

Standard subgroups of type $2\Omega^+(8, 2)$

By Yoshimi EGAWA and Tomoyuki YOSHIDA

(Received December 3, 1980; Revised September 12, 1981)

§ 1. Introduction

As introduced by M. Aschbacher in [2], a quasi-simple subgroup L of a finite group G is said to be a standard subgroup of G if $C_G(L)$ has even order, $C_G(L) \cap C_G(L)^g$ has odd order for $g \notin N_G(L)$, and $[L, L^g] \neq 1$ for $g \in G$.

In this paper, we prove

MAIN THEOREM. *If G is a finite group with $O(G)=1$ having a standard subgroup L isomorphic to a double cover of $\Omega^+(8, 2)$ such that $C_G(L)$ has cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups, then $L \triangleleft G$.*

REMARK. The Schur multiplier of $\Omega^+(8, 2)$ is E_4 . But since what is called the triality automorphism permutes the involutions of the Schur multiplier, a double cover $2\Omega^+(8, 2)$ is uniquely determined up to isomorphism.

Our proof consists of showing $Z(L) \subseteq Z(G)$ by Glauberman's Z^* -theorem.

The notation is standard except possibly the following:

X^∞ the final term of the derived series of X .

$X=YZ$ means that $Y \triangleleft X$ and $X = \langle Y, Z \rangle$. If $Y \cap Z = 1$ and if an emphasis is to be placed on that fact, we write $X = Y \cdot Z$.

If X is a 2-group, then by $J(X)$ we denote the usual Thompson subgroup generated by the abelian subgroups of maximal order.

In Section 3, we let G denote a group which satisfies the hypotheses of the Main Theorem, and use symbols such as $N(X)$ and $C(X)$ to denote $N_G(X)$ and $C_G(X)$, respectively.

§ 2. Properties of $2\Omega^+(8, 2)$

We fix notation for $2\Omega^+(8, 2)$ in the following lemma. For more detailed information, the reader is referred to J. S. Frame [4].

LEMMA 2.1. (i) *Let $X = W(E_8)$, the Weyl group of type (E_8) . Then $L = X'$ is a double cover of $\Omega^+(8, 2)$, and $\text{Aut}(L) \cong \bar{X} = X/Z(X) \cong O^+(8, 2)$. Let z be the involution of $Z(X)$. \bar{L} contains five classes of involutions. Let \bar{a} be a central involution. Then*

$$C_{\bar{L}}(\bar{a}) \cong (D_8 * D_8 * D_8 * D_8) \cdot (S_3 \times S_3 \times S_3),$$

$$C_{\bar{X}}(\bar{a}) \cong (D_8 * D_8 * D_8 * D_8) \cdot (S_3 \times (S_3 \text{ wr } Z_2))$$

Let $\bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2, \bar{b}_3$ be representatives of the three classes of involutions such that

$$C_{\bar{L}}(\bar{b}_i) \cong E_{64} \cdot S_6, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3.$$

We choose our notation so that \bar{b}_2 and \bar{b}_3 are conjugate in \bar{X} . Then

$$C_{\bar{L}}(\bar{b}_1) \cong E_{64} \cdot (Z_2 \times S_8),$$

$$C_{\bar{X}}(\bar{b}_i) \cong E_{64} \cdot S_6, \quad i = 2, 3.$$

Let \bar{d} be an involution from the remaining class. Then

$$C_{\bar{L}}(\bar{d}) \cong E_{64} \cdot (Z_2 \times S_4), \quad C_{\bar{X}}(\bar{d}) \cong E_{128} \cdot (Z_2 \times S_4).$$

\bar{X} contains six classes of involutions. We denote by \bar{v} and \bar{w} involutions of $\bar{X} - \bar{L}$ such that

$$C(\bar{v}) \cong Z_2 \times Sp(6, 2),$$

$$C_{\bar{X}}(\bar{w}) \cong (E_8 \times (D_8 * D_8)) \cdot (S_3 \times S_3),$$

respectively. Note that for every involution \bar{x} of \bar{X} , $O(C_{\bar{L}}(\bar{x})) = O(C_{\bar{X}}(\bar{x})) = 1$ and neither $C_{\bar{L}}(\bar{x})$ nor $C_{\bar{X}}(\bar{x})$ has any Z_4 or $Z_2 \times Z_4$ normal subgroup.

