# ON TENSOR PRODUCTS OF BANACH SPACES 

By Ichiro Amemiya and Kôjı Shiga

Recently in his interesting paper [3] A. Grothendiek has successfully developed a theory of tensor products on Banach space, which gives a widescope to his previous work on topological vector spaces [1]. However, except two fundamental theorems, he has given no demonstrations to his results, some of which deserve our attention and demand non-trivial methods of the proof. Therefore it will not be altogether meaningless to give the proofs of them here (maybe different from the original ones), though there is nothing essentially new to the theory itself, except a slightly better results in respect to Proposition 3 in § 3 of [3], showing that we have $\mathcal{H} \leqq \mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ in place of $\mathcal{H}$ $\leqq 2 \mathcal{H}^{\prime}$.

We do not refer to some results of [3] which can be easily proved; nor do we refer to any results stated after § $3, \mathrm{n}^{\circ} 4$ in the cited paper, because, as Grothendieck himself has remarked in it, they are easily checked according to his directions.

## § 1. Tensor norms.

## 1. Preliminaries.

For the convenience of the reader, we first sketch the fundamental definitions and notations of the original paper. Let $E$ and $F$ be Banach spaces. A norm $\alpha$ given on the tensor product $E \otimes F$ is called reasonable if it satisfies $\alpha(x \otimes y)=\|x\| \cdot\|y\|,(x \in E, y \in F)$ and $\alpha^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime} \otimes y^{\prime}\right)=\left\|x^{\prime}\right\| \cdot\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|,\left(x^{\prime} \in E^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}\right)$, where $\alpha^{\prime}$ denotes the dual norm on the $E^{\prime} \otimes F^{\prime}$; the elements of $E^{\prime} \otimes F^{\prime}$ is considered in the dual space of $E \otimes F$. For a given reasonable norm $\alpha, E \stackrel{a}{\otimes} F$ means by definition the Banach space which is the completion of $E \otimes F$ by the norm $\alpha$. Then on the $E \otimes F$ there exist the smallest reasonable norm $\vee$ and the greatest one $\wedge$. Specifically, $\vee$ and $\wedge$ are defined by the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& |u|_{v}=\sup _{\substack{\left|x y^{\prime}\right|| | l\left| \\
y^{\prime}\right| \leq 1}}\left|<u, x^{\prime} \otimes y^{\prime}>\right|^{1)}  \tag{1}\\
& |u|_{\wedge}=\sup _{\substack{\left.v \in R(E) \\
\| v F^{\prime}\right) \\
\|v\|}} \mid<u, v>1 . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

From the definition it follows that the dual space of $E \hat{\otimes} F$ is $\left.B(E, F) .{ }^{2}\right)$
A normed space $E$ is called a numerical normed space if the underlying

[^0]vector-space is isomorphic to an $R^{n}$ or a $C^{n 3)}$; the set of numerical normed space is denoted by $\Re$. An object $\alpha$ which is defined on all ordered paires $(E, F), E, F \in \mathfrak{R}$, is called a tensor norm (notation: $\otimes$-norm), when it satisfies the following conditions: $1^{\circ}$. $\alpha$ induces a reasonable norm of $E \otimes F ; 2^{\circ}$. Let $u_{i}$ be linear mappings of $E_{i}$ into $F_{i}\left(i=1,2 ; E_{i}, F_{i} \in \mathfrak{N}\right)$. Then the tensor product $u_{1} \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} u_{2}$, regarded as a mapping of $E_{1} \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} E_{2}$ into $F_{1} \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} F_{2}$, fulfills the norm relation $\left\|u_{1} \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} u_{2}\right\| \leqq\left\|u_{1}\right\| \cdot\left\|u_{2}\right\|$. In this case we denote by $E \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} F$ the space $E \otimes F$ with the norm $\alpha$.

For a given $\otimes$-norm $\alpha,{ }^{t} \alpha$ is defined by $E \stackrel{t}{\otimes}_{\otimes}^{\otimes} F=F \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} E . \quad{ }^{t} \alpha$ gives rise obviously to a $\otimes$-norm, which is called the transposed $\otimes$-norm of $\alpha$. In case where $\alpha={ }^{t} \alpha, \alpha$ is called symmetric; hence the symmetry of $\alpha$ means $E \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} F$ and $F \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} E$ are canonically isomorphic for all $E, F \in \Re$. Now consider $E \otimes F$ as the dual space of $E^{\prime} \otimes F^{\prime}$; then the dual norm $\alpha^{\prime}$ on $E \otimes F$, induced by $E^{\prime} \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} F^{\prime}$, gives a new $\otimes$-norm. $\quad \alpha^{\prime}$ is called the dual $\otimes$-norm of $\alpha$. It is easily seen that ${ }^{t}\left({ }^{t} \alpha\right)=\alpha,\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=\alpha$ and ${ }^{t}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=\left({ }^{t} \alpha\right)^{\prime}$. We put $\breve{\alpha}={ }^{t}\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$.

For tensor norms $\alpha, \beta$ and for a positive number $\lambda, \alpha \leqq \lambda \beta$ is by definition $|u|_{\alpha} \leqq \lambda|u|_{\beta}$ for all $u \in E \otimes F(E, F \in \mathfrak{R})$. In particular, the relation $\alpha \leqq \beta$ induces an ordered-relation in the set of $\otimes$-norms. The set of all $\otimes$-norms forms a complete lattice. It is easily verified that the reasonable norms $\vee$ and $\wedge$ naturally induce $\otimes$-norms; besides, $\vee$ is the smallest and $\wedge$ is the greatest $\otimes$-norm. Also it is evident that $\vee$ and $\wedge$ are symmetric. We have $(\vee)^{\prime}=\wedge$ and $(\wedge)^{\prime}=\vee$.

In the preceding paragraphs the tensor norm has only been considered for numerical spaces. We define it for all Banach spaces. Let $\alpha$ be a $\otimes-$ norm; and let $E$ and $F$ be any two Banach spaces. Consider the set $\mathbb{E}$ and $\mathfrak{F}$ which consist of all finite-dimensional subspaces of $E$ and $F$, respectively. Then $\{M \otimes N ; M \in \mathfrak{F}, N \in \mathfrak{F}\}$, the family of finite-dimensional subspaces of $E \otimes F$, forms a filter by inclusion order and $E \otimes F=\cup M \otimes N$. Let $u$ be an element of $E \otimes F$, belonging to an $M \otimes N$. $|u|_{M \otimes N}^{\otimes}$ denotes the norm of $u$ in $M \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} N$. The condition $2^{\circ}$ of the $\otimes$-norm, applied to injection mapping, shows that if $(M, N) \subset\left(M_{1}, N_{1}\right)$, then $|u|_{M \otimes N}^{\otimes} \geqq|u|_{M_{1}}^{\otimes} \underset{\otimes N_{1}}{\otimes}$. Hence

$$
|u|_{\alpha}=\operatorname{Inf}_{M, N}|u|_{M} \otimes_{N}
$$

is well-defined; furthermore, $|u|_{\alpha}$ is actually a reasonable norm of $E \otimes F$. The reasonable norm $\alpha$, obtained by the above procedure, is called a $\otimes$-norm of the Banch spaces $E$ and $F$. In particular, if $\alpha$ is $\vee$ or $\wedge$, then $|u|_{\alpha}$ is the same as we have defined by (1) and (2), respectively, so that the notation is compatible.

Let $E_{i}, F_{i}(i=1,2)$ be Banach spaces and $u_{i}$ be the continuous linear mapping of $E_{i}$ into $F_{i}$. Then it is evident that $u_{1} \otimes u_{2}: E_{1} \otimes E_{2} \rightarrow F_{1} \otimes F_{2}$ induces a continuous linear mapping $u_{1} \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} u_{2}$ of $E_{1} \stackrel{\otimes}{\otimes} E_{2} \rightarrow F_{1} \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} F_{2}$ and that $\left\|u_{1} \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} u_{2}\right\|$

[^1]$\leqq\left\|u_{1}\right\| \cdot\left\|u_{2}\right\|$.
The dual Banach space of $E \stackrel{\alpha \prime}{\otimes} F$ is denoted by $B^{\alpha}(E, F)$. Since $|u|_{\alpha} \leqq|u|_{\wedge}$ and the dual space of $E \hat{\otimes} F$ is $B(E, F)$, the element of $B^{\alpha}(E, F)$ is in a natural way regarded as an element of $B(E, F)$. A bilinear form $A$ on $E \times F$ is called type $\alpha$ if $A \in B^{a}(E, F)$; the norm of $A$ in $B^{a}(E, F)$ is denoted by $\left.\|A\|_{\alpha} . \quad L(E ; F)^{4}\right)$ being canonically isomorphic to $B\left(E, F^{\prime}\right)$, the correspondig definition to type $\alpha$ is possibly transferred to the elements of $L(E$; $F)$; the space of all linear mappings of type $\alpha$, endowed with the norm $\|A\|_{\alpha}$, is written by $L^{\alpha}(E ; F)$. "Type $\wedge$ " is often replaced by the adjective "integral".

