

# ON THE HAHN-BANACH TYPE THEOREM AND THE JORDAN DECOMPOSITION OF MODULE LINEAR MAPPING OVER SOME OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

BY MASAMICHI TAKESAKI

## Introduction.

In [6], Nachbin has shown the real Hahn-Banach extension property of  $C_R(\Omega)$ , the space of all real valued continuous functions over a compact stonean space  $\Omega$ , and recently Hasumi has proved the generalization of this result to complex case in [4]. While  $C(\Omega)$  is considered, not only as a Banach space, but as a commutative algebra, then the extension problem of a module linear mapping over  $C(\Omega)$  comes into our consideration. The same problem has been treated by Nakai in [7] which was independently presented from ours.

On the other hand, Takeda and Grothendieck have shown the Jordan decomposition of self-adjoint linear functional on an operator algebra corresponding to the Jordan decomposition of real Radon measure on a locally compact space in [3] and [9], respectively.

In the present note we shall show the extension property of  $C(\Omega)$  for module linear mappings over  $C(\Omega)$  and the generalization of Takeda-Grothendieck's result for self-adjoint module linear mappings over  $C(\Omega)$ .

1. Let  $M$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $M^*$  the conjugate space of  $M$  and  $M^{**}$  the second conjugate space of  $M$ . If  $\pi$  is a  $*$ -representation of  $M$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ , then  $\pi$  is uniquely extended to the metric homomorphism  $\tilde{\pi}$  from  $M^{**}$  onto the weak closure of  $\pi(M)$  which is continuous for  $\sigma(M^{**}, M^*)$ -topology and  $\sigma$ -weak topology of the weak closure of  $\pi(M)$ . Since  $M^*$  is linearly spanned by the positive part of  $M^*$  by Takeda-Grothendieck Theorem (cf. [3] and [9]), there exists the unique  $W^*$ -algebra such that it is isometric to  $M^{**}$  and its  $\sigma$ -weak topology coincides with  $\sigma(M^{**}, M^*)$ -topology. Therefore this  $W^*$ -algebra is called the universal enveloping algebra of  $M$  and denoted by  $\tilde{M}$  in the following (cf. [10]).

Let  $E$  be a normed linear space, it is called a *normed left* (resp. *right*)  $M$ -module if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $E$  is an algebraic left (resp. right)  $M$ -module,
- (ii) For every  $a \in M$  and  $x \in E$

$$\|ax\| \leq \|a\| \|x\| \quad (\text{resp. } \|xa\| \leq \|a\| \|x\|).$$

If  $E$  is a two-sided normed  $M$ -module, we call  $E$  a *normed  $M$ -module* simply.

---

Received June 27, 1959.

In the following we assume that  $E$  is a normed  $M$ -module.

For any  $a \in M$  we define an operator  $L_a$  (resp.  $R_a$ ) on  $M^*$  as follows:

$$\langle b, L_a \varphi \rangle = \langle ab, \varphi \rangle \quad (\text{resp. } \langle b, R_a \varphi \rangle = \langle ba, \varphi \rangle)$$

for all  $b \in M$  and  $\varphi \in M^*$ , where the inner product  $\langle x, \varphi \rangle$  is the value of  $\varphi$  at  $x$ . Similarly an operator  $\mathfrak{L}_a$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{R}_a$ ) is defined on the conjugate space  $E^*$  of a normed  $M$ -module  $E$  for every  $a \in M$  as

$$\langle x, \mathfrak{L}_a \varphi \rangle = \langle ax, \varphi \rangle \quad (\text{resp. } \langle x, \mathfrak{R}_a \varphi \rangle = \langle xa, \varphi \rangle)$$

for all  $x \in E$  and  $\varphi \in E^*$ . Then the following properties are easily verified:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{L}_{(\lambda a + \mu b)} &= \lambda \mathfrak{L}_a + \mu \mathfrak{L}_b & (\text{resp. } \mathfrak{R}_{(\lambda a + \mu b)} &= \lambda \mathfrak{R}_a + \mu \mathfrak{R}_b), \\ \mathfrak{L}_{(ab)} &= \mathfrak{L}_b \mathfrak{L}_a & (\text{resp. } \mathfrak{R}_{(ab)} &= \mathfrak{R}_a \mathfrak{R}_b), \\ \mathfrak{L}_a \mathfrak{R}_b &= \mathfrak{R}_b \mathfrak{L}_a \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a, b \in M$  and complex numbers  $\lambda, \mu$ .