(ii) By [4], a, b_1, d, u, v are involutions, and b_2, b_3 are of order 4. Again by [4],

$$a \sim az, \quad b_1 \not\sim b_1 z, \quad d \sim dz \text{ in } L,$$

$$v \not\sim v z, \quad w \not\sim w z \text{ in } X.$$

(iii) Let U be a Sylow 2-subgroup of L . \bar{U} contains exactly six elementary abelian subgroups, \bar{B}_i ($1 \leq i \leq 6$), of order 64, and all of them are normal in \bar{U} . At the cost of relabeling, we may assume that $\bar{B}_i \cap \{\bar{b}_j^L\} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $i=j$ or $i+j=7$, and that $N_{\bar{L}}(\bar{B}_i)/\bar{B}_i \cong A_8$ for $i \leq 3$ and $N_{\bar{L}}(\bar{B}_i)/\bar{B}_i \cong E_8 \cdot GL(3, 2)$ for $i \geq 4$. Let B_i be the full inverse image of \bar{B}_i . Then, by (ii) and by our choice of labeling, B_1 and B_6 are the only elementary abelian subgroups of order 128 of U .

(iv) Let \bar{K} be a complement to \bar{B}_1 in $N_{\bar{L}}(\bar{B}_1)$.

(v) Choose \bar{v} so that \bar{v} normalizes \bar{U} and \bar{K} and so that \bar{v} centralizes \bar{B}_6 . Then $U\langle\bar{v}\rangle \in \text{Syl}_2(X)$, $Z(U\langle\bar{v}\rangle) = Z(U) = \langle z \rangle$, $J(U\langle\bar{v}\rangle) = B_6 \times \langle\bar{v}\rangle \cong E_{256}$.

(vi) $|\{a^L\} \cap B_6| = 14,$

$$|\{b_1^L\} \cap B_6| = |\{(b_1 z)^L\} \cap B_6| = 28,$$

$$|\{d^L\} \cap B_6| = 56,$$

$$|\{v^x\} \cap B_6\langle v \rangle| = |\{(vz)^x\} \cap B_6\langle v \rangle| = 8,$$

$$|\{w^x\} \cap B_6\langle v \rangle| = |\{(wz)^x\} \cap B_6\langle v \rangle| = 56.$$

LEMMA 2.2. (i) $N_X(B_1)$ acts indecomposably on B_1 .

(ii) $N_X(B_1)$ splits over B_1 .

PROOF: We may assume $a \in B_1$. By way of contradiction, suppose the action of $N_X(B_1)$ on B_1 is decomposable. Then $|C_{N_X(B_1)}(a)|_2 = 2^{14}$. On the other hand, since $a \sim az$ in L , $[C_{\bar{X}}(\bar{a}) : \overline{C_X(a)}] = 2$ and so $|C_X(a)|_2 = 2^{13}$. This is a contradiction. Thus (i) holds. There are exactly two classes of complements to \bar{B}_1 in $N_{\bar{L}}(\bar{B}_1)$. (See, for example, Lemma 11.3 of M. Aschbacher [1]). The involutions of one of them are from $\{\bar{b}_1^L\}$ and $\{\bar{a}^L\}$, and those of the others are from $\{\bar{b}_1^L\}$ and $\{\bar{d}^L\}$. Hence the full inverse image of \bar{K} is isomorphic to $Z_2 \times A_8$ by Lemma 2.1 (ii). Thus $N_L(B_1)$ splits over B_1 . By Lemma 1.1 (v), v is an involution of $N_X(B_1)$, and \bar{v} normalizes the full inverse image of \bar{K} . Therefore v normalizes the commutator subgroup of the full inverse image of \bar{K} , which is a complement to B_1 in $N_L(B_1)$. Thus $N_X(B_1)$ splits over B_1 .

Let K be the commutator subgroup of the full inverse image of \bar{K} . Thus $K \cong A_8$ and $K\langle v \rangle \cong S_8$. Lemma 2.2 (i) shows that the action of $K\langle v \rangle$ on B_1 comes from the standard permutation module. Thus, in proving the following three lemmas, we regard $K\langle v \rangle$ as the symmetric group on $\Omega = \{i : 1 \leq i \leq 8\}$, and write an element t of B_1 in the form

$$t = \prod_{i \in \Omega} e_i^{t_i}; \quad t_i = 0 \text{ or } 1, \quad |\{i : t_i = 1\}| = \text{even}.$$

LEMMA 2.3. $O_2(C_L(a)) \cong (D_8 * D_8) \times (D_8 * D_8)$. Here a is in the commutator subgroup of an indecomposable component of $O_2(C_L(a))$, and so is az .

