# SURFACES OF CURVATURE $\lambda_{N}=0$ IN $\boldsymbol{E}^{2+N}$ 

By Bang-Yen Chen

1. ${ }^{11,2)}$ In [3], Prof. Ōtsuki introduced some kinds of curvature, $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{N}$, for surfaces in a $(2+N)$-dimensional Euclidean space $E^{2+N}$. These curvatures play a main rôle for the surfaces in higher dimensional Euclidean space.

In [5], Shiohama proved that a complete, oriented surface $M^{2}$ in $E^{2+N}$ with the curvatures $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\cdots=\lambda_{N}=0$ is a cylinder.

In this note, we shall prove the following theorem:
Theorem 1. Let $f: M^{2} \rightarrow E^{2+N}(N \geqq 2)$ be an immersion of a compact, oriented surface $M^{2}$ in a $(2+N)$-dimensional Euclidean space $E^{2+N}$. Then
(I) The last curvature $\lambda_{N}=0$ if and only if $M^{2}$ is imbedded as a convex surface in a 3-dimensional linear subspace of $E^{2+N}$, and
(II) The first curvature $\lambda_{1}=a=$ constant and the last curvature $\lambda_{N}=0$ if and only if $M^{2}$ is imbedded as a sphere in a 3-dimensional linear subspace of $E^{2+N}$ with radius $1 / \sqrt{a}$.
2. Lemmas. In order to prove Theorem 1, we first prove the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let $f: M^{2} \rightarrow E^{2+N}$ be an immersion given as in Theorem 1. Then the last curvature $\lambda_{N} \geqq 0$ if and only if $M^{2}$ is imbedded. as a convex surface in a 3dimensional linear subspace of $E^{2+N}$.

Proof. Let $f: M^{2} \rightarrow E^{2+N}$ be an immersion given as in Theorem 1, and let $\left(p, e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots, e_{2+N}\right)$ be a Frenet-frame in the sense of O tsuki [2], then we have the following:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d p=\omega_{1} e_{1}+\omega_{2} e_{2}, & \\
d e_{A}=\sum_{B} \omega_{A B} e_{B}, & \omega_{A B}+\omega_{B A}=0, \\
\omega_{i r}=\sum_{r} A_{r i j} \omega_{\jmath}, & A_{r \imath j}=A_{r j i}, \\
\omega_{i r} \wedge \omega_{2 r}=\lambda_{r-2} \omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{2} & \lambda_{1} \geqq \lambda_{2} \geqq \cdots \geqq \lambda_{N}, \\
G(p)=\sum_{r} \lambda_{r-2}(p), &  \tag{2.5}\\
A, B=1, \cdots, 2+N, & r=3, \cdots, 2+N, \quad i, j=1,2,
\end{array}
$$

[^0]where $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ and $\omega_{12}$ are the basic forms, and the connection form of $M^{2}$ with respect to the induced metric, and $G(p)$ denotes the Gaussian curvature at $p$.

Let $B_{\nu}$ denote the normal bundle of the immersion $f: M^{2} \rightarrow E^{2+N}$, then for any $(p, e) \in B_{\nu}$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
e=e_{3} \cos \theta_{1}+\cdots+e_{2+N} \cos \theta_{N}, \quad-\frac{\pi}{2} \leqq \theta_{i} \leqq \frac{\pi}{2} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in [3], we know that the Lipschitz-Killing curvature $K(p, e)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(p, e)=\lambda_{1}(p) \cos ^{2} \theta_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{N}(p) \cos ^{2} \theta_{N} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, suppose that $\lambda_{N} \geqq 0$, then by (2.4) and (2.7) we know that $K(p, e) \geqq 0$ for all $(p, e) \in B_{\nu}$. Hence, the total absolute curvature $T(f)$ of the immersion $f: M^{2} \rightarrow E^{2+N}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
T(f) & =\int_{B_{\nu}}|K(p, e)| d V \wedge d \sigma_{N-1}=\int_{B_{\nu}} K(p, e) d V \wedge d \sigma_{N-1} \\
& =\int_{B_{\nu}}\left(\lambda_{1}(p) \cos ^{2} \theta_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{N}(p) \cos ^{2} \theta_{N}\right) d V \wedge d \sigma_{N-1}  \tag{2.8}\\
& =\frac{c_{N+1}}{2 \pi} \int_{M^{2}} G(p) d V=(2-2 g) c_{N+1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore by a result due to Chern-Lashof [2], we know that $T(f) \geqq(2+2 g) c_{N+1}$, hence we know that $f$ is a minimal imbedding and the genus $g=0$. Hence, also by a result due to Chern-Lashof [2], $M^{2}$ is imbedded as a convex surface in a 3 dimensional linear subspace of $E^{2+N}$.

Conversely, if $M^{2}$ is imbedded as a convex surface in a 3-dimensional linear subspace of $E^{2+N}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(f)=\int_{B_{\nu}}|K(p, e)| d V \wedge d_{N-1}=2 c_{N+1} \quad \text { and } \quad g=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by the last three equalities of (2.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{\nu}} K(p, e) d V \wedge d \sigma_{N-1}=2 c_{N+1} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by (2.9) and (2.10) we know that the Lipschitz-Killing curvature $K(p, e) \geqq 0$ for all $(p, e) \in B_{\nu}$. Therefore by (2.4) and (2.7), we can easily verify that the last curvature $\lambda_{N} \geqq 0$. This completes the proof of the Lemma.

