

DISTRIBUTION AND CRITICAL CURVES IN A RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD

By YOSIO MUTŌ

Let \mathcal{D} be a C^∞ distribution in a C^∞ Riemannian manifold M . In the present paper a curve of M where every tangent vector lies in \mathcal{D} is called a \mathcal{D} -curve. Let P and Q be two points of M such that there exist \mathcal{D} -curves joining P and Q . We call a \mathcal{D} -curve C a critical \mathcal{D} -curve with the fixed end points P, Q if the length l of C takes a critical value in the set of \mathcal{D} -curves joining P and Q . The purpose of the present paper is to find differential equations of critical \mathcal{D} -curves when $n-m = \dim \mathcal{D}$ satisfies $n < 2(n-m)$, where $n = \dim M$, and to study properties of such critical \mathcal{D} -curves in some special cases.

§1. The differential equations of a critical \mathcal{D} -curve.

Let M be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold and \mathcal{D} (or \mathcal{D}^{n-m}) an $(n-m)$ -dimensional distribution given locally by $n-m$ linearly independent C^∞ vector fields $X_\lambda (\lambda = m+1, \dots, n)$.¹⁾ Their components with respect to a local coordinate system will be denoted by X_λ^h . The distribution \mathcal{D} will also be represented by m linearly independent covector fields $\varphi^\alpha (\alpha = 1, \dots, m)$ whose components φ_i^α satisfy

$$\varphi_i^\alpha X_\lambda^i = 0.$$

A \mathcal{D} -curve C is by definition a curve $x^h = x^h(t)$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi_i^\alpha \frac{dx^i}{dt} = 0$$

holds throughout the curve.

We assume that $2m$ covectors

$$(1.2) \quad \varphi_i^1, \dots, \varphi_i^m, \psi_i^1, \dots, \psi_i^m$$

Received November 5, 1970.

1) We let the indices h, i, j, \dots run over the range $\{1, \dots, n\}$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$ over the range $\{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\kappa, \lambda, \mu, \dots$ over the range $\{m+1, \dots, n\}$. The summation convention is used for all such indices.

are linearly independent at every point of C , where $\overset{\alpha}{\phi}_i$ are defined by

$$(1.3) \quad \overset{\alpha}{\phi}_i = (\partial_j \overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_i - \partial_i \overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_j) \frac{dx^j}{dt}.$$

Let P and Q be the end points of C and the parameter t be such that $t=0$ and $t=1$ correspond respectively to P and Q . Then the length l of C is given by the integral

$$(1.4) \quad J(C) = \int_C ds = \int_0^1 \left[g_{ji} \frac{dx^j}{dt} \frac{dx^i}{dt} \right]^{1/2} dt.$$

Let us consider an infinitesimal deformation of the curve C with the points P and Q fixed assuming that any curve obtained is also a \mathcal{D} -curve. Then the vector of deformation $\xi^h(t)$ must satisfy

$$(1.5) \quad \xi^j \frac{dx^i}{dt} \partial_j \overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_i + \overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_i \frac{d\xi^i}{dt} = 0.$$

As the points P and Q are fixed, ξ^h must also satisfy

$$(1.6) \quad \xi^h(0) = \xi^h(1) = 0.$$

Then it is a consequence of an ordinary argument in the calculus of variations that C is a critical \mathcal{D} -curve if and only if

$$(1.7) \quad \int_0^l \left[\frac{d^2 x^i}{ds^2} + \begin{Bmatrix} i \\ k j \end{Bmatrix} \frac{dx^k}{ds} \frac{dx^j}{ds} \right] g_{ih} \xi^h(s) ds = 0$$

is satisfied by every set of functions $\xi^h(t)$ satisfying (1.5) and (1.6). Notice that the arc length s is used in (1.7) as the parameter and that l is the length of C .

Now let $f(t)$ ($\alpha=1, \dots, m$) be a set of arbitrary C^∞ functions. Then we find that

$$(1.8) \quad \int_0^1 \left[(f(t) \partial_j \overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_i) \xi^j \frac{dx^i}{dt} + f(t) \overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_i \frac{d\xi^i}{dt} \right] dt = 0$$

is equivalent to (1.5). (1.8) is also equivalent to

$$\int_0^1 \left[\left(\frac{d}{dt} f \right)_\alpha \overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_i + f(t) (\partial_j \overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_i - \partial_i \overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_j) \frac{dx^j}{dt} \right] \xi^i(t) dt = 0$$

and again to

$$(1.9) \quad \int_0^l \left[\left(\frac{d}{ds} f \right)_\alpha \overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_i + f(s) (\partial_j \overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_i - \partial_i \overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_j) \frac{dx^j}{ds} \right] \xi^i(s) ds = 0.$$

If we put

$$\overset{\alpha}{\phi}_i = \frac{dx^j}{ds} (\partial_j \overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_i - \partial_i \overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_j),$$

we can write (1. 9) in the form

$$(1. 10) \quad \int_0^l \left[\overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_i(s) \frac{d}{ds} f + f(s) \overset{\alpha}{\phi}_i(s) \right] \xi^i(s) ds = 0.$$

We prove in §2 the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. 1. *In an n-dimensional Euclidean space let there be given 2m+1 C[∞] vector functions A_i(t), $\overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_i(t)$, $\overset{\alpha}{\phi}_i(t)$ (α=1, ..., m) where 2m vectors*

$$\overset{1}{\varphi}(t), \dots, \overset{m}{\varphi}(t), \overset{1}{\phi}(t), \dots, \overset{m}{\phi}(t)$$

are linearly independent at each value of t, 0 ≤ t ≤ a. If, for every functions $\xi^i(t)$ which satisfy

$$(1. 11) \quad \xi^i(0) = \xi^i(a) = 0$$

and

$$(1. 12) \quad \int_0^a \left\{ \left(\frac{d}{dt} f \right) \overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_i(t) + f(t) \overset{\alpha}{\phi}_i(t) \right\} \xi^i(t) dt = 0$$

for every choice of C[∞] functions f(t), we have

$$(1. 13) \quad \int_0^a A_i(t) \xi^i(t) dt = 0,$$

then there exist functions $\chi_1(t), \dots, \chi_m(t)$ such that

$$(1. 14) \quad A_i(t) = \left(\frac{d}{dt} \chi \right) \overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_i(t) + \chi \overset{\alpha}{\phi}_i(t).$$

REMARK. It is easily found that (1. 13) is a consequence of (1. 12) and (1. 14).

