

ON THE SINGULARITIES OF THE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + f(x, y)\frac{dy}{dx} + g(x, y) = P(x)$$

By Shohei SUGIYAMA

(Comm. by Y. Komatu)

§ 1

1. In this section we shall consider the differential equation

$$(1) \quad \frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + f(y)\frac{dy}{dx} + g(y) = P(x),$$

where $f(y)$ and $g(y)$ are polynomials of degree n and m respectively, i.e.,

$$f(y) = \alpha y^n + \beta y^{n-1} + \dots + \gamma, \\ \alpha \neq 0$$

and

$$g(y) = \alpha y^m + \beta y^{m-1} + \dots + \gamma, \\ \alpha \neq 0$$

and $P(x)$ is a regular and single-valued function of x in certain neighborhood D of x^* on the x -plane. If we put $dy/dx = z$, we have a simultaneous equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dy}{dx} = z \\ \frac{dz}{dx} = P(x) - f(y)z - g(y). \end{cases}$$

Since the right hand side of it is regular in certain domain containing (x^*, y^*, z^*) in virtue of the hypotheses, there exists the one and only one regular solution through the point (x^*, y^*, z^*) . If we continue the solution along a curve C , we may encounter a singular point or tend to the point at infinity. Hence the analytic continuation carries out a problem of singularities. In the sequel we shall exclusively consider a problem of isolated singularities which will appear as essential singu-

larities, poles or branch points. And we always exclude the cases where $n = 0$ and $m = 0$ or 1.

We suppose that we can continue a solution $y = y(x)$ of (1) along any curve C up to a point x_0 , but not beyond it. Further we suppose that, if we approach to x_0 along C , $y = y(x)$ tends to ∞ . Then, the point $x = x_0$ is an isolated singularity and it may be a branch point. Then, we make a change of variable $x - x_0 = t^k$ if x_0 is finite and $x = t^{-k}$ if $x_0 = \infty$, where k is a positive integer not equal to zero and t is a local parameter which uniformize the solution in a neighborhood of x_0 . Then, it follows from the equation (1) that

$$(2) \quad \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + \left(kt^{k-1}f(y) - \frac{k-1}{t}\right)\frac{dy}{dt} + k^2t^{2(k-1)}g(y) = k^2t^{2(k-1)}P(x_0+t^k)$$

if $x - x_0 = t^k$, and

$$(3) \quad \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{k+1}{t} - \frac{kf(y)}{t^{k+1}}\right)\frac{dy}{dt} + \frac{k^2g(y)}{t^{2(k+1)}} = \frac{k^2P(t^{-k})}{t^{2(k+1)}}$$

if $x = t^{-k}$. According to the hypotheses, the solution of (2) is of the form

$$(4) \quad y = \sum_{v=-r}^{\infty} a_v t^v, \\ a_r \neq 0, \quad r \geq 1.$$

Substituting (4) into (2), we obtain

$$\frac{r(r+1)a_r}{t^{r+2}} + \dots$$

$$(5) + \frac{(k-1)ra_r}{t^{r+2}} - \frac{kra_r^{n+1}}{t^{nr+r+2-k}} + \dots$$

$$+ \frac{k^2 a_r^m}{t^{mr-2k+2}} + \dots = k^2 t^{2(k-1)} P(x_0+t^k).$$

In order to determine the highest negative power in (5), we put

$$A = r + 2, \quad B = nr + r + 2 - k,$$

$$C = mr - 2k + 2.$$

Then,

$$B - A = nr - k,$$

$$B - C = (n - m + 1)r + k.$$

i) If $n \geq m - 1$, i.e., if $B > C$, we must have $B = A$, i.e., $k = nr$ since the right hand side of (5) is regular at $t = 0$. We shall show that $r = 1$ and $k = n$ if $n \geq m - 1$. In fact, since $B > C$, we have

$$t^C \left(\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} - \frac{k-1}{t} \frac{dy}{dt} + kt^{k-1} f(y) \right) + O(t) = 0.$$

in the neighborhood of $t = 0$. Then, the circumstances of the singularity $t = 0$ are equivalent to the equation

$$t^C \left(\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} - \frac{k-1}{t} \frac{dy}{dt} + kt^{k-1} f(y) \right) = 0.$$

