

The $C^{1,1}$ Regularity of the Pluricomplex Green Function

ZBIGNIEW BŁOCKI

If Ω is a domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\zeta \in \Omega$, then the pluricomplex Green function in Ω with pole at ζ is defined as

$$g = \sup \{ u \in \text{PSH}(\Omega) : u < 0, \limsup_{z \rightarrow \zeta} (u(z) - \log|z - \zeta|) < \infty \}$$

(see [5] for details). The main goal of this note is to prove the following result.

THEOREM 1. *Let Ω be a C^∞ strictly pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and let g be the pluricomplex Green function of Ω with pole at some $\zeta \in \Omega$. Then g is $C^{1,1}$ in $\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\zeta\}$ (that is, g is $C^{1,1}$ in $\Omega \setminus \{\zeta\}$ and the second derivative of g is bounded near $\partial\Omega$).*

An example given in [1] shows that g need not be C^2 smooth up to the boundary. It remains an open problem if, in that example, $g \notin C^2(\Omega \setminus \{p\})$.

In [4], Guan claimed to prove the $C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity for every $\alpha < 1$. However, the proof was incomplete because the inequality (3.6) in [4] is false. In a correction to [4], written after I had sent him a preliminary version of this paper (with the proof of Theorem 1), Guan has given a new proof of the $C^{1,\alpha}$ regularity.

Our proof will be based on a construction from [4] of an approximating sequence for g and an idea from [2] used to show $C^{1,1}$ regularity for the solutions of the complex Monge–Ampère equation in a ball (see also [3]).

Using similar methods, one can also characterize domains where the Green function is Lipschitz up to the boundary. We recall that a domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is called *hyperconvex* if it admits a bounded PSH exhaustion function.

THEOREM 2. *Let Ω be a bounded hyperconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and let g be the Green function of Ω with a pole at $\zeta \in \Omega$. Then $g \in C^{0,1}(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{\zeta\})$ if and only if there exists $\psi \in \text{PSH}(\Omega)$ with*

$$-C \text{dist}(z, \partial\Omega) \leq \psi(z) < 0, \quad z \in \Omega,$$

for some $C > 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1. We may assume that $\zeta = 0$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B_\varepsilon \Subset \Omega$, and set $\Omega_\varepsilon = \Omega \setminus \bar{B}_\varepsilon$. By [4], there is a sequence of functions $u^\varepsilon \in \text{PSH}(\Omega_\varepsilon) \cap C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}_\varepsilon)$ which increase locally uniformly to g on $\bar{\Omega} \setminus \{0\}$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ and

Received June 15, 1999. Revision received September 29, 1999.
Partially supported by KBN Grant no. 2 PO3A 003 13.

which satisfy $u^\varepsilon = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $u^\varepsilon = \log|z| + \psi$ on ∂B_ε , where ψ is smooth in $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\det(u_{i\bar{j}}^\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$. It follows that the tangential derivatives of the second order of u^ε with respect to ∂B_ε are bounded; that is,

$$\|\nabla^2(u^\varepsilon|_{\partial B_\varepsilon})\| \leq C_1. \quad (1)$$

In addition, it was shown in [4] that the u^ε satisfy

$$\|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|_{\partial\Omega}, \|\nabla^2 u^\varepsilon\|_{\partial\Omega} \leq C_2. \quad (2)$$

Here C_1 and C_2 are constants depending only on Ω .

Fix $K \Subset \Omega \setminus \{0\}$. By $C_3, C_4, \dots$ we will denote positive constants depending only on Ω and K . We need to show that

$$\|\nabla^2 u^\varepsilon\|_K \leq C_3. \quad (3)$$

For $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ with $|\zeta| = 1$, let ∂_ζ denote the directional derivative in the direction ζ . Since u^ε is plurisubharmonic, we have

$$\partial_\zeta^2 u^\varepsilon + \partial_{i\bar{\zeta}}^2 u^\varepsilon \geq 0.$$

This easily gives

$$|\nabla^2 u^\varepsilon(a)| = \sup_{|\zeta|=1} \partial_\zeta^2 u^\varepsilon(a) = \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{u^\varepsilon(a+h) + u^\varepsilon(a-h) - 2u^\varepsilon(a)}{|h|^2} \quad (4)$$

for $a \in K$.

