## On a unitary version of Suzuki's exponential product formula

By Kazuhiko AOMOTO

(Received Jan. 19, 1994) (Revised Oct. 3, 1994)

1. Let  $A_1, \dots, A_q$  be bounded skew-adjoint linear operators on a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  over C. We put the sum  $A = A_1 + \dots + A_q$ . For an arbitrary  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , their exponentials  $e^{xA_1}, \dots, e^{xA_q}$  and  $e^{xA}$  are unitary. For a sequence of numbers  $k_1, \dots, k_r$  for  $1 \le k_r \le q$ , we consider the product

$$(1.1) Q = e^{xB_1} \cdot e^{xB_2} \cdots e^{xB_r}$$

where  $B_{\nu}$  denotes  $p_{\nu}A_{k_{\nu}}$  for some  $p_{\nu} \in \mathbf{R}$ . The operators Q and  $e^{xA}$  have Taylor expansions as bounded operators

(1.2) 
$$Q = \sum_{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{r \ge 0}} \frac{B_1^{\nu_1} \cdots B_r^{\nu_r}}{\nu_1! \cdots \nu_r!} x^{\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_r}$$

(1.3) 
$$e^{xA} = \sum_{\nu \geq 0} \frac{A^{\nu}}{\nu!} x^{\nu}.$$

Suppose that we can choose  $p_1, \dots, p_r$  with  $\sum_{\nu=1}^r p_{\nu} = 1$  such that

(1.4) 
$$||Q(x)-e^{xA}|| = O|x|^{s+1}$$
 for  $|x| < \rho$  ( $\rho$  a positive number)

for some  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  ( $\| \|$  denotes the norm of vectors in  $\mathcal{H}$  or bounded operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ ).

(1.4) is equivalent to the equality

(1.5) 
$$\sum_{\substack{0 \le \nu_1, \dots, \nu_r \\ \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_r \le s}} x^{\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_r} \frac{B_1^{\nu_1} \dots B_r^{\nu_r}}{\nu_1! \dots \nu_r!} = \sum_{\nu=0}^s \frac{x^{\nu}}{\nu!} A^{\nu}.$$

We say then Q(x) is an s-th order approximation of  $e^{xA}$ .

We fix the above  $p_1, \dots, p_r$  and  $k_1, \dots, k_r$ . Suppose now that for each  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , there exist N real numbers  $p_{m,1}, \dots, p_{m,N}$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{m,j} = 1$  (N = N(m) depends on m) such that the ordered product

$$(1.6) Q^{(m)}(x) = Q(p_{m,1}x) \cdot Q(p_{m,2}x) \cdots Q(p_{m,N}x)$$

is an m-th order approximation of  $e^{xA}$ , i.e.,

494 К. Аомото

$$||Q^{(m)}(x) - e^{xA}|| = O|x|^{m+1}.$$

On the background of general approximation scheme of physical systems, M. Suzuki has recently proved the following theorem as an extension of Trotter's formula (see [7]).

THEOREM OF SUZUKI. In addition to the condition (1.4), suppose the following conditions are satisfied.

- (C1)  $|\sum_{\nu=j}^{N} p_{m,\nu}|$  are bounded for all m, j, i.e., there exists a positive number K such that  $|\sum_{\nu=j}^{N} p_{m,\nu}| \leq K$ .
- (C2)  $\lim_{m\to\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{N} |p_{m,j}|^{s+1} \to 0$ . Then for each  $x \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have

(1.8) 
$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \|Q^{(m)}(x) - e^{xA}\| = 0.$$

The convergence is uniform with respect to x in a compact region in C.

Actually his statement is more general. He does not assume that the operators  $A_j$  are skew-adjoint.

2. The aim of this note is to prove the same result, in case where  $A_1, \dots, A_q$  are not necessarily bounded, but with additional conditions.

We denote by  $\mathcal{D}(S)$  the domain of an operator S on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Let  $A_1, \dots, A_q$  be skew-adjoint operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that the intersection  $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$  of all the domains  $\mathcal{D}(A_{j_1}A_{j_2}\cdots A_{j_n})$ ,  $1\leq j_{\nu}\leq q$ , is dense in  $\mathcal{H}:\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}\bigcap_{1\leq j_1,\dots,j_n\leq q}\mathcal{D}(A_{j_1}A_{j_2}\cdots A_{j_n})$ . Let the sum  $A_1+\dots+A_q$  be an essentially skew adjoint operator. We denote by A its closure which is a skew adjoint operator. By Stone's Theorem, each  $e^{xA_j}$  and  $e^{xA}$  define one parameter unitary group of operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

In the sequel we make full use of Nelson's results in [2]. First we introduce the absolute values  $|A_j|$ , |A| of the operators  $A_j$  and A and their norms respectively as follows. For  $\rho > 0$ ,  $\rho_1 > 0$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $\rho_q > 0$ , we put

for  $\phi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$  respectively.

