

Finite Dimensional Hopf Algebras Coacting on Coalgebras

Fred Van Oystaeyen

Yinhuo Zhang

Introduction

Let H be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra and let C be a left H -comodule coalgebra. In [2], a Morita-Takeuchi context arising from a left H -comodule coalgebra has been constructed. Utilizing that Morita-Takeuchi context we may characterize the Hopf-Galois coactions on coalgebras, and use it to prove the duality theorem for crossed coproducts. In this note, we show that the Morita-Takeuchi context constructed in [2] is generated by the left comodule ${}_{C \rtimes H} C$, where $C \rtimes H$ is the smash coproduct coalgebra of C by H . As a consequence, we obtain that the coaction of Hopf algebra H on C is Galois if and only if ${}_{C \rtimes H} C$ is a cogenerator. This dualizes the corresponding result in [1]. Another functorial description of Galois coactions is in Theorem 2.8, which is the dualization of the weak structure theorem in [4].

In Section 3, we define the cotrace map for an H^* -coextension C/R . There are various descriptions of the cotrace map being injective. For instance, the comodule ${}_{C \rtimes H} C$ is an injective comodule; the canonical map G in the Morita-Takeuchi context is injective; the cohom functor $h_{C \rtimes H-}(C, -)$ is equivalent to the cotensor functor $C \square_{C \rtimes H} -$ cf. Theorem 3.5.

1 Preliminaries

Throughout k is a fixed field. All coalgebras, algebras, vector spaces and unadorned \otimes , Hom , etc, are over k . C, D always denote coalgebras and H is a Hopf algebra. We refer to [9] for detail on coalgebras and comodules. We adapt the usual sigma notation for the comultiplications of coalgebras, and adapt the following sigma notation

Communicated by A. Verschoren.

for a (left) C -comodule structure map ρ_X of X :

$$\rho_X(x) = \sum x_{(-1)} \otimes x_{(0)}.$$

For a left H -comodule M , we use the following sigma notation to denote the comodule structure map ρ_M of M :

$$\rho_M(m) = \sum m_{<-1>} \otimes m_{<0>}.$$

Let \mathbf{M}^C (or ${}^C\mathbf{M}$) denote the category of right (or left) C -comodules. If $\alpha : C \rightarrow D$ is a coalgebra map, then any left C -comodule X may be treated as a left D -comodule in a natural way:

$$(\alpha \otimes 1)\rho : X \rightarrow C \otimes X \rightarrow D \otimes X.$$

A $(C - D)$ -bicomodule is a left C -comodule and a right D -comodule X , denoted by ${}_C X_D$, such that the C -comodule structure map $\rho_C : X \rightarrow C \otimes X$ is right D -colinear (or a D -comodule map).

For a right C -comodule M and a left C -comodule N , the contensor product $M \square_C N$ is the kernel of

$$\rho_M \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \rho_N : M \otimes N \xrightarrow{\quad} M \otimes C \otimes N.$$

The functors $M \square_C -$ and $- \square_C N$ are left exact and preserve direct sums. If ${}_C X_D$ and ${}_D Y_E$ are bicomodules, then $X \square_D Y$ is a $(C - E)$ -bicomodule with comodule structures induced by those of X and Y .

We recall from [10] the definition of a cohom functor and some of its basic properties. A comodule ${}_C X$ is quasi-finite if $\text{Com}_{C-}(Y, X)$ is finite dimensional for any finite dimensional comodule ${}_C Y$. A comodule ${}_C X$ is finitely cogenerated if it is isomorphic to a subcomodule of $C \otimes W$ for some finite dimensional space W . A finitely cogenerated comodule is quasi-finite. But the converse is not true. A comodule $X \in {}^C\mathbf{M}$ is said to be a cogenerator if for any comodule $M \in {}^C\mathbf{M}$ there is a space W such that $M \hookrightarrow X \otimes W$ as comodules. The following lemma relates the existence of the cohom functor to quasi-finiteness:

Basic Lemma[10]: Let ${}_C X_D$ be a bicomodule. Then ${}_C X$ is quasi-finite if and only if the functor $X \square_D - : {}^D\mathbf{M} \rightarrow {}^C\mathbf{M}$ has a left adjoint functor, denoted by $h_{C-}(X, -)$. That is, for comodules ${}_C Y$ and ${}_D W$,

$$\text{Com}_{D-}(h_{C-}(X, Y), W) \simeq \text{Com}_{C-}(Y, X \square_D W) \quad (\#)$$

Where,

$$h_{C-}(X, Y) = \varinjlim_{\mu} \text{Com}_{C-}(Y_{\mu}, X)^* \simeq \varinjlim_{\mu} (Y_{\mu}^* \square_C X)^*$$

is a left D -comodule, $\{Y_{\mu}\}$ is the directed family of finite dimensional subcomodules of ${}_C Y$ such that $Y = \bigcup_{\mu} Y_{\mu}$. In particular, if $C = X$, $D = k$, then $h_{C-}(C, -)$ is nothing else but the forgetful functor $U : {}^C\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$, here \mathbf{M} is the k -module category; if $C = D$, $X = C$, $h_{C-}(C, -)$ is the identity functor from ${}^C\mathbf{M}$ to ${}^C\mathbf{M}$. Let θ denote the canonical C -colinear map $Y \rightarrow X \square_D h_{C-}(X, Y)$ which corresponds to the identity map $h_{C-}(X, Y) \rightarrow h_{C-}(X, Y)$ in $(\#)$. Similarly, there is a right version of the basic lemma for a quasi-finite comodule X_D .

Assume that ${}_C X$ is a quasi-finite comodule. Consider a bicomodule ${}_C X_k$. Then $e_{C-}(X) = h_{C-}(X, X)$ is a coalgebra, called the co-endoromorphism coalgebra of X . The comultiplication of $e_{C-}(X)$ corresponds to $(\theta \otimes 1)\theta : X \longrightarrow X \otimes e_{C-}(X) \otimes e_{C-}(X)$ in (#), and the counit of $e_{C-}(X)$ corresponds to the identity map 1_X . Also X is a $C - e_{C-}(X)$ -bicomodule with right comodule structure map θ , the canonical map $X \longrightarrow X \otimes h_{C-}(X, X)$.

