

GROWTH OF A COMPOSITE FUNCTION OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

BY KIYOSHI NIINO AND NOBUYUKI SUITA

§ 1. Introduction.

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be entire functions. Then we have the well-known inequality

$$(1) \quad \log M(r, f(g)) \leq \log M(M(r, g), f).$$

And it follows from Clunie [2] that if $g(0)=0$, then for $r \geq 0$

$$(2) \quad \log M(r, f(g)) \geq \log M(c(\rho)M(\rho r, g), f),$$

where $0 < \rho < 1$ and $c(\rho) = (1 - \rho)^2 / 4\rho$. Furthermore, these inequalities (1) and (2) are best possible. We next wish to have similar estimations of $T(r, f(g))$. As an immediate consequence of (1) and well-known inequalities $T(r, f) \leq \log^+ M(r, f) \leq 3T(2r, f)$, we have

$$(3) \quad T(r, f(g)) \leq 3T(2M(r, g), f).$$

The inequality (3), however, is not sharp.

The main purpose of this paper is to give an upper estimation of $T(r, f(g))$ and prove the following:

THEOREM 1. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be entire functions. If $M(r, g) > ((2 + \varepsilon) / \varepsilon) |g(0)|$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, then we have*

$$(4) \quad T(r, f(g)) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) T(M(r, g), f).$$

In particular, if $g(0) = 0$, then

$$(5) \quad T(r, f(g)) \leq T(M(r, g), f)$$

for all $r > 0$.

Since $T(r, f(z^n)) = T(r^n, f(z))$ for any meromorphic function $f(z)$, Theorem 1 is best possible. In the above example $g(z)$ is a polynomial. However, we shall

prove that

THEOREM 2. *Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire function of order zero and $g(z)$ a transcendental entire function of lower order zero. Suppose that for any $0 < \sigma < 1$ there are two numbers $\alpha > 1$ and $r_0 > 1$ such that*

$$(6) \quad \frac{T(r^\sigma, f)}{T(r, f)} > \sigma^\alpha$$

holds for all $r > r_0$. Then we have

$$(7) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f(g))}{T(M(r, g), f)} = 1.$$

It is clear that there exist entire functions satisfying (6). For instance, it follows from a result of Clunie [1] that there is an entire function $f(z)$ satisfying $T(r, f) \sim (\log r)^\beta$ ($r \rightarrow \infty$) with a constant $\beta > 1$ and so $f(z)$ satisfies (6) with a suitable number $\alpha > 1$.

We shall now give some lower estimations of $T(r, f(g))$. Firstly, for certain classes of entire functions, we shall show the following theorem, which we can deduce from $\cos \pi \lambda$ -theorem (cf. Kjellberg [4], [5]) and the argument of the proof of Theorem 2:

THEOREM 3. *Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire function of order zero satisfying (6) and $g(z)$ a transcendental entire function of lower order λ ($\lambda < 1/2$). Then we have*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f(g))}{T(M(r, g), f)} \geq (\cos \pi \lambda)^\alpha.$$

In general we shall prove

THEOREM 4. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be transcendental entire functions, $K (> 0)$ an arbitrary number and $\beta(r)$ unbounded, strictly increasing, continuous function of $r (> 0)$ satisfying*

$$(8) \quad \beta(r) \geq r \quad \text{and} \quad \log \beta(r) = o(T(\xi' r, g)) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty),$$

where ξ' is a constant satisfying $0 < \xi' < 1$. Then there is an unbounded increasing sequence $\{r_\nu\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} T(r_\nu, f(g)) + O(1) &\geq N(r_\nu, 0, f(g)) \\ &\geq K \left(\frac{N(\beta(r_\nu), 0, f)}{\log \beta(r_\nu) - O(1)} - O(1) \right) \quad (\nu \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

When $g(z)$ is of finite order, from a result of Valiron [7] and Edrei-Fuchs [3] and the argument of the proof of Theorem 4 we can deduce

THEOREM 5. *Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire function, $g(z)$ a transcendental entire function of finite order, c a constant satisfying $0 < c < 1$ and α a positive number. Then we have for all $r \geq R_0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f(g)) + O(1) &\geq N(r, 0, f(g)) \\ &\geq (\log(1/c)) \left(\frac{N(M((cr)^{1/(1+\alpha)}, g), 0, f)}{\log M((cr)^{1/(1+\alpha)}, g)} - O(1) \right) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

§ 2. Proof of Theorem 1.