The following fact is an easy consequence of the definition of $\otimes$-norm. Let $A \in B^{a}(E, F)$, and let $E_{1}$ and $F_{1}$ be Banach spaces. Assume that the continuous linear mappings $u: E_{1} \rightarrow E$ and $v: F_{1} \rightarrow F$ are given. We define the form $A \circ(u \otimes v)$ on $E_{1} \times F_{1}$ by $A \circ(u \otimes v)(x, y)=A(u x, v y)$. Then we have $A \circ(u \otimes v) \in B^{\alpha}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ and $\|A \circ(u \otimes v)\|_{\alpha} \leqq\|A\|_{\alpha} \cdot\|u\| \cdot\|v\|$. In particular, for any subspaces $E_{1} \subset E$ and $F_{1} \subset F$, we have

$$
A \mid E_{1} \times F_{1} \in B^{\alpha}\left(E_{1}, F_{1}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left\|A \mid E_{1} \times F_{1}\right\|_{\alpha} \leqq\|A\|_{\alpha}
$$

where $A \mid E_{1} \times F_{1}$ means the restriction of $A$ to $E_{1} \times F_{1}$.

## 2. Accessible $\otimes$-norms.

Let $E$ and $F$ be Banach spaces. Given a $\otimes$-norm $\alpha$, we can construct $E \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} F$ and $B^{a}\left(E^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right)$, both of which contain canonically $E \otimes F$. For $u$ $\in E \otimes F$, the norms of $u$ considered in each space are denoted by $|u|_{\alpha}$ and $\|u\|_{a}$, respectively. Then

$$
\|u\|_{\alpha} \leqq|u|_{\alpha} . \quad \text { If } E \text { and } F \text { are metrically accessible, }{ }^{5} \text { then }\|u\|_{\alpha}=|u|_{\alpha} .
$$

Proof. Let $E_{1}$ and $F_{1}$ be any finite-dimensional subspaces of $E$ and $F$ such that $u \in E_{1} \otimes F_{1}$, and let $\iota_{1}$ and $\iota_{2}$ be the injection mappings $E_{1} \rightarrow E$ and $F_{1} \rightarrow F$, respectively. Then ${ }^{t} t_{1}$ is a linear mapping $E^{\prime} \rightarrow E_{1}^{\prime}$ with norm one; the same holds for $F_{1}^{\prime}, F^{\prime}$ and ${ }^{t} \iota_{2}$. Consider $u \mid E_{1}^{\prime} \times F_{1}^{\prime}$, the restriction of $u$ to $E_{1}^{\prime} \times F_{1}^{\prime}$. Then we have $\left\|u\left|E_{1}^{\prime} \times F_{1}^{\prime} \|_{\alpha}=|u| E_{1}^{\prime} \times F_{1}^{\prime}\right|_{\alpha}=|u|_{\Phi_{1} \otimes F_{1}}^{\alpha}\right.$. On the other hand, $\left\|u\left|E_{1}^{\prime} \times F_{1}^{\prime} \circ\left({ }^{t} \iota_{1} \otimes{ }^{t} \iota_{2}\right)\left\|_{a} \leqq\right\| u\right| E_{1}^{\prime} \times F_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{a}$, since $\left\|^{t} \iota_{1} \otimes{ }^{t} t_{2}\right\| \leqq 1$. It is clear that the left member is equal to $\|u\|_{a}$. Hence we have $\|u\|_{a} \leqq|u|_{W_{1} \otimes F_{1}}^{\alpha}$ which shows $\|u\|_{\alpha} \leqq|u|_{\alpha}$ by the definition of $|u|_{\alpha}$.

Now we assume that $E$ and $F$ are metrically accessible. We wish to show $\|u\|_{\alpha}=|u|_{\alpha}$. For that purpose, it is sufficient to prove $\|u\|_{\alpha}>1$ whenever $|u|_{a}>1$.

[^2]Write $u=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}$. Put $M=\operatorname{Max}_{1 \leq i \leq n}\left\{\left\|x_{i}\right\|,\left\|y_{i}\right\|\right\}$, and let $\varepsilon$ be any positive number smaller than $|u|_{\alpha}-1$. Since $E$ and $F$ are metrically accessible, we can find a linear mapping $\varphi_{1}$ of $E$ into a finite-dimensional subspace $E_{b}$ and a $\varphi_{2}$ of $F$ into $F_{1}$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|x_{i}-\varphi_{1} x_{i}\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2 n M}, \\
& \left\|y_{i}-\varphi_{2} y_{i}\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2 n M}
\end{aligned}
$$

and that the norm of $\varphi_{i}(i=1,2)$ is $\leqq 1$. Without losing generality, we may obviously assume $u \in E_{1} \otimes F_{1}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mid u-\left.\left(\varphi_{1} \otimes \varphi_{2}\right) u\right|_{\Phi_{1} \otimes F_{1}} ^{\alpha} \\
&=\left|\Sigma\left(x_{i}-\varphi_{1} x_{i}\right) \otimes y_{i}+\sum \varphi_{1} x_{i} \otimes\left(y_{i}-\varphi_{2} y_{i}\right)\right|_{\mathbb{E}_{1} \otimes F_{1}}^{\alpha} \\
& \leqq \Sigma\left\|x_{i}-\varphi_{1} x_{i}\right\| \cdot\left\|y_{i}\right\|+\Sigma\left\|\varphi_{1} x_{i}\right\| \cdot\left\|y_{i}-\varphi_{2} y_{i}\right\| \\
& \quad<\varepsilon<|u|_{\alpha}-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Besides, we have $|u|_{\Phi_{1} \otimes F_{1}}^{\infty} \geqq|u|_{\alpha}>1$. Hence we obtain

$$
\left|\left(\mathscr{\varphi}_{1} \otimes \mathscr{P}_{2}\right) u\right|_{\mathbb{E}_{1} \otimes F_{1}}^{\alpha}>1
$$

Accordingly, there is a $v_{1} \in E_{1}^{\prime} \otimes F_{1}^{\prime}$ such that $\left|v_{1}\right|_{\alpha^{\prime}}=1$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|<\left(\varphi_{1} \otimes \varphi_{2}\right) u, v_{1}>\right|>1 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $v=\left({ }^{t} \mathscr{\varphi}_{1} \otimes^{t} \mathscr{\varphi}_{2}\right) v_{1}$. Then $v \in E^{\prime} \otimes^{\alpha^{\prime}} F^{\prime}$ and $|v|_{a^{\prime}} \leqq 1$, because $\left\|^{t} \mathscr{\varphi}_{1} \otimes^{t} \mathscr{\varphi}_{2}\right\|$ $\leqq 1$. Moreover, by (3) we have

$$
\mid<u, v>1>1,
$$

which gives $\|u\|_{\alpha}>1$. This completes the proof.
A tensor norm $\alpha$ is called accessible, if $\|u\|_{\alpha}=|u|_{\alpha}$ always holds under the assumption that $E$ or $F$ is finite-dimensional. In case where $\alpha$ is accessible, the above proof remains valid when $\varphi_{1}$ or $\varphi_{2}$ is replaced by the identity operator. Hence we get

If $\alpha$ is an accessible $\otimes$-norm, $\|u\|_{\alpha}=|u|_{\alpha}$ holds when $E$ or $F$ is metrically accessible.

If $\alpha$ is accessible, then $\alpha^{\prime}$ is accessible. This is simply a translation of the following fact to the dual spaces: the accessibility of $\alpha$ means that the canonical mapping $E^{\prime} \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} F^{\prime} \rightarrow B^{a}(E, F)$ is an isomorphism onto when $E$ is finite-dimensional. It is trivial that under the same assumption of $\alpha,{ }^{t} \alpha$ and $\check{\alpha}$ are also accessible. Finally we note that it is always valid $\|u\|_{v}$. $=|u|_{\mathrm{v}}$. Thus the $\otimes$-norm $\wedge$ is accessible.

## 3. Canonical prolongation.

$B(E, F)$ is canonically isomorphic to $L\left(E ; F^{\prime}\right)$. Since $F^{\prime}$ is in an obvious way imbedded into $\left(F^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}, u \in L\left(E ; F^{\prime}\right)$ is regarded as an element of $L\left(E ;\left(F^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

This fact can be interpreted in terms of $B(E, F)$; thus $A \in B(E, F)$ corresponds canonically to an element $\bar{A} \in B\left(E, F^{H}\right) . \quad \bar{A}$ is called the canonical prolongation of $A$.

Theorem 1. For a given $A \in B(E, F)$, let $\bar{A}$ be the canonical prolongation of $A: \bar{A} \in B\left(E, F^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Then in order that $A$ is of type $\alpha$ it is necessary and suffcient that $\bar{A}$ is of type $\alpha$. Furthermore we have

$$
\|A\|_{\alpha}=\|\bar{A}\|_{\alpha}
$$

Lemma. If $E$ is finite-dimensional, then $L\left(E ; F^{\prime \prime}\right) \cong L(E ; F)^{\prime \prime}($ canonically $)$ for any Banach space $F$.

