

## ON THE OVERCONVERGENCE AND SINGULARITIES OF LAPLACE-TRANSFORMS

By Chuji TANAKA

(Communicated by Y. Komatu)

(1) THEOREM I. Let  $d(x)$  be of bounded variation in any finite interval  $0 \leq x \leq X$ ,  $X$  being arbitrary. Put

$$(1.1) \quad F(s) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-sx) d(x)$$

$$(s = \sigma + it, \quad d(0) = 0)$$

In this present Note, we shall discuss the relation between the overconvergence and singularities of (1.1). We shall begin with

THEOREM I. Let  $F(s)$  be simply convergent for  $\sigma > 0$ . If  $d(x) = 0$  on  $x \in (\lambda_n, \tau_n)$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) with  $\tau_{n-1} < \lambda_n < \tau_n$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n / \lambda_n > 1$ , then in the sufficiently small neighbourhood of the regular point on  $\sigma=0$ , the sequence of partial sums  $\sum_{\nu=1}^n \exp(-s\lambda_\nu) d(\lambda_\nu)$  ( $\nu=1, 2, \dots$ ) is uniformly convergent, i.e.,  $\sum_{\nu=1}^n \exp(-s\lambda_\nu) d(\lambda_\nu)$  ( $\tau_n=0$ ) is uniformly convergent.

If we apply Theorem 1 to Dirichlet series, putting  $d(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n x^{-\lambda_n}$  ( $0 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ ), we get easily

COROLLARY I (A. Ostrowski), ([1], [2] p.39). Let  $F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n \exp(-\lambda_n s)$  be simply convergent for  $\sigma > 0$ . If in  $\{\lambda_n\}$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{\lambda_{n_\nu}\}$  with  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n_\nu} / \lambda_{n_{\nu-1}} > 1$ , then the sequence of partial sums  $\sum_{\nu=1}^n a_{n_\nu} \exp(-\lambda_{n_\nu} s)$  ( $\nu=1, 2, \dots$ ) is uniformly convergent in the sufficiently small neighbourhood of the regular point on  $\sigma=0$ .

(2) LEMMA. For the proof of Theorem 1, we need next Lemma.

LEMMA. Let (1.1) be simply convergent for  $\sigma > 0$ . Then, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{1}{y} \log |R_y(s)| < -\sigma + E_y(s),$$

where (i)  $R_y(s) = F(s) - S_y(s)$ ,  $S_y(s) = \int_0^y \exp(-sx) d(x)$ ,

(ii)  $\mathcal{P}$ : bounded domain, in which  $F(s)$  is regular.

(iii)  $E_y(s) \rightarrow 0$  as  $y \rightarrow +\infty$  uniformly in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Proof. Put  $\eta = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_0 + \sigma_0^2)$ ,  $(0 < \sigma_0 < 1)$ ,  $M = \max_{s \in \mathcal{P}} |F(s)|$ . By Lemma 1 of the previous Note ([3]),

$$(2.2) \quad |R_y(s)| \leq M + |S_y(s)|$$

$$< M + K(\sigma_0) \exp(-(\sigma - \sigma_0)y) \left\{ 1 + \frac{d(\sigma_0, \eta)}{\sigma_0 - \eta} \right\},$$

where (i)  $d(\sigma_0, \eta) = \max_{s \in \mathcal{P}} |s - \sigma_0|$ ,

$$(ii) |S_y(\sigma_0)| < K(\sigma_0) \quad (0 \leq y < +\infty)$$

Since  $\sigma - \sigma_0 < 0$ , for sufficiently large  $y$ , by (2.2) we get

$$\frac{1}{y} \log |R_y(s)| < 2K(\sigma_0) \exp(-(\sigma - \sigma_0)y) \left\{ 1 + \frac{d(\sigma_0, \eta)}{\sigma_0 - \eta} \right\}$$