A Morita-Takeuchi (M-T) context $(C, D, {}_C P_{D,D} Q_C, f, g)$ consists of coalgebras C, D , bicomodules ${}_C P_{D,D} Q_C$, and bilinear maps $f : C \longrightarrow P \square_D Q$ and $g : D \longrightarrow Q \square_C P$ satisfying the following commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\sim} & P \square_D D \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow 1 \square g \\ C \square_C P & \xrightarrow{f \square 1} & P \square_D Q \square_C P \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} Q & \xrightarrow{\sim} & Q \square_C C \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow 1 \square f \\ D \square_D Q & \xrightarrow{g \square 1} & Q \square_C P \square_D Q \end{array}$$

The context is said to be *strict* if both f and g are injective (equivalently, isomorphic). In this case we say that C is M-T equivalent to D , denoted by $C \sim D$.

Let H be a Hopf algebra, C a coalgebra. C is said to be a right H -module coalgebra if

- i). C is a right H -module,
- ii). $\Delta(c \leftarrow h) = \sum c_{(1)} \leftarrow h_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \leftarrow h_{(2)}$, $c \in C, h \in H$,
- iii). $\varepsilon(c \leftarrow h) = \varepsilon(c)\varepsilon(h)$.

Dually, a coalgebra C is called a left H -comodule coalgebra if

- i). C is a left H -comodule,
- ii). $\sum c_{\langle -1 \rangle} \otimes \Delta(c_{\langle 0 \rangle}) = \sum c_{(1)\langle -1 \rangle} c_{(2)\langle -1 \rangle} \otimes c_{(1)\langle 0 \rangle} \otimes c_{(2)\langle 0 \rangle}$,
- iii). $\sum \varepsilon(c_{\langle 0 \rangle}) c_{\langle -1 \rangle} = \varepsilon(c)1_H$.

If H is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, a coalgebra C is a right H -module coalgebra if and only if C is a left H^* -comodule coalgebra. On the other hand, for any Hopf algebra H and right H -module coalgebra C , the convolution algebra C^* is a left H -module algebra with H -module structure induced by transposition.

Let C be a right H -module coalgebra, H a Hopf algebra. Denote by H^+ the augmentation ideal $\ker \varepsilon$ which is a Hopf ideal. Then $CH^+ = C \leftarrow H^+$ is a coideal of C , and C/CH^+ is a coalgebra with a trivial right H -module structure. Let R be the quotient coalgebra C/CH^+ . It is not hard to check that R^* is the invariant subalgebra of the left H -module algebra C^* . Dual to the terminology of ‘ H -extension’, we call C/R an H -coextension. View C as a left and right R -comodule. There is a canonical linear map

$$\beta : C \otimes H \longrightarrow C \square_R C, \quad c \otimes h \mapsto \sum c_{(1)} \square c_{(2)} \leftarrow h.$$

If β is bijective, then C/R is said to be an H -Galois coextension cf.[7] (sometimes it is called H -cogalois cf.[3] [8]).

Let C be a left H -comodule coalgebra. We may form a smash coproduct coalgebra $C \bowtie H$ which has counit $\varepsilon_C \bowtie \varepsilon_H$ and comultiplication as follows:

$$\Delta(c \bowtie h) = \sum (c_{(1)} \bowtie c_{(2)\langle -1 \rangle} h_{(1)}) \otimes (c_{(2)\langle 0 \rangle} \bowtie h_{(2)}).$$

If H is finite dimensional, C^* is a left H^* -module algebra. We have the usual smash product algebra $C^* \# H^*$. It is easy to see that $C^* \# H^*$ is exactly the convolution algebra $(C \bowtie H)^*$.

Now let H be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, C a left H -comodule coalgebra. We recall from [2] the M-T context arising from a left H -comodule coalgebra C . Let R be the quotient coalgebra C/CH^{*+} . Then C may be viewed as a left or a right R -comodule in a natural way. There is a canonical left $C \bowtie H$ -coaction on C given by

$$\rho^l(c) = \sum (c_{(1)} \bowtie c_{(2)\langle -1 \rangle}) \otimes c_{(2)\langle 0 \rangle} \quad (1)$$

This coaction is compatible with the right R -coaction on C , and makes C into a $(C \bowtie H - R)$ -bicomodule.

Let T be a left integral of H^* and λ be the distinguished group-like element cf. [6] of H which satisfies:

$$Th^* = \langle h^*, \lambda \rangle T, \quad \forall h^* \in H^*.$$

There is a right coaction of $C \bowtie H$ on C as follows:

$$\rho^r(c) = \sum c_{(1)\langle 0 \rangle} \otimes (c_{(2)\langle 0 \rangle} \bowtie S^{-1}(c_{(1)\langle -1 \rangle} c_{(2)\langle -1 \rangle}) \lambda) \quad (2)$$

With the above right $C \bowtie H$ -coaction and the natural left R -coaction C becomes an $(R - C \bowtie H)$ -bicomodule. The Morita-Takeuchi context arising from C is

$$(C \bowtie H, R, {}_{C \bowtie H} C_R, {}_R C_{C \bowtie H}, F, G) \quad (3)$$

where the bilinear maps F, G are given by

$$F: C \bowtie H \longrightarrow C \square_R C, \quad c \bowtie h \mapsto \sum c_{(1)} \square c_{(2)\langle 0 \rangle} \langle T, c_{(2)\langle -1 \rangle} h \rangle, \text{ and}$$

$$G: R \longrightarrow C \square_{C \bowtie H} C, \quad \bar{c} \mapsto \sum c_{(1)\langle 0 \rangle} \square c_{(2)\langle 0 \rangle} \langle T, c_{(1)\langle -1 \rangle} c_{(2)\langle -1 \rangle} \rangle.$$

In [2] we use the above M-T context to show the duality theorem for crossed coproducts. Moreover, the bilinear map F in (3) can be used to describe the Galois coextension, that is, C/R is H^* -Galois if and only if F is injective cf. [2, Th.1.2].

2 The Hopf comodule category

Let H be a Hopf algebra. If C is a left H -comodule coalgebra, we have the smash coproduct coalgebra $C \bowtie H$. Denote by ${}^{C \bowtie H} \mathbf{M}$ the category of left $C \bowtie H$ -comodules and morphisms.