Proof of Lemma. Since $E$ is finite-dimensional, $L(E ; F)=E^{\prime} \stackrel{\vee}{\otimes} F$. The $\otimes$-norm $\wedge$ being accessible, we have $L(E ; F)^{\prime} \cong\left(E^{\prime} \otimes\right)^{\prime}=E \widehat{\otimes} F^{\prime}$. Hence we have $L(E ; F)^{\prime \prime} \cong\left(E \widehat{\otimes} F^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=B\left(E, F^{\prime}\right)=L\left(E ; F^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

Proof of Theorem. Sufficiency of the condition and $\|A\|_{a} \leqq\| \|_{\|}$are clear. We must prove the necessity and the converse inequality. Assume that $A$ is of type $\alpha$ and that $\|A\|_{\alpha}=1$. We wish to show $\|\vec{A}\|_{\alpha} \leqq 1$. Let $E_{1}$ and $F_{1}$ be any finite-dimensional subspaces of $E$ and $F^{\prime \prime}$, whose basis, suitably chosen, are denoted by $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \cdots, y_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$, respectively. Now apply Lemma to $F_{1}$ and $F^{\prime \prime}$. We have $L\left(F_{1} ; F^{\prime \prime}\right) \cong L\left(F_{1} ; F\right)^{\prime \prime}$ (canonically). It follows that the injection operator $\iota: F_{1} \rightarrow F^{\prime \prime}$ in $L\left(F_{1} ; F\right)^{\prime \prime}$ is weakly approximable by elements belonging to the unit sphere of $L\left(F_{1} ; F\right)$, where by the weak topology we mean the one induced by the duality between $F_{1} \hat{\otimes} F^{\prime}$ ( $\left.=L\left(F_{1} ; F\right)^{\prime}\right)$ and $L\left(F_{1} ; F\right)^{\prime \prime}$.

We identify $A \in B(E, F)$ with an element of $L\left(E ; F^{\prime}\right)$ and so $A x_{i}(i=1, \cdots$, $m$ ) are the elements of $F^{\prime}$. Consider the $y_{j}^{\prime \prime} \otimes A x_{i}(i=1, \cdots, m ; j=1, \cdots, n)$, which lie in the dual space $F_{1} \otimes F^{\prime}$ of $L\left(F_{1} ; F\right)$. Then from the above we find that there is a $u \in L\left(F_{1} ; F\right)$ such that $\|u\| \leqq 1$ and that

$$
\left.<u, y_{j}^{\prime \prime} \otimes A x_{i}>=<\iota, y_{j}^{\prime \prime} \otimes A x_{i}\right\rangle \quad(i=1, \cdots, m ; j=1, \cdots, n)
$$

It is easily seen that this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(x_{i}, u\left(y_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)=A\left(x_{i}, y_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right) \quad(i=1, \cdots, m ; j=1, \cdots, n) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $F_{2}$ be the subspace of $F$ spanned by $u\left(y_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right), j=1, \cdots, m$. We see that $1 \otimes u$ induces the linear mapping

$$
E_{1} \stackrel{\alpha^{\prime}}{\otimes} F_{1} \rightarrow E_{1} \stackrel{\alpha^{\prime}}{\otimes} F_{2}
$$

with the norm $\leqq 1$, and that by (4)

$$
\bar{A}\left|E_{1} \times F_{1}=A\right| E_{1} \times F_{2} \circ(1 \otimes u)
$$

Therefoe we obtain

$$
\left\|\bar{A}\left|E_{1} \times F_{1}\left\|_{\alpha} \leqq\right\| A\right| E_{1} \times F_{2}\right\|_{\alpha} \leqq\|A\|_{\alpha}=1
$$

whence we have $\|\vec{A}\|_{a} \leqq 1$. This completes the proof.
From the polarization we have
Corollary. The canonical injection $E \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} F \rightarrow E \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} F^{\prime \prime}$ is an isomorphism (into).

## 4. Relations between $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$-mappings and $\check{\alpha}$-mappings.

Theorem 2. Let $u \in L^{\alpha}(E ; F)$ and $v \in L^{\check{\alpha}}(F ; G)$. Suppose that $\alpha$ is an accessible $\otimes$-norm, or that $F$ is metrically accessible. Then $v \circ u$ is an integral operator which satisfies

$$
\|v \circ u\|_{\wedge} \leqq\|v\|_{\hat{\alpha}}\|u\|_{\alpha} .
$$

Proof. As $\|v \circ u\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ (or $\|u\|_{\alpha}$ ) is the supremum of the $\wedge$-norms of $v \circ u$ (resp. $\alpha$-norms of $u$ ) restricted to finite-dimensional subspaces of $E$, we may assume $E$ to be finite-dimensional; hence we have $u \in E^{\prime} \otimes F$. Observe that the assumption on $\alpha$ or on $F$ now gives $\|u\|_{a}=|u|_{a}$. For every $x \in E$ and $z^{\prime} \in G^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle x \otimes z^{\prime}, v \circ u\right\rangle & =\left\langle v \circ u(x), z^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle u x,{ }^{t} v z^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle u, x \otimes{ }^{t} v z^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle u,{ }^{t} v \circ\left(x \otimes z^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last bracket $x \otimes z^{\prime}$ is regarded as an operator of $E^{\prime}$ into $G^{\prime}$. Hence for any $w \in E \otimes G^{\prime}$ with $|w|_{v} \leqq 1$, we have
because $|w|_{v} \leqq 1$ is nothing but $\|w\| \leqq 1$, if $w$ is considered as an element of $L\left(E^{\prime} ; G^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, by $\|u\|_{\alpha}=|u|_{\alpha}$, we obtain

$$
|<w, v \circ u>| \leqq\|u\|_{a}\left\|^{t} v\right\|_{\alpha^{\prime}} .
$$

By the definition of $\|v \circ u\|_{\Lambda}$, this yields the desired result.

## § 2. Projective and injective $\otimes$-norms.

## 1. Banach spaces of class $(C)$ and class $(L)$.

According to Grothendieck [2], we say a Banach space $E$ to be of class $(L)$ or $(L)$-space, if, for any Banach space $G$ and its closed subspace $F$, the canonical injection $E \hat{\otimes} F \rightarrow E \hat{\otimes} G$ is an isomorphism; we say a Banach space $E$ to be of class (C) or (C)-space, if the dual $E^{\prime}$ is of class $(L)$.

If $E$ is of class ( $L$ ), then the dual $E^{\prime}$ is of class (C). If $E$ is isomorphic to an $L^{1}(\mu)$ for a suitable measure space on a locally compact space, then $E$ is of class $(L)$. Thus, by a result due to Kakutani, we see that the usual Banach space, composed of all continuous functions vanishing at infinity on a locally compact space, is of class ( $C$ ). These lead to the fundamental fact that any Banach space is on the one hand regarded as a subspace of a (C)-space and on the other hand as a quotient space of an ( $L$ )-space. At least in case where the scalar field is $R$, the notion of class $(L)$ is known to be essentially equivalent to the one of $L^{1}(\mu)$. In what follows, we mean by the notations $L$ and $C$ Banach spaces of class ( $L$ ) and ( $C$ ), respectively. From the definition, the following results are immediately obtained [2]:

1) Let $E$ be a Banach space and $F$ its closed subspace. Then for any continuous linear mapping $u \in L(F ; C)$, there exists a $\bar{u} \in L\left(E ; C^{\prime \prime}\right)$ which satisfies: $\bar{u} \mid F=u$ and $\|\bar{u}\|=\|u\|$.
2) Let $E$ and $F$ be as above. Then for any continuous linear mapping $u$ $\in L(L: E / F)$, there exists a $\bar{u} \in L\left(L ; E^{\prime \prime}\right)$ which satisfies: $p \circ \bar{u}=u$ and $\|\bar{u}\|$ $=\|u\|$, where $p$ denotes the canonical homomorphism $E^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow E^{\prime \prime} / F^{\circ \circ}(\supset E / F)$.

## 2. Injective and projective $\otimes$-norms.

A tensor norm $\alpha$ is called left-injective (abbreviated: $l$-injective) if, for any Banach spaces $E, G$ and a closed subspace $F$ of $E$, the canonical injection

$$
F \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} G \rightarrow E \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} G
$$

is an isomorphism. $\alpha$ is $l$-injective if and only if the above property holds when $E, F$ and $G$ are numerical normed spaces. $\alpha$ is called right-injective (abbreviated: $r$-injective) if ${ }^{t} \alpha$ is $l$-injective. A left- and right-injective $\otimes$ norm $\alpha$ is simply called injective. $\quad \alpha$ is called left-projective (abbreviated: $l$-projective) if $\alpha^{\prime}$ is $l$-injective; in a similar way, the $r$-projective and the projective $\otimes$-norms are defined.