Hence

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{y} \log |R_y(s)| < -\sigma + \sigma_0 + \frac{1}{y} C_1(\sigma_0, \eta, \mathcal{P})$$

where  $C_1 = \log \left\{ 2K(\sigma_0) \left( 1 + \frac{d(\sigma_0, \eta)}{\sigma_0 - \eta} \right) \right\}$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 2 of the previous Note ([3]),

$$\left| \int_y^{y'} \exp(-sx) d(x) \right| < \exp(-(\sigma - \sigma_0^2)y) K_1(\sigma_0) \frac{d(\sigma_0^2, \eta)}{\eta - \sigma_0^2},$$

where (i)  $\left| \int_y^{y'} \exp(-\sigma_0^2 x) d(x) \right| < K_1(\sigma_0)$ ,  $y' > y$

$$(ii) d(\sigma_0^2, \eta) = \max_{s \in \mathcal{P}} |s - \sigma_0^2|$$

Letting  $y' \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$|R_y(s)| < \exp(-(\sigma - \sigma_0^2)y) K_1(\sigma_0) \frac{d(\sigma_0^2, \eta)}{\eta - \sigma_0^2},$$

so that

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{1}{y} \log |R_y(s)| < -\sigma + \sigma_0^2 + \frac{1}{y} C_2(\sigma_0, \eta, \mathcal{P})$$

where  $C_2 = \log \left\{ K_1(\sigma_0) \frac{d(\sigma_0^2, \eta)}{\eta - \sigma_0^2} \right\}$ . Finally, by (2.3) and (2.4),

$$\frac{1}{y} \log |R_y(s)| < -\sigma + \sigma_0 + \sigma_0^2 + \frac{1}{y} (C_1 + C_2)$$

Since  $\sigma_0$  is arbitrary, we can put

$$\varepsilon_{\nu}(d) = \sigma_0 + \sigma_0^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} (c_1 + c_2) \quad g \in d.$$

(3) PROOF OF THEOREM I. By the assumption  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \tau_{\nu}/\lambda_{\nu} > 1$ , there exists  $\nu_0 (> 0)$ , such that

$$(3.1) \quad \tau_{\nu}/\lambda_{\nu} > 1 + \nu^0 \quad \text{for } \nu > N(\nu^0)$$

Putting  $\delta_{\nu} = \tau_{\nu} - \varepsilon$  ( $\varepsilon > 0$ ), for sufficiently large  $\nu$ , we have evidently

$$\lambda_{\nu} < \delta_{\nu} < \tau_{\nu}$$

Hence, by Lemma and the assumption

$$dd(x) = 0, \quad x \in (\lambda_{\nu}, \tau_{\nu}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\delta_{\nu}} \log |R_{\delta_{\nu}}(d)| \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta_{\nu}} \log |R_{\lambda_{\nu}}(d)| \\ &< -\sigma' + \varepsilon_{\delta_{\nu}}(d) \end{aligned}$$

In particular

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{\delta_{\nu}} \log |R_{\lambda_{\nu}}(d)| < -\sigma' + \varepsilon_{\delta_{\nu}}(d)$$

Again, by Lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\delta_{\nu}} \log |R_{\lambda_{\nu}}(d)| \\ &< \left(\frac{\lambda_{\nu}}{\delta_{\nu}}\right)(-\sigma') + \left(\frac{\lambda_{\nu}}{\delta_{\nu}}\right) \varepsilon_{\lambda_{\nu}}(d) \\ &< \left(\frac{\lambda_{\nu}}{\tau_{\nu}}\right) \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon/\tau_{\nu}} (-\sigma') + \varepsilon_{\lambda_{\nu}}(d) \\ &< (-\sigma') \frac{1}{1 + \nu^0} \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon/\tau_{\nu}} + \varepsilon_{\lambda_{\nu}}(d) \end{aligned}$$

by (3.1), whence, for sufficiently large  $\nu$ ,

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{1}{\delta_{\nu}} \log |R_{\lambda_{\nu}}(d)| < (-\sigma') \frac{1}{1 + \nu^0/2} + \varepsilon_{\lambda_{\nu}}(d)$$

Let  $\delta_1$  be the regular point on  $\delta' = 0$ , and  $\pi(\delta_1)$  be regular in  $|\delta - \delta_1| \leq \delta'$ . We define the harmonic function  $h(\delta)$  such that

$$(i) \quad h(\delta) = -\sigma' \quad \text{on } |\delta - \delta_1| = \delta', \quad \delta' \neq 0,$$

$$(ii) \quad h(\delta) = (-\sigma') \frac{1}{1 + \nu^0/2} \quad \text{on } |\delta - \delta_1| = \delta', \quad \delta' < 0$$