Lemma 2.1. A comodule M is in ${}^{C \bowtie H} \mathbf{M}$ if and only if M is a left C -comodule and a left H -comodule satisfying the compatibility condition: $\forall m \in M$,

$$\sum m_{\langle 0 \rangle \langle -1 \rangle} \otimes m_{\langle -1 \rangle} \otimes m_{\langle 0 \rangle \langle 0 \rangle} = \sum m_{\langle -1 \rangle \langle 0 \rangle} \otimes m_{\langle -1 \rangle \langle -1 \rangle} m_{\langle 0 \rangle \langle -1 \rangle} \otimes m_{\langle 0 \rangle \langle 0 \rangle} \quad (4)$$

Proof. Straightforward. ■

A left C -comodule M is called a Hopf comodule if it is a left H -comodule and satisfies the compatibility condition (4). Write ${}^{(C,H)} \mathbf{M}$ for the category of Hopf comodules and morphisms. Lemma 2.1 states that ${}^{C \bowtie H} \mathbf{M} \sim {}^{(C,H)} \mathbf{M}$. A left C -comodule M is

said to be a Hopf bimodule if M is a right H -module and satisfies the compatibility condition:

$$\rho(m \leftarrow h) = \sum m_{(-1)} \leftarrow h_{(1)} \otimes m_{(0)} \leftarrow h_{(2)}, \quad m \in M, h \in H. \quad (5)$$

The category of Hopf bimodules and morphisms is denoted by ${}^C\mathbf{M}_H$. If H is finite dimensional, then we have that ${}^{(C,H)}\mathbf{M} \sim {}^C\mathbf{M}_{H^*}$. In the sequel, H is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, C is a left H -comodule coalgebra. We identify ${}^{(C,H)}\mathbf{M}$, $C \rtimes H \mathbf{M}$ with ${}^C\mathbf{M}_{H^*}$. Let H^{*+} be the augmentation ideal $\ker(\varepsilon_{H^*} : H^* \rightarrow k)$. Let R be the quotient coalgebra C/CH^{*+} . To a Hopf comodule $M \in {}^{(C,H)}\mathbf{M}$ we associate an R -comodule $\overline{M} = M/MH^{*+}$. The functor $\overline{(-)} : {}^{(C,H)}\mathbf{M} \rightarrow {}^R\mathbf{M}$ has a right adjoint functor $C \square_R - : {}^R\mathbf{M} \rightarrow {}^{(C,H)}\mathbf{M}$ cf.[7]. On the other hand, C is a $(C \rtimes H, R)$ -bicomodule, and as a left $C \rtimes H$ -comodule is quasi-finite. So the cohom functor $h_{C \rtimes H -}(C, -) : {}^{(C,H)}\mathbf{M} = C \rtimes H \mathbf{M} \rightarrow {}^R\mathbf{M}$ exists and it is a left adjoint functor of the functor $C \square_R -$. By the uniqueness of adjointness, $h_{C \rtimes H -}(C, -)$ is equivalent to $\overline{(-)}$. Let η be the natural (isomorphic) transformation from $\overline{(-)}$ to $h_{C \rtimes H -}(C, -)$. For a Hopf comodule $M \in {}^{(C,H)}\mathbf{M}$, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\theta_M} & C \square_R h_{C \rtimes H -}(C, M) \\ & \searrow \nu_M & \nearrow 1 \otimes \eta_M \\ & & C \square_R \overline{M} \end{array} \quad (6)$$

where θ is the canonical (adjoint) map mentioned in Section 1 and ν_M is the adjoint map:

$$M \rightarrow C \square_R \overline{M} : m \mapsto \sum m_{(-1)} \otimes \overline{m_{(0)}}.$$

In the sequel, \square means the cotensor product over R .

Lemma 2.2. Let M be a Hopf comodules. The following sequence is exact:

$$0 \rightarrow M \leftarrow H^{*+} \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{(\varepsilon \otimes 1)\theta_M} h_{C \rtimes H -}(C, M) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Follows from the foregoing commutative diagram (6). ■

We need the following preparation to show Proposition 2.4. It is well-known that a finite dimensional Hopf algebra is a Frobenius algebra. Let Θ be the Frobenius isomorphism:

$${}_H H_{H^*} \rightarrow {}_H H_{H^*}^*,$$

where the actions are canonical, i.e,

$$h \leftarrow p = \sum \langle p, h_{(1)} \rangle h_{(2)}, \quad h \rightarrow p = \sum p_{(1)} \langle p_{(2)}, h \rangle, \quad h \in H, p \in H^*.$$

Θ^{-1} makes H a right H^* -free module with basis $t = \Theta^{-1}(\epsilon)$, which is a left integral of H . Let T be $S^*(\Theta(1))$, where S^* is the antipode of H^* . Then T is a left integral of H^* cf.[5, 6]. Define a map

$$\tilde{T} : H \rightarrow H, \quad h \mapsto h \leftarrow T = \sum \langle T, h_{(1)} \rangle h_{(2)} = \langle T, h \rangle \lambda,$$

where λ is the distinguished group-like element of H satisfying

$$Tp = T \langle p, \lambda \rangle, \quad \forall p \in H^*.$$

In fact, \tilde{T} is a map onto 1-dimensional subspace $k\lambda$ of H because $\langle T, t \rangle = 1$ cf.[6].

Lemma 2.3. Let H be a finite dimensional Hopf algebra and let \tilde{T}, λ be as above. The following sequence is exact:

$$0 \longrightarrow H \longleftarrow H^{*+} \longrightarrow H \xrightarrow{\tilde{T}} k\lambda \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. It is enough to show that $H \longleftarrow H^{*+}$ is the kernel of \tilde{T} . The inclusion $H \longleftarrow H^{*+} \subseteq \ker \tilde{T}$ is easily seen. We show the anti-inclusion. For $h \in H$, there is some $p \in H^*$ such that $h = t \longleftarrow p$. If $\tilde{T}(h) = 0$, then $0 = \tilde{T}(t \longleftarrow p) = t \longleftarrow pT$. Since t is the basis of H , we have that $pT = 0$. But T is a left integral of H^* . It follows that $\langle p, 1 \rangle = 0$, i.e, $p \in H^{*+}$. So we have that $\ker \tilde{T} \subseteq H \longleftarrow H^{*+}$. \blacksquare

Proposition 2.4. Let C be a left H -comodule coalgebra, R the quotient coalgebra C/CH^{*+} . Then

- 1). $\eta_C : R \longrightarrow h_{C \rtimes H^-}(C, C) = e_{C \rtimes H^-}(C)$ is a coalgebra isomorphism.
- 2). $C \simeq h_{C \rtimes H^-}(C, C \rtimes H)$ as $(R, C \rtimes H)$ -bicomodules.