Lemma 2. Let $f: M^{2} \rightarrow E^{2+N}(N \geqq 1)$ be an immersion given as in Theorem 1 , and let $\bar{f}: M^{2} \rightarrow E^{3+N}$ be the immersion given by $\bar{f}(p)=f(p)$ for all $p \in M^{2}$. Then the Lipschitz-Killing curvature $K(p, e)$ and $\bar{K}(p, e)$ of the immersions $f$ and $\bar{f}$ satisfy the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{K}(p, e)=\cos ^{2} \theta K\left(p, e^{\prime}\right), \quad(p, e) \in \bar{B}_{\nu} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e^{\prime}$ denotes the unit vector of the projection of $e$ in $E^{2+N}$, and $\theta$ denotes the angle between $e$ and $e^{\prime}$.

Proof. We consider the bundle of all frames $p, e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots, e_{2+N}^{\prime}$, such that $p \in M^{2}$, $e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{2}^{\prime}$ are tangent vectors and $e_{3}^{\prime}, \cdots, e_{2+N}^{\prime}$ are normal vectors to $f\left(M^{2}\right)$ at $f(p)$. If we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{2+N, A}^{\prime}=d e_{2+N}^{\prime} \cdot e_{A}^{\prime} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}$ denote the basic forms, then the Lipschitz-Killing curvature $K\left(p, e_{2+N}^{\prime}\right)$ of the immersion $f$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{2+N, 1}^{\prime} \wedge \omega_{2+N, 2}^{\prime}=K\left(p, e_{2+N}^{\prime}\right) \omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{2} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $a$ be the one of the two unit vectors perpendicular to $E^{2+N}$ in $E^{3+N}$. A unit normal vector at $f(p)$ can be written uniquely in the form:

$$
\bar{e}_{3+N}=(\cos \theta) e_{2+N}^{\prime}+(\sin \theta) a, \quad-\frac{\pi}{2} \leqq \theta \leqq \frac{\pi}{2}
$$

where $e_{2+N}^{\prime}$ is the unit vector in the direction of its projection in $E^{2+N}$. Let

$$
\bar{e}_{2+N}=(\sin \theta) e_{2+N}^{\prime}-(\cos \theta) a, \quad \bar{e}_{s}=e_{s}^{\prime}, \quad 1 \leqq s \leqq 1+N
$$

and

$$
\bar{\omega}_{3+N, A}=d \bar{e}_{3+N} \cdot \bar{e}_{A}
$$

Then we have

$$
\bar{\omega}_{3+N, s}=\cos \theta \omega_{2+N, s}^{\prime}
$$

Therefore by (2.13) we can easily get

$$
\bar{K}(p, e)=\cos ^{2} \theta K\left(p, e^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $e^{\prime}$ is the unit vector in the direction of the projection of $e$ in $E^{2+N}$.
3. Proof of Theorem 1. The necessity of Part (I) in Theorem 1 follows immediately from Lemma 1. On the other hand, suppose that $M^{2}$ is imbedded as a convex surface in a 3-dimensional linear subspace $E$ of $E^{2+N}$. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $E \subset E^{1+N}$. Now, let

$$
f^{\prime}: M^{2} \rightarrow E^{1+N}
$$

be the immersion of $M^{2}$ into $E^{1+N}$ given by $f^{\prime}(p)=f(p)$ for all $p \in M^{2}$. Then by Lemma 2, we know that for all $(p, e) \in B_{\nu}$, we have

$$
K(p, e)=\cos ^{2} \theta K^{\prime}\left(p, e^{\prime}\right) \quad-\frac{\pi}{2}<\theta \leqq \frac{\pi}{2}
$$

Hence

$$
K(p, e)=0, \quad \theta=\frac{\pi}{2} .
$$

Now, by Lemma 1, we know that $K^{\prime}\left(p, e^{\prime}\right) \geqq 0$ for all $\left(p, e^{\prime}\right) \in B_{v}^{\prime}$. Hence by (2.4) and (2.7), we know that last curvature $\lambda_{N}=0$.

Now, suppose that not only the last curvature $\lambda_{N}=0$ but the first curvature $\lambda_{1}=a=$ constant. Then by the fact that $M^{2}$ is imbedded as a convex surface in a 3 -dimensional linear subspace $E$, we can easily see, from Lemma 2, that

$$
\lambda_{1}(p)=K(p, e)
$$

where $e$ is a unit normal vector at $f(p)$ in $E$. Furthermore we can easily verify that the Lipschitz-Killing curvature $K(p, e)$ for such $e$ is equal to the Gaussian curvature $G(p)$ of the immersion $\bar{f}: M^{2} \rightarrow E$ which is induced by $f$ in a natural way. Hence by the fact that $M^{2}$ is compact, we know that $M^{2}$ is imbedded in $E$ with constant Gaussian curvature $G(p)=a$. Therefore $M^{2}$ is imbedded in $E$ as a sphere with radius $1 / \sqrt{a}$.

Conversely, suppose that $M^{2}$ is imbedded as a sphere in a 3 -dimensional linear subspace $E$ with radius $1 / \sqrt{ } \bar{a}$. Then we know that the Gaussian curvature $G(p)$ $=\bar{K}(p, e)=a$ for all $(p, e)$ in the normal bundle of the immersion $\bar{f}: M^{2} \rightarrow E$. Hence by Lemma $2,(2.4)$ and (2.7) we can easily verify that the first curvature $\lambda_{1}=a$ and the last curvature $\lambda_{N}=0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

The author would like to take this opportunity to express his warmest thanks to Professor T. Nagano for his kindly help.
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