Applying Lemma 1. 1 to the case of \mathcal{D} -curves, we easily obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. 2. *Let M be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold equipped with an (n-m)-dimensional distribution \mathcal{D} determined locally by m covector fields $\overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_i$. Let C be a \mathcal{D} -curve $x^h = x^h(s)$, 0 ≤ s ≤ l, such that 2m covectors*

$$\overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_i, \frac{dx^j}{ds} (\nabla_j \overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_i - \nabla_i \overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_j) \quad (\alpha = 1, \dots, m)$$

are linearly independent at each point of C. A necessary and sufficient condition

for the curve C to be a critical \mathcal{D} -curve with fixed end points is that there exist functions $\chi_\alpha(s)$ satisfying the equations

$$(1.15) \quad \frac{d^2 x^h}{ds^2} + \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ j \ i \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{dx^j}{ds} \frac{dx^i}{ds} = \left[\left(\frac{d}{ds} \chi_\alpha \right)^\alpha \varphi_i + \chi_\alpha \frac{dx^j}{ds} (\nabla_j^\alpha \varphi_i - \nabla_i^\alpha \varphi_j) \right] g^{ih}.$$

Differentiating the equations

$$(1.16) \quad \varphi_i^\alpha \frac{dx^i}{ds} = 0$$

covariantly along the curve C , we get

$$(\nabla_j^\alpha \varphi_i) \frac{dx^j}{ds} \frac{dx^i}{ds} + \varphi_h^\alpha \left(\frac{d^2 x^h}{ds^2} + \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ j \ i \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{dx^j}{ds} \frac{dx^i}{ds} \right) = 0.$$

Then applying (1.15) we obtain

$$(1.17) \quad \varphi_i^\beta \varphi_j^\alpha \frac{d}{ds} \chi_\beta + \frac{dx^j}{ds} \varphi_i^\alpha (\nabla_j^\beta \varphi_i - \nabla_i^\beta \varphi_j) \chi_\beta + \frac{dx^j}{ds} \frac{dx^i}{ds} \nabla_j^\alpha \varphi_i = 0.$$

Let us consider a system of differential equations composed of (1.15) and (1.17) in the unknown functions $x^h(s)$ and $\chi_\alpha(s)$. As far as only these equations are considered, s may not be the arc length and the curve $x^h = x^h(s)$ may not be a \mathcal{D} -curve. But, if the initial condition is chosen in such a way that

$$g_{ih} \frac{dx^i}{ds} \frac{dx^h}{ds} = 1, \quad \varphi_i^\alpha \frac{dx^i}{ds} = 0$$

hold at $s=0$, then we can easily see that s is the arc length of the curve $x^h = x^h(s)$ and (1.6) is satisfied by the curve.

Thus we obtain the

THEOREM 1.3. *Let M and \mathcal{D} be the same as those assumed in Lemma 1.2. A necessary and sufficient condition for a \mathcal{D} -curve C , for which the same is also assumed as in Lemma 1.2 and parametrized by the arc length s , to be a critical \mathcal{D} -curve with the fixed end points is that the functions $x^h(s)$ satisfy with some functions $\chi_\alpha(s)$ the differential equations (1.15), (1.16) and (1.17). If a solution $x^h = x^h(s)$, $\chi_\alpha = \chi_\alpha(s)$ of the system of differential equations composed of (1.15) and (1.17) satisfies the initial condition*

$$\left(g_{ih} \frac{dx^i}{ds} \frac{dx^h}{ds} \right)_0 = 1, \quad \left(\varphi_i^\alpha \frac{dx^i}{ds} \right)_0 = 0$$

and the $2m$ covectors

$$\varphi_i^\alpha, \quad \frac{dx^j}{ds} (\nabla_j^\alpha \varphi_i - \nabla_i^\alpha \varphi_j)$$

are linearly independent at each point $x^h(s)$ ($0 \leq s \leq l$), then the curve $x^h = x^h(s)$ is a critical \mathcal{D} -curve with the fixed end points $x^h(0)$, $x^h(l)$ and s is the arc length.

§2. Proof of Lemma 1.1.

Let τ be any number such that $0 < \tau < a$ and put

$$(2.1) \quad \xi^h(t) = a^h \delta(t - \tau)$$

where a^h is a constant vector and δ is the Dirac function. Then (1.12) becomes

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{d}{dt} f(\tau) \overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_i(\tau) a^i + f(\tau) \overset{\alpha}{\psi}_i(\tau) a^i = 0.$$

As we can take arbitrary C^∞ functions as $f(t)$, we get

$$(2.3) \quad \overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_i(\tau) a^i = 0, \quad \overset{\alpha}{\psi}_i(\tau) a^i = 0$$

from (2.2).