Proof. 1). It is clear that η_C is a left R -colinear isomorphism. It remains to check that η_C is a coalgebra map. Note that the adjoint map $\theta_C : C \longrightarrow C \square e_{C \rtimes H^-}(C)$ makes C into an $e_{C \rtimes H^-}(C)$ -comodule cf.[10]. That is, $(1 \otimes \Delta_e)\theta_C = (\theta_C \otimes 1)\theta_C$, where Δ_e is the comultiplication of $e_{C \rtimes H^-}(C)$. It follows from the diagram (6) that $\theta_C = (1 \otimes \eta_C)\nu_C$. The above two equalities arrive at the identity for $c \in C$:

$$\sum c_{(1)} \square \Delta_e \eta_C(\overline{c_{(2)}}) = \sum c_{(1)} \square \eta_C(\overline{c_{(2)}}) \square \eta_C(\overline{c_{(3)}}).$$

This implies that η_C is a coalgebra map.

- 2). Let M be $C \rtimes H$ in the diagram (6).

Then $\eta_{C \rtimes H} : \overline{C \rtimes H} \longrightarrow h_{C \rtimes H^-}(C, C \rtimes H)$ is an R -colinear isomorphism. We have to show that $\eta_{C \rtimes H}$ is right $C \rtimes H$ -colinear and $\overline{C \rtimes H} \simeq C$ as $(R, C \rtimes H)$ -bicomodules. Observe that the canonical adjoint map

$$\theta_{C \rtimes H} : C \rtimes H \longrightarrow C \square h_{C \rtimes H^-}(C, C \rtimes H)$$

is a $C \rtimes H$ -bilinear map. It follows that the map $\eta_{C \rtimes H} = (\epsilon \otimes 1)\theta_{C \rtimes H}$ is an $(R, C \rtimes H)$ -bilinear map. To show that $\overline{C \rtimes H} \simeq C$ as $(R, C \rtimes H)$ -bicomodules, we define a map ψ as follows:

$$\psi : C \rtimes H \longrightarrow C \otimes k\lambda : c \rtimes h \mapsto \sum c_{\langle 0 \rangle} \otimes \langle T, c_{\langle -1 \rangle} h \rangle \lambda.$$

It is clear that ψ is a left R -colinear. Moreover, ψ is a right $C \rtimes H$ -colinear map. In fact, for $c \rtimes h \in C \rtimes H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_C(\psi(c \rtimes h)) \\ &= \sum c_{\langle 0 \rangle(1)} \otimes [c_{\langle 0 \rangle(2)} \rtimes S^{-1}(c_{\langle -1 \rangle}) \langle T, c_{\langle -2 \rangle} h \rangle \lambda] \\ &= \sum c_{\langle 0 \rangle(1)} \otimes [c_{\langle 0 \rangle(2)} \rtimes S^{-1}(c_{\langle -1 \rangle}) c_{\langle -2 \rangle} h_{(2)} \langle T, c_{\langle -3 \rangle} h_{(1)} \rangle] \\ &= \sum c_{\langle 0 \rangle(1)} \otimes [c_{\langle 0 \rangle(2)} \rtimes h_{(2)} \langle T, c_{\langle -1 \rangle} h_{(1)} \rangle] \\ &= \sum c_{(1)\langle 0 \rangle} \langle T, c_{(1)\langle -1 \rangle} c_{(2)\langle -1 \rangle} h_{(1)} \rangle \otimes c_{(2)\langle 0 \rangle} \rtimes h_{(2)} \\ &= \sum \psi(c_{(1)} \rtimes c_{(2)\langle -1 \rangle} h_{(1)}) \otimes c_{(2)\langle 0 \rangle} \rtimes h_{(2)} \\ &= (\psi \otimes 1)\Delta(c \rtimes h). \end{aligned}$$

Now ψ is surjective because:

$$\psi\left(\sum c_{\langle 0 \rangle} \bowtie S^{-1}(c_{\langle -1 \rangle}) \langle T, c_{\langle -2 \rangle} h \rangle \lambda\right) = c \otimes \langle T, t \rangle \lambda = c \otimes \lambda, c \in C.$$

Let $(C \bowtie H)^+$ be $(C \bowtie H) \leftarrow H^{*+}$, where the right H^* -module structure of $C \bowtie H$ is given by

$$(c \bowtie h) \leftarrow p = \sum c_{\langle 0 \rangle} \bowtie c_{\langle -1 \rangle} h_{(2)} \langle T, c_{\langle -2 \rangle} h_{(1)} \rangle, \quad p \in H^*, c \bowtie h \in C \bowtie H.$$

We show that $\ker \psi = (C \bowtie H)^+$. The inclusion $(C \bowtie H)^+ \subseteq \ker \psi$ is clear. To show the other inclusion, we need to show that $C \bowtie H$ is a free H^* -module. Let $C \otimes H$ be the free H^* -module with H^* -structure stemming from H . Define a map

$$\zeta : C \bowtie H \longrightarrow C \otimes H, \quad c \bowtie h \mapsto \sum c_{\langle 0 \rangle} \otimes c_{\langle -1 \rangle} h.$$

For $p \in H^*$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta((c \bowtie h) \leftarrow p) &= \sum \zeta(c_{\langle 0 \rangle} \bowtie h_{(2)} \langle p, c_{\langle -1 \rangle} h_{(1)} \rangle) \\ &= \sum c_{\langle 0 \rangle} \otimes c_{\langle -1 \rangle} h_{(2)} \langle p, c_{\langle -2 \rangle} h_{(1)} \rangle \\ &= \sum c_{\langle 0 \rangle} \otimes (c_{\langle -1 \rangle} h_{(2)}) \leftarrow p \\ &= \sum \zeta(c \bowtie h) \leftarrow p. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that ζ is an isomorphism. It follows from the fact that $C \otimes H$ is a free H^* -module that $C \bowtie H$ is H^* -free. Now if $x = \sum c_i \bowtie h_i \in \ker \psi$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= \sum c_{i \langle 0 \rangle} \otimes \langle T, c_{i \langle -1 \rangle} h_i \rangle \lambda \\ &= \sum c_{i \langle 0 \rangle} \otimes c_{i \langle -1 \rangle} h_{i(2)} \langle T, c_{i \langle -2 \rangle} h_{i(1)} \rangle \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

