By Shingo MURAKAMI

(Communicated by H. Toyama)

The purpose of this paper is to give a new proof of the known theorem that any (infinite dimensional) unitary representation of a compact group is contained in the regular representation of the group, and using this result, to show that any irreducible unitary representation of a compact subgroup in a locally compact group is extensible to the same kind of representation of the full group.¹⁾

DEFINITIONS. A unitary representation U; $g \rightarrow U(g)$, $g \in G$, of a locally compact group on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is a continuous homomorphism of G into the weakly topologized group of unitary operators in \mathcal{H} and it is called <u>cyclic</u> (<u>simple</u>, or <u>normal</u>) if there exists an element $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\{U(g)\varsigma; g \in G\}$ span the whole space $\mathcal{H} \cdot \bullet$ Moreover it is said to be <u>contained</u> in another unitary representation U' on \mathcal{H}' , if \mathcal{H}' contains a closed linear subspace \mathcal{H}_1 such that \mathcal{H}_1' is invariant under $U'(g), g \in G$ and that the unitary representation on \mathcal{H}_1' induced by U' is unitarily equivalent with U.

The <u>regular representation</u> of a group G with left-invariant Haar measure is the unitary representation which is obtained by assigning to each element a the unitary operator $U_{\rm A}$ in the Hilbert space $L_2(G)$ defined by

 $U_{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{g}) = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{a}^{-1}\mathbf{g})$ for $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{G})$.

THEOREM 1. Any cyclic unitary representation U of a compact group K is contained in the regular representation of K .

PROOF. By the Gelfand-Raikov's theory of unitary representation of locally compact group,²⁾ we may assume that U is constructed by a continuous positive definite function $\Psi(g)$ (with $\Psi(e)=1$) on K in the following manner. The representation space \mathcal{H} is obtained by completing the factor space $L_1(K)/I$ with respect

to the inner product $\Phi(x,y)$ where $\Phi(x,y)$ is defined for any $x, y \in L_1(K)$ by the formula

$$\begin{split} \varPhi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = & \iint_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}} \varphi(g^{-1}\mathbf{h}) \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{h}) \overline{\mathbf{y}(g)} \, dg \, dh \\ = & \iint_{\mathbf{x}} \varphi(g) \mathbf{y}^{*} \mathbf{x}(g) \, dg \\ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}^{*}(g) = \overline{\mathbf{y}(g^{-1})} \\ \text{the multiplica-} \\ \text{tion in } \mathbf{L}_{i} \text{ be-} \\ \text{ing convolution} \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

 $T_a x(g) = x(a^{-1}g)$ for $x \in L_i(K)$.

Now we note that in this theory the rôle of $L_1(K)$ can be replaced by any (algebraic) subring R of $L_1(K)$ which satisfies the following conditions: 1) R is dense in $L_1(K)$, and 2) R is closed with repsect to the operations, T_0 and taking * $(x^*(g) = \overline{x(g^{-1})})$. Thus in our case we can take $L_2(K)$ as R and discuss with respect to $L_2(K)$ instead of $L_1(K)$.

On the other hand, by the theory of integral equations (especially applying Mercer's theorem), the positive definite function $\Psi(g)$ can be expressed by the following absolutely and uniformly convergent series

(1)
$$\varphi(g) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^* \overline{u_{ii}^*(g)}$$

where $U_{ij}^{i}(g)$ denotes the (i, j)coefficient of the matrix of irreducible unitary representation U_{a} of K with degree d_{a} and where $\lambda_{i}^{i} \ge 0$ and $\sum_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \lambda_{i}^{i} = \varphi(e) = 1$

(we use for convenience the complex conjugate $\overline{u_{ij}^{a}(g)}$ instead of $u_{ij}^{a}(g)$).

Now, using (1), we compute $\underline{\Phi}(x, y)$ as follows (2) $\underline{\Phi}(x, y) = \iint \varphi(g^{-1}h) x(h) \overline{y(g)} dg dh$

$$= \sum_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^$$

tor x, y EL2(K).