We denote by  $\mathcal{H}_{\rho}(A)$  the set of all  $\phi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)$  such that  $\|e^{\rho(1+|A|)}\phi\| < \infty$ , and by  $\mathcal{H}_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_q}$  the set of all  $\phi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$  such that  $\|e^{\rho_1|A_1|+\dots+\rho_q|A_q|}\phi\| < \infty$ . We simply write  $\mathcal{H}_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_q}$  by  $\mathcal{H}_{\rho}$  if  $\rho_j$  are all equal to  $\rho$ . We put further  $\mathcal{H}_0(A) =$ 

 $\bigcup_{\rho>0}\mathcal{H}_{\rho}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{0}=\bigcup_{\rho>0}\mathcal{H}_{\rho}=\bigcup_{\rho_{1},\cdots,\rho_{q}>0}\mathcal{H}_{\rho_{1},\cdots,\rho_{q}}$ .  $\psi$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{0}(A)$  or  $\mathcal{H}_{0}$  is called an *analytic vector* for A or the system  $\{A_{1},\cdots,A_{q}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}_{\rho}\subset\mathcal{H}_{\rho}(A)$  and  $\|e^{\rho+A_{1}}\psi\|\leq\|e^{\rho A}\psi\|$  for  $A=|A_{1}|+\cdots+|A_{q}|$ . Since A is skew adjoint,  $\mathcal{H}_{0}(A)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$  (Corollary to Theorem 1 in [2]).

LEMMA 1. Let  $L_j = \sum_{\nu=1}^q a_{j,\nu} A_{\nu}$ ,  $a_{j,\nu} \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $s_{\nu} = \sum_{j=1}^r t_j a_{j,\nu}$ . Suppose  $t_1, \dots, t_r > 0$ . Then

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{t_1|L_1|+\cdots+t_r|L_r|}\phi\| &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_n \leq r} \frac{\|L_{j_1} \cdots L_{j_n}\phi\|}{n!} t_{j_1} \cdots t_{j_n} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \leq \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n \leq q} \frac{\|A_{\nu_1}A_{\nu_2} \cdots A_{\nu_n}\phi\|}{n!} |s_{\nu_1}| \cdots |s_{\nu_n}|. \end{aligned}$$

In particular we have

The following is an easy consequence of the definition.

LEMMA 2. Suppose that  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{\rho+|t|}$  for  $\rho > 0$ . Then  $e^{tA}\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{\rho}$  and

LEMMA 3.  $e^{tA}\phi \in \mathcal{D}(A_{j_1} \cdots A_{j_n})$  for  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{\rho}$  if  $|t| < \rho$ . There exists a positive constant  $C_1$  depending only on  $j_1, \dots, j_n$  such that

PROOF. For an arbitrary positive number  $\delta$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{\delta} \subset \mathcal{D}(A_{j_1} \cdots A_{j_n})$  and

The Lemma follows from Lemma 2 if we choose  $\delta$  such that  $|t| + \delta < \rho$ .

We now return to the operators (1.1) and (1.3). In place of (1.4), we assume that

(2.8) 
$$\sum_{\substack{0 \le \nu_1, \dots, \nu_r \\ \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_r \le s}} x^{\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_r} \frac{B_1^{\nu_1} \cdots B_r^{\nu_r}}{\nu_1! \cdots \nu_r!} \phi = \sum_{\nu=0}^s x^{\nu} \frac{A^{\nu}}{\nu!} \phi$$

for  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_0$ .

For simplicity we assume that x is non-negative and that  $\sum_{\nu=1}^{r} r |p_{\nu}| < \rho$ . Then from Lemma 1,

496 К. Аомото

 $Q(x)\psi$   $(\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\rho})$  has the Taylor expansion as follows

$$(2.10) Q(x)\psi - \sum_{\substack{0 \le \nu_1, \dots, \nu_r \\ \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_r \le s}} x^{\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_r} \frac{B_1^{\nu_1} \cdots B_r^{\nu_r}}{\nu_1! \cdots \nu_r!} \psi$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{0 \le \mu_1, \dots, \mu_r \\ \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_r = s+1}} (s+1)! \int_{\substack{0 \le y_{s+1} \le \dots \le y_1 \le x}} dy_1 \cdots dy_{s+1} \frac{B_1^{\mu_1} e^{y_{s+1}B_1} \cdots B_r^{\mu_r} e^{y_{s+1}B_r}}{\mu_1! \cdots \mu_r!} \psi.$$