PROOF: We may assume $a = e_1 e_2 e_3 e_4 \in B_1$. Then we have $O_2(C_{B_1 K}(a')) = F_1 \times F_2$ with $F_i = \langle V_i, W_i \rangle$, where

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= \langle e_1 e_2, e_2 e_3, e_3 e_4 \rangle \subseteq B_1, & V_2 &= \langle e_5 e_6, e_6 e_7, e_7 e_8 \rangle \subseteq B_1, \\ W_1 &= \langle (12)(34), (13)(24) \rangle \subseteq K, & W_2 &= \langle (56)(78), (57)(68) \rangle \subseteq K. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $F_i \cong D_8 * D_8$, $a \in F_1$ and $az = e_5 e_6 e_7 e_8 \in F_2$. Since $O_2(C_L(a)) \in \text{Syl}_2(C_L(a'))$ and $|C_L(a')|_2 = 1024$, $O_2(C_L(a)) = O_2(C_{B_1 K}(a'))$. This proves the lemma.

LEMMA 2.4. $\langle z \rangle$, $\langle b_1 \rangle$ and $\langle b_1 z \rangle$ are all characteristic both in $C_L(b_1)$ in $C_X(b_1)$.

PROOF: We may assume $b_1 = e_1 e_2 \in B_1$. Then $C_L(b_1) = B_1 \cdot C_K(b_1)$, $C_K(b_1) \cong S_6$, $B_1 = O_2(C_L(b_1))$. $C_K(b_1)'$ is the alternating group on $\{i \in \Omega : 3 \leq i \leq 8\}$, and $C_K(b_1) = \langle C_K(b_1)', (12)(34) \rangle$. Therefore $\langle z, b_1 \rangle = Z(C_L(b_1))$. Since $B_1 \cap C_L(b_1)^\infty = \langle e_i e_{i+1} : 3 \leq i \leq 7 \rangle$, $\langle b_1 z \rangle = \langle z, b_1 \rangle \cap C_L(b_1)^\infty$. Hence $\langle b_1 z \rangle$ is characteristic in $C_L(b_1)$. Every element x of order 4 of $C_K(b_1) - C_K(b_1)'$ is of the form $x = (12)(i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4)$, $3 \leq i_k \leq 8$. Therefore $\langle b_1 \rangle = \langle z, b_1 \rangle \cap [B_1, x]$ for every such x . Hence $\langle b_1 \rangle$ is characteristic in $C_L(b_1)$, and so $\langle z \rangle$ is also characteristic. Next note that $C_X(b_1) = B_1 \cdot C_{K\langle v \rangle}(b_1)$ and $\langle z, b_1 \rangle = Z(C_X(b_1))$. As before, we have $\langle b_1 z \rangle = \langle z, b_1 \rangle \cap C_X(b_1)^\infty$. Therefore $\langle b_1 z \rangle$ is characteristic in $C_X(b_1)$. Since $\langle B_1, (12) \rangle = O_2(C_X(b_1))$, $\langle b_1 \rangle = \langle B_1, (12) \rangle'$ is characteristic. Hence $\langle z \rangle$ is also characteristic in $C_X(b_1)$.

LEMMA 2.5. $[B_6\langle v \rangle, x] \geq 4$ for every 2-element x of $N_X(B_6\langle v \rangle) - B_6\langle v \rangle$.

PROOF. Let A denote $\{1, 3, 5, 7\}$, and set $V = \{e_i e_{i+1} : i \in A\} \subseteq B_1$ and $W = \{(i, i+1) : i \in A\} \subseteq K$. We may assume $B_6\langle v \rangle = V \times W$. Let x be an arbitrary 2-element of $N_X(B_6\langle v \rangle) - B_6\langle v \rangle$. Since $N_{B_1 K\langle v \rangle}(B_6\langle v \rangle)$ contains a Sylow 2-subgroup of X , we may assume $x \in B_1 K\langle v \rangle$. First suppose $x \in B_6\langle v \rangle B_1$. By replacing x by a suitable element of the coset $x B_6\langle v \rangle$, we may assume x is in the form

$$x = \prod_{i \in A} e_i^{t_i}; \quad t_i = 0 \text{ or } 1, \quad \left| \{i \in A : t_i = 1\} \right| = \text{even}.$$