It is easily seen that $\vee$ is injective and $\wedge$ is projective.
The supremum of any family of $l$-injective (resp. $r$-injective) $\otimes$-norms is $l$-injective (resp. $r$-injective). Hence for any $\otimes$-norm $\alpha$ the following definition is meaningful:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& / \alpha=\sup _{\substack{\beta \leq \alpha \\
\beta=l-i \mathrm{inj}}} \beta, \\
& \alpha \backslash=\sup _{\substack{\beta \leq \alpha \\
\beta=1 \mathrm{n} \mathrm{n} j}} \beta .
\end{aligned}
$$

$/ \alpha$ is $l$-injective and $\alpha \backslash$ is $r$-injective. Correspondingly, we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \backslash \alpha=\inf _{\substack{\beta \geq \alpha \\
\beta \backslash \iota-\text { proj } \\
\\
\beta}}, \\
& \alpha /=\inf _{\substack{\beta \geq \alpha \\
\beta: r-\text { proj }\\
}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\backslash \alpha$ is $l$-projective and $\alpha /$ is $r$-projective.
Theorem 3. For any Banach space $E$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
C \stackrel{\mid \alpha}{\otimes} E & =C \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} E, \\
L \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} E & =L \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} E .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We shall prove $C \stackrel{\mid x}{\otimes} E=C \stackrel{\sim}{\otimes} E$. For this purpose, we need a lemma:

Lemma. Let C be a Banach space of class (C). Suppose that a Banach space $G$ contains the $C$ as a closed subspace. Then for any Banach space $E$ the canonical injection

$$
C \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} E \rightarrow G \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} E
$$

is an isomorphism.
Proof of Lemma. Consider the identity mapping $\bar{i}: C \rightarrow C$. From the property of $C$ as stated in 1) of the preceding section, it follows that there is a $p \in L\left(G ; C^{\prime \prime}\right)$, satisfying $p \mid C=\iota$ and $\|p\|=1$. Then $p \otimes 1$ induces a linear mapping

$$
G \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} E \rightarrow C^{\prime \prime} \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} E,
$$

whose norm is $\leqq 1$. On the other hand, let $\iota$ be the injection $C \rightarrow G$; then $\iota \otimes 1$ induces a linear mapping

$$
C \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} E \rightarrow G \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} E,
$$

whose norm is $\leqq 1$. Hence $(p \otimes 1) \circ(\iota \otimes 1)$ gives rise to a linear mapping

$$
C \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} E \rightarrow C^{\prime \prime} \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} E .
$$

Besides, it is obvious that $\|(p \otimes 1) \circ(\iota \otimes 1)\| \leqq 1$ and that, if $u \in C \otimes E$, then the image of $u$ is just the canonical image in $C^{\prime \prime} \otimes E$. This, combined with Corollary to Theorem 1 , yields that $(p \otimes 1) \circ(\iota \otimes 1)$ is norm-preserving, a fortiori $\iota \otimes 1$ has the same property. This completes the proof.

Now we come back to the proof of Theorem. Let $E$ and $F$ be any Banach spaces. Let $C$ be a Banach space of class $(C)$ which imbeds $E$. Put $E \stackrel{\widetilde{x}}{\otimes} F$ for the closed subspace of $C \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} F$, spanned by $E \otimes F$. We consider $E \stackrel{\widetilde{\alpha}}{\otimes} F$ as a Banach space corresponding to the ordered pair $(E, F)$. By Lemma we know that $E \stackrel{\widetilde{\otimes}}{\otimes} F$ is not dependent on the choice of $C$ and so uniquely determined up to an isomophism by $(E, F)$. We denote by $|u|_{\tilde{\alpha}}, u \in E \otimes \underset{\otimes}{\tilde{\alpha}} F$, the norm of $u$ in $E \stackrel{\tilde{\otimes}}{\otimes} F$.

We shall prove that $E \stackrel{\widetilde{\alpha}}{\otimes} F$ actually gives a $\otimes$-norm to $E$ and $F$. Let $\left(E_{1}, F_{1}\right)$ be another pair of Banach spaces and suppose that the linear mappings $u_{1} \in L\left(E ; E_{1}\right)$ and $u_{2} \in L\left(F ; F_{1}\right)$ be given. We shall first see that $u_{1} \otimes u_{2}$ induces a continuous linear mapping $u_{1} \stackrel{\tilde{\sim}}{\otimes} u_{2}: E \stackrel{\widetilde{\tilde{\otimes}}}{\otimes} F \rightarrow E_{1} \stackrel{\tilde{\sim}}{\otimes} F_{1}$. Let $E \subset C$ and $E_{1} \subset C_{1}$ be the imbeddings into ( $C$ )-spaces of $E$ and $E_{1}$, respectively. The mapping $u_{1}$, being regarded as one of $E$ into $C_{1}$, has a norm-preserving extension $\tilde{u}_{1}$ of $C$ into $C_{1}^{\prime \prime}:\left\|u_{1}\right\|=\left\|\tilde{u}_{1}\right\|$. Then the $\tilde{u}_{1}{ }_{\otimes}^{\otimes} u_{2}$ gives rise to a continuous linear mapping: $C \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} F \rightarrow C_{1}^{\prime \prime} \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} F$, which satisfies

$$
\left\|\tilde{u}_{1} \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} u_{2}\right\| \leqq\left\|\tilde{u}_{1}\right\| \cdot\left\|u_{2}\right\| .
$$

Observe that $E_{1} \stackrel{\tilde{\tilde{q}}}{\otimes} F$ is considered as a closed subspace of $C_{1}^{\prime \prime} \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} F$, because $C^{\prime \prime}$ is of class $(C)$. Besides, the restriction of $\tilde{u}_{1} \otimes u_{2}$ to $E \otimes F$ is the same mapping as $u_{1} \otimes u_{2}$. Hence the definition of $\tilde{\alpha}$ gives

$$
\left\|u_{1} \stackrel{\tilde{\otimes}}{\otimes} u_{2}\right\| \leqq\left\|u_{1}\right\| \cdot\left\|u_{2}\right\| .
$$

Now let $u$ be an element of $E \otimes F$ belonging to an $E_{\sigma} \otimes F_{\sigma}$, where by $E_{\sigma}$ and $F_{\sigma}$ we mean finite-dimensional subspaces of $E$ and $F$, respectively. We wish to show

$$
|u|_{\bar{x}}=\inf \left\{|u|_{\theta_{\sigma}}{ }^{\tilde{\otimes}} F_{\sigma} ; u \in E_{\sigma} \otimes F_{\sigma}, E_{\sigma} \subset E, \quad F_{\sigma} \subset F\right\}
$$

Suppose that $E$ is imbedded into $C$. By the definition $|u|_{E_{\sigma}{ }^{\tilde{\otimes}} \mathcal{F}_{\sigma}}=|u|_{\sigma \otimes F_{\sigma}}^{\alpha}$, whence we have $|u|_{\Pi_{\tau}{ }^{\otimes} F_{\sigma}} \geqq|u|_{\#_{\sigma}}{ }^{\bar{\otimes}} F_{\sigma}$, where $E_{\tau}$ is any finite-dimensional subspace of $C$ such that $u \in E_{\tau} \otimes F_{\sigma}$. So we have

$$
|u|_{\tilde{\alpha}}=\inf _{B_{\tau}, F_{\sigma}}|u|_{B_{\tau}}{ }_{\sigma}^{\otimes F_{\sigma}} \underset{B_{\sigma, F_{\sigma}}}{ } \inf |u|_{B_{\sigma}}{ }^{\tilde{\otimes} F_{\sigma}} .
$$

Since the converse inequality is trivial, we get $|u|_{\tilde{\alpha}}=\inf |u|_{\dot{G}_{\sigma}}{ }^{\alpha}{ }^{\otimes} H_{\sigma}$. $\quad$ From these properties of $\tilde{\alpha}$ we can easily conclude that $\tilde{\alpha}$ is a $\otimes$-norm.

From the defintion it follows that if $E_{1}$ is a closed subspace of $E_{2}$, then $E_{1} \stackrel{\tilde{\widetilde{c}}}{\otimes} F$ is a closed subspace of $E_{2} \stackrel{\tilde{\otimes}}{\otimes} F$, so that $\tilde{\alpha}$ is $l$-injective. Since for $E \subset C$ the canonical injection $E \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} F \rightarrow C \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} F$ is of norm $\leqq 1, \tilde{\alpha} \leqq \alpha$ is clear. We have thus

$$
\tilde{\alpha} \leqq / \alpha .
$$

Now let $\beta$ be any $l$-injective $\otimes$-norm such that $\beta \leqq \alpha$. Then for any Banach spaces $E, F$ and $C(\supset E)$, the canonical injection $E \stackrel{\beta}{\otimes} F \rightarrow C \stackrel{\beta}{\otimes} F$ is normpreserving and the canonical injection $C \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} F \rightarrow C \stackrel{\beta}{\otimes} F$ is of norm $\leqq 1$. $E \stackrel{\tilde{\alpha}}{\otimes} F$ being defined as the closed subspace of $C \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} F$, we have $|u|_{\beta} \leqq|u|_{\bar{\alpha}}$ for $u$ $\in E \otimes E$. Hence $\beta \leqq \tilde{\alpha}$ and so

$$
/ \alpha=\sup \beta \leqq \widetilde{d}
$$

This combined with the above yields $\tilde{\alpha}=/ \alpha$. Thus by the definition of $\tilde{\alpha}$ we have finally $C \stackrel{\nsim}{\otimes} E=C \otimes E$.

The second assertion of Theorem with respect to $\backslash \alpha$ can be proved by the same lines as in $/ \alpha$. The corresponding lemma in this case becomes as follows: If $L$ is a quotient space of an $E$, then the canonical mapping $E \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} F \rightarrow L \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} F$ is an onto-homomorphism. This is an alternation of the fundamental property of $L$ stated in 1 ), $\mathrm{n}^{\circ} 1$. Put $E \stackrel{\tilde{\tilde{x}}}{\otimes} F$ for the quotient space of $L \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} F$ induced by the canonical injection $L \otimes F \rightarrow E \otimes F$, where $E$ is assumed to be a quotient space of $L$. Then by the lemma and by the similar disscussions we can prove $\tilde{d}=\backslash \alpha$ and hence $L \stackrel{\downarrow}{\otimes} E=L \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} E$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 1. $E \stackrel{\mid \alpha}{\otimes} F, E \stackrel{\alpha \mid}{\otimes} F$ and $E \stackrel{|\alpha|}{\otimes} F$ are identified with the closures of $E \otimes F$ in $C_{1} \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} F, E \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} C_{2}$ and $C_{1} \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} C_{2}\left(E \subset C_{1}, F \subset C_{2}\right)$, respectively.