Next we define an element  $\omega_l(x, \varphi)$  (resp.  $\omega_r(x, \varphi)$ ) of  $M^*$  for every  $x \in E^{**}$  and  $\varphi \in E^*$  as follows:

$$\langle a, \omega_l(x, \varphi) \rangle = \langle x, \mathfrak{L}_a \varphi \rangle \quad (\text{resp. } \langle a, \omega_r(x, \varphi) \rangle = \langle x, \mathfrak{R}_a \varphi \rangle)$$

for all  $a \in M$ . Then one can easily verify that the mapping  $(x, \varphi) \rightarrow \omega_l(x, \varphi)$  (resp.  $\omega_r(x, \varphi)$ ) is bilinear on  $E^{**} \times E^*$  and satisfies the condition

$$\|\omega_l(x, \varphi)\| \leq \|x\| \|\varphi\| \quad (\text{resp. } \|\omega_r(x, \varphi)\| \leq \|x\| \|\varphi\|).$$

For any  $a \in \tilde{M}$  and  $x \in E^{**}$  a functional  $\langle a, \omega_l(x, \varphi) \rangle$  of  $E^*$  determines the unique element of  $E^{**}$  which is denoted by  $a \cdot x$ .

**LEMMA 1.**  *$E^{**}$  is a normed left  $\tilde{M}$ -module with respect to the above product.*

*Proof.* For every  $a \in M$  and  $x \in E^{**}$  we have easily

$$\|a \cdot x\| \leq \|a\| \|x\|.$$

Since  $\omega_l(x, \varphi)$  is bilinear, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda a + \mu b) \cdot x &= \lambda a \cdot x + \mu b \cdot x, \\ a \cdot (\lambda x + \mu y) &= \lambda a \cdot x + \mu b \cdot y \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a, b \in M$ ,  $x, y \in E^{**}$  and complex numbers  $\lambda, \mu$ . If  $a$  and  $b$  belong to  $M$ , then we have

$$(ab) \cdot x = a \cdot (b \cdot x)$$

for all  $x \in E^{**}$ . In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle (ab) \cdot x, \varphi \rangle &= \langle ab, \omega_l(x, \varphi) \rangle = \langle x, \mathfrak{L}_{ab}\varphi \rangle = \langle x, \mathfrak{L}_b\mathfrak{L}_a\varphi \rangle = \langle b, \omega_l(x, \mathfrak{L}_a\varphi) \rangle \\ &= \langle b \cdot x, \mathfrak{L}_a\varphi \rangle = \langle a, \omega_l(b \cdot x, \varphi) \rangle = \langle a \cdot (b \cdot x), \varphi \rangle\end{aligned}$$

for all  $\varphi \in E^*$ . The mapping  $a \rightarrow a \cdot x$  from  $\tilde{M}$  into  $E^{**}$  is continuous for  $\sigma(\tilde{M}, M^*)$  and  $\sigma(E^{**}, E^*)$ -topologies because if  $\{a_\alpha\}$  is a directed sequence of  $\tilde{M}$  converging to  $a \in \tilde{M}$  for  $\sigma(\tilde{M}, M^*)$ -topology we have

$$\lim_\alpha \langle a_\alpha \cdot x, \varphi \rangle = \lim_\alpha \langle a_\alpha, \omega_l(x, \varphi) \rangle = \langle a, \omega_l(x, \varphi) \rangle = \langle a \cdot x, \varphi \rangle$$

for all  $\varphi \in E^*$ . Hence we have  $(ab) \cdot x = a \cdot (b \cdot x)$  for all  $a, b \in \tilde{M}$  and  $x \in E^{**}$ . This concludes the proof.

For any  $a \in \tilde{M}$  a functional  $(x, \varphi) \rightarrow \langle a, \omega_r(x, \varphi) \rangle$  is a bounded bilinear one of  $E \times E^*$ , which determines the bounded operator  $\mathfrak{R}_a$  on  $E^*$  such as

$$\langle a, \omega_r(x, \varphi) \rangle = \langle x, \mathfrak{R}_a\varphi \rangle$$

for all  $x \in E$  and  $\varphi \in E^*$ . Putting  ${}^t\mathfrak{R}_a x = x \circ a$  for all  $x \in E^{**}$  and  $a \in \tilde{M}$ , where  ${}^t\mathfrak{R}_a$  is the transpose of  $\mathfrak{R}_a$ , we have