Dividing this equation by $k^2 t^{2(k-1)}$ and returning to the original variables, we have

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + f(y) \frac{dy}{dx} = 0.$$

Integrating this equation and choosing a suitable branch, we obtain a solution

$$y = \sum_{\nu=-1}^{\infty} a'_\nu (x-x_0)^{\frac{\nu}{n}},$$

$$a'_1 \neq 0,$$

from which we obtain $k = n$ and $r = 1$.

If k is not equal to a multiple of n , the following two cases will occur.

ii) $B = C > A$. By multiplying t^A , we obtain

$$t^A \left(kt^{k-1} f(y) \frac{dy}{dt} + k^2 t^{2(k-1)} g(y) \right) + O(t) = 0.$$

Then, returning to the original variables, the above equation is equivalent to

$$\frac{f(y)}{x} \frac{dy}{dx} + g(y) = 0$$

at $x = x_0$. If $m > n + 1$, integrating this equation, we have

$$y = \sum_{\nu=-1}^{\infty} a'_\nu (x-x_0)^{\frac{2\nu}{m-k-1}},$$

$$a'_1 \neq 0.$$

Hence, we obtain $k = m - n - 1$ and $r = 2$ if $m - n - 1$ is odd and $k = (m - n - 1)/2$ and $r = 1$ if $m - n - 1$ is even.

iii) $A = C > B$. By multiplying t^B , we obtain

$$t^B \left(\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} - \frac{k-1}{t} \frac{dy}{dt} + k^2 t^{2(k-1)} g(y) \right) + O(t) = 0.$$

Then, returning to the original variables, we obtain

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + g(y) = 0$$

at $x = x_0$. This equation corresponds to the case $n = 0$ and $a = 0$. Integrating this equation we have a solution

$$y = \sum_{\nu=-1}^{\infty} a'_\nu (x-x_0)^{\frac{2\nu}{m-1}},$$

$$a'_1 \neq 0.$$

Hence, we have $k = (m - 1)/2$ and $r = 1$ if m is odd and $k = m - 1$ and $r = 2$ if m is even. Then, we have the following

Theorem 1. We suppose that in the equation (1) $f(y)$ and $g(y)$ are the polynomials of degree n and m respectively and $P(x)$ is a regular and single-valued function in a certain domain D . Further we suppose that we can continue analytically a solution of (1) up to a finite point x_0 along any curve from a point, at which the solution is regular, but not beyond x_0 . If the solution tends to ∞ as we approach to x_0 , there exists a solution of (1) of such a form that

$$i) \quad y = \sum_{\nu=-1}^{\infty} a_{\nu} (x-x_0)^{\frac{\nu}{n}}$$

if $n \geq m - 1$,

$$ii) \quad y = \sum_{\nu=-1}^{\infty} a_{\nu} (x-x_0)^{\frac{2\nu}{m-n-1}}$$

if $n < m - 1$,

Remark: If $P(x)$ is accidentally uniformized by a local parameter t , it is unnecessary that $P(x)$ is regular and single-valued. That is, $P(x)$ may be multiple-valued and may have $x = x_0$ or $x = \infty$ as a pole or branch point. In the following theorems, this remark will remain valid.