Let $u(z)$ be the harmonic function in the disk $\{|z| < r\}$ which has the boundary values $\log^+ |f(g(re^{i\theta}))|$ on the circumference $\{|z| = r\}$. We define $u^*(z)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} u^*(z) &= u(z) && \text{in } \{|z| < r\} \\ &= \log^+ |f(g(z))| && \text{in } \{r \leq |z| < \infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is clear that $u^*(z)$ is a subharmonic function in $\{|z| < \infty\}$. Let $v(w)$ be the harmonic function in the disk $\{|w| < M(r, g)\}$ with the boundary values $\log^+ |f(M(r, g)e^{i\phi})|$ on $\{|w| = M(r, g)\}$. We denote by D_z the component of the set $\{z; g(z) = w, |w| < M(r, g)\}$, which contains the origin. Then we have $\{|z| < r\} \subset D_z$. Further $v(g(z))$ is harmonic in D_z and $v(g(z)) = \log^+ |f(g(z))| = u^*(z)$ on the boundary of D_z . Hence it follows from the maximum principle that $u^*(z) \leq v(g(z))$ in D_z . In particular we have

$$(2.1) \quad u^*(0) \leq v(g(0)).$$

By Gauss' mean value theorem we have

$$(2.2) \quad u^*(0) = u(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |f(g(re^{i\theta}))| d\theta = T(r, f(g)),$$

$$(2.3) \quad v(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |f(M(r, g)e^{i\phi})| d\phi = T(M(r, g), f).$$

Hence, if $g(0) = 0$, (5) follows from (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3). If $g(0) \neq 0$ and $M(r, g) > ((2+\varepsilon)/\varepsilon)|g(0)|$, then it follows from Harnack's inequality that

$$v(g(0)) \leq \frac{M(r, g) + |g(0)|}{M(r, g) - |g(0)|} v(0) < (1 + \varepsilon)v(0),$$

which, together with (2.1), proves (4).

Thus the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

§3. Proof of Theorem 2.

In the first place we shall prove the following:

LEMMA 1. Let $g(z)$ and $f(z)$ be two entire functions. Suppose that $|g(z)| > R > |g(0)|$ on the circumference $\{|z|=r\}$ for some $r > 0$. Then we have

$$T(r, f(g)) \geq \frac{R - |g(0)|}{R + |g(0)|} T(R, f)$$

Proof. Let $u(z)$ be the harmonic function in the disk $\{|z| < r\}$ which has boundary values $\log^+ |f(g(re^{i\theta}))|$ on the circumference $\{|z|=r\}$. Let $v(w)$ be the harmonic function in the disk $\{|w| < R\}$ which has the boundary values $\log^+ |f(Re^{i\phi})|$ on $\{|w|=R\}$. We define $v^*(w)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} v^*(w) &= v(w) && \text{in } \{|w| < R\}, \\ &= \log^+ |f(w)| && \text{in } \{|w| \geq R\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we deduce that $v^*(w)$ is subharmonic in $\{|w| < \infty\}$ and so $v^*(g(z))$ is subharmonic in $\{|z| < \infty\}$. Since $|g(z)| > R$ for $|z|=r$, it follows from the definitions of $u(z)$ and $v^*(w)$ that $v^*(g(z)) = \log^+ |f(g(z))| = u(z)$ on the circumference $\{|z|=r\}$. Hence by virtue of the maximum principle we have $u(z) \geq v^*(g(z))$ in $\{|z| \leq r\}$ and in particular

$$(3.1) \quad u(0) \geq v^*(g(0)).$$

Since $R > |g(0)|$, by Harnack's inequality we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad v^*(g(0)) = v(g(0)) \geq \frac{R - |g(0)|}{R + |g(0)|} v(0).$$

On the other hand by Gauss' mean value theorem we have

$$u(0) = T(r, f(g)) \quad \text{and} \quad v(0) = T(R, f),$$

which, together with (3.1) and (3.2), proves our Lemma.

We are now ready to prove our Theorem 2. We deduce from Theorem 1 that

$$(3.3) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f(g))}{T(M(r, g), f)} \leq 1.$$

Since $g(z)$ is of lower order zero, it follows from a result of Kjellberg [5] that there is an increasing, unbounded, positive sequence $\{r_n\}$ such that

$$\min_{|z|=r_n} \log |g(z)| \sim \log M(r_n, g) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Hence for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$|g(z)| > M(r_n, g)^{1-\varepsilon} \quad \text{for } |z|=r_n, r_n > r_0.$$

We may assume that $M(r_n, g)^{1-\varepsilon} > |g(0)|$ and (6) is valid for $r=M(r_n, g)$. Hence our Lemma 1 and (6) yield

$$\begin{aligned} T(r_n, f(g)) &\geq \frac{M(r_n, g)^{1-\varepsilon} - |g(0)|}{M(r_n, g)^{1-\varepsilon} + |g(0)|} T(M(r_n, g)^{1-\varepsilon}, f) \\ &\geq (1-\varepsilon)^\alpha \frac{M(r_n, g)^{1-\varepsilon} - |g(0)|}{M(r_n, g)^{1-\varepsilon} + |g(0)|} T(M(r_n, g), f) \end{aligned}$$

and consequently

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f(g))}{T(M(r, g), f)} \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r_n, f(g))}{T(M(r_n, g), f)} \geq (1-\varepsilon)^\alpha.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, (7) follows from this and (3.3).