**LEMMA 2.**  *$E^{**}$  is a normed right  $\tilde{M}$ -module with respect to the above product.<sup>1)</sup>*

*Proof.* It suffices only to prove

$$x \circ (ab) = (x \circ a) \circ b$$

for all  $a, b \in \tilde{M}$  and  $x \in E^{**}$ . Clearly  $x \circ (ab) = (x \circ a) \circ b$  for  $a, b \in M$  and  $x \in E$ . If  $x$  belongs to  $E$ , then the mapping  $a \rightarrow x \circ a$  is continuous for  $\sigma(\tilde{M}, M^*)$ - and  $\sigma(E^{**}, E^*)$ -topologies. For, if  $\{a_\alpha\}$  is a directed sequence of  $\tilde{M}$  converging to  $a \in \tilde{M}$  for  $\sigma(\tilde{M}, M^*)$ -topology, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_\alpha \langle x \circ a_\alpha, \varphi \rangle &= \lim_\alpha \langle x, \mathfrak{R}_{a_\alpha}\varphi \rangle = \lim_\alpha \langle a_\alpha, \omega_r(x, \varphi) \rangle \\ &= \langle a, \omega_r(x, \varphi) \rangle = \langle x, \mathfrak{R}_a\varphi \rangle = \langle x \circ a, \varphi \rangle\end{aligned}$$

for all  $\varphi \in E^*$ . Hence we get  $x \circ (ab) = (x \circ a) \circ b$  for  $x \in E$  and  $a, b \in \tilde{M}$ . Moreover, the mapping  $x \rightarrow x \circ a$  is  $\sigma(E^{**}, E^*)$ -continuous for  $a \in \tilde{M}$  because, if  $\{x_\alpha\}$  is a directed sequence of  $E^{**}$  converging to  $x \in E^{**}$  for  $\sigma(E^{**}, E^*)$ -topology, we have

$$\lim_\alpha \langle x_\alpha \circ a, \varphi \rangle = \lim_\alpha \langle x_\alpha, \mathfrak{R}_a\varphi \rangle = \langle x, \mathfrak{R}_a\varphi \rangle = \langle x \circ a, \varphi \rangle$$

for all  $\varphi \in E^*$ . Therefore we get  $x \circ (ab) = (x \circ a) \circ b$  for all  $a, b \in \tilde{M}$  and  $x \in E^{**}$ . This concludes the proof.

1) In Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, the left and right products are defined non-symmetrically, but this is not avoidable in order that we shall show below  $(a \cdot x) \circ b = a \cdot (x \circ b)$ .

By these lemmas we see that the second conjugate space  $E^{**}$  of a normed  $\mathbf{M}$ -module  $E$  is a normed left and right  $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$ -module. Moreover, we have

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $E$  is a normed  $\mathbf{M}$ -module, then the second conjugate space  $E^{**}$  of  $E$  is a normed  $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$ -module with respect to the products in Lemma 1 and Lemma 2.*

*Proof.* It suffices only to prove

$$(a \cdot x) \circ b = a \cdot (x \circ b)$$

for  $a, b \in \tilde{\mathbf{M}}$  and  $x \in E^{**}$ . Suppose  $a$  belonging to  $\mathbf{M}$ , then the mapping  $x \rightarrow a \cdot x$  is  $\sigma(E^{**}, E^*)$ -continuous for, if  $\{x_\alpha\}$  is a directed sequence of  $E^{**}$  converging to  $x$  for  $\sigma(E^{**}, E^*)$ -topology, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_\alpha \langle a \cdot x_\alpha, \varphi \rangle &= \lim_\alpha \langle a, \omega_l(x_\alpha, \varphi) \rangle = \lim_\alpha \langle x_\alpha, \mathfrak{L}_a \varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle x, \mathfrak{L}_a \varphi \rangle = \langle a, \omega_l(x, \varphi) \rangle = \langle a \cdot x, \varphi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\varphi \in E^*$ . Therefore we get  $(a \cdot x) \circ b = a \cdot (x \circ b)$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbf{M}$  and  $x \in E^{**}$ .

On the other hand, the mapping  $a \rightarrow a \cdot x$  is continuous for  $\sigma(\tilde{\mathbf{M}}, \mathbf{M}^*)$ - and  $\sigma(E^{**}, E^*)$ -topologies and the mapping  $x \rightarrow x \circ b$  is  $\sigma(E^{**}, E^*)$ -continuous by the arguments in Lemma 1 and Lemma 2. Hence we have

$$(a \cdot x) \circ b = a \cdot (x \circ b)$$

for all  $a, b \in \tilde{\mathbf{M}}$  and  $x \in E^{**}$ . This concludes the proof.

In the following, we denote the second conjugate space  $E^{**}$  of a normed  $\mathbf{M}$ -module by  $\tilde{E}$  as a normed  $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$ -module.

If we consider  $\mathbf{M}$ , itself, as a normed  $\mathbf{M}$ -module, then we have

$$xy = x \cdot y = x \circ y$$

for all  $x, y \in \tilde{\mathbf{M}}$ . In fact, the mappings  $y \rightarrow xy$  and  $x \rightarrow xy$  are  $\sigma(\tilde{\mathbf{M}}, \mathbf{M}^*)$ -continuous and coincide with the mappings  $y \rightarrow x \cdot y$  and  $x \rightarrow x \circ y$  for  $x, y \in \mathbf{M}$  respectively.