2. Now, we consider the case, $k = 1$, that is, $x = x_0$ is a pole, but not a branch point. Then, we have

$$B - A = nr - 1,$$

$$B - C = (n - m + 1)r + 1.$$

By the same reason as above, we have the following

Theorem 2. In order that x_0 is not a branch point, but a pole, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$i) \quad \begin{cases} n \geq 2 \\ m = n + 2 \end{cases}$$

$$ii) \quad \begin{cases} n = 1 \\ m = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \end{cases}$$

$$iii) \quad \begin{cases} n = 0 \\ m = 2, 3 \end{cases}$$

§ 2

In this section we consider the equation

$$(6) \quad \frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + \frac{f(y)}{x} \frac{dy}{dx} + g(y) = P(x)$$

and

$$(7) \quad \frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + \frac{f(y)}{x} \frac{dy}{dx} + \frac{g(y)}{x^2} = P(x).$$

We suppose that the hypotheses in § 1 concerning $f(y)$, $g(y)$ and $P(x)$ are satisfied. Then, there exists a solution regular and single-valued in certain neighborhood of $x = 0$ with an exception of $x = 0$.

1. At the outset, we suppose that $x = 0$ is not a branch point, but a pole of the solution. Then, the solution is of the form

$$(8) \quad y = \sum_{\nu=-r}^{\infty} a_{\nu} x^{\nu},$$

$$a_{-r} \neq 0, \quad r \geq 1.$$

Substituting (8) into (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{r(r+1)a_{-r}}{x^{r+2}} + \dots \\ & - \frac{r a_{-r}^{n+1}}{x^{nr+r+2}} + \dots \\ & + \frac{\alpha a_{-r}^m}{x^{mr}} + \dots = P(x). \end{aligned}$$

As in § 1, we put

$$A = r + 2, \quad B = nr + r + 2,$$

$$C = mr.$$

Then, we have

$$B - A = nr,$$

$$B - C = 2 + (n + 1 - m)r.$$

We distinguish two cases:

i) $B - A = 0$. Then, we have $n = 0$ since $r \geq 1$. It follows from $B - C \geq 0$ that $2 + (1 - m)r \geq 0$, i.e., $(m - 1)r \leq 2$.

Hence we have

$$\begin{cases} m = 2 \\ r = 1 \end{cases}, \quad \begin{cases} m = 2 \\ r = 2 \end{cases}, \quad \begin{cases} m = 3 \\ r = 1 \end{cases}.$$

ii) $B - A > 0$. Then, $n \geq 1$, $r \geq 1$ and $B - C = 0$, i.e., $(m - n - 1)r = 2$. Then, it is necessary that $m - n - 1 > 0$ in order that $x = 0$ is a pole. Since $r \geq 1$, we obtain

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} m = n + 2 \\ r = 2 \end{array} \right. , \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} m = n + 3 \\ r = 1 \end{array} \right. .$$

iii) If $n > 0$, $n = m - 1$ and r is finite, we have $n = 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $r = \infty$ if $n > 0$ and $n = m - 1$, that is, $x = 0$ is an essential singularity.

Theorem 3. In order that in the equation (6) $x = 0$ is not a branch point, but a pole, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$i) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} n = 0 \\ m = 2 \end{array} \right. , \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} n = 0 \\ m = 2 \end{array} \right. , \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} n = 0 \\ m = 3 \end{array} \right. .$$

$$ii) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} n > 0 \\ m = n + 2 \end{array} \right. , \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} n > 0 \\ m = n + 3 \end{array} \right. .$$

Corollary 1. If $n > 0$ and $n = m - 1$, $x = 0$ is an essential singularity.

Corollary 2. If $n > 0$ and $n > m - 1$, $x = 0$ is a regular point.