This means that $x \leftarrow T = 0$ in $C \bowtie H$. Let $\{x_i\}$ be a basis of the free H^* -module $C \bowtie H$. Suppose that $x = \sum x_i \leftarrow p_i$. That $0 = x \leftarrow T = \sum x_i \leftarrow p_i T$ implies that $p_i T = 0, \forall i$. It follows that $p_i \in H^{*+}$ for all i , and hence $x \in (C \bowtie H) \leftarrow H^{*+}$. Therefore $\overline{C \bowtie H} \simeq C \otimes k \lambda \simeq C$. \blacksquare

Theorem 2.5. The Morita-Takeuchi context $(C \bowtie H, R, C, C, F, G)$ in (3) is generated by the comodule ${}_{C \bowtie H} C$.

Proof. A M-T context generated by a quasi-finite comodule was constructed by Takeuchi in [10]. The M-T context generated by the quasi-finite comodule ${}_{C \bowtie H} C$ is

$$(C \bowtie H, e_{C \bowtie H-}(C), {}_{C \bowtie H} C_{e_{C \bowtie H-}(C)}, h_{C \bowtie H-}(C, C \bowtie H), f, g),$$

where, f is the canonical map $\theta_{C \bowtie H} : C \bowtie H \longrightarrow C \square h_{C \bowtie H-}(C, C \bowtie H)$, and g is the composite map:

$$e_{C \bowtie H-}(C) \longrightarrow h_{C \bowtie H-}(C, C \bowtie H \square {}_{C \bowtie H} C) \longrightarrow h_{C \bowtie H-}(C, C \bowtie H) \square {}_{C \bowtie H} C.$$

By Proposition 2.4, we have that $R \cong e_{C \bowtie H-}(C)$ and $h_{C \bowtie H-}(C, C \bowtie H) \simeq {}_R C_{C \bowtie H}$. It remains to be shown that the following two diagrams are commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \bowtie H & \xrightarrow{f} & C \square h_{C \bowtie H-}(C, C \bowtie H) \\ & \searrow F & \swarrow 1 \square \mu \\ & & C \square C \end{array} \quad (7)$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R & \xrightarrow{g} & h_{C \rtimes H} (C, C \rtimes H) \square_{C \rtimes H} C \\
 & \searrow G & \swarrow \mu \square 1 \\
 & & C \square_{C \rtimes H} C
 \end{array} \quad (8)$$

where μ is the composite isomorphism

$$h_{C \rtimes H} (C, C \rtimes H) \xrightarrow{\eta_{C \rtimes H}^{-1}} \overline{C \rtimes H} \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}} C,$$

and $\bar{\psi}$ is induced by the map ψ in the proof of Proposition 2.4. To show the diagram (7), it is enough to verify that the following diagram commutes because we have the commutative diagram (6).

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C \rtimes H & \xrightarrow{\nu_{C \rtimes H}} & C \square \overline{C \rtimes H} \\
 & \searrow F & \swarrow 1 \square \bar{\psi} \\
 & & C \square C
 \end{array} \quad (9)$$

In fact, for $c \rtimes h \in C \rtimes H$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 \square \bar{\psi})f(c \rtimes h) &= \sum c_{(1)} \square \bar{\psi}(c_{(2)} \rtimes \bar{h}) \\
 &= \sum c_{(1)} \square c_{(2) \langle 0 \rangle} \langle T, c_{(2) \langle -1 \rangle} h \rangle \\
 &= F(c \rtimes h)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we establish the diagram (8). Note that we have a relation between f and g expressed by commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C & \xrightarrow{\sim} & C \rtimes H \square_{C \rtimes H} C \\
 \sim \downarrow & & \downarrow f \square 1 \\
 C \square R & \xrightarrow{1 \square g} & C \square h_{C \rtimes H} (C, C \rtimes H) \square_{C \rtimes H} C
 \end{array}$$

Explicitly, for $c \in C$, we have the identity:

$$\sum c_{(1)} \square g(\bar{c}_{(2)}) = \sum f(c_{(1)} \rtimes c_{(2) \langle -1 \rangle}) \square c_{(2) \langle 0 \rangle}.$$

This implies that the map g is determined by f , i.e.,

$$g(\bar{c}) = \sum (\epsilon \otimes 1) f(c_{(1)} \rtimes c_{(2) \langle -1 \rangle}) \square c_{(2) \langle 0 \rangle}, \forall \bar{c} \in R.$$

Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mu \otimes 1)g(\bar{c}) &= \sum (\mu \otimes 1)[(\epsilon \otimes 1)f(c_{(1)} \rtimes c_{(2) \langle -1 \rangle}) \square c_{(2) \langle 0 \rangle}] \\
 &= \sum (\epsilon \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \mu \otimes 1)[f(c_{(1)} \rtimes c_{(2) \langle -1 \rangle}) \square c_{(2) \langle 0 \rangle}] \\
 &= \sum (\epsilon \otimes 1 \otimes 1)[F(c_{(1)} \rtimes c_{(2) \langle -1 \rangle}) \square c_{(2) \langle 0 \rangle}] \\
 &= \sum (\epsilon \otimes 1 \otimes 1)[c_{(1)} \square c_{(2) \langle 0 \rangle} \langle T, c_{(2) \langle -1 \rangle} c_{(3) \langle -1 \rangle} \rangle \square c_{(3) \langle 0 \rangle}] \\
 &= \sum c_{(1) \langle 0 \rangle} \square c_{(2) \langle 0 \rangle} \langle T, c_{(1) \langle -1 \rangle} c_{(2) \langle -1 \rangle} \rangle \\
 &= G(\bar{c})
 \end{aligned}$$

where we omitted the subscript $C \rtimes H$ and R of the cotensor product, and we use the commutativity of diagram (7) in the third equality. The proof is complete. \blacksquare

Now we can prove:

Corollary 2.6. Let C/R be an H^* -coextension. Then C/R is H^* -Galois if and only if ${}_{C \rtimes H} C$ is a cogenerator.