Furthermore, if we consider a Banach algebra  $B$  instead of a normed  $\mathbf{M}$ -module, then the slight modification of the above arguments points out that the second conjugate space  $B^{**}$  of  $B$  becomes a Banach algebra in two different manners. But we shall omit the detail.

Next, we consider a certain linear mapping from a  $\mathbf{M}$ -module  $E$  into  $\mathbf{M}$ . A linear mapping  $\theta$  from  $E$  into a normed  $\mathbf{M}$ -module  $F$  called a left (resp. right)  $\mathbf{M}$ -linear mapping if

$$\theta(ax) = a\theta(x) \quad (\text{resp. } \theta(xa) = \theta(x)a)$$

for every  $a \in \mathbf{M}$  and  $x \in E$ . If  $\theta$  is two-sided  $\mathbf{M}$ -linear, it is called  $\mathbf{M}$ -linear simply. Combining this definition and Theorem 1, we have

LEMMA 3. *If  $\theta$  is a bounded  $M$ -linear mapping from  $E$  into  $F$ , then the bitranspose  ${}^t\theta = \tilde{\theta}$  of  $\theta$  is  $\tilde{M}$ -linear.*

*Proof.* From the proof of Lemma 2, the mapping  $b \rightarrow x \circ b$  is  $\sigma(\tilde{M}, M^*)$ - and  $\sigma(\tilde{E}, E^*)$ -continuous for  $x \in E$ . Hence we have  $\theta(x \circ b) = \theta(x) \circ b$  for  $x \in E$  and  $b \in \tilde{M}$ . Using the  $\sigma(\tilde{E}, E^*)$ -continuity of the mapping  $x \rightarrow x \circ b$ , we get

$$\theta(x \circ b) = \theta(x) \circ b$$

for all  $x \in \tilde{E}$  and  $b \in \tilde{M}$ . Moreover, the continuity of the mapping  $a \rightarrow a \cdot x$  implies

$$\theta(a \cdot x) = a \cdot \theta(x)$$

for all  $a \in \tilde{M}$  and  $x \in \tilde{E}$ . This concludes the proof.

Now we can state one of our main results in the following

THEOREM 2. (*Generalized Hahn-Banach Theorem*) *Let  $A$  be a commutative  $AW^*$ -algebra,  $E$  a normed  $A$ -module and  $V$  an invariant subspace of  $E$ , i. e.  $aVb \subset V$  for  $a$  and  $b \in A$ . If  $\theta$  is a bounded  $A$ -linear  $A$ -valued mapping on  $V$ , then  $\theta$  can be extended to an  $A$ -linear  $A$ -valued mapping  $\theta_0$  on  $E$  preserving its norm.<sup>2)</sup>*

*Proof.* At first, we recall that the second conjugate space  $E$  of  $E$  is a normed  $\tilde{A}$ -module by Theorem 1. Since the  $\sigma(\tilde{E}, E^*)$ -closure  $\tilde{V}$  of  $V$  is the second conjugate space of  $V$ ,  $\theta$  is uniquely extended to an  $\tilde{A}$ -linear  $\tilde{A}$ -valued mapping  $\tilde{\theta}$  on  $\tilde{V}$  by Lemma 3 as the bitranspose of  $\theta$ . Let  $\Omega$  be the spectrum space of  $A$  and  $A_0$  the space of all bounded complex valued functions on  $\Omega$ , that is,  $A_0 = l^\infty(\Omega)$ , then  $A_0$  becomes a subalgebra of  $\tilde{A}$ . Hence  $\tilde{E}$  is considered as a normed  $A_0$ -module. For any fixed point  $t \in \Omega$ , put  $\varphi_t = {}^t\theta(\sigma_t)$  where  $\sigma_t$  is the pure state of  $A$  corresponding to  $t$ ; then we have that  $\varphi_t \in V^*$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a \cdot x \circ b, \varphi_t \rangle &= \langle a \cdot x \circ b, {}^t\theta(\sigma_t) \rangle = \langle \tilde{\theta}(a \cdot x \circ b), \sigma_t \rangle = \langle a \tilde{\theta}(x) b, \sigma_t \rangle \\ &= a(t)b(t) \langle \tilde{\theta}(x), \sigma_t \rangle = a(t)b(t) \langle x, \varphi_t \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a, b \in A_0$  and  $x \in \tilde{V}$ . Next, let  $e_t$  be the carrier projection of  $\sigma_t$  in  $\tilde{A}$ , then  $e_t$  belongs to  $A_0$  and we have

$$\langle e_t \cdot x, \varphi_t \rangle = \langle x, \varphi_t \rangle, \quad \langle x \circ e_t, \varphi_t \rangle = \langle x, \varphi_t \rangle$$