Then $[B_6\langle v \rangle, x] = \langle e_i e_{i+1} : i \in A, t_i = 1 \rangle$. Therefore $|[B_6\langle v \rangle, x]| = 2^{|\{i \in A : t_i = 1\}|} \geq 2^2$. Next suppose $x \notin B_6\langle v \rangle B_1$. Then the action of x on V is nontrivial and is the same as that on $(B_6\langle v \rangle)/V$. Hence $|[B_6\langle v \rangle, x]| \geq |[V, x]|^2 \geq 2^2$.

LEMMA 2.6. *There exists an involution \bar{x} of $C_L(\bar{v})$ such that $\bar{x} \in \{\bar{b}_1^{\bar{x}}\}$ and $\bar{v}\bar{x} \in \{\bar{v}^{\bar{x}}\}$.*

PROOF. We let \bar{X} act on a vector space V of dimension 8 over $\text{GF}(2)$ with a quadratic form of plus type so that \bar{X} leaves the quadratic form invariant. We then choose \bar{x} to be an element of $\{\bar{b}_1^{\bar{x}}\}$ such that $[V, \bar{x}] \supseteq [V, \bar{v}]$. Then \bar{x} satisfies all the requirements of the lemma.

§ 3. Proof of Main Theorem.

In the remainder of this paper, we let G denote a group which satisfies the hypotheses of the Main Theorem, and use the description of L given in Section 2. Let S be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $N(L)$ containing U .

LEMMA 3.1. *If $|C(L)|_2 \geq 4$, then $L \triangleleft G$.*

PROOF: This follows immediately from Theorem 2 of L. Finkelstein [3] and Lemma 2.1 (i).

Throughout the rest of this paper, we assume $|C(L)|_2=2$.

LEMMA 3.2. *If $[N(L): LC(L)]=2$ and if there is no involution in $N(L)-LC(L)$, then $z \in Z(G)$.*

PROOF: By Lemma 2.1(v), $J(S) \cong Z_4 \times E_{64}$ and $\mathcal{C}^1(J(S)) = \langle z \rangle$. Therefore $S \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$, and $\{z^{N(J(S))}\} = \{z\}$. Note that our assumption implies that every involution of S is conjugate to some involution of $B_8(\subseteq J(S))$ by Lemma 2.1(vi). Since $N(J(S))$ controls the fusion of $J(S)$, this means $\{z^g\} \cap S = \{z\}$. Hence Glauberman's Z^* -theorem yields the desired conclusion.

From now on, we assume that either $[N(L): LC(L)]=2$ and $N(L)-LC(L)$ contains involutions or $[N(L): LC(L)]=1$.

LEMMA 3.3. $S \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$.

PROOF: This is because $Z(S) = \langle z \rangle$ by Lemma 2.1(v).

LEMMA 3.4. $z \not\sim a$ in G .

PROOF: By way of contradiction, suppose $a^g = z$, $g \in G$. Then $C_{C(z)}(a)^g \subseteq C(z)$. From the structures of the centralizers of the involutions of $C(z)$ (Lemma 2.1(i)), we observe that every involution x of $C(z)$ such that $|C_{C(z)}(x)|$ is divisible by $|S|/2$ is conjugate to a in $C(z)$. Therefore there exists an element h of $C(z)$ such that $(z^g)^h = a$. Hence, regarding gh as g , we may assume $z^g = a$. Then g normalizes $\langle z, a \rangle$, and so g normalizes also $C_{C(z)}(a)$. Hence we may regard g as an automorphism of $O_2(C_{C(z)}(a)/O(C_{C(z)}(a)))$ ($\cong O_2(C_L(a)$) which sends $aO(C_{C(z)}(a))$ to $zO(C_{C(z)}(a))$. But by Lemma 2.3 and Krull-Remak-Schmidt's theorem, we have that there is no such automorphism. This is a contradiction.

LEMMA 3.5. $z \not\sim b_1$ and $z \not\sim b_1 z$ in G .