Thus the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

§ 4. Proof of Theorem 4.

We first need the following lemma, which we can deduce from the proof of Lemma 1 in Clunie [2] (cf. [4, Lemma 2]):

LEMMA 2. *Let $g(z)$ be a transcendental entire function, K a positive number and $\alpha(r)$ and $\beta(r)$ two unbounded, strictly increasing, continuous functions satisfying*

$$(4.1) \quad \alpha(r) \geq r, \quad \beta(r) \geq r \quad \text{and}$$

$$\log \beta(\eta\alpha(r)) = o(T(\xi r, g)) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty),$$

where η and ξ are constants satisfying $\eta > 1$ and $0 < \xi < 1$. Let c satisfy $\xi < c \leq 1$. Then there are a positive number R_0 and an unbounded increasing sequence $\{r_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$ with $r_1 > R_0$ and $r_\nu \rightarrow \infty$ ($\nu \rightarrow \infty$) such that for $\nu \geq 1$ and for all r in $r_\nu \leq r \leq \alpha(r_\nu)$ and all w satisfying $\beta(R_0) \equiv R_1 \leq |w| \leq \beta(r)$ we have

$$n(cr, w, g) > K.$$

We also need the following well-known inequalities:

LEMMA 3. *Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function and c a constant satisfying $0 < c < 1$. Then there are two positive constants r_0 and R_0 such that for all $r \geq R_0$*

$$n(cr, 0, f) \log(1/c) \leq N(r, 0, f) \leq n(r, 0, f)(\log r - \log r_0)$$

Now we shall prove Theorem 4. Choose two constants η and ξ such that

$\eta > 1$, $0 < \xi < 1$ and $\xi' = \xi/\eta$. Then (8) yields

$$\log \beta(\eta r) = \log \beta(\xi r / \xi') = o(T(\xi r, g)) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty),$$

which shows that (4.1) is true with $\alpha(r) = r$. Hence Lemma 2 implies that there is an unbounded increasing sequence $\{r_\nu\}$ such that for all w satisfying $R_1 < |w| \leq \beta(r_\nu)$ we have

$$(4.2) \quad n(cr_\nu, w, g) > K/\log(1/c).$$

Let $\{w_\mu\}$ be the zeros of $f(z)$. Then taking Lemma 3 and (4.2) into account we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(r_\nu, 0, f(g)) &\geq n(cr_\nu, 0, f(g)) \log(1/c) \\ &= \sum_{\mu} n(cr_\nu, w_\mu, g) \log(1/c) \\ &\geq K(n(\beta(r_\nu), 0, f) - n(R_1, 0, f)) \\ &\geq K\left(\frac{N(\beta(r_\nu), 0, f)}{\log \beta(r_\nu) - \log r_0} - n(R_1, 0, f)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using this and Nevanlinna's first main theorem, we obtain Theorem 4.

REFERENCES

- [1] CLUNIE, J., On integral functions having prescribed asymptotic growth. *Canadian J. Math.* **17** (1965), 396-404.
- [2] CLUNIE, J., The composition of entire and meromorphic functions. *Mathematical Essays dedicated to A. J. Macintyre* (Ohio Univ. Press, 1970), 75-92.
- [3] EDREI, A. AND W. H. J. FUCHS, On the zeros of $f(g(z))$ where f and g are entire functions. *J. Analyse Math.* **12** (1964), 243-255.
- [4] KJELLBERG, B., On the minimum modulus of entire functions of lower order less than one. *Math. Scand.* **8** (1960), 189-197.
- [5] KJELLBERG, B., A theorem on the minimum modulus of entire functions. *Math. Scand.* **12** (1963), 5-11.
- [6] MUTŌ, H., On the family of analytic mappings among ultrahyperelliptic surfaces. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **26** (1974/75), 454-458.
- [7] VALIRON, G., Sur un théorème de M. Fatou. *Bull. Sci. Math.* **46** (1922), 200-208.

KIYOSHI NIINO
FACULTY OF TECHNOLOGY
KANAZAWA UNIVERSITY
2-40-20, KODATSUNO,
KANAZAWA 920,
JAPAN

NOBUYUKI SUIA
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
OH-OKAYAMA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO 152,
JAPAN