2. We suppose that $x = 0$ is a branch point. If we make a change of variable $x = t^k$, where k is a positive integer and t is a local parameter which uniformize the solution of (6), the equation (6) leads to

$$(9) \quad \frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} + \frac{k f(y) - k + 1}{t} \frac{dy}{dt} + k^2 t^{2(k-1)} g(y) = k^2 t^{2(k-1)} P(t^k).$$

The solution are supposed to be of the form

$$y = \sum_{v=-r}^{\infty} a_v t^v, \quad a_{-r} \neq 0, \quad r \geq 1.$$

Substituting it into (9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{r(r+1)a_{-r}}{t^{r+2}} + \dots \\ & - \frac{(k-1)a_{-r}}{t^{r+2}} + \frac{k a_{-r}^{n+1}}{t^{nr+r+2}} + \dots \\ & + \frac{k^2 a_{-r}^m}{t^{mr-2k+2}} + \dots = k^2 t^{2(k-1)} P(t^k). \end{aligned}$$

As in § 1, we put

$$A = r + 2, \quad B = nr + r + 2, \\ C = mr - 2k + 2.$$

Then,

$$B - A = nr,$$

$$B - C = (n - m + 1)r + 2k.$$

i) $B - A > 0$, i.e., $n \geq 1$. Then, we have $B - C = 0$,

$$2k = (m - n - 1)r.$$

Since $k \geq 1$, we obtain $m > n + 1$. We shall show that $r = 1$ or 2 . In fact, multiplying t^A , we obtain

$$t^A \left(\frac{k f(y)}{t} \frac{dy}{dt} + k^2 t^{2(k-1)} g(y) \right) + O(t) = 0.$$

Then, the circumstances of the singularity $t = 0$ are equivalent to the equation

$$t^A \left(\frac{k f(y)}{t} \frac{dy}{dt} + k^2 t^{2(k-1)} g(y) \right) = 0.$$

Returning to the original variables, we obtain

$$\frac{f(y)}{x} \frac{dy}{dx} + g(y) = 0.$$

Integrating this equation, there exists a solution such that

$$y = \sum_{v=-1}^{\infty} a'_v x^{\frac{2v}{m-n-1}}$$

$$a'_v \neq 0.$$

Hence, we obtain $k = (m - n - 1)/2$ and $r = 1$ if $m - n - 1$ is even and $k = m - n - 1$ and $r = 2$ if $m - n - 1$ is odd.

If $n \geq 1$ and $m \leq n + 1$, then there exists no solutions having the point $x = 0$ as a pole or branch point.

ii) $B - A = 0$, i.e., $n = 0$. Then, $B \geq C$. If $f(y) \equiv a \neq 0$, we consider the equation

$$\frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} + \frac{a}{x} \frac{dy}{dx} + g(y) = P(x).$$

This equation may have a logarithmic branch point at $x=0$ if we choose a suitable a .

If $a=0$, i.e., if $f(y) \equiv 0$, we consider the equation

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + g(y) = P(x).$$

Then, there exists a solution of such a form that

$$y = \sum_{\nu=-1}^{\infty} a_{\nu} x^{\frac{2\nu}{m-1}},$$

$$a_{-1} \neq 0.$$

Hence, we have $k = (m-1)/2$ and $r = 1$ if m is odd and $k = m-1$ and $r = 2$ if m is even.

Theorem 4. In order that in the equation (6) $x=0$ is a branch point, it is necessary and sufficient that $m > n+1$. Then, there exists a solution of such a form that

$$y = \sum_{\nu=-1}^{\infty} a_{\nu} x^{\frac{2\nu}{m-n-1}},$$

$$a_{-1} \neq 0.$$

if $n > 0$.

If $n=0$ and $f(y) \neq 0$, $x=0$ may be a logarithmic branch point.

If $n=0$ and $f(y) \equiv 0$, there exists a solution of such a form that

$$y = \sum_{\nu=-1}^{\infty} a_{\nu} x^{\frac{2\nu}{m-1}},$$

$$a_{-1} \neq 0.$$

Corollary 1. If $n > 0$ and $n = m-1$, $x=0$ is an essential singularity.

Corollary 2. If $n > 0$ and $n > m-1$, $t=0$ is a regular point.

3. We consider the equation (7). If we make a change of variable $x = \exp t$, we have

$$(10) \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + (f(y)-1) \frac{dy}{dt} + g(y) = e^{2t} P(e^t).$$

Hence, we can apply the same method in §1 to the equation (10) and obtain

the analogous results. If we return to the original equation (6), there exists a logarithmic branch point.