Hence for a small positive  $\delta$  and a positive constant  $C_2$ 

(2.11) 
$$\| \text{The LHS of } (2.10) \| \leq C_2 |x|^{s+1} \| e^{r(\delta + |x|)(|B_1| + \dots + |B_r|)} \psi \|$$

$$\leq C_2 |x|^{s+1} \| e^{\rho A} \psi \|$$

due to Lemma 1, Lemma 3 and the inequality

(2.12) is proved as follows. The LHS is majorized by

$$\sum_{0 \leq \nu_{1}, \dots, \nu_{r}} \frac{y_{s+1}^{\nu_{1}+\dots+\nu_{r}}}{\nu_{1}! \cdots \nu_{r}!} \|B_{1}^{\mu_{1}+\nu_{1}} \cdots B_{r}^{\mu_{r}+\nu_{r}} \psi\|$$

$$\leq \sum_{0 \leq \nu_{1}, \dots, \nu_{r}} \frac{|x|^{\nu_{1}+\dots+\nu_{r}}}{\nu_{1}! \cdots \nu_{r}!} \|B_{1}^{\mu_{1}+\nu_{1}} \cdots B_{r}^{\mu_{r}+\nu_{r}} \psi\|$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=s+1}^{\infty} \sum_{0 \leq \nu_{1}, \dots, \nu_{r}} \frac{|x|^{\nu_{1}+\dots+\nu_{r}}}{\nu_{1}! \cdots \nu_{r}!} \sum_{1 \leq j_{1}, \dots, j_{n} \leq r} \|B_{j_{1}} B_{j_{2}} \cdots B_{j_{n}} \psi\|$$

(remark that  $\mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_r = s+1$ )

$$\leq \frac{(s+1)!}{r^{s+1}\delta^{s+1}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{n}(\delta+|x|)^{n}}{n!} \sum_{1 \leq j_{1}, \dots, j_{n} \leq r} \|B_{j_{1}}B_{j_{2}} \cdots B_{j_{n}}\psi\| 
\leq \frac{(s+1)!}{r^{s+1}\delta^{s+1}} \|e^{r(\delta+|x|)(|B_{1}|+\dots+|B_{r}|)}\psi\|,$$

since

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq \nu_1, \dots, \nu_r \\ \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_r + s + 1 = n}} \frac{r^{s+1} \delta^{s+1} |x|^{\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_r}}{(s+1)! \nu_1! \cdots \nu_r!} \leq \frac{r^n (\delta + |x|)^n}{n!}.$$

In the same manner for  $0 \le x < \rho$ ,

(2.11) and (2.13) hold for a negative x too.

Combining (2.8), (2.11) and (2.13) we have

$$||Q(x)\psi - e^{xA}\psi|| \le (C_2 + C_3)|x|^{s+1}||e^{\rho A}\psi|| \quad \text{for } \psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\rho}.$$

Hence we have proved

Lemma 4. Suppose that  $\sum_{\nu=j}^{r} r |p_{\nu}| |x| < \rho$  for all j. Then there exists a constant C such that

We are now in a position to prove the following Theorem.

THEOREM 1. In addition to the condition (2.8), suppose the condition (C1), (C2) in Theorem of Suzuki are satisfied. If |x| is sufficiently small such that  $v|x| < \rho$  for  $v = \sup_{1 \le j \le N} |\sum_{\nu=j}^{N} p_{m,\nu}|$ . Then for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_{\rho}$ 

(2.15) 
$$\lim_{m \to \infty} ||Q(p_{m,1}x) \cdots Q(p_{m,N}x) \phi - e^{xA} \phi|| = 0.$$

PROOF. The way of proof is similar to Suzuki's in [7]. But we must be careful of domains of definition of operators. First we remark  $\lim_{m\to\infty} \max_{1\le j\le N} |p_{m,j}| = 0$ . Whence we may assume that there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $r(\sum_{\nu=1}^r |p_{\nu}|) |p_{m,j}| |x| < \delta$  and  $\delta + v|x| < \rho$ . Then

$$\begin{split} & \|Q(p_{m,1}x)\cdots Q(p_{m,N}x)\phi - e^{xA}\phi\| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{N} \|Q(p_{m,1}x)\cdots Q(p_{m,j-1}x)(Q(p_{m,j}x) - e^{p_{m,j}xA})e^{(p_{m,j+1}+\cdots + p_{m,N})xA}\phi\| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{N} \|(Q(p_{m,j}x) - e^{p_{m,j}xA})e^{(p_{m,j+1}+\cdots + p_{m,N})xA}\phi\| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{N} C \|p_{m,j}x\|^{s+1} \|e^{\delta A}e^{(p_{m,j+1}+\cdots + p_{m,N})xA}\phi\| \quad \text{(Lemma 4)} \\ & \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{N} \|p_{m,j}\|^{s+1} \|x\|^{s+1} \|e^{\rho A}\phi\| \quad \text{(Lemma 2)}. \end{split}$$

Hence (2.15) holds.