---

2) We call a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$   $AW^*$ -algebra if the self-adjoint part of  $A$  becomes a conditionally complete vector lattice with respect to the usual ordering of operators. Then the characterization of a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  to be  $AW^*$ -algebra is given as follows: the closure of any open set in the spectrum space  $\Omega$  of  $A$  becomes open again. And such a compact space is called stonean space (cf. [2] and [5]).

for all  $x \in \tilde{V}$  and  $e_i a = a(t)e_i$  for  $a \in \mathcal{A}_0$ . Put  $\tilde{V}_i = e_i \cdot \tilde{V} \circ e_i$  and  $\tilde{E}_i = e_i \cdot \tilde{E} \circ e_i$ , then  $\tilde{V}_i$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -invariant subspace of  $\tilde{E}_i$  and one can consider  $\varphi_i$  as an element of  $V_i^*$ . Let  $\tilde{\varphi}_i$  be an extension of  $\varphi_i$  to  $\tilde{E}_i$  by the usual Hahn-Banach Theorem and  $\bar{\varphi}_i$  the element of  $\tilde{E}^*$  which is defined by the equation

$$\langle x, \bar{\varphi}_i \rangle = \langle e_i \cdot x \circ e_i, \tilde{\varphi}_i \rangle$$

for  $x \in \tilde{E}$ , we have

$$\langle a \cdot x \circ b, \bar{\varphi}_i \rangle = \langle e_i \cdot a \cdot x \circ b \circ e_i, \tilde{\varphi}_i \rangle = \langle a(t)b(t)e_i \cdot x \circ e_i, \tilde{\varphi}_i \rangle = a(t)b(t)\langle x, \bar{\varphi}_i \rangle$$

for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_0$  and  $x \in \tilde{E}$ . Consider the mapping  $\bar{\theta}$  from  $\tilde{E}$  to  $\mathcal{A}_0$  such as  $\bar{\theta}(x)(t) = \langle x, \bar{\varphi}_i \rangle$  for all  $x \in \tilde{E}$  and  $t \in \Omega$ , then we have

$$\bar{\theta}(a \cdot x \circ b) = a\bar{\theta}(x)b$$

for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_0$  and  $x \in \tilde{E}$ , for

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\theta}(a \cdot x \circ b)(t) &= \langle a \cdot x \circ b, \bar{\varphi}_i \rangle = a(t)b(t)\langle x, \bar{\varphi}_i \rangle \\ &= a(t)b(t)\bar{\theta}(x)(t) = [a\bar{\theta}(x)b](t). \end{aligned}$$

For  $x \in \tilde{V}$ , we have

$$\bar{\theta}(x)(t) = \langle x, \varphi_i \rangle = \langle e_i \cdot x \circ e_i, \varphi_i \rangle = \langle e_i \cdot x \circ e_i, \varphi_i \rangle = \langle x, \varphi_i \rangle = \tilde{\theta}(x)(t),$$

so that  $\bar{\theta}$  coincides with  $\tilde{\theta}$  on  $\tilde{V}$ . Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{\theta}\| &= \sup [\|\bar{\theta}(x)\|: \|x\| \leq 1] = \sup [|\bar{\theta}(x)(t)|: \|x\| \leq 1, t \in \Omega] \\ &= \sup [|\langle x, \bar{\varphi}_i \rangle|: \|x\| \leq 1, t \in \Omega] = \sup [\|\bar{\varphi}_i\|: t \in \Omega] \\ &= \sup [\|\varphi_i\|: t \in \Omega] = \sup [|\langle x, \varphi_i \rangle|: x \in V \|x\| \leq 1, t \in \Omega] \\ &= \sup [|\theta(x)(t)|: x \in V \|x\| \leq 1, t \in \Omega] \\ &= \sup [\|\theta(x)\|: x \in V \|x\| \leq 1] = \|\theta\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get  $\|\bar{\theta}\| = \|\theta\|$ .

Now, there exists a projection  $\pi$  of norm one from  $\mathcal{A}_0$  to  $\mathcal{A}$  by Nachbin-Hasumi Theorem [4] and [6]. Put  $\theta_0(x) = \pi[\bar{\theta}(x)]$  for  $x \in E$ , then  $\theta_0$  is required one. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_0(axb) &= \pi[\bar{\theta}_0(axb)] = \pi[a\bar{\theta}(x)b] = a\pi[\bar{\theta}(x)]b \quad (\text{cf. [11]}) \\ &= a\theta_0(x)b \quad \text{for all } a, b \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } x \in E, \\ \theta_0(x) &= \pi[\bar{\theta}(x)] = \pi[\theta(x)] = \theta(x) \quad \text{for } x \in V \end{aligned}$$

and  $\|\theta\| \leq \|\theta_0\| = \|\pi \cdot \bar{\theta}\| \leq \|\bar{\theta}\| = \|\theta\|$ . This concludes the proof.