We denote by J the closed linear subspace of L.(K) which is spanned by U_{h}^{a} ; for all $k=1,2,...,d_{n}$ and a, i with $\lambda_{i}^{a} > 0$. Then (2) shows that the subspace I which consists of elements with

 $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) = 0$, coincides with the ortho-complement of J and therefore the factor space $J_i = L_2(K)/I$ can be identified as a linear space with J. Considering J. as a space with the inner product $\Phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$, we see in virtue of (2) that u_{ki}^{a} with $\lambda_i^{a} > 0$, $k_{s-1,2},\ldots,d_{a}$ are orthogonal to each other and the set D of finite linear combinations of such u_{ki}^{a} are dense in J_i . Let us now define for such u_{ki}^{a}

$$\phi(u_{ki}^{\alpha}) = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{i}}{d_{\alpha}}} u_{ki}^{\alpha}$$

and extend linearly onto D. Then, again by (2), ϕ is a one-to-one inner product preserving mapping defined on the dense subset D in

 J_i onto the same kind of subset in J_i . Therefore we can extend ϕ to a one-to-one inner product preserving mapping defined on J_i onto J. Since J is complete with respect to its proper inner product, it follows that J_i is also complete with respect to the inner product $\Phi(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$. Therefore there is no necessity to complete it. Clearly

 $U_a \phi(u_{ki}^a) = \phi(U_a u_{ki}^a)$

where $U_a \times (g) = x (a^{-1}g)$ for $\chi \in L_2(K)$. Hence the unitary representation constructed by Geliand-Raikov's method is equivalent to the one on J induced by the regular representation of K, $q_*e_*d_*$

For any α and i , we denote by J_{a}^{α} the finite dimensional (closed) linear subspace in $L_{2}(K)$ which is spanned by $u_{Ai}^{\alpha}, k=1, 2, \cdots, d_{A}$. It is obvious that J_{a}^{i} is invariant under regular representation and the representation induced by it in J_{a}^{α} is equivalent to U_{a} . Now, by the orthogonality relation between the coeficients of irreducible representations, we see that the above defined J decomposes into the direct product of J_i^a with d, i such that $\lambda_i^d > 0$. Thus we have incidentally proved the following

THEOREM 1'. The cyclic unitary representation of K corresponding to the positive definite function with expansion (1) is equivalent to the direct product representation of U_a in which an U_a occurs as same times as the number of i for which $\chi_a^a > 0$.

COROLLARY 1. In the above direct product representation a U_{α} is contained if and only if $\int_{\kappa} \varphi(g) \chi^{\alpha}(g) dg \neq 0$, where χ^{α} is the character of U_{α} .

COROLLARY 2. Any irreducible unitary representation of a compact group is finite dimentional.

Now we shall prove the second statement.

DEFINITIONS. Let G be a locally compact group and K a compact subgroup of G. When a unitary representation U of G is given, we denote by $U_{\rm K}$ the unitary representation of K which is obtained by restricting the domain of definition of U onto K. A unitary representation $U_{\rm L}$ of K is said to be extensible to a unitary representation U of G , if $U_{\rm K}$ contains a unitary representation equivalent to $U_{\rm L}$.

THEOREM 2. Any irreducible unitary representation of a compact subgroup K is extensible to an irreducible unitary representation of G .

PROOF. We denote by P(G) the set of all positive definite functions with bound 1 on G. For a $\varphi \in P(G)$ we denote by φ_{K} the positive definite function on K which is obtained by restricting the domain of definition of φ to K. Now, by the theory of Gelfand-Raikov if U is the cyclic unitary representation corresponding to a $\varphi \in P(G), \varphi(g) = (U(g)\zeta, \zeta)$ where 5 is an element of the representation space \mathcal{H} such that $\{U(g)\zeta, g \in G\}$ span the whole space \mathcal{H} . For an element $a \in G$ we denote by \mathcal{H}_a the closed linear subspace of \mathcal{H} spanned by $\{U(ka)\zeta;$ $k \in K\}$ which is obviously invariant under U_K . The unitary representation U_K^{K} of K onto \mathcal{H}_a induced by U_K is cyclic and the corresponding positive definite function is φ^{a}_{κ} where $\varphi^{a}(g) = \varphi(a^{-i}g^{a})$. Now, since $\mathcal{H}_{a}(a \in G)$ span the whole space \mathcal{H} , if U(k) is not the identity expected. identity operator, the operator $U_{K}^{\epsilon}(k)$ on \mathcal{H}_{a} is not the identity operator for some $a \in G$