Corollary 2. $E \stackrel{\mid \alpha}{\otimes} F, E \stackrel{\alpha \mid}{\otimes} F$ and $E \stackrel{|x|}{\otimes} F$ are identified with the quotient spaces of $L_{1} \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} F, E \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} L_{2}$ and $L_{1} \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} L_{2}$ by the canonical homomorphisms, respectively, where $E=L_{1} / K$ and $F=L_{2} / J$.

Related to § $1, \mathrm{n}^{\circ} 2$, we have
Corollary 3. Notations being as in $\S 1, n^{\circ} 2,\|u\|_{\alpha}=|u|_{\alpha}$, if $\alpha$ is injective. If $\alpha$ is projective, then it is accessible.
Proof. Let $\alpha$ be projective. Express $E$ and $F$ as quotient spaces of $(L)-$ spaces: $E=L_{1} / J_{1}, F=L_{2} / J_{2}$. Then $E \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} F$ is identified with $L_{1} \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} L_{2} / J_{1} \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} J_{2}$. Let $u \in E^{\prime} \otimes F^{\prime} . \quad u$, being in $B^{a \prime}(E, F)$, naturally induces a bilinear form on $L_{1} \times L_{2}$, which we denote by $\tilde{u}$. We have obviously

$$
\|\tilde{u}\|_{a^{\prime}}=\|u\|_{a^{\prime}}
$$

and $\tilde{u} \in L_{1}^{\prime} \otimes L_{2}^{\prime} . \quad$ Put $u=\sum x_{2} \otimes y_{i}$. Let $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ be the canonical homomorphisms: $\varphi_{1}: L_{1} \rightarrow E, \varphi_{2}: L_{2} \rightarrow F$. Then ${ }^{t} \varphi_{1}$ and ${ }^{t} \varphi_{2}$ are the isomorphisms of $E^{\prime} \rightarrow L_{1}^{\prime} F^{\prime} \rightarrow L_{2}^{\prime}$ respectively, and $\tilde{u}=\Sigma^{t} \varphi_{1} x_{2} \otimes^{t} \mathscr{\varphi}_{2} y_{i}$. Now $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ being metrically accessible, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\tilde{u}\|_{\alpha^{\prime}}=|\tilde{u}|_{\alpha^{\prime}} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, as $\alpha^{\prime}$ is injective, the canonical injection ${ }^{t} \varphi_{1} \otimes{ }^{t} \mathscr{\varphi}_{2}$ : $E^{\prime} \stackrel{\alpha^{\prime}}{\otimes} F^{\prime} \rightarrow L_{1}^{\prime} \stackrel{\alpha^{\prime}}{\otimes} L_{2}^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism. Hence from $\left({ }^{t} \varphi_{1} \otimes^{t} \varphi_{2}\right) u=\tilde{u}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\tilde{u}|_{\alpha^{\prime}}=|\tilde{u}|_{\alpha^{\prime}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(5), (6) and (7) yield $\|u\|_{\alpha^{\prime}}=|u|_{\alpha^{\prime}}$. Since any injective $\otimes$-norm can be expressed as $\alpha^{\prime}$, this proves the first assertion. The second assertion is an immediate consequence.

From the above proof we further find the following
Corollary 4. For any $\otimes$-norm $\alpha$, the $\otimes$-norms $/ \alpha, \alpha \backslash, \backslash \alpha$ and $\alpha /$ become all accessible.

## § 3. Tensor-norm related to Hilbert spaces.

## 1. Hilbertian tensor-norms.

Theorem 4. There exists a unique $\otimes$-norm $\mathcal{H}$, which is called the Hilbertian $\otimes$-norm, with the following properties:

Let $E$ and $F$ be any Banach spaces, and let $u$ be a bilinear form on $E \times F$. Then $\|u\|_{s t} \leqq 1$ if and only if

$$
u(x, y)=\langle\varphi y, \psi y\rangle \quad \text { for all } x \in E \text { and } y \in F
$$

where $\varphi$ is a linear mapping of $E$ into a Hilbert space $H$ with $\|\varphi\| \leqq 1$, and $\psi$ is one of $F$ into $H^{\prime}$ (the dual space of $H$ ) with $\|\psi\| \leqq 1$.

Proof. If such a $\otimes$-norm exists, the uniqueness is almost evident. Hence we shall only prove the existence of the $\otimes$-norm $\mathcal{H}$; the proof will be devided into four steps.
$1^{\circ}$. Put $U$ for the subset of $B(E, F)$, consisting of all $u$ with the properties stated in the theorem:

$$
u=\{u ; u(x, y)=\langle\varphi x, \psi y\rangle, \phi \text { and } \psi \text { being as above }\} .
$$

Then the elements of $U$ are also characterized by the following properties $(H)$ : there are Hilbert spaces $H$ and $K$, and linear mappings $\varphi$ and $\psi$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\varphi: E \rightarrow H, & \text { with }\|\varphi\| \leqq 1, \\
\psi: F \rightarrow K, & \text { with }\|\psi\| \leqq 1,
\end{array}
$$

for which we have

$$
|u(x, y)| \leqq\|\varphi(x)\| \cdot\|\psi(y)\| .
$$

In fact, the elements of $U$ clearly fulfill the property $(H)$. Conversely assume that $u \in B(E, F)$ have the property $(H)$. Then $|u(x, y)| \leqq\|\varphi(x)\| \cdot$ $\|\psi(y)\|$. We may assume that $H$ and $K$ are spanned by $\{\varphi(x) ; x \in E\}$ and
$\{\psi(y) ; y \in F\}$, respectively. Put $\tilde{v}(\varphi(x), \psi(z))=u(x, y)$. It is evident that $\tilde{v}$ induces the unique bilinear form on $H \times K$ by the continuity; besides, $\|\tilde{v}\| \leqq 1$. Hence $\tilde{v}$ is considered as a linear mapping of $K$ into $H^{\prime}$ with the norm $\leqq 1$. Putting $\psi_{1}=\tilde{v} \circ \psi$, we see that $u(x, y)=\left\langle\varphi(x), \psi_{1}(y)\right\rangle$ with $\|\varphi\| \leqq 1$ and $\left\|\psi_{1}\right\| \leqq 1$, which implies $u \in U$.

A semi-norm on $E$ is called a Hilbertian norm if it has the form $\varphi(x, x)^{1 / 2}$, where $\varphi(x, y)$ means a quasi-inner product on $E$. Then the condition $(H)$ is interpreted in terms of Hilbertian norms as follows: $u \in B(E, F)$ fulfills the condition $(H)$ if and only if the bilinear-norm of $u$ becomes $\leqq 1$, when $E$ and $F$ are endowed with a suitable Hilbertian norm $\varphi$ and a $\psi$, respectively, such that $\varphi(x, x)^{1 / 2} \leqq\|x\|$ and $\psi(y, y)^{1 / 2} \leqq\|y\|$. We say a pair of Hilbertian norms $\{\varphi, \psi\}$ to be an $H$-attendant of $u$, if the above relation holds for $u, \varphi$ and $\psi$.
$2^{\circ}$. We prove that $U$ is convex (and circular in the complex case) and that it is compact with respect to the simple convergence.

Let $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ be elements in $U$; let $\left\{\varphi_{i}, \psi_{i}\right\}$ be the H -attendants of $u_{i}$ $(i=1,2)$. Then $\lambda_{1} u_{1}+\lambda_{2} u_{2}\left(\lambda_{1} \geqq 0 . \lambda_{2} \geqq 0, \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}=1\right)$ has the H-attendant $\left\{\lambda_{1} \varphi_{1}+\lambda_{2} \varphi_{2}, \lambda_{1} \psi_{1}+\lambda_{2} \psi_{2}\right\}$. In fact, applying the Schwarz's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\lambda_{1} u_{1}(x, y)+\lambda_{2} u_{2}(x, y)\right| & \leqq \lambda_{1} \varphi_{1}(x, x)^{1 / 2} \psi_{1}(y, y)^{1 / 2}+\lambda_{2} \varphi_{2}(x, x)^{1 / 2} \psi_{2}(y, y)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqq\left\{\lambda_{1} \varphi_{1}(x, x)+\lambda_{2} \varphi_{2}(x, x)\right\}^{1 / 2}\left\{\lambda_{1} \psi_{1}(x, x)+\lambda_{2} \psi_{2}(y, y)\right\}^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

besides,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\lambda_{1} \varphi_{1}(x, x)+\lambda_{2} \varphi_{2}(x, x)\right\}^{1 / 2} \leqq \sqrt{\lambda_{1}} \varphi_{1}(x, x)^{1 / 2}+\sqrt{\lambda_{2}} \varphi_{2}(x, x)^{1 / 2} \leqq\|x\|, \\
& \left\{\lambda_{1} \psi_{1}(y, y)+\lambda_{2} \psi_{2}(y, y)\right\}^{1 / 2} \leqq\|y\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

If follows that $\lambda_{1} u_{1}+\lambda_{2} u_{2} \in U$, whence $U$ is convex. It is clear that $U$ is. circular in the complex case.