Connecting with this theorem, we consider a  $W^*$ -algebra  $M$  with its commutative  $W^*$ -subalgebra  $A$  as a normed  $A$ -module, then the  $\sigma$ -weak continuities of  $\theta$  and  $\theta_0$  come into our consideration. However, it can be shown that there

exists no  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous projection of norm one from the full operator algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space to its commutative  $W^*$ -subalgebra which contains no non-zero minimal projection (cf. [12]).

2. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{N}$  its  $C^*$ -subalgebra, then  $\mathcal{M}$  becomes a normed  $\mathcal{N}$ -module. If  $\theta$  is a bounded positive  $\mathcal{N}$ -linear  $\mathcal{N}$ -valued mapping on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\theta(a) = a$  for every  $a \in \mathcal{N}$ , then  $\theta$  is called an expectation from  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $\mathcal{N}$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  contain units respectively, then the characterization of a mapping from  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $\mathcal{N}$  to be an expectation is given as  $\theta(I_{\mathcal{M}}) = I_{\mathcal{N}}$ ,  $\|\theta\| \leq 1$  and  $\theta(a) = a$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{N}$  in [11], where  $I_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $I_{\mathcal{N}}$  are units of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ , respectively. In other words, the expectation is a generalized state, i.e. it is an operator valued state (cf. [8]). In this section, we shall prove the generalization of Takeda-Grothendieck's Theorem.

LEMMA 4. *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $W^*$ -algebra,  $\mathcal{N}$  a finite  $W^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\theta$  a bounded  $*$ -preserving  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous  $\mathcal{N}$ -linear  $\mathcal{N}$ -valued mapping on  $\mathcal{M}$ , then there exist two positive  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous  $\mathcal{N}$ -linear  $\mathcal{N}$ -valued mappings  $\theta^+$  and  $\theta^-$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  such as  $\theta = \theta^+ - \theta^-$ .*

*Proof.* At first, we recall that we have

$$\|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\| = \|\varphi_1\| + \|\varphi_2\|$$

for  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous positive linear functionals  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  if and only if the carrier projections of  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are orthogonal each other by [3]. Hence putting

$$\langle u^{-1}xu, \varphi \rangle = \langle x, \varphi_u \rangle$$

for self-adjoint  $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}^*$  and unitary  $u \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have

$$\varphi_u = (\varphi_u)^+ - (\varphi_u)^- = (\varphi^+)_u - (\varphi^-)_u$$

and

$$\|\varphi_u\| = \|\varphi\| = \|(\varphi_u)^+\| + \|(\varphi_u)^-\| = \|(\varphi^+)_u\| + \|(\varphi^-)_u\|.$$

That is,  $(\varphi_u)^+ = (\varphi^+)_u$  and  $(\varphi_u)^- = (\varphi^-)_u$ .

(1) Case of  $\mathcal{N}$  to be countably decomposable: From our assumption for  $\mathcal{N}$  it has a faithful trace  $\tau$ . Putting  $\varphi = \theta(\tau)$ , we have

$$\langle x, \varphi_u \rangle = \langle u^{-1}xu, \varphi \rangle = \langle \theta(u^{-1}xu), \tau \rangle = \langle u^{-1}\theta(x)u, \tau \rangle = \langle \theta(x), \tau \rangle = \langle x, \tau \rangle$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{M}$  and unitary  $u \in \mathcal{N}$ , so that  $\varphi_u = \varphi$ . Hence  $(\varphi^+)_u = \varphi^+$  and  $(\varphi^-)_u = \varphi^-$  for unitary  $u \in \mathcal{N}$  from our above remark. Let  $e$  and  $f$  be the carrier projections of  $\varphi^+$  and  $\varphi^-$  respectively, we have

$$[x \in \mathcal{M}: \langle x^*x, \varphi^+ \rangle = 0] = \mathcal{M}(I - e),$$

$$[x \in \mathcal{M}: \langle x^*x, \varphi^- \rangle = 0] = \mathcal{M}(I - f)$$

and

$$L_e\varphi = \varphi^+, \quad L_f\varphi = -\varphi^-.$$

The invariancy of  $\varphi^+$  and  $\varphi^-$  with respect to unitary of  $N$  implies that

$$u^{-1}\mathbf{M}(I-e)u = \mathbf{M}(I-e) \quad \text{and} \quad u^{-1}\mathbf{M}(I-f)u = \mathbf{M}(I-f)$$

for unitary  $u$  of  $N$ . Hence we have

$$u^{-1}eu = e \quad \text{and} \quad u^{-1}fu = f,$$

so that  $e$  and  $f$  belong to  $N'$  which is the commutator of  $N$ .