On the other hand we have

$$(2.4) \quad A_i(\tau) a^i = 0$$

from (1.13). Since any vector a^h satisfying (2.3) must satisfy (2.4) by assumption, there exist $2m$ numbers $\overset{\alpha}{\rho}(\tau)$, $\overset{\alpha}{\sigma}(\tau)$ such that

$$A_i(\tau) = \overset{\alpha}{\rho}(\tau) \overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_i(\tau) + \overset{\alpha}{\sigma}(\tau) \overset{\alpha}{\psi}_i(\tau).$$

Thus we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad A_i(t) = \overset{\alpha}{\rho}(t) \overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_i(t) + \overset{\alpha}{\sigma}(t) \overset{\alpha}{\psi}_i(t)$$

where $\overset{\alpha}{\rho}(t)$ and $\overset{\alpha}{\sigma}(t)$ are C^∞ functions, for $\overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_i(t)$ and $\overset{\alpha}{\psi}_i(t)$ are linearly independent.

We now proceed to find a relation between $\overset{\alpha}{\rho}(t)$ and $\overset{\alpha}{\sigma}(t)$.

From (1.13) and (2.5) we get

$$(2.6) \quad \int_0^a [\overset{\alpha}{\rho}(t) \overset{\alpha}{\varphi}_i(t) \overset{\alpha}{\xi}^i(t) + \overset{\alpha}{\sigma}(t) \overset{\alpha}{\psi}_i(t) \overset{\alpha}{\xi}^i(t)] dt = 0.$$

Let λ be an arbitrary number, $0 < \lambda < a$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ a sufficiently small number such that $[\lambda - \varepsilon, \lambda + \varepsilon] \subset (0, a)$ and such that a determinant of order $2m$ composed of some components of the $2m$ covectors $\overset{\alpha}{\varphi}$, $\overset{\alpha}{\psi}$ does not vanish at any point of $[\lambda - \varepsilon, \lambda + \varepsilon]$. Then we can consider for example

§3. Some examples.

In §3 some examples are given. Another example which is concerned with the normal contact metric structure of S^{2n-1} is studied in §4.

1° A distribution which is orthogonal to a Killing vector field of constant magnitude.

Let X be a Killing vector field in an odd dimensional Riemannian manifold such that

$$g_{ji}X^jX^i=1$$

and such that the rank of the matrix $(\nabla_j X_i)$ is $n-1$. X_i satisfies

$$(\nabla_j X_i - \nabla_i X_j)X^i = 2X^i \nabla_j X_i = 0$$

and, since the rank of $(\nabla_j X_i)$ is $n-1$, $Y^j \nabla_j X_i$ does not vanish if $Y^i X_i = 0$ and $Y \neq 0$. Hence the covectors X_i and $Y^j (\nabla_j X_i - \nabla_i X_j)$ are linearly independent. Consider the $(n-1)$ -dimensional distribution \mathcal{D} determined by the covector field X_i . Then from the above argument, for any \mathcal{D} -curve $C: x^h = x^h(s)$, the covectors

$$X_i, \frac{dx^j}{ds} (\nabla_j X_i - \nabla_i X_j)$$

are linearly independent on C .

The differential equations of the a critical \mathcal{D} -curve are

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2} x^h + \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ j \ i \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{dx^j}{ds} \frac{dx^i}{ds} = \left(\frac{d}{ds} \chi \right) X^h + 2\chi \frac{dx^j}{ds} \nabla_j X^h,$$

but it is easily seen from (1.17) that χ is a constant. Hence we have

$$\frac{d^2 x^h}{ds^2} + \left\{ \begin{matrix} h \\ j \ i \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{dx^j}{ds} \frac{dx^i}{ds} = c \frac{dx^j}{ds} \nabla_j X^h.$$

2° A distribution in the Euclidean 3-space.

Let \mathcal{D} be a distribution orthogonal to a Killing vector field defined by

$$\varphi_1 = -y, \quad \varphi_2 = x, \quad \varphi_3 = 1.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{d^2 x}{ds^2} = \frac{d\chi}{ds} (-y) - 2\chi \frac{dy}{ds},$$

$$\frac{d^2 y}{ds^2} = \frac{d\chi}{ds} x + 2\chi \frac{dx}{ds},$$

$$\frac{d^2 z}{ds^2} = \frac{d\chi}{ds}$$

for (1. 15),

$$-y \frac{dx}{ds} + x \frac{dy}{ds} + \frac{dz}{ds} = 0$$

for (1. 16) and

$$(x^2 + y^2 + 1) \frac{d\chi}{ds} + 2 \left(x \frac{dx}{ds} + y \frac{dy}{ds} \right) \chi = 0$$

for (1. 17). Then we get

$$\chi = \frac{c}{x^2 + y^2 + 1}$$

and χ is not a constant in general, although there exist some critical \mathcal{D} -curves where χ is constant.

Suppose

$$a\varphi_i + b \frac{dx^j}{ds} (\partial_j \varphi_i - \partial_i \varphi_j) = 0$$

for some a and b . Then we have

$$-ay - 2b \frac{dy}{ds} = 0, \quad ax + 2b \frac{dx}{ds} = 0, \quad a = 0,$$

and consequently

$$b = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{dx}{ds} = \frac{dy}{ds} = 0.$$

But the latter contradicts

$$\frac{dz}{ds} = y \frac{dx}{ds} - x \frac{dy}{ds}, \quad \left(\frac{dx}{ds} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{dy}{ds} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{dz}{ds} \right)^2 = 1.$$

Thus we see that

$$\varphi_i, \quad \frac{dx^j}{ds} (\partial_j \varphi_i - \partial_i \varphi_j)$$

are linearly independent for all \mathcal{D} -curves.

3° A distribution in a contact metric manifold.

A contact metric manifold M is a Riemannian manifold of odd dimension endowed with a vector field φ^h satisfying the following conditions,

(i) $\varphi^i \varphi_i = 1$ where $\varphi_i = g_{ih} \varphi^h$,

(ii) $(\nabla_j \varphi_i - \nabla_i \varphi_j) \varphi^i = 0$,

$$(iii) \quad \frac{1}{4}(\nabla_j \varphi^i - \nabla^i \varphi_j)(\nabla_i \varphi^h - \nabla^h \varphi_i) = -\delta_j^h + \varphi_j \varphi^h.$$

Let \mathcal{D} be a distribution which is orthogonal to the vector field φ^h . Let $x^h = x^h(s)$ be a \mathcal{D} -curve.