By Theorem 1 the unitary representation U^a_{κ} is the direct product of finite dimensional irreducibles unitary representations U_a which, by the above remark and by corollary 1, is such that $\int_{\mathbf{N}} \varphi_{\mathbf{N}}(k) \mathbf{X}^{*}(k) k \neq 0$. We denote by \mathcal{M}

the set of all such irreducible components of U_{K}^{*} where U va-ries over all cyclic representation of G and a runs over G According to the theorem of Gelfand-Raikov on the existence of sufficiently many cyclic representations of ${\bf G}$, it follows from what we have mentioned above that ${\bf V}{\bf I}$ contains sufficiently many irreducible representations. We shall prove that, if U_{a} and U_{ρ} belong to $\mathcal{V}L$, $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_{a}$ and all the irreducible compo- $V_{\mathbf{k}}$ and all the irreducible compo-nent of $V_{\mathbf{a}} \times U_{\beta}$ (Kronecker produ-ct) also belong to \mathcal{V} . Since $U_{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{V}$ is equivalent to the exi-stence of $\varphi \in P(G)$ such that $\int_{\mathbf{k}} \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{k}) \chi^{a}(\mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{k} \neq 0$, the first part is obvious. Let φ and Ψ be the positive definite functions on G such that on G such that

 $\int_{K} \Psi_{k}(k) \chi^{*}(k) dk \neq 0 \text{ and } \int \Psi_{K}(k) \chi^{p}(k) dk \neq 0.$

Then the series (1) for \mathscr{Y}_{K} and Ψ_{N} contain the diagonal elements of $\widetilde{U_{a}}$ and $\widetilde{U_{p}}$ respectively with positive coefficients. Define

 $\widetilde{\varphi}(g) = \int \varphi(k^{-1}gk)dk, \widetilde{\psi}(g) = \int \psi(k^{-1}gk)dk.$

Then it is easily seen that $\widetilde{\Psi}$ and $\widetilde{\Psi}$ are positive definite func-tions and that $\widetilde{\Psi}_{\kappa}$ and $\widetilde{\Psi}_{\kappa}$ have $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^*$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}^*$ respectively in their expansion (1) with positive coefficients. Since the series (1) is cients. Since the series (1) is absolutely convergent, the expan-sion for $(\tilde{\varphi} \tilde{\psi})_{k} = \tilde{\varphi}_{k} \tilde{\psi}_{k}$ is obtained by multiplying term by term the expansions for $\tilde{\varphi}_{k}$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{k}$. Therefore $(\tilde{\varphi} \tilde{\psi})_{k}$ con-tains in its expansion $\tilde{\chi}^{*} \tilde{\chi}^{*}$ with a positive coefficients. $\chi^{*} \chi^{*}$ being the character of $U_{a} \times U_{\beta}$, it follows that

it follows that

 $\int_{\mathcal{C}} (\tilde{\varphi} \; \tilde{\psi})_{\kappa}(\mathbf{k}) \; \chi^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{k}) \, d\mathbf{k} \neq 0,$

where χ^{2} is the character of any irreducible component U_{1} of contains any irreducible component

of $U_{\alpha} \times U_{\beta}$ which proves the second statement about VI . Applying to VI, a theorem of E. R. van Kampen⁴, we see that VI is the set of all irreducible unitary representations of ${\sf K}$, which shows that any irreducible unitary representation of K is extensible to a cyclic representation of G

At last we shall prove that the extended representation may be chosen to be irreducible. We note that the irreducible representations of

G correspond to the extreme po-ints of $P(G)^{2}$. Suppose our as-sertion be false. Then there is an irreducible unitary representation Ua of K which is extensible to no irreducible representa-tion of G. Since Ψ^{α} is ex-treme if Ψ is so, it follows that

(3) $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Psi_{\rm K}(k) \, \chi^{\rm d}(k) \, dk \neq 0$

for any extreme y'_{0} . By a theorem of a R.Godement, any positive definite function in P(G) can be approximated by linear combinations of extreme functions arbitrarily and uniformly near on any compact set. Then, because K is compact, the above formula (3) is valid for any $\Psi \in P(G)$, which contradicts to what we have proved above, q.e.d.

(*) Received Jan. 30, 1951.