In order to prove the compactness of $U$, it is sufficient to show that $U$ is closed in $B_{s}(E, F)$. Let $u_{\lambda} \in U$ and assume that $u_{\lambda}$ converges to $u$ in $B_{s}(E, F)$. Let $\left\{\varphi_{\lambda}, \psi_{\lambda}\right\}$ be an H-attendant of $u_{\lambda}$. Since the totality of inner products on $E(\operatorname{or} F)$, with the norm $\leqq 1$, is compact in $B_{s}(E, E)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.B_{s}(F, F)\right)$, we may assume that $\left\{\varphi_{\lambda}, \psi_{\lambda}\right\}$ simply converges to a pair of Hilbertian norms $\{\varphi, \phi\}$. Then it is clear that $\{\varphi, \psi\}$ gives rise to an H-attendant of $u$ and so $u \in U$.
$3^{\circ}$. For $v \in E \otimes F$, put

$$
|v|_{g c^{\prime}}=\sup _{\varphi, \psi}|(\mathcal{P} \otimes \phi) v|_{\Lambda}
$$

where the supremum runs over all such pairs of $\{\varphi, \psi\}$ that $\varphi$ is a linear mapping of $E$ into an arbitrary Hilbert space $H$ and $\psi$ is one of $F$ into $K$ each of whose norm is at most $1,(\mathcal{P} \otimes \psi) v$ being considered in $H \hat{\otimes} K$. Put $U^{\circ}$ be the polar set of $U$ in $E \otimes F$. Then as is easily seen

$$
U^{\sigma}=\left\{v ;|v|_{g v^{\prime}} \leqq 1\right\} .
$$

Also it is evident that $|v|_{\mathscr{H}^{\prime}}$ is actually a reasonable norm of $E \otimes F$.
Now let $u_{i}(i=1,2)$ be the continuous linear mappings of $E$ into $E_{1}$ and $F$ into $F_{1}$, having the norm 1 , respectively. Then, for $v \in E \otimes F$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(u_{1} \otimes u_{2}\right) v\right|_{g^{\prime}} & =\sup _{\varphi_{1}, \psi_{1}}\left|\left(\varphi_{1} \otimes \psi_{1}\right) \circ\left(u_{1} \otimes u_{2}\right) v\right|_{\wedge} \\
& =\sup _{\varphi_{1}, \psi_{1}}\left|\left(\varphi_{1} \circ u_{1}\right) \otimes\left(\psi_{1} \circ u_{2}\right) v\right|_{\wedge} \\
& \leqq \sup _{\varphi, \psi}|(\mathcal{P} \otimes \psi) v|_{\wedge}=|v|_{g^{\prime}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varphi_{1}$ means linear mapping of $E_{1}$ into a Hibert space of norm $\leqq 1$ and $\psi_{1}$ has the same meaning with respect to $F_{1}$. Consequently $\left\|u_{1}{ }_{Q}^{g^{\prime}} u_{2}\right\|$ $\leqq\left\|u_{1}\right\| \cdot\left\|u_{2}\right\|$.
$4^{\circ}$. We wish to show that $|v|_{y^{\prime}}$ is a $\otimes$-norm. For this it remains to prove that for any fixed $v \in E \otimes F,|v|_{\mathscr{H}^{\prime}}$ is equal to the infimum of $|v|_{\theta_{\sigma}}{ }^{\mu \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime} F_{\sigma}$, where $E_{\sigma}$ and $F_{\sigma}$ mean finite-dimensional subspaces of $E$ and $F$, respectively, such that $v \in E_{\sigma} \otimes F_{\sigma}(\sigma \in \Sigma)$. From the result of $3^{\circ}$, it follows $|v| \leqq|v|_{\Xi_{\sigma}}{ }^{g V^{\prime}} F_{\sigma}$. We must prove the converse inequality. For this aim, making the assumption of inf $|v|_{\left.\right|_{\sigma}} \otimes F_{\sigma}>1$, we shall show that this leads to $|v|_{g^{\prime}}>1$. For $u$ $\in B(E, F)$, we denote by $\|u\|_{\mathscr{y}}$ the norm of $u$ induced by the "unit sphere" $U$ if its norm exists. From the above assumption, for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$ there is a bilinear form $u_{\sigma}$ on $E_{\sigma} \times E_{\sigma}$ such that

$$
\left\|u_{\sigma}\right\|_{\mathscr{t}} \leqq 1
$$

and

$$
\left|<u_{\sigma}, v>\right|>1 .
$$

Let $S$ and $T$ be the unit spheres of $E$ and $F$, respectively. We denote by $\mathfrak{F}$ the space of all functions on $S \times T$ with $\sup _{p \in S \times T}|f(p)| \leqq 1$ and assume that $\mathfrak{F}$ have the simple convergence topology. Observe that $\mathfrak{F}$ is compact. For all $\sigma \in \Sigma$, associate the closed set $\mathfrak{F}_{\sigma}$ of $\mathfrak{F}$, consisting of all functions $f$ 's such that the restriction of $f$ to $(S \times T) \cap\left(E_{\sigma} \times F_{\sigma}\right)$ is equal to the one of $u_{\sigma}$ to $(S \times T) \cap\left(E_{\sigma} \times F_{\sigma}\right) . \quad \widetilde{\mho}_{\sigma}$ is not empty, since it contains a function $f_{\sigma}$ defined as follows: $f_{\sigma}(x, y)=u_{\sigma}(x, y)$ for $x \in E_{\sigma} \cap S, y \in F_{\sigma} \cap T$, and $f_{\sigma}(x, y)$ $=0$ otherwise. Besides it is clear that $\mathfrak{F}_{\sigma}$ has the finite intersection property. Hence we can conclude that $\bigcap_{\sigma \in \Sigma \mathcal{F}_{\sigma}}$ is not empty. Take a function $f_{0}$ from $\cap \mathfrak{F}_{\sigma}$ and put

$$
u(x, y)=\|x\| \cdot\|y\| f_{0}\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}, \frac{y}{\|y\|}\right) .
$$

Then a familiar discussion yields that $u(x, y)$ is a bilinear function on $E \times F$ and that

$$
|<u, v>|>1 .
$$

Hence $|v|_{\mathscr{y}^{\prime}}>1$ will be proved if we can succeed in obtaining $\|u\|_{\mathscr{r}} \leqq 1$. From the existince of an H -attendant of $u_{\sigma}$ it follows that there are a Hilbertian norm $\varphi_{\sigma}$ on $E_{\sigma}$ and a $\psi_{\sigma}$ on $F_{\sigma}$ such that

$$
\varphi_{\sigma}(x, x) \leqq\|x\|^{2}, \quad \varphi_{\sigma}(y, y) \leqq\|y\|^{2}
$$

and that

$$
|u(x, y)| \leqq \varphi_{\sigma}(x, x)^{1 / 2} \psi_{\sigma}(y, y)^{1 / 2}
$$

for all $x \in E_{\sigma}$ and $y \in F_{\sigma}$. Applying the same arguments as above, we find
a Hilbertian norm $\varphi$ on $E$ and a $\psi$ on $F$, obtained by the "limit" of $\varphi_{\sigma}$ and $\psi_{\sigma}$, respectively, the pair of which serves as an H-attendant of $u$. Hence we get $\|u\|_{\mathscr{H}} \leqq 1$. Thus, we come to a conclusion that

$$
|v|_{\mathscr{G}^{\prime}}=\inf |v|_{E_{\sigma}}^{g_{\otimes_{B}^{\prime}}},
$$

which, together with the preceding results, shows that $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ is a $\otimes$-norm. If follows simultaneously that the dual norm $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ gives the desired Hilbertian $\otimes$-norm. This completes the proof.
Remark 1. From the definition it results that the $\otimes$-norm $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ is the smallest one in all the $\otimes$-norms $\alpha$ 's with the following property: Let $E$ and $F$ be any Banach spaces; let $\varphi$ and $\psi$ be any linear mappings from $E$ and $F$ into Hilbert spaces $H$ and $K$, respectively. Then $\varphi \otimes \psi$ induces a linear mapping $E \stackrel{\alpha}{\otimes} F$ into $H \hat{\otimes} K$ with the norm $\leqq\|\varphi\| \cdot\|\varphi\|$.

Hence, by the duality, we find:
Remark 2. The $\otimes$-norm $\mathscr{G}$ is the greatest one in all the $\otimes$-norms $\beta$ 's with the following property: Let $E$ and $F$ be as above; let $\varphi$ and $\psi$ be any linear mappings from Hilbert spaces $H$ and $K$ into $E$ and $F$, respetively. Then $\varphi \otimes \psi$ induces a linear mapping $H \stackrel{\vee}{\otimes} K$ into $E \stackrel{\beta}{\otimes} F$ with the norm $\leqq\|\varphi\| \cdot\|\psi\|$.
2. $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$-forms on $\boldsymbol{C}_{0}(\boldsymbol{M}) \times \boldsymbol{C}_{0}(\boldsymbol{M})$.

Let us recall some known definitions. Assume that $E$ and $F$ be linear spaces. A form $u$ on $E \times F$ is called sesquilinear if $u(x, y)$ is linear with respect to $x$ and anti-linear with respect to $y$. If we introduce the space $\bar{F}$ which is anti-linearly isomorphic to $F$ in a canonical way, then a sesquilinear form $u$ on $E \times F$ is regarded as a bilinear form on $E \times \bar{F}$. By this correspondence between sesquilinear forms and bilinear ones, the notions on bilinear forms such as type $\alpha, \alpha$-norm, etc. are naturally inherited to sesquilinear forms. A sesquilinear form $u$ on $E \times E$ is called Hermitian if $u(x, y)=\overline{u(y, x)}$, and positive if $u(x, x) \geqq 0$. In a usual manner, the order relation is introduced in the family of Hermitian forms on $E \times E$, which is denoted e.g. by $u \gg v$.