To obtain the limit formula (2.15) for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we use the notion of analytical dominancy due to E. Nelson.

498 К. Аомото

We say that 1+|A| analytically dominates  $A=|A_1|+\cdots+|A_q|$  if the following holds.

(i) 
$$A \le 1 + |A|$$
, i.e.,  $\sum_{i=1}^{q} ||A_i \phi|| \le c(||\phi|| + ||A\phi||)$ ,  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ .

(ii)  $(ad A)^n(|A|) \le c_n (1+|A|)$ , i.e.,

$$\|\operatorname{ad} A_{j_1} \operatorname{ad} A_{j_2} \cdots \operatorname{ad} A_{j_n}(A) \phi\| \le c_n(\|\phi\| + \|A\phi\|), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}(A)$$

where c and  $c_n$  denote positive constants such that  $\omega(\rho) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \frac{\rho^n}{n!}$  is finite for a positive constant  $\rho$ . We put  $\kappa(\rho) = \int_0^{\rho} \frac{dt}{1 - \omega(t)}$ . Then

LEMMA 5 ([2]).

Under this circumstance we get the following

THEOREM 2. In addition to the condition of Theorem 1, suppose that 1+|A| analytically dominates A. Then  $\mathcal{H}_0(A)$  ( $\subset \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}(A) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ ) is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$  and (2.15) holds for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$  and all  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ .

PROOF.  $e^{xA}$  being a one parameter unitary group,  $e^{xA}\mathcal{H}_{\rho}(A)\subset\mathcal{H}_{\rho}(A)$  for any  $x\in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\rho>0$ . Suppose  $\phi\in\mathcal{H}_{c\kappa(\rho)}(A)$ . We use the inequality (2.16). Let  $x\in \mathbf{R}$  be arbitrary. We denote by  $p_j^*$  the sum  $\sum_{\nu=j}^N p_{m,j}$ . Then since  $\lim_{m\to\infty} p_{m,j}=0$ , we have

Therefore

(2.19) 
$$\|Q(p_{m,1}x)\cdots Q(p_{m,N}x)\psi - e^{xA}\psi\|$$

$$\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{N} |p_{m,j}x|^{s+1} \|e^{c\kappa(\rho)(1+|A|)}\psi\|.$$

This gives the limit formula (2.15) for  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_0(A) = \bigcup_{\rho > 0} \mathcal{H}_{\rho}(A)$ . The limit in (2.15) is uniform for x in a compact region in R. Since  $\|Q(p_{m,1}x) \cdots Q(p_{m,N}x) - e^{xA}\| \le 2$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}(A)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ , we have (2.15) for all  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ . Theorem has

thus been proved.

It seems unknown if the Theorems still hold without the assumption of analytical dominancy although the original Trotter formula was proved without it (see [1]).

## References

- [1] P.R. Chernoff, Note on product formulas for operator semi-groups, J. Funct. Anal., 2 (1968), 238-242.
- [2] E. Nelson, Analytic vectors, Ann. of Math., 70 (1959), 572-615.
- [3] E. Nelson, Feynmann Integrals and the Schrödinger Equation, J. Math. Phys., 5 (1964), 332-343.
- [4] M. Reed and B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, II, Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness, Academic Press, 1975.
- [5] M. Suzuki, General theory of fractal path integrals with applications to many-body theories and statistical physics, J. Math. Phys., 32 (1991), 400-407.
- [6] M. Suzuki and T. Yamauchi, Convergence of unitary and complex decompositions of exponential operators, preprint, 1992.
- [7] M. Suzuki, Convergence of general decompositions of exponential operators, Comm. Math. Phys., 163 (1994), 491-508.
- [8] H. Trotter, On the product of semi-groups of operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 10 (1959), 545-551.
- [9] K. Yosida, Functional Analysis, Springer, 1966.

Kazuhiko Aomoto

Graduate School of Polymathematics Nagoya University Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-01 Japan