Suppose

$$a\varphi^h + b \frac{dx^j}{ds} (\nabla_j \varphi^h - \nabla^h \varphi_j) = 0.$$

Transvecting φ_h we get

$$a = 0.$$

Transvecting with $\nabla_h \varphi^i - \nabla^i \varphi_h$ we get

$$b \left(-\frac{dx^i}{ds} + \frac{dx^j}{ds} \varphi_j \varphi^i \right) = 0.$$

But, as we have

$$\varphi_j \frac{dx^j}{ds} = 0$$

for a \mathcal{D} -curve, we get $b = 0$. Hence

$$\varphi_i, \frac{dx^j}{ds} (\nabla_j \varphi_i - \nabla_i \varphi_j)$$

are linearly independent for all \mathcal{D} -curves.

§ 4. A $(2n-2)$ -dimensional distribution on S^{2n-1} and the critical \mathcal{D} -curves of this distribution.

In their study of normal contact metric structure Sasaki and Hatakeyama [1] showed that S^{2n-1} is an example of normal contact metric manifolds. A normal contact metric structure of S^{2n-1} induces a $(2n-2)$ -dimensional distribution \mathcal{D} and it is the purpose of §4 to study critical \mathcal{D} -curves of this distribution. On the other hand Yano and Ishihara [3] showed that S^{2n-1} is a fibred space with invariant Riemannian metric with a base space M^* which is a $(2n-2)$ -dimensional Kähler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.²⁾ A \mathcal{D} -curve is a horizontal curve with respect to this fibre structure and a critical \mathcal{D} -curve \mathcal{C} has a projection curve \mathcal{C}^* on M^* . We shall study some properties of \mathcal{C}^* .

1° When we regard S^{2n-1} as a hypersphere

2) See also Steenrod [2] where it is shown on page 108 that S^{2n-1} is a 1-sphere bundle over the projective space of n homogeneous complex variables.

$$(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + \dots + (x^{2n-1})^2 + (x^{2n})^2 = 1$$

in a $2n$ -dimensional Euclidean space E^{2n} where a rectangular coordinate system (x^1, \dots, x^{2n}) is fixed, x^1, \dots, x^{2n-1} can be considered as local coordinates of S^{2n-1} in domains $x^{2n} > 0$ and $x^{2n} < 0$.

There exists on E^{2n} a complex structure induced canonically from the given rectangular coordinate system, and this complex structure and the metric of E^{2n} induce on S^{2n-1} a normal contact metric structure. The contravariant vector field φ of this structure has components

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi^1 = -x^2, \quad \varphi^2 = x^1, \quad \varphi^3 = -x^4, \quad \varphi^4 = x^3, \\ \dots, \quad \varphi^{2n-1} = -x^{2n} \end{aligned}$$

in the local coordinates (x^i) .³⁾ We consider again the distribution \mathcal{D} which is orthogonal to the vector field φ .

As the metric tensor of S^{2n-1} has components

$$(4.2) \quad g_{\mu\lambda} = \delta_{\mu\lambda} + \frac{x^\mu x^\lambda}{(x^{2n})^2}$$

in the local coordinates (x^i) , the components φ_μ of the covector field of the distribution \mathcal{D} are

$$(4.3) \quad \varphi_\mu = \varphi^\mu + \frac{x^\lambda \varphi^\lambda}{(x^{2n})^2} x^\mu,$$

hence we have

$$(4.4) \quad \varphi_\lambda \varphi^\lambda = 1.$$

Let $\{\mu^{\kappa\lambda}\}_g$ be the Christoffel constructed from $g_{\mu\lambda}$ and let ∇_μ be the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the Riemannian metric of S^{2n-1} . If indices a, b, c are used in the range $\{1, \dots, 2n-2\}$,⁴⁾ the components

$$\varphi_{\mu\lambda} = \nabla_\mu \varphi_\lambda - \nabla_\lambda \varphi_\mu = \partial_\mu \varphi_\lambda - \partial_\lambda \varphi_\mu$$

have the following values,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{cb} = 0 \text{ except} \quad \varphi_{12} = \varphi_{34} = \dots = \varphi_{2n-3, 2n-2} \\ = -\varphi_{21} = -\varphi_{43} = \dots = -\varphi_{2n-2, 2n-3} = 2, \end{aligned}$$

3) In §4 indices $\kappa, \lambda, \mu, \dots$ run over the range $\{1, \dots, 2n-1\}$. Summation convention is used in the usual way and also in the following way, $A^\lambda B^\lambda = A^1 B^1 + \dots + A^{2n-1} B^{2n-1}$.

4) The summation convention of the following form is also used,

$$A^a B^a = A^1 B^1 + \dots + A^{2n-2} B^{2n-2}.$$

$$\varphi_{c,2n-1} = -\varphi_{2n-1,c} = \frac{2x^c}{x^{2n}}.$$

The rank of $(\varphi_{\mu\lambda})$ is $2n-2$.