§ 3

In this section we shall consider the properties at the point at infinity.

1. Since the point at infinity $x = \infty$ corresponds to the point $t = 0$ by $x = t^{-k}$, the properties of $x = \infty$ are reduced to those of $t = 0$. We consider the equation

$$(11) \frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + f(y) \frac{dy}{dx} + g(y) = 0.$$

By making use of a change of variable $x = t^{-k}$, we have

$$(12) \frac{d^2y}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{k+1}{t} - \frac{k f(y)}{t^{k+1}} \right) \frac{dy}{dt} + \frac{k^2 g(y)}{t^{2(k+1)}} = 0.$$

We suppose that we can analytically continue a solution from a regular point along any curve C up to $t=0$, but not beyond it, and if we approach to $t=0$ along C the solution tends to ∞ . Then, $t=0$ will be a pole. We suppose the solution is of such a form that

$$(13) y = \sum_{\nu=-r}^{\infty} a_{\nu} t^{\nu},$$

$$a_{-r} \neq 0, \quad r \geq 1.$$

Substituting (13) into (12), we obtain

$$\frac{r(r+2) a_{-r}}{t^{k+2}} + \dots$$

$$- \frac{r(k+1) a_r}{t^{k+2}} + \frac{r k a_{-r}}{t^{nr+r+2+k}} + \dots$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha k^2 a_{-r}^m}{t^{mr+2k+2}} + \dots = 0.$$

As in § 1, we put

$$A = r+2, \quad B = nr+r+2+k,$$

$$C = mr+2k+2.$$

Then,

$$B - A = nr + k,$$

$$B - C = (n - m + 1)r - k.$$

Since $k \geq 1$, we have $B - C = 0$, i.e., $k = (n - m + 1)r$, which shows that $n > m - 1$ is necessary in order that $t = 0$ is to be a pole. By multiplying t^A , we obtain

$$t^A \left(-\frac{kf(y)}{t^{k+1}} \frac{dy}{dt} + \frac{k^2 g(y)}{t^{2(k+1)}} \right) + O(1) = 0.$$

Hence, the circumstances at $t = 0$ is equivalent to the equation

$$t^A \left(-\frac{kf(y)}{t^{k+1}} \frac{dy}{dt} + \frac{k^2 g(y)}{t^{2(k+1)}} \right) = 0.$$

Returning to the original variables, we obtain

$$f(y) \frac{dy}{dt} + g(y) = 0.$$

Integrating this equation, we have the solution of the form

$$y = \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^1 a_{\nu} x^{\frac{\nu}{n-m+1}},$$

$$a_1 \neq 0.$$

which shows $k = n - m + 1$ and $r = 1$. Hence, if $t = 0$ is not a branch point, but a pole, it is necessary and sufficient that $n = m$.

Theorem 5. We suppose that in the equation (10) the hypotheses in § 1 concerning $f(y)$ and $g(y)$ are satisfied. Further we suppose that we can analytically continue a solution along any curve C up to $x = \infty$ and the solution tends to ∞ if we approach to $x = \infty$ along C . Then, it is necessary and sufficient $n > m - 1$ in order that $x = \infty$ is not an essential singularity, but a pole or branch point.

If $n > m - 1$, there exists a solution of such a form that

$$y = \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^1 a_{\nu} x^{\frac{\nu}{n-m+1}},$$

$$a_1 \neq 0.$$

Corollary 1. If $x = \infty$ is not a branch point, but a pole, it is necessary and sufficient that $n = m$.

Corollary 2. If $n = m - 1$, there exists a solution having $x = \infty$ as an essential singularity.

Corollary 3. If $n < m - 1$, $t = 0$ is a regular point.