Proof. It follows from [2, Th.1.2] that C/R is H^* -Galois if and only if the canonical map F is injective. Since The above M-T context is generated by comodule ${}_{C \rtimes H} C$, F is injective if and only if ${}_{C \rtimes H} C$ is a cogenerator cf.[10, 3.2]. ■

Note that the kernel of the canonical map F is a subcoalgebra of the smash coproduct $C \rtimes H$. If $C \rtimes H$ is a simple coalgebra, then F is injective, and hence ${}_{C \rtimes H} C$ is a cogenerator.

Corollary 2.7. If C/R is an H^* -Galois coextension, then the functor $C \square_R -$ is equivalent to the cohom functor $h_{R-}(C, -)$.

Proof. Let $S = C \square_R -$, $T = C \square_{C \rtimes H} -$. Then the bilinear maps F and G may be identified with the natural transformations $F : I \longrightarrow ST$ and $G : I \longrightarrow TS$ cf.[10, 2.4]. If C/R is H^* -Galois then F is an isomorphism, and then the pair $(F^{-1} : ST \longrightarrow I, G : I \longrightarrow TS)$ yields an adjoint relation $S \dashv T$, i.e, S is a left adjoint functor of T . On the other hand, $h_{R-}(C, -)$ is a left adjoint functor of T because ${}_R C$ is quasi-finite cf.[2, 1.3]. By the uniqueness of adjointness the statement holds. ■

The above result is dual to [11, Th.3.2]. If we call $C \square_R -$ the induction functor and call $h_{R-}(C, -)$ the coinduction functor, then induction functor and coinduction functors coincides when the coextension is Galois. To end this section, we give a dualization of the so-called weak structure theorem for Hopf modules in [4].

Theorem 2.8. Let C/R be an H^* -coextension. Then C/R is H^* -Galois if and only if the canonical map $\nu_M : M \longrightarrow C \square \overline{M}$ is an isomorphism for every $C \rtimes H$ -comodule M .

Proof. Let $M = C \rtimes H$. Then the composite map

$$C \rtimes H \xrightarrow{\nu_{C \rtimes H}} C \square \overline{C \rtimes H} \xrightarrow{1 \square \overline{\psi}} C \square C$$

is exactly the canonical map F in the M-T context. If $\nu_{C \rtimes H}$ is an isomorphism, then F is injective and C/R is H^* -Galois by [2, Th.1.2].

Conversely, suppose that C/R is H^* -Galois. We dualize the diagram in [4, 2.13]. Let β' be the Galois isomorphism:

$$C \otimes H^* \longrightarrow C \square C, c \otimes p \mapsto \sum c_{(1)} \leftarrow p \square c_{(2)}.$$

Given a $C \rtimes H$ -comodule M , β' induces an isomorphism

$$\beta_M : M \otimes H^* \longrightarrow C \square M, m \otimes p \mapsto \sum m_{(-1)} \leftarrow p \otimes m_{(0)}.$$

Denote by δ the following composite isomorphism:

$$M \otimes H^* \otimes H^* \xrightarrow{\sigma} M \otimes H^* \otimes H^* \xrightarrow{\beta_M \otimes 1} C \square M \otimes H^*$$

where $\sigma(m \otimes p \otimes q) = \sum m \otimes p_{(1)}q \otimes p_{(2)}$. Now it is straightforward to verify that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
C \square (M \otimes H^*) & \xrightarrow[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \epsilon \\ 1 \otimes \leftarrow \end{smallmatrix}]{1 \otimes \leftarrow} & C \square M & \longrightarrow & C \square M \longrightarrow 0 \\
\delta \uparrow & & \beta \uparrow & & \nu_M \uparrow \\
M \otimes H^* \otimes H^* & \xrightarrow[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \otimes \leftarrow \\ \leftarrow \otimes 1 \end{smallmatrix}]{\leftarrow \otimes 1} & M \otimes H^* & \xrightarrow{\leftarrow} & M \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

where the upper sequence is exact since C as an R -comodule is coflat (or equivalently injective), and the bottom one is exact because: if $\sum m_i p = 0$ in M , then

$$(\leftarrow \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \leftarrow)(\sum m_i \leftarrow p_{i(1)} \otimes S^*(p_{i(2)}) \otimes p_{i(3)}) = \sum m_i \otimes p_i.$$

As β_M and δ are isomorphisms, ν_M is an isomorphism too. \blacksquare

3 The cotrace map

Throughout this section H is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, and C is a left H -comodule coalgebra. Let T be the left integral of H^* as in the previous section. We define a map from $R = C/C \leftarrow H^{*+}$ to C by passage to the quotient:

$$\tilde{T}: R \longrightarrow C, \bar{c} \mapsto \sum c_{\langle 0 \rangle} \langle T, c_{\langle -1 \rangle} \rangle.$$

If $c = x \leftarrow p, x \in C, p \in H^*$, then $\tilde{T}(\bar{c}) = \epsilon(p)\tilde{T}(\bar{x})$. This means that \tilde{T} is well-defined. It is clear that \tilde{T} is both left and right R -colinear. The map \tilde{T} is called the *cotrace map* of C . Let G be the canonical map $R \longrightarrow C \square_{C \rtimes H} C$ in the M-T context (3). Let D be the image $\tilde{T}(R)$ of \tilde{T} . One may easily calculate that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
R & \xrightarrow{G} & C \square_{C \rtimes H} C \\
& \searrow \tilde{T} & \nearrow \Delta \\
& & D
\end{array} \tag{10}$$

Note that in general the comultiplication map Δ can not extend from D to C . Since Δ is injective G is injective if and only if \tilde{T} is injective.

Proposition 3.1. Let C/R be an H^* -coextension. The following are equivalent:

- 1). The cotrace map \tilde{T} is injective.
- 2). The canonical map G is injective.
- 3). $C \rtimes H C$ (or $C_{C \rtimes H}$) is an injective comodule.
- 4). The functor $\overline{(-)}$ is exact.

If one of the above conditions holds, then R as a (left or right) R -comodule is a direct summand of C .