As we have

$$(4.5) \quad \left\{ \begin{matrix} \kappa \\ \mu \ \lambda \end{matrix} \right\}_g = \delta_{\mu\lambda} x^\kappa + \frac{x^\mu x^\lambda x^\kappa}{(x^{2n})^2} = g_{\mu\lambda} x^\kappa,$$

the differential equation of a critical \mathcal{D} -curve is

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{d^2 x^\kappa}{ds^2} + x^\kappa = C \varphi_\mu^\kappa \frac{dx^\mu}{ds}.$$

The study of critical \mathcal{D} -curves is facilitated by the use of local coordinates y^1, \dots, y^{2n-1} such that

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} x^1 &= y^1 \cos z + y^2 \sin z, \\ x^2 &= -y^1 \sin z + y^2 \cos z, \\ &\dots\dots\dots, \\ x^{2n-3} &= y^{2n-3} \cos z + y^{2n-2} \sin z, \\ x^{2n-2} &= -y^{2n-3} \sin z + y^{2n-2} \cos z, \\ x^{2n-1} &= r \sin z, \quad x^{2n} = r \cos z, \end{aligned}$$

where $z = y^{2n-1}$ and

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} r^2 &= 1 - (x^1)^2 - \dots - (x^{2n-2})^2 \\ &= 1 - (y^1)^2 - \dots - (y^{2n-2})^2. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that these coordinates are used only in the range

$$r > 0, \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} < z < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Let us define f_{cb} by

$$(4.9) \quad f_{cb} = 0 \text{ except } f_{12} = f_{34} = \dots = f_{2n-3,2n-2} = -f_{21} = -f_{43} = \dots = -f_{2n-2,2n-3} = 1.$$

Then the components $h_{\mu\lambda}$ of the metric tensor of S^{2n-1} in local coordinates (y^i) are

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} h_{cb} &= \delta_{cb} + \frac{y^c y^b}{r^2}, \\ h_{c,2n-1} &= f_{ct} y^t, \quad h_{2n-1,2n-1} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

If we define $h^{\mu\lambda}$ by

$$h_{\mu\lambda}h^{\lambda\kappa} = \delta_{\mu}^{\kappa},$$

we have

$$h^{ba} = \delta_{ba} - y^b y^a + \frac{1}{r^2} f_{bt} y^t f_{as} y^s, \tag{4.11}$$

$$h^{b,2n-1} = \frac{-1}{r^2} f_{bt} y^t, \quad h^{2n-1,2n-1} = \frac{1}{r^2}.$$

When we use the coordinate system (y^{ϵ}) , the corresponding contravariant components of the vector φ will be denoted by ϕ^{ϵ} , hence

$$\phi^{\epsilon} = \frac{\partial y^{\epsilon}}{\partial x^{\lambda}} \varphi^{\lambda}.$$

Then we have

$$\phi^a = 0, \quad \phi^{2n-1} = -1. \tag{4.12}$$

We have for the corresponding covariant components

$$\phi_b = -f_{bt} y^t, \quad \phi_{2n-1} = -1 \tag{4.13}$$

2° Remember that ϕ^{ϵ} are the components of a Killing vector of unit length to which the distribution \mathcal{D} is orthogonal. (4.12) shows that the y^{2n-1} -curves (curves on which y^a are constant) are fibres of the fibred space S^{2n-1} . This fibred space which has been studied by Yano and Ishihara [3], has a base space M^* of dimension $2n-2$ and, if we use the local coordinates (y^{ϵ}) , namely (y^a, y^{2n-1}) , in S^{2n-1} , the projection $\pi: S^{2n-1} \rightarrow M^*$ is given by $\pi: (y^a, y^{2n-1}) \rightarrow (y^a)$.

Let us introduce a metric into M^* by the standard of Yano and Ishihara. If the metric tensor of M^* is written $h_{c^*b^*}^*$ in the coordinate system (y^a) , $h_{c^*b^*}^*$ are obtained from

$$h_{\mu\lambda} dy^{\mu} dy^{\lambda} = h_{c^*b^*}^* dy^{c^*} dy^{b^*}$$

by putting $\phi_{\epsilon} dy^{\epsilon} = 0$. The explicit formula is

$$h_{c^*b^*}^* = \delta_{cb} + \frac{y^c y^b}{r^2} - f_{ct} y^t f_{bs} y^s. \tag{4.14}$$

The inverse $(h^{b^*a^*})$ of the matrix $(h_{c^*b^*}^*)$ has the elements

$$h^{ba} = \delta_{ba} - y^b y^a + \frac{1}{r^2} f_{bt} y^t f_{as} y^s. \tag{4.15}$$

The Christoffel $\{c^*a^*\}^*$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\{ \begin{matrix} a \\ c \end{matrix} \right\}^* &= \left(\delta_{cb} + \frac{y^c y^b}{r^2} \right) y^a \\
 (4.16) \quad &+ f_{ct} y^t f_{ba} + f_{bt} y^t f_{ca} - 2f_{ct} y^t f_{bs} y^s y^a \\
 &- (f_{ct} y^t y^b + f_{bt} y^t y^c) \frac{1}{r^2} f_{as} y^s.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if we define ϕ_μ^{κ} by

$$\phi_\mu^{\kappa} = \left(\frac{\partial \phi_\lambda}{\partial y^\mu} - \frac{\partial \phi_\mu}{\partial y^\lambda} \right) h^{\lambda\kappa},$$

we can write the differential equations of a critical \mathcal{D} -curve in the form

$$(4.17) \quad \frac{d^2 y^\kappa}{ds^2} + \left\{ \begin{matrix} \kappa \\ \mu \lambda \end{matrix} \right\} \frac{dy^\mu}{ds} \frac{dy^\lambda}{ds} = C \phi_\mu^{\kappa} \frac{dy^\mu}{ds}.$$