Hence, we have evidently

$$(3.4) \quad h(\delta) < -\sigma',$$

in particular  $h(\delta_1) < 0$

By (3.2) and (3.3),

$$\frac{1}{\delta_{\nu}} \log |R_{\lambda_{\nu}}(d)|$$

$$< h(\delta) + \max\{\varepsilon_{\lambda_{\nu}}(d), \varepsilon_{\tau_{\nu}}(d)\},$$

whence, by (3.4), in the sufficiently small neighbourhood of  $\delta_1$ , we can put

$$\frac{1}{\delta_{\nu}} \log |R_{\lambda_{\nu}}(d)| < \log \rho \quad (0 < \rho < 1),$$

so that

$$|R_{\lambda_{\nu}}(d)| < \exp(-\delta_{\nu} \log(\frac{1}{\rho}))$$

for sufficiently large  $\nu$

Therefore, the sequence of  $S_{\lambda_{\nu}}(d)$  converges uniformly in the neighbourhood of  $\delta_1$ , which is to be proved.

(4) THEOREM II. As an application of Theorem I, we can establish the next gap-theorem of Hadamard's type.

THEOREM II. Under the same conditions as Theorem I, if, for any given  $\varepsilon (> 0)$ ,  $\int_{\tau_{\nu}}^{\lambda} |dd(x)| = o(\exp(-\varepsilon \lambda_{\nu}))$  ( $\tau_{\nu} \leq \lambda < \lambda_{\nu+1}$ ),  $\sigma = 0$  is the natural boundary for (1.1).

Proof. If there would exist a regular point  $\delta_1$  on  $\delta' = 0$ , by the Theorem I, the sequence of partial sums  $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\lambda_{\nu}} \exp(-\delta x) dd(x)$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ ) would uniformly converge in the neighbourhood of  $\delta_1$ . Hence, in particular, the sequence of  $\int_{\tau_{\nu}}^{\lambda} \exp(\varepsilon x) dd(x)$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon(\delta')$ ) is convergent. For any given  $\lambda (> 0)$ , we can put

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1) \quad & \int_0^{\lambda} \exp(\varepsilon x) dd(x) \\ &= \int_0^{\lambda_{\nu}} \exp(\varepsilon x) dd(x) + \int_{\tau_{\nu}}^{\lambda} \exp(\varepsilon x) dd(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tau_{\nu} \leq \lambda < \lambda_{\nu+1}$  ( $\nu$  large). By the assumption,

$$\left| \int_{\tau_{\nu}}^{\lambda} \exp(\varepsilon x) dd(x) \right| \leq \exp(\varepsilon \lambda_{\nu+1}) \int_{\tau_{\nu}}^{\lambda} |dd(x)| = o(1)$$

Therefore, (4.1) is convergent for  $\delta' = -\varepsilon$ , which is impossible, q.e.d.

From Theorem 2, we get easily

COROLLARY II. Let  $\pi(\delta) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_{\nu} \exp(-\lambda_{\nu} \delta)$  be simply convergent for  $\delta' > 0$ . If  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{\nu+1}/\lambda_{\nu} > 1$ ,  $\delta' = 0$  is the natural boundary for  $\pi(\delta)$ .

In this case, since  $\lambda_{\nu} = \tau_{\nu-1}$ , we have evidently  $\int_{\tau_{\nu}}^{\lambda} |dd(x)| = 0$ ,

( $\tau_{\nu} \leq \lambda < \lambda_{\nu+1}$ ). Hence corollary immediately follows from Theorem 2.

(5) THEOREM III. In this section, we shall prove

THEOREM III. Let (1.1) be simply convergent for  $\delta' > 0$ . If  $dd(x) = 0$  in  $x \in (\lambda_{\nu}, \tau_{\nu})$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$ ) with  $\tau_{\nu-1} < \lambda_{\nu} < \tau_{\nu}$  and

$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \tau_\nu / \lambda_\nu = \infty$ , then the sequence of partial sums  $\int_0^{\lambda_\nu} \exp(-s\lambda) d\alpha(\lambda)$  is convergent uniformly in the wider sense in the existence-domain  $E$  of  $F(\lambda)$

REMARK. Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau_{n-1}}^{\lambda_n} \exp(-s\lambda) d\alpha(\lambda)$  ( $\tau_0 = 0$ ) is convergent uniformly in the wider sense in  $\mathcal{D}$ , by well-known Weierstrass's theorem  $E$  is the simply connected domain.

From Theorem 3 follows next corollary.