Proof. It is sufficient to show that 2) \iff 3) and this follows from Theorem 2.5 and [10, 3.2]. If \tilde{T} is injective, then \tilde{T} splits because R as an R -comodule is injective. \blacksquare

Corollary 3.2. Let C/R be an H^* -coextension. If \tilde{T} is injective, then for any R -comodule N the adjoint map

$$\partial_N : \overline{C \square N} \longrightarrow N, \overline{\sum c_i \square n_i} \mapsto \sum \epsilon(c_i) n_i$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let ∂ be the canonical map cf.[10, 1.13]

$$h_{C \rtimes_{H^-}}(C, C \square N) \longrightarrow h_{C \rtimes_{H^-}}(C, C) \square N.$$

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h_{C \rtimes_{H^-}}(C, C \square N) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & h_{C \rtimes_{H^-}}(C, C) \square N \\ \eta_{C \square N} \uparrow & & \uparrow \eta_{C \square 1} \\ \overline{C \square N} & \xrightarrow{\partial_N} & N = R \square N \end{array}$$

Since ${}_{C \rtimes_{H^-}} C$ is injective, ∂ is an isomorphism cf. [10, 1.14]. It follows that ∂_N is an isomorphism. \blacksquare

Corollary 3.3. Let C/R be an H^* -coextension. The following are equivalent:

- 1). C/R is H^* -Galois and the cotrace map is injective.
- 2). $C \square -$ defines an M-T equivalence between ${}^R \mathbf{M}$ and ${}^{C \rtimes_{H^-}} \mathbf{M}$.

If R is cocommutative, then the cotrace map is injective when C/R is H^* -Galois cf.[11]. In this case condition 1) in Cor.3.3 may be weakened. In [7], Schneider showed that 2) of Cor.3.3 is equivalent to C/R being Galois and the existence of a ‘total integral’, i.e, an augmental H^* -linear map from C to H^* . In fact, we have:

Proposition 3.4. Let C/R be an H^* -coextension. The following are equivalent:

- 1). \tilde{T} is injective.
- 2). There exists an H^* -linear map $\phi : C \longrightarrow H^*$ such that $\epsilon_{H^*} \phi = \epsilon_C$.

Proof. Suppose that \tilde{T} is injective. Let π be the section of \tilde{T} such that $\pi \tilde{T} = 1_R$. Define a map ϕ as follows:

$$\phi : C \longrightarrow H^*, \quad c \mapsto \sum \epsilon \pi(c \leftarrow T_{(2)}) S^{*-1}(T_{(1)}),$$

where T is the left integral of H^* as before. ϕ is augmental because

$$\epsilon \phi(c) = \epsilon \pi(c \leftarrow T) = \epsilon \pi \tilde{T}(c) = \epsilon(c), \forall c \in C.$$

Observe that we have the identity:

$$\sum p T_{(2)} \otimes S^{*-1}(T_{(1)}) = \sum T_{(2)} \otimes S^{*-1}(T_{(1)}) p, \quad \forall p \in H^* \quad (11)$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(c \leftarrow p) &= \sum \epsilon \pi(c \leftarrow p T_{(2)}) S^{*-1}(T_{(1)}) \\ &= \sum \epsilon \pi(c T_{(2)}) S^{*-1}(T_{(1)}) p \\ &= \phi(c) p \end{aligned}$$

and hence ϕ is H^* -linear.

Conversely, if there is augmental H^* -linear map $\phi : C \longrightarrow H^*$, we define a map π as follows:

$$\pi : C \longrightarrow R, \quad c \mapsto \sum \overline{c_{(1)}} \langle \phi(c_{(2)}), t \rangle,$$

where t is the left integral of H in the previous section. Note that $\langle T, t \rangle = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \tilde{T}(\bar{c}) &= \sum \sum \pi(c_{\langle 0 \rangle} \langle T, c_{\langle -1 \rangle} \rangle) \\ &= \sum \overline{c_{\langle 0 \rangle(1)}} \langle \phi(c_{\langle 0 \rangle(2)}), t \rangle \langle T, c_{\langle -1 \rangle} \rangle \\ &= \sum \overline{c_{(1)\langle 0 \rangle}} \langle \phi(c_{(2)\langle 0 \rangle}), t \rangle \langle T, c_{(1)\langle -1 \rangle} c_{(2)\langle -1 \rangle} \rangle \\ &= \sum \overline{c_{(1)} \leftarrow T_{(1)}} \langle \phi(c_{(2)} \leftarrow T_{(2)}), t \rangle \\ &= \sum \overline{c_{(1)}} \langle \phi(c_{(2)})T, t \rangle \\ &= \sum \overline{c_{(1)} \epsilon \phi(c_{(2)})} \langle T, t \rangle \\ &= \bar{c} \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that \tilde{T} is injective. ■

To end this section we give a functorial characterization of the cotrace map which is dual to [11, Th.2.1].

Theorem 3.5. Let C/R be an H^* -coextension. The cotrace map is injective if and only if the functor $\overline{(-)}$ (cohom functor) is equivalent to the the functor $C \square_{C \rtimes H} -$ (cotensor functor) via the natural transformation

$$\tau_M : \overline{M} \longrightarrow C \square_{C \rtimes H} M, \quad \overline{m} \mapsto \sum m_{(-1)} \leftarrow T_{(1)} \square m_{(0)} \leftarrow T_{(2)} = \rho(m \leftarrow T).$$

Proof. Suppose that τ_M is an isomorphism for any left $C \rtimes H$ - comodule M . Let $M = C$. Then τ_C is exactly the canonical map G , and hence the cotrace map is injective by Proposition 3.1.