Calculating the Christoffel $\left\{ \begin{matrix} \kappa \\ \mu \lambda \end{matrix} \right\}$ of $h_{\mu\lambda}$, we get from (4.17)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.18) \quad y''^a &= \left\{ -y'^c y'^c - \frac{(y^c y'^c)^2}{r^2} + 2\rho^2 - 2C\rho \right\} y^a \\
 &+ \frac{2y^c y'^c}{r^2} (\rho - C) f_{at} y^t + 2(\rho - C) f_{at} y'^t
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$y'^a = \frac{dy^a}{ds}$$

and ρ is defined by

$$(4.19) \quad \rho = f_{ts} y'^t y^s.$$

We can regard (4.18) as a curve C^* in M^* , the projection of a critical \mathcal{D} -curve \mathcal{C} . In order to find some properties of C^* we use (4.16) and write (4.18) in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.20) \quad y''^a + \left\{ \begin{matrix} a \\ c \end{matrix} \right\}^* y'^c y'^b \\
 = -2C \left(\rho y^a + \frac{1}{r^2} y^c y'^c f_{at} y^t + f_{at} y'^t \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating (4.20) covariantly along the curve C^* we get after some straightforward calculation

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{ds} \left(y''^a + \begin{Bmatrix} a \\ c \ b \end{Bmatrix}^* y'^c y'^b \right) \\
 (4.21) \quad & + \begin{Bmatrix} a \\ c \ b \end{Bmatrix}^* \left(y''^c + \begin{Bmatrix} c \\ t \ s \end{Bmatrix}^* y'^t y'^s \right) y'^b \\
 & = -4C^2 y'^a.
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that C^* is a Riemannian circle of curvature $2|C|$.

A Riemannian circle is by definition a curve in a Riemannian space whose development in a tangent space is a circle. Its global properties are quite various according to the enveloping manifold. Thus, for example, we cannot even guess the period of C^* .

But, as for the function $r(s)$ only, we can find its period.

As r is given by $y^a y^a = 1 - r^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & y^c y'^c = -r r', \\
 (4.22) \quad & y'^c y'^c + y^c y''^c = -r' r' - r r''.
 \end{aligned}$$

We also get from $h_{cb}^* y'^c y'^b = 1$ and (4.14)

$$(4.23) \quad y'^c y'^c + r' r' = 1 + \rho^2.$$

On the other hand, if we substitute (4.18) into $y^c y''^c$, the second equation of (4.22) gives

$$r r'' = -r^2(1 - \rho^2 + 2C\rho) = -r^2\{1 + C^2 - (\rho - C)^2\}.$$

As we assume $r > 0$, we get

$$(4.24) \quad r'' = -r\{1 + C^2 - (\rho - C)^2\}.$$

We also obtain from (4.18), (4.19) and (4.22)

$$\rho' = -\frac{2(\rho - C)r'}{r}.$$

Hence we have

$$(4.25) \quad \rho - C = \frac{k}{r^2}$$

where k is a constant. Substituting this into (4.24) we get

$$r'' = -(1 + C^2)r + \frac{k^2}{r^3}.$$

The general solution of this differential equation is

$$r^2 = C_1 + C_2 \sin(\pm 2\sqrt{1+C^2}(s-s_0))$$

where

$$k^2 = (1+C^2)(C_1^2 - C_2^2).$$

Thus we find that $r(s)$ has period $\pi/\sqrt{1+C^2}$ or $r(s)$ is reduced to a constant. The only exceptional cases will occur if $k=0$. Then we have $\rho=C$. Such cases will be studied in the appendix.

3° It was shown by Yano and Ishihara [3] that the base space M^* is a Kähler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

Let us turn to the Euclidean space E^{2n} equipped with a fixed rectangular coordinate system (x^1, \dots, x^{2n}) and introduce a complex coordinate system

$$Z^1 = x^1 + ix^2, \dots, Z^{n-1} = x^{2n-3} + ix^{2n-2}, \tag{4.26}$$

$$Z^0 = x^{2n-1} + ix^{2n}.$$

Then we have a complex space C^n . In $C^n - \{0\}$ we can regard $(Z^0, Z^1, \dots, Z^{n-1})$ as a system of homogeneous complex coordinates of the complex projective space $P^{n-1}(C)$. If we assume $Z^0 \neq 0$, we can introduce an inhomogeneous complex coordinate system by

$$z^1 = \frac{Z^1}{Z^0}, \dots, z^{n-1} = \frac{Z^{n-1}}{Z^0}, \tag{4.27}$$

and, if we introduce real local coordinates w^1, \dots, w^{2n-2} in $P^{n-1}(C)$ by

$$z^1 = w^1 + iw^2, \dots, z^{n-1} = w^{2n-3} + iw^{2n-2}, \tag{4.28}$$

then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w^1 &= \frac{x^1 x^{2n-1} + x^2 x^{2n}}{(x^{2n-1})^2 + (x^{2n})^2}, \\ w^2 &= \frac{x^2 x^{2n-1} - x^1 x^{2n}}{(x^{2n-1})^2 + (x^{2n})^2}, \\ &\dots\dots\dots, \\ w^{2n-3} &= \frac{x^{2n-3} x^{2n-1} + x^{2n-2} x^{2n}}{(x^{2n-1})^2 + (x^{2n})^2}, \\ w^{2n-2} &= \frac{x^{2n-2} x^{2n-1} - x^{2n-3} x^{2n}}{(x^{2n-1})^2 + (x^{2n})^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

If the ordinary Kähler metric of $P^{n-1}(C)$ is multiplied by a suitable constant, the corresponding metric tensor has following components g_{ab}^* in real coordinates

$w^1, \dots, w^{2n-2},$

$$(4.30) \quad g_{cb}^* = \frac{\delta_{cb}}{1+w^a w^a} - \frac{w^c w^b + f_{ci} w^i f_{bs} w^s}{(1+w^a w^a)^2}$$

which will be easily proved by direct calculation.