For later use, we shall give a characterization of the elements in $E \otimes F$, belonging to the unit sphere in $E \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\otimes} F$. Assume that $v$ be an element in $E \otimes F$ with $|v|_{s t} \leqq 1$. Since $\mathcal{H}$ is injective, by Corollary 3 to Theorem 3 $|v|_{s t} \leqq 1$ is equivalent to $\|v\|_{s t} \leqq 1$, where $v$ is regarded as an element of $B^{\mathscr{H}}\left(E^{\prime}, F^{\prime}\right)$. Let an H-attendant of $v$ be $\{\varphi, \psi\}$ :
and
As is easily verified, we may assume without loss of generality that $H$ is.
finite-dimensional and that $\psi$ and $\psi$ are both onto-mappings. Let $e_{i}(i=1$, $\cdots, n)$ be an orthonormal basis in $H$, and let $e_{i}^{\prime}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ be the dual basis in $H^{\prime}$. Since for a fixed $y^{\prime} v\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ is $\sigma\left(E^{\prime}, E\right)$-continuous in $x^{\prime}$, it follows that $\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ is weakly continuos. Similary $\psi\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ has the same property. Hence there exist $x_{i} \in E$ and $y_{i} \in F(i=1, \cdots, n)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle x^{\prime}, x_{i}\right\rangle=\left\langle\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right), e_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle, \\
& \left\langle y^{\prime}, y_{i}\right\rangle=\left\langle e_{i}, \psi\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
v\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right) & \left.=\sum_{i}<\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right), e_{i}^{\prime}\right\rangle\left\langle e_{i}, \psi\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{i}\left\langle x^{\prime}, x_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle y^{\prime}, y_{i}>.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $v$ can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\sum_{i} x_{i} \otimes y_{i} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i} \mid<x^{\prime}, x_{i}>1^{2} \leqq\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|^{2},  \tag{12}\\
& \sum_{i} \mid<y^{\prime}, y_{i}>1^{2} \leqq\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|^{2} ; \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

in fact, for example the first inequality (12) is deduced from

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i}\left|<x^{\prime}, x_{i}>\right|^{2} & =\sum\left|<\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right), e_{i}^{\prime}>\right|^{2} \\
& =\left\|\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\|^{2} \leqq\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely, assume that $v \in E \otimes F$ have an expression (11) satisfying the supplementary conditions (12) and (13). Consider $H=l^{2}(1, \cdots, n)$. Define the linear mappings $\varphi$ and $\psi$ by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi: x^{\prime} \rightarrow\left\{<x^{\prime}, x_{i}>\right\} \in H, \\
\psi: y^{\prime} \rightarrow\left\{<y^{\prime}, y_{i}>\right\} \in H^{\prime} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then it is obvious that (8),(9),(10) can be satisfied and so $\|v\|_{s t} \leqq 1$. In conclusion, the conditions (11), (12) and (13) together give a complete charecterization to elements which belong to the unit sphere of $E \otimes F$ with respect to $\mathscr{G}$-norm.
Theorem 5. Let $M$ be any locally compact space. Put $E=C_{0}(M)$, where $C_{0}(M)$ donotes the Banch space, consisting of continuous functions on $M$ which vanish at infinity, the norm of functions being defined as its least upper bound. Assume that a sesquilinear $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$-form $u$ on $E \times E$ be given. Then there exists a positive measure $\mu$ on $M$ which satisfies the following properties:

$$
\begin{gather*}
|u(f, f)| \leqq \int|f|^{2} d \mu  \tag{14}\\
\|\mu\| \leqq\|u\|_{s^{\prime}}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $u$ is further assumed to be positive, then $\mu$ can be taken such that

$$
\|\mu\|=\|u\|_{q^{\prime}} .
$$

Proof. We shall first establish:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i} \otimes h_{i}\right|_{\mathscr{i}} \leqq\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|g_{i}\right|^{2}\right\|^{1 / 2}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|h_{i}\right|^{2}\right\|^{1 / 2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $g_{i}, h_{i} \in E(i=1, \cdots, n)$. Consider the Hilbert space $H=l^{2}(1, \cdots, n)$; let $\phi$ be a linear mapping of $H$ into $E:\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\} \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} g_{i}$, and $\psi$ be one of $H^{\prime}$
into $E:\left\{\lambda_{i}\right\} \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} h_{i}$. Since we have clearly

$$
\sup _{\Sigma\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{2} \leqq 1}\left\|\sum \lambda_{i} g_{i}\right\|=\left\|\sum\left|g_{i}\right|^{2}\right\|^{1 / 2}
$$

it follows that $\|\varphi\|=\left\|\Sigma\left|g_{\imath}\right|^{2}\right\|^{1 / 2}$ and $\|\psi\|=\left\|\Sigma\left|h_{i}\right|^{2}\right\|^{1 / 2}$. Therefore by Remark 2 in $\mathrm{n}^{\circ} 1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\varphi \otimes \psi\| \leqq\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|g_{i}\right|^{2}\right\|^{1 / 2}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|h_{i}\right|^{2}\right\|^{1 / 2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\varphi \otimes \psi$ being regarded as a mapping $l^{2} \stackrel{\vee}{\otimes} l^{2} \rightarrow E \stackrel{g h}{\otimes} F$. On the other hand, it is evident that $(\mathcal{P} \otimes \psi) \sum e_{i} \otimes e_{i}=\sum g_{i} \otimes h_{i}$ and that $\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i} \otimes e_{i}\right|_{V}=1$, where $e_{i}$ denotes the elements $\{0, \cdots, 0,1, \cdots, 0\}$ of $H(i=1, \cdots, n)$. Hence (16) shows the validity of (15).

Now let $u$ be a sesquilinear $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$-form on $E \times E$. We may assume $\|u\|_{q^{\prime}}$ $=1$. Denote by $E_{R}$ the real Banach space which consists of all real-valued functions in $E$, with the same norm as in $E$. For $f \in E_{R}$, put

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(f)=\inf _{g_{i}}\left\{\left\|f+\Sigma\left|g_{i}\right|^{2}\right\|-\Sigma\left|u\left(g_{i}, g_{i}\right)\right|\right\} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the infimum is taken all over the family of finite elements $\left\{g_{i}\right\}$, $g_{i} \in E$. We show:
i) $\quad P(\alpha f)=\alpha P(f) \quad(\alpha>0)$;
ii) $\quad P\left(f_{1}+f_{2}\right) \leqq P\left(f_{1}\right)+P\left(f_{2}\right)$;
iii) $\quad P(0)=0$;
iv) $P(f) \leqq\|f\|$.
$\operatorname{Ad}$ i). $\quad P(\alpha f)=\inf _{g_{i}}\left\{\left\|\alpha f+\Sigma\left|g_{i}\right|^{2}\right\|-\Sigma\left|u\left(g_{i}, g_{i}\right)\right|\right\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\alpha \inf _{g_{i}}\left\{\left\|f+\Sigma\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} g_{i}\right|^{2}\right\|-\Sigma u\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} g_{i}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} g_{i}\right)\right\} \\
& =\alpha P(f)
\end{aligned}
$$

Ad ii). Clear.
Ad iii). We first prove that $P(0)$ is non-negative. Since

$$
P(0)=\inf \left\{\left\|\Sigma\left|g_{i}\right|^{2}\right\|-\Sigma u\left(g_{i}, g_{i}\right) \mid\right\}
$$

for this it is sufficient to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|g_{i}\right|^{2}\right\| \geqq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|u\left(g_{i}, g_{i}\right)\right| . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $\varepsilon_{i},\left|\varepsilon_{i}\right|=1$, such that $u\left(g_{i}, \varepsilon_{i} g_{i}\right)=\left|u\left(g_{i}, g_{i}\right)\right|$; put $h_{i}=\varepsilon_{i} g_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$. Then it turns out that (18) becomes an immediate consequence of (15) in view of $\|u\|_{G^{\prime}}=1$. This being established, it is trivial to verify $P(0)=0$ by taking $g_{i}$ as 0.
Ad iv). This is also a consequence of (15).
The above mentionned properties i), ii) and iii) mean that $P(f)$ is subadditive. We are now in a position to apply the Hahn-Banach extention theorem. Hence there exists a linear functional $\mu_{1}$ on $E_{R}$, which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}(f) \leqq P(f) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From iv) it follows that for $f \in E_{R}$

$$
-\|f\| \leqq-P(-f) \leqq \mu_{1}(f) \leqq P(f) \leqq\|f\| .
$$

Moreover, by (17) and (19) we have

$$
\mu_{1}\left(-|f|^{2}\right) \leqq\left\|-|f|^{2}+|f|^{2}\right\|-|u(f, f)|,
$$

for any $f \in E$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(f, f)| \leqq \mu_{1}\left(|f|^{2}\right) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