- With respect to the second sub-ject, Prof. I.E.Segal report-ed in Bull. of Amer. Math. Soc. Vol.55 (1949) (abstract) that a more general result than curs has been obtained, and, for the writer's inquiry, he kindly informed that the proof in his paper which will soon appear is quite different from ours and that according to his lemma in that paper a simple proof for our case was given by I.Kaplansky. The writer thanks to Prof. I.E. Segal for these informations and for his kind encouragement.
- (2) Cf. H.Yoshizawa: Unitary repre-sentations of locally compact groups. -- Reproduction of Gelfand-Raikov's theorem. --Osaka Math. Jour. Vol. 1 (1949).
- (3) Cf. M.Krein: On almost periodic functions on a topological group., C.R. (Doklady) Acad. Sci. URSS. Vol.30 (1941).

- (4) Cf. E.R. van Kampen: Almost periodic functions and compact groups, Ann. of Math. Vol. 37 (1936).
- (5) Cf. R.Godement: Les fonctions de type positif et la theorie des groupes, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol.63 (1948).

Department of Mathematics, Osaka University.

- (6) Added in Proof. The theorem of R.Godement reads in our case in the following somewhat weaker form:
 - Any function $\varphi \in P(G)$ can be approximated arbitrarily and uniformly near on any compact set by linear combinations of extreme functions in P(G).
 - A proof which is suggested by Mr. S.Ito is as follows. The weak topology in P(G) is the one as a subset of the conjugate space of $L_1(G)$. By Krein-Milman's theorem any $\varphi \in P(G)$ can be weakly approximated arbitrarily near by convex combinations of extreme functions of P(G). On the other hand, a theorem of H.Yoshizawa (Cf. On some types of convergence of positive definite functions. Osaka Math. Jour. Vol.1 (1949)) states that in the part $P_1(G)$ which consist of $\varphi \in P(G)$ such that $\varphi(e)=1$ all

the weak convergence is equivalent to the uniform convergence on any compact set. Now, combining these two theorems and the inequality

$$\left| \int_{G} \frac{\varphi(g)}{\varphi(e)} \chi(g) dg - \int_{G} \frac{\varphi_{a}(g)}{\varphi_{a}(e)} \chi(g) dg \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\varphi(e) \varphi_{a}(e)} \left| \varphi(e) - \xi_{a}(e) \right| \int_{G} |\varphi(g)| \chi(g) | dg$$

$$+ \frac{\varphi(e)}{\varphi(e) \varphi_{a}(e)} \left| \int_{G} (\varphi(g) - \varphi_{a}(g)) \chi(g) dg \right|$$

for x ∈ L1(G), 9, 9, 4 = 0, ∈ P(G)

we see that to prove the theorem it is sufficient to show that, if Ψ_{a} weakly converges to Ψ with $\Psi(e) = 1$ in P(G)then $\Psi_{a}(e)$ converges to $\Psi(e)$. Suppose this assertion be false. Then, since $\frac{1}{2}(\Psi_{a}(e) + \overline{\Psi_{a}(e)}) = \Psi_{a}(e)$ does not converge to $\frac{1}{2}(\Psi(e) + \overline{\Psi(e)}) = \Psi(e)$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

 $\frac{1}{2}(\varphi_{u}(e)+\overline{\varphi_{u}(e)}) < \frac{1}{2}(\varphi(e)+\overline{\varphi(e)}) - \delta$

for any d. Since 4 and 4 are continuous, there exists a compact neighbourhood V of the identity such that

 $\frac{1}{2}(\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{g})+\overline{\mathfrak{A}(\mathfrak{g})})<\frac{1}{2}(\mathfrak{G}(\mathfrak{g})+\overline{\mathfrak{G}(\mathfrak{g})})-\frac{5}{3}$

for any a and $g \in V$. Let $C_V(g)$ denote the characteristic function of V. Then

 $\left| \begin{array}{l} \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi(g) + \overline{\psi(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ - \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ - \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg \\ + \int \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi_{a}(g) + \overline{\psi_{a}(g)} \right) C_{\psi}(g) \, dg$

This contradicts to the fact that $\frac{1}{2}(\varphi_{a}+\overline{\varphi_{a}})$ weakly converges to $\frac{1}{2}(\varphi+\overline{\varphi_{i}})$ as well as φ_{a} to $\overline{\varphi}$.