Now if we put  $\theta^+(x) = \theta(ex)$  and  $\theta^-(x) = -\theta(fx)$ , this is a desired decomposition. In fact, we have clearly

$$\theta = \theta^+ - \theta^-.$$

For any  $a, b \in N$  and  $x \in \mathbf{M}$ , we have

$$\theta^+(axb) = \theta(eaxb) = \theta(aexb) = a\theta(ex)b = a\theta^+(x)b$$

and

$$\theta^-(axb) = -\theta(faxb) = -\theta^-(afxb) = -a\theta(fx)b = a\theta^-(x)b.$$

For any fixed positive element  $x$  of  $\mathbf{M}$  and every positive  $a$  of  $N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a\theta^+(x), \tau \rangle &= \langle a\theta(ex), \tau \rangle = \langle a^{1/2}\theta(ex)a^{1/2}, \tau \rangle = \langle \theta(ea^{1/2}xa^{1/2}), \tau \rangle \\ &= \langle ea^{1/2}xa^{1/2}, \varphi \rangle = \langle a^{1/2}xa^{1/2}, \varphi^+ \rangle \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and similarly  $\langle a\theta^-(x), \tau \rangle \geq 0$ , so that  $\theta^+(x)$  and  $\theta^-(x)$  are positive in  $N$ . Therefore  $\theta^+$  and  $\theta^-$  are positive.

(2) General case: There exists a family  $\{z_\alpha\}$  of orthogonal central projections of  $N$  such that  $\sum_\alpha z_\alpha = I$  and each  $Nz_\alpha$  is countably decomposable. Suppose  $\theta_\alpha$  to be the restriction of  $\theta$  on  $z_\alpha\mathbf{M}z_\alpha$ , there exist projections  $e_\alpha$  and  $f_\alpha$  in  $(Nz_\alpha)' \cap z_\alpha\mathbf{M}z_\alpha$  such that  $\theta_\alpha(e_\alpha x)$  and  $-\theta_\alpha(f_\alpha x)$  are positive mappings and

$$\theta_\alpha(x) = \theta_\alpha(e_\alpha x) - \theta_\alpha(f_\alpha x)$$

by the arguments in case (1). Putting  $\sum_\alpha e_\alpha = e$  and  $\sum_\alpha f_\alpha = f$ ,  $\theta^+(x) = \theta(ex)$  and  $\theta^-(x) = -\theta(fx)$  are desired ones. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(x) &= \theta((\sum_\alpha z_\alpha)x(\sum_\alpha z_\alpha)) = \sum_{\alpha, \alpha'} \theta(z_\alpha x z_{\alpha'}) = \sum_{\alpha, \alpha'} z_\alpha \theta(x) z_{\alpha'} \\ &= \sum_\alpha z_\alpha \theta(x) = \sum_\alpha z_\alpha \theta(x) z_\alpha = \sum_\alpha \theta_\alpha(z_\alpha x z_\alpha) \\ &= \sum_\alpha [\theta_\alpha(e_\alpha x) + \theta_\alpha(f_\alpha x)] = \sum_\alpha [\theta(e_\alpha x) + \theta(f_\alpha x)] = \theta(ex) + \theta(fx). \end{aligned}$$

For any positive  $x \in \mathbf{M}$ ,  $z_\alpha x z_\alpha$  is positive so that  $\theta_\alpha(e_\alpha x)$  and  $-\theta_\alpha(f_\alpha x)$  are positive. Hence  $\theta(ex)$  and  $-\theta(fx)$  are positive. Finally, we have

$$\theta^+(axb) = \theta(eaxb) = \theta(aexb) = a\theta(ex)b = a\theta^+(x)b$$

and similarly

$$\theta^-(axb) = a\theta^-(x)b$$

for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ . This concludes the proof.