PROOF: Suppose $b_1^g = z$ or $(b_1 z)^g = z$, $g \in G$. As in Lemma 3.4, we may assume g normalizes $C_{C(z)}(b_1)$, for every involution x of $C(z)$ such that $x \in C_{C(z)}(x)'$ and such that $C_{C(z)}(x)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $E_{128} \cdot S_6$ is conjugate to either b_1 or $b_1 z$ in $C(z)$. Again arguing as in Lemma 3.4, we get a contradiction to Lemma 2.4.

Now we finish the proof of the Main Theorem, distinguishing two cases.

LEMMA 3.6. *If $N(L) = LC(L)$, then $z \in Z(G)$.*

PROOF: We first prove B_6 is weakly closed in U . By way of contradiction, suppose $B_6^g = B_1$, $g \in G$. Since B_6 and B_1 are both normal in U , we may assume $U^g = U$. But by Lemma 2.1(v), this implies $g \in C(z)$, which is absurd. Therefore B_6 is weakly closed by Lemma 2.1(iii). On the other

hand, since $|\{z^{N(B_6)}\}|$ must divide $|GL(7, 2)|$, we have that $\{z^{N(B_6)}\} = \{z\}$ by Lemmas 2.1 (vi), 3.4 and 3.5. Since every involution of U is conjugate to some involution of B_6 in L by Lemma 2.1 (vi) and since B_6 is weakly closed in U , Glauberman's Z^* -theorem yields the desired conclusion.

LEMMA 3.7. *If $[N(L) : LC(L)] = 2$ and $N(L) - LC(L)$ contains involutions, then $z \in Z(G)$.*

PROOF: $N(L)$ contains a subgroup X isomorphic to $W(E_8)$. We use the description of X given in Lemma 2.1. We may assume $S = U \langle v \rangle$. Thus $J(S) = B_6 \langle v \rangle$. We first prove $z \sim v$ in G . Suppose $v^g = z$, $g \in G$. As in Lemma 3.4, we may assume that either $z^g = v$ or $z^g = vz$. Thus g normalizes $C_{C(z)}(v)$, and so g normalizes also $C_{C(z)}(v)^\infty \cong Sp(6, 2)$. Since the outer automorphism group of $Sp(6, 2)$ is trivial, we may assume g centralizes $C_{C(z)}(v)^\infty$. First assume $z^g = vz$. By Lemma 2.6, there is an involution x of $C_{C(z)}(v)^\infty$ such that $\bar{x} \in \{\bar{b}_1^{\bar{x}}\}$ and $\bar{v}\bar{x} \in \{\bar{v}^{\bar{x}}\}$. Since $x^g = x$, $(vx)^g = xz$. Since vx and xz are conjugate to either v or vz and either b_1 or b_1z , respectively, in X by our choice of x , and since z is conjugate to both v and vz in G by our assumption, this means that z is conjugate to either b_1 or b_1z . This contradicts Lemma 3.5. Therefore $z^g = v$. Then by taking a suitable odd power of g , we may assume g is a 2-element. Since g centralizes $C_{C(z)}(v)^\infty$, $|[B_6 \langle v \rangle, g]| = |\langle vz \rangle| = 2$. But since $S \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$, this contradicts Lemma 2.5. Thus $z \sim v$. Similarly $z \sim vz$. Since $|\{z^{N(B_6 \langle v \rangle)}\}|$ must divide $|GL(8, 2)|$, those antifusions together with Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 show that $\{z^{N(B_6 \langle v \rangle)}\} = \{z\}$. Now the desired conclusion follows again from Glauberman's Z^* -theorem.

Thus the proof of our Main Theorem is complete.

References

- [1] M. ASCHBACHER: A characterization of Chevalley groups over fields of odd order, *Ann. of Math.*, 106 (1977), 353–398.
- [2] M. ASCHBACHER: On finite groups of component type, *Illinois J. Math.*, 19 (1975), 87–115.
- [3] L. FINKELSTEIN: Finite groups with a standard component whose centralizer has cyclic Sylow 2-subgroups, *Proc. of Amer. Math. Soc.*, 62 (1977), 237–241.
- [4] J. S. FRAME: “The Characters of the Weyl Group E_8 , Computational Problems in Abstract Algebra” (John Leech, Ed.), Pergamon Press, New York, 1970.

Yoshimi EGAWA
Department of Mathematics
The Ohio State University

and

Tomoyuki YOSHIDA
Department of Mathematics
Hokkaido University