COROLLARY III (A.Ostrowski), ([2] p.43, [4]). Let  $F(\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \exp(-\lambda_n \lambda)$  be simply convergent for  $\sigma > 0$ . If in  $\{\lambda_n\}$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{\lambda_{n_\nu}\}$  with  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{1+n_\nu} / \lambda_{n_\nu} = \infty$ , then the sequence of partial sums  $\sum_{n=1}^{\lambda_\nu} a_n \exp(-\lambda_n \lambda)$  is convergent uniformly in the wider sense in the existence-domain of  $F(\lambda)$ .

Proof. By the assumption  $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \tau_\nu / \lambda_\nu = \infty$ , we can put

$$(5.7) \quad (\tau_\nu - \lambda_\nu) > \nu^2 \lambda_\nu, \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \nu^2 \lambda_\nu = +\infty$$

If  $\sigma = 0$  is the natural boundary for  $F(\lambda)$ , Theorem 3 is trivial, so that we can assume that  $E$  contains points with negative real parts. For any given bounded domain  $\mathcal{D} (C \in E)$ , we can surround  $\mathcal{D}$  by the closed analytic curve  $C$ , which is contained in  $E$  and contains points with negative real parts. Let us denote by  $\Delta$  the bounded domain surrounded by  $C$ . By (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda_\nu} \log |R_{\lambda_\nu}(\lambda)| < -\sigma + \varepsilon_{\lambda_\nu}(\lambda) & \lambda \in \Delta, \sigma \neq 0 \\ \frac{1}{\lambda_\nu} \log |R_{\lambda_\nu}(\lambda)| < (-\sigma) \frac{1}{1 + \nu^{1/2}} + \varepsilon_{\lambda_\nu}(\lambda) & \lambda \in \Delta, \sigma < 0 \end{cases}$$

Now, let us define the harmonic function  $h(\lambda)$  such that

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{cases} h(\lambda) = \sigma & \text{on the boundary arc of } C \text{ contained in } \sigma \geq 0, \\ h(\lambda) = 0 & \text{on the boundary arc of } C \text{ contained in } \sigma < 0, \end{cases}$$

so that

$$(5.4) \quad \lim_{\lambda \in \mathcal{D}} m(\lambda) h(\lambda) = m > 0$$

On the other hand, by (5.2) and (5.3),

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\lambda_\nu} \log |R_{\lambda_\nu}(\lambda)| \\ & < (-\sigma) \frac{1}{1 + \nu^{1/2}} - (1 - \frac{1}{1 + \nu^{1/2}}) h(\lambda) \\ & \quad + \max \{ \varepsilon_{\lambda_\nu}(\lambda), \varepsilon_{\lambda_\nu}(\lambda) \} \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, in  $\mathcal{D}$ ,

$$(5.5) \quad \frac{1}{\lambda_\nu} \log |R_{\lambda_\nu}(\lambda)| < \frac{1}{1 + \nu^{1/2}} \{ h(\lambda) - \sigma \} - h(\lambda) + \max \{ \varepsilon_{\lambda_\nu}(\lambda), \varepsilon_{\lambda_\nu}(\lambda) \}$$

Since  $h(\lambda) - \sigma$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{D}$ , by (5.1) and (5.4),

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_\nu} \log |R_{\lambda_\nu}(\lambda)| < -m/2 \quad \text{for sufficiently large } \nu.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} |R_{\lambda_\nu}(\lambda)| = 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } \mathcal{D}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is arbitrary, the sequence of partial sums  $\int_0^{\lambda_\nu} \exp(-s\lambda) d\alpha(\lambda)$  is convergent uniformly in the wider sense in  $E$ .  
q.e.d.

(\*) Received July 28, 1951.

- [1] A.Ostrowski: Über eine Eigenschaft gewisser Potenzreihen mit unendlich vielen verschwindenden Koeffizienten. Berl.Sitz.1921.
- [2] V.Bernstein: Sur les progrès récents de la théorie des séries de Dirichlet. Paris. 1933.
- [3] C.Tanaka: Note on Laplace-transforms. (VI) On the distribution of zeros of partial sums of Laplace-transforms.
- [4] A.Ostrowski: Über vollständige Gebiete gleichmässiger Konvergenz von Folgen analytischer Funktionen. Abh. Hamb.Math.Sem. t.1.1922.

Mathematical Institute,  
Waseda University, Tokyo.