The relation between w^1, \dots, w^{2n-2} and y^1, \dots, y^{2n-2} is obtained from (4.7) and (4.29) to be

$$(4.31) \quad w^a = \frac{1}{r} f_{ai} y^i, \quad w^a w^a = \frac{1}{r^2} - 1.$$

Hence we can write (4.30) in the form

$$(4.32) \quad g_{cb}^* = r^2 (\delta_{cb} - y^c y^b - f_{ci} y^i f_{bs} y^s).$$

That the metric tensor whose components are g_{cb}^* in local coordinates (w^a) is identical with the metric tensor whose components are h_{cb}^* in local coordinates (y^a) is immediately shown since we have

$$g_{cb}^* w'^c w'^b = h_{cb}^* y'^c y'^b$$

because of (4.14), (4.31) and (4.32).

As f_{cb} satisfies

$$\nabla_c^* f_{ba} = \begin{Bmatrix} e \\ c \ b \end{Bmatrix}^* f_{ea} + \begin{Bmatrix} e \\ c \ a \end{Bmatrix}^* f_{be} = 0$$

on account of (4.16), (h_{cb}^*, f_{cb}) is a Kähler structure of $P^{n-1}(C)$.

4° Let

$$(4.33) \quad \alpha^0 Z^0 + \alpha^1 Z^1 + \dots + \alpha^{n-1} Z^{n-1} = 0$$

be the equation of a hyperplane of $P^{n-1}(C)$. If we use only real numbers, we can write (4.33) in the form

$$(4.34) \quad A^a y^a = Kr, \quad A^a f_{ai} y^i = Lr$$

where r is given by (4.8). Hence, to a complex hyperplane of $P^{n-1}(C)$ corresponds a subspace M' of codimension 2 in M^* . The subspace M' determined by (4.34) will be denoted by $M'(A^a, K, L)$.

If we define functions $X(s)$ and $Y(s)$ by

$$(4.35) \quad X(s) = A^a y^a(s) - Kr(s),$$

$$Y(s) = A^a f_{ai} y^i(s) - Lr(s)$$

along a curve C^* , these satisfy

$$X'' = \left\{ -(1+C^2) + \frac{k^2}{r^4} \right\} X - \frac{2kr'}{r^3} Y + \frac{2k}{r^2} Y',$$

$$Y'' = \left\{ -(1+C^2) + \frac{k^2}{r^4} \right\} Y + \frac{2kr'}{r^3} X - \frac{2k}{r^2} X',$$

for we get

$$(4.36) \quad y''^a = \left\{ -(1+C^2) + \frac{k^2}{r^4} \right\} y^a - \frac{2kr'}{r^3} f_{at} y^t + \frac{2k}{r^2} f_{at} y'^t$$

from (4.18), (4.23) and (4.25). Hence we get $X(s)=Y(s)=0$ if $X(s)$ and $Y(s)$ satisfy $X(0)=Y(0)=X'(0)=Y'(0)=0$.

This proves the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let C^* be a curve of M^* which is the projection of a critical \mathcal{D} -curve C in S^{2n-1} . If, in the corresponding curve in $P^{n-1}(C)$, which will also be denoted by C^* , a point P and the tangent of C^* at P lie in a complex hyperplane, then C^* lies completely in this complex hyperplane.*

From (4.20) we observe that a curve C^* where $C=0$ is a geodesic of M^* and that any geodesic of M^* is a curve C^* . Hence $M'(A^a, K, L)$ is a totally geodesic subspace. Notice that $M'(f_{at}A^t, -L, K)$ is the same subspace as $M'(A^a, K, L)$.

A subspace $M'(A^a, K, L)$ tangent to a given curve C^* at the point $s=0$ is obtained if we take A^a, K, L satisfying

$$(4.37) \quad \begin{aligned} A^a y^a(0) - Kr(0) &= 0, & A^a y'^a(0) - Kr'(0) &= 0, \\ A^a f_{at} y^t(0) - Lr(0) &= 0, & A^a f_{at} y'^t(0) - Lr'(0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

If we define M by

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} y^1 & y^2 & \dots & y^{2n-3} & y^{2n-2} & r & 0 \\ y'^1 & y'^2 & \dots & y'^{2n-3} & y'^{2n-2} & r' & 0 \\ y^2 & -y^1 & \dots & y^{2n-2} & -y^{2n-3} & 0 & r \\ y'^2 & -y'^1 & \dots & y'^{2n-2} & -y'^{2n-3} & 0 & r' \end{pmatrix},$$

the rank of M is 4, since we have

$$MM^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\rho \\ 0 & 1+\rho^2 & \rho & 0 \\ 0 & \rho & 1 & 0 \\ -\rho & 0 & 0 & 1+\rho^2 \end{pmatrix}, \det(MM^T) = 1$$

because of (4. 22) and (4. 23). Hence we have $2n-4$ linearly independent solutions of (4. 37). We also observe that, if (A^a, K, L) is a solution of (4. 37), $(f_{at}A^t, -L, K)$ is also a solution.

Suppose that (A^a, K, L) ($\xi=1, \dots, 2p$) are $2p$ linearly independent solutions of (4. 37) where

$$A^a = f_{at} A^t \quad (u=1, \dots, p).$$

$(2u) \quad (2u-1)$

If (A^a, K, L) is a solution of (4. 37) such that

$$A^a = k_{(1)} A^a + \dots + k_{(2p)} A^a,$$

then we find immediately that

$$K = k_{(1)} K + \dots + k_{(2p)} K,$$

$$L = k_{(1)} L + \dots + k_{(2p)} L,$$

hence (A^a, K, L) is a linear combination of (A^a, K, L) , \dots , (A^a, K, L) . Then we also find that the $2p$ $(2n-2)$ -tuples A^a, \dots, A^a are linearly independent, for a solution (A^a, K, L) must satisfy $K=L=0$ if $A^a=0$.

From the above result we can deduce that there exists a set of $2n-4$ linearly independent solutions (A^a, K, L) ($\xi=1, \dots, 2n-4$) of (4. 37) where

$$A^a = f_{at} A^t, \quad K = -L, \quad L = K \quad (u=1, \dots, n-2)$$

$(2u) \quad (2u-1) \quad (2u) \quad (2u-1)$

and such that the $(2n-2)$ -tuples A^a, \dots, A^a are linearly independent.