2. Now, we consider the equation

$$(14) \quad \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} + \frac{f(y)}{x} \frac{dy}{dx} + g(y) = 0.$$

By making use of a change of variable $x = t^{-k}$, we have

$$(15) \quad \frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} + \frac{k+1-kf(y)}{t} \frac{dy}{dt} + \frac{k^2 g(y)}{t^{2(k+1)}} = 0.$$

If a solution is of such a form that

$$y = \sum_{\nu=-r}^{\infty} a_{\nu} t^{\nu},$$

$$a_{-r} \neq 0, \quad r \geq 1,$$

we substitute it into (15) and obtain, as in § 1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{r(r+1)a_{-r}}{t^{r+2}} + \dots \\ & - \frac{r(k+1)a_{-r}}{t^{r+2}} - \frac{ark a_{-r}^{n+1}}{t^{nr+r+2}} + \dots \\ & + \frac{ak^2 a_{-r}^m}{t^{mr+2k+2}} + \dots = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As in § 1, we put

$$A = r + 2, \quad B = nr + r + 2,$$

$$C = mr + 2k + 2.$$

Then,

$$B - A = nr,$$

$$B - C = (n - m + 1)r - 2k.$$

i) $B - A > 0$, i.e., $n \geq 1$, $r \geq 1$. Then, $(n - m + 1)r = 2k$. Hence it is necessary that $n > m - 1$ since $k > 0$. By multiplying t^A , we have

$$t^A \left(-\frac{kf(y)}{t} \frac{dy}{dt} + \frac{k^2 g(y)}{t^{2(k+1)}} \right) + O(1) = 0.$$

Returning to the original variables, the circumstances at $x = \infty$ is equivalent to

$$\frac{f(y)}{x} \frac{dy}{dx} + g(y) = 0.$$

Integrating this equation, we obtain

$$y = \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^1 a_{\nu} x^{\frac{2\nu}{n-m+1}},$$

$$a_{\nu} \neq 0.$$

Hence, we have $k = (n - m + 1)/2$ and $r = 1$ if $n - m + 1$ is even, and $k = n - m + 1$ and $r = 2$ if $n - m + 1$ is odd.

ii) $B - A = 0$, i.e., $n = 0$ and $r \geq 1$. Then, $B \geq C$, i.e., $2k \leq (1 - m)x$. Since $k \geq 1$ and $r \geq 1$, we obtain $m = 0$, the case which we exclude.

Theorem 6. We suppose that the hypotheses in Theorem 5 are satisfied. Then, it is necessary and sufficient that $n > m - 1$. Then, there exists a solution of such a form that

$$y = \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^1 a_{\nu} x^{\frac{2\nu}{n-m+1}},$$

$$a_{\nu} \neq 0.$$

Corollary 1. If $n = m - 1$, $x = \infty$ is an essential singularity.

Corollary 2. If $n < m - 1$, $t = 0$ is a regular point.

3. We consider the case $k = 1$, that is, $x = \infty$ is not a branch point, but a pole. Then,

$$i) \quad n > 0, \quad (n - m + 1)r = 2.$$

Since r is a positive integer larger than 0, we have

$$\begin{cases} n = m \\ r = 2, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} n = m + 1 \\ r = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$ii) \quad n = 0, \text{ i.e., } (m - 1)r \leq 2.$$

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} m = 2 \\ r = 1, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} m = 2 \\ r = 2, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} m = 3 \\ r = 1. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 7. In order that $x = \infty$ is not a branch point, but a pole, it is necessary and sufficient that the following relations hold goods:

$$i) \quad \begin{cases} n > 0 \\ n = m, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} n > 0 \\ n = m + 1 \end{cases}$$

$$ii) \quad \begin{cases} n = 0 \\ m = 2, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} n = 0 \\ m = 2, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} n = 0 \\ m = 3. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 1. If $n = m - 1$, $x = \infty$ is an essential singularity.

Corollary 2. If $n < m - 1$, $t = 0$ is a regular point.

Department of Mathematics,
Waseda University.

(*) Received December 13, 1954.