(20) and (21) imply that $\mu_{1}$ induces a positive measure $\mu$ on $M$, satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|\mu\| \leqq 1 \\
|u(f, f)| \leqq \int|f|^{2} d \mu
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, $\mu$ gives a required measure.
Now, we go on the second part of Theorem; we further assume that $u$ is positive. It should be noted that in case $E=C_{0}(M)$, the conditions (11), (12) and (13) mean that the unit sphere of $E \stackrel{\leftrightarrow t}{\otimes} E$ is the closure of the elements

$$
\left\{\Sigma f_{\imath} \otimes g_{i} ; \Sigma\left|f_{i}\right|^{2} \leqq 1, \Sigma\left|g_{i}\right|^{2} \leqq 1\right\},
$$

the index $i$ running over $\{1, \cdots, n\}, n$ arbitrary. Therefore for any $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}-$ form $u$ on $E \times E$ we have

$$
\|u\|_{q^{\prime}}=\sup \left\{\Sigma\left|u\left(f_{i}, g_{i}\right)\right| ; \Sigma\left|f_{i}\right|^{2} \leqq 1, \Sigma\left|g_{i}\right|^{2} \leqq 1\right\}
$$

On the other hand, as $u$ is positive, by the successive application of Schwarz's inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|u\left(f_{i}, g_{i}\right)\right| & \leqq \sum_{i=1}^{n} u\left(f_{i}, f_{i}\right)^{1 / 2} u\left(g_{i}, g_{i}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} u\left(f_{i}, f_{i}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} u\left(g_{i}, g_{i}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this it follows directly that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{g^{\prime}}=\sup \left\{\Sigma u\left(f_{t}, f_{i}\right) ; \Sigma\left|f_{\imath}\right|^{2} \leqq 1\right\} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mu$ satisfies

$$
u(f, f) \leqq j|f|^{2} d \mu
$$

we have

$$
\Sigma u\left(f_{i}, f_{i}\right) \leqq \Sigma \mu\left(\left|f_{i}\right|^{2}\right)=\mu\left(\Sigma\left|f_{i}\right|^{2}\right)
$$

Accordingly by (22) we obtain

$$
\|u\|_{G_{i^{\prime}}} \leqq\|\mu\| .
$$

This, together with the converse inequality obtained in the first part, yields $\|u\|_{S^{\prime}}=\|\mu\|$, which completes the proof.

## 3. Consequences.

For a positive measure $\mu$ on $M$, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\mu}(f, g)=\int f \bar{g} d \mu ; \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

$v_{\mu}$ is clearly a Hermitian form on $C_{0}(M) \times C_{0}(M)$. Theorem 5 shows that for any $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$-from $u$ on $C_{0}(M) \times C_{0}(M)$, there exists a positive measure $\mu$ such that $|u(f, f)| \leqq v_{\mu}(f, f)$ and $\|\mu\| \leqq\|u\|_{g^{\prime}}$. In case where $u$ is Hermitian, this is expressible as follows:

$$
-v_{\mu} \ll u \ll v_{\mu}, \quad \text { with }\|\mu\| \leqq\|u\|_{\mathscr{H}^{\prime}}
$$

Further, it holds $\|\mu\|=\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\prime}}$, when $u$ is positive. Observe that by (23) we can also write $v_{\mu}$ as a weak integral on the unit sphere $B$ of $E^{\prime}$ such that

$$
v_{\mu}=\int_{M} \varepsilon_{x} \otimes \bar{\varepsilon}_{x} d \mu(x)
$$

where $\varepsilon_{x}$ and $\bar{\varepsilon}_{x}$ denote the Dirac measure at $x$ in $C_{0}(M)$ and $\overline{C_{0}(M)}$, respectively, $M$ being regarded as a subset of $B$. This in turn implies that $v_{\mu}$ is an integral operator [1].

These results can be immediately extended to general cases according to the following considerations. Let $E$ be a Banach space and assume that $E$ is imbedded into a $C_{0}(M) . \mathcal{H}$ being injective, $E \otimes{ }_{\otimes}^{\mathscr{G}} E$ is regarded as a closed subspace of $C_{0}(M) \stackrel{\mathscr{}}{\otimes} C_{0}(M)$. Let $u$ be any given $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$-form on $E \times E$. By the Hahn-Banach extension theorem, $u$ is extended in a norm-preserving way to an $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$-form $\tilde{u}$ on $C_{0}(M) \times C_{0}(M)$, to which the results mentioned above can be just applied. Then, it is easy to see that the restriction of $\tilde{u}$ to $E \times E$ allows us to formulate its results in terms of $u$ as follows:

Theorem 6. Let $E$ be a Banach space and let $u$ be a sesquilinear $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$-form on $E \times E$. Then there exists a positive Hermitian integral form $v$ such that

$$
|u(x, x)| \leqq v(x, x)
$$

$v$ admits an expression as a weak integral on the unit sphere $B$ of $E^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\int_{B} x^{\prime} \otimes \bar{x}^{\prime} d \mu\left(x^{\prime}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ is a positive measure on $B$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mu\| \leqq\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\prime}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence, if $u$ is a Hermitian $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$-form on $E \times E$, then there exists a $v$ with the expression (24) ( $\mu$ satisfying (25)), such that

$$
-v \ll u \ll v
$$

In a special case where $u$ is positive, the equality holds in (25).
We shall again consider Thorem 5. Making use of the notations there, we find that for a Hermitian $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$-form $u$ on $C_{0}(M) \times C_{0}(M)$ (14) means

$$
|u(f, g)| \leqq\left(\int|f|^{2} d \mu\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int|g|^{2} d \mu\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Accordingly, by the continuity $u$ is uniquely extensible to a form on $L^{2}(\mu)$ $\times L^{2}(\mu)$ with the norm $\leqq 1$.

We proceed to generalize this result to a sesquilinear $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$-form on $C_{0}(M)$ $\times C_{0}(N)$, where $M$ and $N$ denote locally compact spaces. Put $R=M+N$ (topological union). $M \times N$ are canonically imbedded into $P \times P$, so that $C_{0}(M) \times C_{0}(N)$ is regarded as a subspace of $C_{0}(P) \times C_{0}(P)$. Define $U$ by

$$
U\left(f+g, f^{\prime}+g^{\prime}\right)=u\left(f, g^{\prime}\right)+u\left(f^{\prime}, g\right) \quad\left(f, f^{\prime} \in C_{0}(M), g, g^{\prime} \in C_{0}(N)\right)
$$

It is clear that $U$ is a Hermitian form on $C_{0}(P) \times C_{0}(P)$ and the restriction of $U$ to $M \times N$ is nothing but $u$. $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ being projective, we have

$$
\|U\|_{\mathscr{S}^{\prime}} \leqq 2\|u\|_{\mathcal{S}^{\prime}} .
$$

Apply the arguments in the preceding paragraph to $U$, we know that there exist positive measures $\mu$ on $M$ and $\nu$ on $N$ such that

$$
\|\mu+\nu\|=\|\mu\|+\|\nu\| \leqq\|U\|_{\vartheta^{\prime}} ;
$$

furthermore, $U$ is uniquely extended to a form on $L^{2}(\mu+\nu) \times L^{2}(\mu+\nu)$ with the norm $\leqq 1$. By the definition of $U$, these properties however remain true, even when $\mu$ is replaced by $\alpha \mu$ and $\nu$ by $\nu / \alpha(\alpha>0)$. Hence, as is easily seen, we may assume that $\mu$ and $\nu$ are chosen so as to satisfy

$$
\|\mu\|,\|\nu\| \leqq\|u\|_{t}
$$

Therefore we obtain
Let $u$ be a sesquilinear $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}-$ form on $C_{0}(M) \times C_{0}(N)$. Then there exist positive measures $\mu$ on $M$ and $\nu$ on $N$ such that $u$ is uniquely extended to a form on $L^{2}(\mu) \times L^{2}(\nu)$ with the norm $\leqq 1$; besides

$$
\|\mu\|,\|\nu\| \leqq\|u\|_{\mathscr{S}} .
$$

This implies that $u$ is a Hilbertian form and $\|u\|_{\mathscr{q}} \leqq\|u\|_{q^{\prime}}$. In order to state this result in a more general form, we consider Banach spaces $E$ and $F$. Assume that $E$ is imbedded into $C_{0}(M)$ and $F$ into $C_{0}(N)$. Then any $\mathscr{H}^{\prime}$-form $u$ on $E \times F$ is extended in a norm-preserving way to an $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$-form $\tilde{u}$ on $C_{0}(M) \times C_{0}(N)$. By the above, we have $\|\tilde{u}\|_{\mathscr{G}} \leqq\|\tilde{u}\|_{\mathscr{I}^{\prime}}=\|u\|_{\mathscr{G}^{\prime}}$, which in turn implies that $\|u\|_{\mathscr{G}} \leqq\|u\|_{\mathscr{s}^{\prime}}$.

Thus we finally arrived at the following theorem:

## Theorem 7. We have $\mathcal{H} \leqq \mathcal{H}^{\prime}$.

As stated in Introduction, this result is somewhat better than that due to Grothendieck ([3], § 3, Proposition 3).
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    1) Notation $V$ has the same meaning as $\uparrow$ which is used in [1].
    2) $B(E, F)$ denotes the Banach space consisting of all the continuons bilinear forms on $E \times F$ with the bilinear norm.
[^1]:    3) $R$ and $C$ denote the real number field and complex number field, respectively.
[^2]:    4) $L(E ; F)$ denote the Banach space consisting of all the continuous linear mappings from $E$ into $F$ with the usual norm.
    5) As to the notion " metrically accesible", see [1], Chap. 1, Def. 10.