We can interpret this result geometrically as follows.

LEMMA 4. 2. *For any curve C^* there exists in M^* a totally geodesic subspace of dimension 2 which contains C^* and is determined by a system of equations*

$$A^a y^a = K r \quad (\xi=1, \dots, 2n-4)$$

$(\xi) \quad (\xi)$

where

$$A^a = f_{at} A^t \quad (u=1, \dots, n-2).$$

$(2u) \quad (2u-1)$

This subspace is common to all curves C^ passing a point P and having a common tangent vector at the point P.*

The contents of §4 can be resumed in the following theorem.

THEOREM 4. 3. *According to Sasaki and Hatakeyama an S^{2n-1} in E^{2n} can be treated as a normal contact metric manifold. According to Yano and Ishihara S^{2n-1}*

$$\begin{aligned}
 x''^1 &= y'^1 \cos z + y''^2 \sin z + 2C(y'^1 \sin z - y'^2 \cos z) - C^2 x^1, \\
 x''^2 &= -y'^1 \sin z + y''^2 \cos z + 2C(y'^1 \cos z + y'^2 \sin z) - C^2 x^2, \\
 &\dots\dots\dots, \\
 x''^{2n-1} &= r'' \sin z - 2Cr' \cos z - C^2 x^{2n-1}, \\
 x''^{2n} &= r'' \cos z + 2Cr' \sin z - C^2 x^{2n}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{A. 5}$$

Since r and y^a satisfy

$$r'' = -(1+C^2)r, \quad y''^a = -(1+C^2)y^a$$

along C , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 x''^1 + 2Cx'^2 + x^1 &= 0, \\
 x''^2 - 2Cx'^1 + x^2 &= 0, \\
 &\dots\dots\dots, \\
 x''^{2n-1} + 2Cx'^{2n} + x^{2n-1} &= 0, \\
 x''^{2n} - 2Cx'^{2n-1} + x^{2n} &= 0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{A. 6}$$

C satisfies moreover

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x^1)^2 + \dots + (x^{2n})^2 &= 1, \\
 (x'^1)^2 + \dots + (x'^{2n})^2 &= 1, \\
 x^1 x'^1 + \dots + x^{2n} x'^{2n} &= 0, \\
 x^1 x'^2 - x^2 x'^1 + \dots + x^{2n-1} x'^{2n} - x^{2n} x'^{2n-1} &= 0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{A. 7}$$

The fourth equation of (A. 7) is obtained from (A. 4) and $\rho=C$.

If F_{ji} is defined by

$$F_{ji} = 0 \text{ except } F_{12} = F_{34} = \dots = F_{2n-1, 2n} = -F_{21} = -F_{43} = \dots = -F_{2n, 2n-1} = 1,$$

then we can write the fourth equation of (A. 7) in the form

$$F_{ji} x'^j x^i = 0. \tag{A. 8}$$

Now we can write (A. 6) in the form

$$x''^h + 2CF_{hi} x'^i + x^h = 0.$$

If in E^{2n} the vector x^h is denoted by X and the vector $F_{hi} x^i$ by FX , (A. 6) is written

$$(A. 9) \quad X'' + 2CFX' + X = 0.$$

Differentiating repeatedly and eliminating FX, FX' we get

$$(A. 10) \quad X^{(4)} + 2(2C^2 + 1)X'' + X = 0.$$

Let us put

$$(A. 11) \quad \alpha = \sqrt{1 + C^2} + |C|, \quad \beta = \sqrt{1 + C^2} - |C|.$$

Assuming $C \neq 0$, we have $\alpha > \beta > 0$. $-\alpha^2$ and $-\beta^2$ are the roots of $\lambda^2 + 2(2C^2 + 1)\lambda + 1 = 0$. Hence

$$(A. 12) \quad X = A_1 \cos \alpha s + A_2 \sin \alpha s + B_1 \cos \beta s + B_2 \sin \beta s$$

is the general solution of (A. 10).

Substituting (A. 12) into (A. 7) we can deduce

$$(A_1, A_1) = (A_2, A_2) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{|C|}{2\sqrt{1 + C^2}},$$

$$(B_1, B_1) = (B_2, B_2) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{|C|}{2\sqrt{1 + C^2}}$$

and that A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 are mutually orthogonal.

Substituting (A. 12) into (A. 9) we can deduce

$$FA_1 = -\frac{|C|}{C} A_2, \quad FB_1 = \frac{|C|}{C} B_2.$$

Thus we have

$$(A. 13) \quad X = A \cos \alpha s - \varepsilon FA \sin \alpha s + B \cos \beta s + \varepsilon FB \sin \beta s$$

where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ and

$$(A, A) = \frac{1 - \beta^2}{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}, \quad (B, B) = \frac{\alpha^2 - 1}{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}, \quad (A, B) = 0.$$

If $C = 0$ we have the simplest case,

$$(A. 14) \quad X = A \cos s + B \sin s$$

where $(A, A) = (B, B) = 1, (A, B) = 0$.

Thus we have the following result.

The equations of the exceptional critical \mathcal{G} -curves are (A. 13) or (A. 14) according as $C \neq 0$ or $C = 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] SASAKI, S., AND Y. HATAKEYAMA, On differentiable manifolds with contact metric structures. J. Math. Soc. Japan **14** (1962), 249-271.
- [2] STEENROD, N. E., The topology of fibre bundles. Princeton (1951).
- [3] YANO, K., AND S. ISHIHARA, Fibred spaces with invariant Riemannian metric. Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. **19** (1967), 317-360.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS,
YOKOHAMA NATIONAL UNIVERSITY.