**LEMMA 5.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a commutative  $AW^*$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{M}$  a  $C^*$ -algebra, with a unit, containning  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\theta$  is a positive  $\mathcal{A}$ -linear  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued mapping on  $\mathcal{M}$ , then there exist a positive element  $a$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  and an expectation  $\theta_0$  such that  $\theta(x) = a\theta(x)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\Omega$  to be the spectrum space of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\Omega$  is a stonean space. Putting  $\theta(I) = a$  and  $G = [t \in \Omega: a(t) > 0]$ ,  $G$  is an open subset of  $\Omega$ . Let  $e$  be the characteristic function of the closure of  $G$ , then  $e$  is a projection of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Putting  $\theta_0'(x)(t) = \theta(x)(t)/a(t)$  for  $t \in G$  and  $x \in e\mathcal{M}e$ , the function  $\theta_0'(x)(t)$  is bounded and continuous on  $G$  because of the mapping  $x \rightarrow \theta_0'(x)(t)$  to be a state on  $e\mathcal{M}e$ , which implies

$$|\theta_0'(x)(t)| \leq \|x\|.$$

Hence it is uniquely extended to a continuous function on the closure of  $G$  by [2], i. e.  $\theta_0'(x)$  is considered as an element of  $\mathcal{A}e$ . This  $\theta_0'$  is an expectation from  $e\mathcal{M}e$  to  $\mathcal{A}e$ , and  $\theta(x) = a\theta_0'(x)$  for  $x \in e\mathcal{M}e$ . Now there exists an expectation  $\theta_0''$  from  $(I - e)\mathcal{M}(I - e)$  to  $\mathcal{A}(I - e)$  by Nachbin-Hasumi's Theorem. Putting  $\theta_0(x) = \theta_0'(exe) + \theta_0''((I - e)x(I - e))$  for  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\theta_0$  is the expectation which is  $\theta(x) = a\theta_0(x)$  for  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ . This concludes the proof.

Combining these lemmas, we get

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a commutative  $AW^*$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{M}$  a  $C^*$ -algebra, with unit, containning  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $\theta$  is a bounded  $*$ -preserving  $\mathcal{A}$ -linear  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued mapping on  $\mathcal{M}$ , then there exist two positive elements  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  and two expectations  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  such that*

$$\theta = a_1\theta_1 - a_2\theta_2.$$

*Proof.* Considering their universal enveloping algebras  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  and the bitranspose  $\tilde{\theta} = {}^{tt}\theta$  of  $\theta$ , there exist  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous positive  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ -linear  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ -valued mapping  $\tilde{\theta}^+$  and  $\tilde{\theta}^-$  such that

$$\tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\theta}^+ - \tilde{\theta}^-$$

by Lemma 4. There exists a projection  $\pi$  of norm one from  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  to  $\mathcal{A}$  by Nachbin-Hasumi's Theorem. Putting  $\theta^+(x) = \pi[\tilde{\theta}^+(x)]$  and  $\theta^-(x) = \pi[\tilde{\theta}^-(x)]$  for  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\theta^+$  and  $\theta^-$  become positive  $\mathcal{A}$ -linear  $\mathcal{A}$ -valued mapping on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

$$\theta = \theta^+ - \theta^-.$$

Applying Lemma 5 to  $\theta^+$  and  $\theta^-$  respectively, we obtain

$$\theta^+ = a_1\theta_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta^- = a_2\theta_2$$

where  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are positive element of  $A$  and  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are expectations from  $M$  to  $A$ . Thus we have

$$\theta = a_1\theta_1 - a_2\theta_2.$$

This concludes the proof.

#### REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] DIXMIER, J., Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien. Paris (1957).
- [ 2 ] DIXMIER, J., Sur certain considérés par M. H. Stone. Summa Brasil. 68 (1951), 185-202.
- [ 3 ] GROTHENDIECK, A., Un resultat sur le dual d'une  $C^*$ -algèbre. Journ. Math. 36 (1957), 97-108.
- [ 4 ] HASUMI, M., The extension property of complex Banach spaces. Tôhoku Math. Journ. 10 (1958), 135-142.
- [ 5 ] KAPLANSKY, I., Projections in Banach algebra. Ann. Math. 53 (1951), 235-249.
- [ 6 ] NACHBIN, L., A theorem of Hahn-Banach type for linear transformations. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 68 (1950), 28-46.
- [ 7 ] NAKAI, M., Some expectations in  $A W^*$ -algebras. Proc. Japan Acad. 34 (1958), 411-416.
- [ 8 ] NAKAMURA, M., AND T. TURUMARU, Expectations in an operator algebra. Tôhoku Math. Journ. 6 (1954), 182-188.
- [ 9 ] TAKEDA, Z., Conjugate space of operator algebras. Proc. Japan Acad. 30 (1954), 90-94.
- [ 10 ] TAKESAKI, M., On the conjugate space of operator algebra. Tôhoku Math. Journ. 10 (1958), 195-203.
- [ 11 ] TOMIYAMA, J., On the projection of norm one in  $W^*$ -algebras. Proc. Japan Acad. 33 (1957), 608-612.
- [ 12 ] TOMIYAMA, J., On the projection of norm one in  $W^*$ -algebras, III. Tôhoku Math. Journ. 11 (1959), 125-129.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY.