2
3	3	2	1	0	3	0	3	3	3

Then V is regular and has no non-zero nilpotent elements. But, for quasiideals $Q_1 = \{0, 1\}$, $Q_2 = \{0, 2\}$ of V, we have $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \neq Q_1Q_2$. Thus (a) does not imply (c).

Now we state the main result of this note.

Theorem. The following conditions on a zero-symmetric near-ring N are equivalent:

(1) N is regular and has no non-zero nilpotent elements.

(2) N is an S-near-ring, and every quasi-ideal of N is an idempotent right N-subgroup of N.

(3) N is an S-near-ring, and for any two left N-subgroups L_1 , L_2 of N, $L_1 \cap L_2 = L_1L_2$.

No. 6]

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Clearly every regular near-ring is an S-near-ring. Let Q be a quasi-ideal of N. Any element x of QN has the form $x = \sum q_k n_k$ with $q_k \in Q$, $n_k \in N$. For each k, by Lemma 2, there is an element x_k in N such that $q_k n_k = q_k x_k q_k$. So $x \in QNQ$ and $QN \subseteq QNQ$. Therefore it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$Q = QNQ \subseteq QN \subseteq QNQ,$$

that is, Q = QN. Hence QQ = (QN)Q = QNQ = Q. Thus Q is an idempotent right N-subgroup of N.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$: It is easy to see that the relation $RL \subseteq R \cap L$ always holds for every right N-subgroup R and left N-subgroup L of N. Now let L_1, L_2 be left N-subgroups of N. Since L_1, L_2 and $L_1 \cap L_2$ are quasi-ideals of N, it follows from the assumption (2) that

$$L_1L_2 \subseteq L_1 \cap L_2 = (L_1 \cap L_2)^2 \subseteq L_1L_2,$$

that is, $L_1L_2 = L_1 \cap L_2$.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$: Let *n* be any element of *N*. Since *Nn* and *N* are left *N*-subgroups of *N*, it follows from the assumption (3) that

 $Nn = Nn \cap Nn = (Nn)(Nn)$ and $Nn = Nn \cap N = NnN$. So we get $Nn = (Nn)(Nn) = (NnN)n = Nn^2$. Thus N is a left bipotent Snear-ring. Hence, by Lemma 3, N is regular and has no non-zero nilpotent elements.

4. Remarks. In the condition (2) of Theorem, there are the following three properties of a zero-symmetric near-ring N:

- (i) N is an S-near-ring.
- (ii) Every quasi-ideal of N is idempotent.
- (iii) Every quasi-ideal of N is a right N-subgroup of N.

Remark 1. The property (i) does not follow from the properties (ii) and (iii). Consider the near-ring M in Example 1. Then M has the properties (ii) and (iii). But M does not have the property (i), since $3 \notin M3$.

This example also shows that the converse of Lemma 1 does not hold.

Remark 2. The property (ii) does not follow from the properties (i) and (iii). Let $K = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be the near-ring due to [3, Near-rings of low order (*D*-10)], whose addition coincides with that of *M* in Example 1 and whose multiplication is defined by the table

•	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2	1
2	0	2	0	2
3	0	3	2	3

Then K has three quasi-ideals: $\{0\}$, $\{0, 2\}$ and K. It is easy to see that K has the properties (i) and (iii). But K does not have the property (ii), since $\{0, 2\}^2 \neq \{0, 2\}$.

Remark 3. It is also natural to ask whether the property (iii) follows from the properties (i) and (ii). This question is still open. However,

there exists an S-near-ring N with a quasi-ideal which is idempotent but not a right N-subgroup of N.

Let $W = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be the near-ring due to [3, Near-rings of low order (*E*-13)], whose addition coincides with that of *V* in Example 2 and whose multiplication is defined by the table

0	1	2	3	
0	0	0	0	
0	1	2	3	
0	0	0	0	
0	1	2	3	
	0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

•

Then W is an S-near-ring. The quasi-ideal $\{0, 1\}$ of W is idempotent but not a right W-subgroup of W, since $\{0, 1\}$ W = W.

References

- J. L. Jat and S. C. Choudhary: On left bipotent near-rings. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., 22, 99-107 (1979).
- [2] S. Ligh and Y. Utumi: Some generalizations of strongly regular near rings. Math. Japon., 21, 113-116 (1976).
- [3] G. Pilz: Near-rings. 2nd ed., North-Holland, Amsterdam (1983).
- [4] O. Steinfeld: Quasi-ideals in rings and semigroups. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest (1978).
- [5] I. Yakabe: Quasi-ideals in near-rings. Math. Rep. Kyushu Univ., 14, 41-46 (1983).