Conversely, suppose that \tilde{T} is injective. For a left $C \rtimes H$ -comodule M , we first verify that τ_M is well-defined. To show $\rho_C(m \leftarrow T) \in C \square_{C \rtimes H} M$, it is equivalent to show that $\rho_C(m \leftarrow T) \in C \square_C M$ and

$$\sum p \rightarrow (m_{(-1)} \leftarrow T_{(1)}) \otimes m_{(0)} \leftarrow T_{(2)} = \sum m_{(-1)} \leftarrow T_{(1)} \otimes m_{(0)} \leftarrow T_{(2)} p$$

for any $p \in H^*$, where

$$p \rightarrow c = c \leftarrow S^{*-1}(p^\lambda) = \sum c \leftarrow S^{*-1}(p_{(1)}) \langle p_{(2)}, \lambda \rangle \quad (*)$$

and λ is the group-like element of H mentioned in Section 1. That $\rho_C(m \leftarrow T)$ is in $C \square_C M$ is clear. The equation (*) holds if the following equation holds.

$$\sum T_{(1)} S^{*-1}(p^\lambda) \otimes T_{(2)} = \sum T_{(1)} \otimes T_{(2)} p, \quad p \in H^*$$

This is true because

$$\begin{aligned} \sum T_{(1)} S^{*-1}(p^\lambda) \otimes T_{(2)} &= \sum T_{(1)} \langle p_{(2)}, \lambda \rangle S^{*-1}(p_{(1)}) \otimes T_{(2)} \\ &= \sum T_{(1)} p_{(2)} S^{*-1}(p_{(1)}) \otimes p_{(3)} \\ &= \sum T_{(1)} \otimes T_{(2)} p. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that τ_M is R -colinear. To show that τ_M is an isomorphism, we define a map as follows:

$$\xi_M : C \square_{C \rtimes H} M \longrightarrow \overline{M}, \quad \xi_M(c \rtimes m) = \epsilon\pi(c)\overline{m},$$

where the map $\pi : C \longrightarrow R$ is the section of the cotrace map \tilde{T} . For simplicity, we write $c \square m$ for an element $\sum c_i \square m_i \in C \square_{C \rtimes H} M$. $c \square m$ has to satisfy the following identity in $C \otimes C \rtimes H \otimes M$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum c_{\langle 0 \rangle(1)} \otimes c_{\langle 0 \rangle(2)} \rtimes S^{-1}(c_{\langle -1 \rangle})\lambda \otimes m &= c \otimes \rho_{C \rtimes H}(m) \\ &= \sum c \otimes m_{(-1)} \rtimes m_{(0)\langle -1 \rangle} \otimes m_{(0)\langle 0 \rangle} \end{aligned}$$

This yields the equation;

$$\sum c_{\langle 0 \rangle(1)} \otimes c_{\langle 0 \rangle(2)} \langle p, S^{-1}(c_{\langle -1 \rangle})\lambda \rangle \otimes m = \sum c \otimes m_{(-1)} \otimes m_{(0)} \leftarrow p.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum c \otimes m_{(-1)} \leftarrow T_{(1)} \otimes m_{(0)} \leftarrow T_{(2)} \\ &= \sum c_{\langle 0 \rangle(1)} \otimes c_{\langle 0 \rangle(2)} \leftarrow T_{(1)} \langle T_{(2)}, S^{-1}(c_{\langle -1 \rangle})\lambda \rangle \otimes m \\ &= \sum c_{(1)\langle 0 \rangle} \otimes c_{(2)\langle 0 \rangle} \langle T, c_{(2)\langle -1 \rangle} S^{-1}(c_{(1)\langle -1 \rangle} c_{(2)\langle -2 \rangle})\lambda \rangle \otimes m \\ &= \sum c_{(1)\langle 0 \rangle} \otimes c_{(2)} \langle T, S^{-1}(c_{(1)\langle -1 \rangle})\lambda \rangle \otimes m \\ &= \sum c_{(1)\langle 0 \rangle} \langle T, c_{(1)\langle -1 \rangle} \rangle \otimes c_{(2)} \otimes m \\ &= \sum c_{(1)} \leftarrow T \otimes c_{(2)} \otimes m \end{aligned}$$

where we use the identity $\langle T, S^{-1}(h)\lambda \rangle = \langle T, h \rangle$ cf.[2]. It follows from the above equation that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{\tau_M}(c \square m) &= \sum \epsilon\pi(c)\rho_C(m \leftarrow T) \\ &= \sum \epsilon\pi(c)m_{(-1)} \leftarrow T_{(1)} \otimes m_{(0)} \leftarrow T_{(2)} \\ &= \sum \epsilon\pi(c_{(1)} \leftarrow T)c_{(2)} \otimes m \\ &= \sum \epsilon(c_{(1)})c_{(2)} \otimes m \\ &= c \otimes m \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\xi_{\tau_M} = I$. To show that $\tau_M \xi = I$ is easy. ■

References

- [1] M.Cohen, D.Fischmann and S.Montgomery, Hopf Galois Extensions, Smash Products and Morita Equivalences, J.Alg.133(1992),251-372.
- [2] S.Dascalescu, S.Raianu and Y.H.Zhang, Finite Hopf Galois Coextensions, Crossed Coproducts and Duality, J.Alg. 178(1995), 400-413.
- [3] Y.Doï, Unifying Hopf Modules, J.Alg.153(1994),
- [4] Y.Doï and M.Takeuchi, Hopf Galois extensions of Algebras, the Miyashita-Ulbrich Action, and Azumaya algebras, J.Alg.121(1989), 485-516.

- [5] B.Pareigis, When Hopf algebras are Frobenius algebras, *J.Alg.* 18(1971), 588-596.
- [6] D.E.Radford, The Oder of the Antipode of Finite Dimensional Hopf Algebra is Finite, *Amer.J.Math.*98(1976), 333-355.
- [7] H-J Schneider, Principal Homogeneous Spaces, *Isreal J. Math.* 72(1990), 167-231.
- [8] H-J, Schneider, Some Remarks on Exact Sequences of Quantum Groups, *Comm.Alg.*21(9), 3337-3357(1993).
- [9] M.E.Sweedler, *Hopf Algebras*, Benjamin New York, 1969.
- [10] M.Takeuchi, Morita Theorems for Categories of Comodules, *J. Fuc. of Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, 24(1977), 629-644.
- [11] F.Van Oystaeyen, Y.H. Xu and Y.H. Zahng, Inductions and Coinductions for Hopf Extensions, *Science in China Ser A* 39(3) (1996), 246-263.
- [12] F. Van Oystaeyen and Y.H.Zhang, Crossed Coproduct Theorem and Galois Cohomology, *Israel J. Math.*, 96(1996), 579-607.
- [13] Y.H.Zhang, Hopf Frobenius Extensions of Algebras, *Comm. in Alg.* 20(1992), 1907-1915.

Department of Mathematics
University of Antwerp (UIA)
B-2610, Belgium