We will need a lemma as follows.

LEMMA. *Let $0 < \varepsilon_0 < r_1 < r_2$ and $R > 0$. Then there exist $\delta > 0$ and a C^∞ smooth mapping*

$$T: [0, \varepsilon_0] \times (\bar{B}_{r_2} \setminus B_{r_1}) \times \bar{B}_\delta \times \bar{B}_R \longmapsto \mathbb{C}^n$$

(B_r stands for an open ball centered at the origin with radius r) such that

$$\begin{aligned} T(\varepsilon, a, h, \cdot) &\text{ is holomorphic in } B_R, \\ T(\varepsilon, a, h, \cdot) &\text{ maps } \partial B_\varepsilon \text{ onto } \partial B_\varepsilon, \\ T(\varepsilon, a, h, a) &= a + h, \\ T(\varepsilon, a, 0, z) &= z. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Proof. Let $T(\varepsilon, a, h, \cdot)$ be a holomorphic automorphism of B_ε (defined, in fact, on B_R) of the form $U \circ P$, where

$$P(z) = \varepsilon \frac{\frac{\langle z, b \rangle}{|b|^2} b + \sqrt{1 - |b|^2} \left(z - \frac{\langle z, b \rangle}{|b|^2} b \right) - \varepsilon b}{\varepsilon - \langle z, b \rangle},$$

$|b| < R/\varepsilon$ (see [6]), and U is a linear orthogonal mapping with

$$P(a) = \frac{|a+h|}{|a|} a, \quad U\left(\frac{|a+h|}{|a|} a\right) = a + h.$$

One can check that the first condition is satisfied if $b = \varepsilon \alpha a$, where

$$\alpha = \frac{|a+h| - |a|}{|a|(|a+h||a| - \varepsilon^2)}.$$

This gives

$$P(z) = \frac{\frac{\langle z, a \rangle}{|a|^2} a + \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2 \alpha^2 |a|^2} \left(z - \frac{\langle z, a \rangle}{|a|^2} a \right) - \varepsilon^2 \alpha a}{1 - \alpha \langle z, a \rangle}.$$

The existence of an appropriate U , depending smoothly on a and h and in fact independent of ε , is clear. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1 (cont.). Let Ω' and Ω'' be domains such that $K \Subset \Omega' \Subset \Omega'' \Subset \Omega$. We will use the foregoing lemma with r_1, r_2 and R such that $K \subset \bar{B}_{r_2} \setminus B_{r_1}$ and $\Omega \subset B_R$. For $z \in \bar{\Omega''}$ and h, ε small enough, set

$$v(z) := u^\varepsilon(T(\varepsilon, a, h, z)) + u^\varepsilon(T(\varepsilon, a, -h, z))$$

so that it is well-defined and $v(a) = u^\varepsilon(a+h) + u^\varepsilon(a-h)$.

A Taylor expansion about the origin of an arbitrary smooth function f gives

$$f(h) + f(-h) = 2f(0) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla^2 f(h') + \nabla^2 f(h'')) \cdot h^2$$

for some $h' \in [0, h]$ and $h'' \in [0, -h]$. Therefore, by (1) and (2),

$$v(z) \leq 2u^\varepsilon(z) + C_4|h|^2, \quad z \in \partial B_\varepsilon. \quad (6)$$

On the other hand,

$$v(z) \leq 2u^\varepsilon(z) + \tilde{C}|h|^2, \quad z \in \partial\Omega'', \quad (7)$$

where

$$\tilde{C} = \sup_{|h'| \leq |h|, z \in \partial\Omega''} |\nabla_h^2(u^\varepsilon \circ T)(\varepsilon, a, h', z)|.$$

It follows that

$$\tilde{C} \leq C_5(\|\nabla^2 u^\varepsilon\|_{\bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega'} + \|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|_{\bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega'}^2) \quad (8)$$

for h small enough. Since the mapping $A \mapsto (\det A)^{1/n}$ is superadditive on the set of positive hermitian matrices, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\det(v_{i\bar{j}}))^{1/n} &\geq \varepsilon^{1/n} (|JacT(\varepsilon, a, h, \cdot)|^{2/n} + |JacT(\varepsilon, a, -h, \cdot)|^{2/n}) \\ &\geq \varepsilon^{1/n} (2 - C_6|h|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Let $M > 0$ be such that $|z|^2 - M \leq 0$ for $z \in \Omega$, and define

$$w(z) = v(z) - \max\{C_4, \tilde{C}\}|h|^2 + \varepsilon^{1/n} C_6 |h|^2 (|z|^2 - M).$$

Then w is PSH in Ω'' , $w \leq 2u^\varepsilon$ on $\partial B_\varepsilon \cup \partial\Omega''$ by (6) and (7), and $\det(w_{i\bar{j}}) \geq 2^n \varepsilon$ in Ω'' by (9). The comparison principle (see e.g. [2]) now implies that $w \leq 2u^\varepsilon$ in Ω'' . In particular, $w(a) \leq 2u^\varepsilon(a)$, and this coupled with (4) and (8) gives

$$|\nabla^2 u^\varepsilon(a)| \leq C_7(\|\nabla^2 u^\varepsilon\|_{\bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega'} + \|\nabla u^\varepsilon\|_{\bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega'}^2) + C_8.$$

Since Ω' can be chosen to be arbitrarily close to Ω , (3) follows thanks to (2). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2. The “only if” part is obvious. Assume again that $\zeta = 0$ and fix $K \Subset \Omega \setminus \{0\}$. Let $r > 0$ be such that $B_r \Subset \Omega$. For $0 < \varepsilon < r$, define

$$u^\varepsilon := \sup\{v \in \text{PSH}(\Omega) : v < 0, v|_{B_\varepsilon} \leq \log(\varepsilon/r)\}.$$

Then one can easily show that $u^\varepsilon \in \text{PSH}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$, $u^\varepsilon = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, $u^\varepsilon = \log(\varepsilon/r)$ on $\bar{B}_\varepsilon$, and $u^\varepsilon \downarrow g$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ (see e.g. [5]). Since g is a maximal PSH function near $\partial\Omega$, we may assume that

$$u^\varepsilon \geq g \geq \psi \text{ near } \partial\Omega. \quad (10)$$

For $a \in K$, ε as before, and h small enough, define

$$\Omega' = \{z \in \Omega : T(\varepsilon, a, h, z) \in \Omega\}.$$

By (10) and the assumption on ψ we have

$$u^\varepsilon(z) \geq \psi(z) \geq -C \text{dist}(z, \partial\Omega) \geq -C'|h|, \quad z \in \partial\Omega',$$

where C' depends only on K and Ω . Hence, for $z \in \partial\Omega'$ we have

$$u^\varepsilon(T(\varepsilon, a, h, z)) \leq 0 \leq u^\varepsilon(z) + C'|h|.$$

Since u^ε is maximal on $\Omega' \setminus \bar{B}_\varepsilon$, (1) gives

$$u^\varepsilon(T(\varepsilon, a, h, z)) \leq u^\varepsilon(z) + C'|h|, \quad z \in \Omega'.$$

Thus, if $z = a$ for $a \in K$ and $|h| < \delta$, where δ depends only on K and Ω , we have

$$u^\varepsilon(a + h) \leq u^\varepsilon(a) + C'|h|$$

and the theorem follows. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. This paper was written during my Fulbright Fellowship at the Indiana University in Bloomington and the University of Michigan in Ann Arbor. I would also like to thank Professor E. Bedford for calling my attention to [4].

References

- [1] E. Bedford and J.-P. Demailly, *Two counterexamples concerning the pluri-complex Green function in $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Indiana Univ. Math. J. 37 (1988), 865–867.
- [2] E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor, *The Dirichlet problem for a complex Monge–Ampère equation*, Invent. Math. 37 (1976), 1–44.
- [3] A. Dufresnoy, *Sur l'équation de Monge–Ampère complexe dans la boule de $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Ann. Inst. Fourier 39 (1989), 773–775.
- [4] B. Guan, *The Dirichlet problem for complex Monge–Ampère equations and regularity of the pluri-complex Green function*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 6 (1998), 687–703.

- [5] M. Klimek, *Pluripotential theory*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991.
- [6] W. Rudin, *Function theory in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Grundlehren Math. Wiss., 241, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.

Institute of Mathematics
Jagiellonian University
Reymonta 4
30-059 Kraków
Poland
blocki@im.uj.edu.pl