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## Introduction.

The present paper deals with a certain generalization of my former result [2] and Shiba's one [6] concerning the Riemann-Roch theorem on open Riemann surfaces.

For this purpose we introduce a certain subspace $\Lambda_{B}$ of harmonic semiexact differentials (see Definition 2.1) and define the notion of $\Lambda_{B}$-behaviour of meromorphic differentials near the ideal boundary (see Definition 2.2). We find that $\Lambda_{B}$-behaviour gives a generalization of $\Lambda_{p^{-}}$and $\Lambda_{0}$-behaviour in [2] and [4], [5] respectively. By using $\Lambda_{B}$-behaviour we can define, as in [6], the singularities at the ideal boundary and show the existence of elementary differentials with prescribed such singularities.

After these preparations we shall show in § 3 an algebraic duality theorem on two mutually dual spaces of differentials (Theorem 3.5), from which we can immediately deduce the Riemann-Roch theorem (Theorem 3.6). Finally we shall mention some specializations of this theorem (Theorems 3.7 and 3.8).

The author would like to express his sincere thanks to the referee for many helpful comments and suggestions.

## § 1. Preliminaries.

We shall be working on an arbitrary open Riemann surface $W$ with genus $g(\leqq \infty)$. The space of differentials which we are dealing with is a real Hilbert space $\Lambda$ of square integrable complex differentials on $W$ with the inner product defined by

$$
\left\langle\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right\rangle=\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Re} \iint_{W} \lambda_{1} \wedge \bar{\lambda}_{2}^{*}=\operatorname{Re} \iint_{W}\left(a_{1} \bar{a}_{2}+b_{1} \bar{b}_{2}\right) d x d y
$$

where $\lambda_{j}=a_{j} d x+b_{j} d y$ for a local parameter $z=x+i y$. The norm in $\Lambda$ will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|$. The real Hilbert space of square integrable real differentials with the usual inner product (,) will be denoted by $\Gamma$. By $\{\Omega\}$ we denote a
canonical regular exhaustion of $W$. For terminology and notations we follow [1], [2], [3], [5], [6].

We use the following orthogonal decompositions and relations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda=\Gamma \oplus i \Gamma, \quad \Lambda=\Lambda_{h} \oplus \Lambda_{e 0} \oplus \Lambda_{e 0}^{*}, \\
& \Lambda_{h}=\Lambda_{h s e}^{*} \oplus \Lambda_{h m}=\Lambda_{h s e} \cap \Lambda_{h s e}^{*} \oplus \Lambda_{h m} \oplus \Lambda_{n m}^{*}, \\
& \Lambda_{c}=\Lambda_{h} \oplus \Lambda_{e 0}, \quad \Lambda_{h}=\Lambda_{c} \cap \Lambda_{c}^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(cf. [1], [2], [5])
The following classical Lemma is often useful in our work.
Lemma 1.1. Let $\Omega$ be a canonical regular region on $W$ and $\Xi(W)=\left\{A_{j}, B_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{g}$ a canonical homology basis on $W$ modulo dividing cycles such that $\Xi_{\cap} \bar{\Omega}$ is a canonical homology basis on $\bar{\Omega}$ modulo $\partial \Omega$. Suppose $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are closed $C^{1}$-differentials on $\Omega$ and $\phi_{1}$ is semiexact, then

$$
\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}^{*}\right)_{\Omega}=-\int_{\partial \Omega}\left(\int \phi_{1}\right) \bar{\phi}_{2}+\sum_{\Omega} \int_{A_{j}} \phi_{1} \int_{B_{j}} \bar{\phi}_{2}-\int_{B_{j}} \phi_{1} \int_{A_{j}} \bar{\phi}_{2},
$$

where $\sum_{\Omega}$ stands for the sum over all $A_{j}, B_{j}$ contained in $\Omega$. (cf. [1], [2], [5], [7])

## § 2. Definitions and existence theorems.

Divide the set of positive integers $J=\{1,2, \cdots, g\}$ into two disjoint set $J_{1}, J_{2}$ and let $\mathcal{L}=\left\{L_{j}\right\}\left(j \in J_{2}\right)$ be a set of straight lines $L_{j}$ in the complex plane passing through the origin $z=0$.

Definition 2.1. A (closed) subspace $\Lambda_{B}$ of $\Lambda_{h s e} \cap \Lambda_{h s e}^{*}$ is called a behaviour space if it satisfies the following conditions.
(i) $\Lambda_{B}=i \Lambda_{B}^{\frac{1}{B}}$, where $\Lambda_{B}^{\frac{1}{B}}$ is the orthogonal complement in $\Lambda_{\text {hse }} \cap \Lambda_{\text {hse }}^{*}$ of $\Lambda_{B}$.
(ii) For each $\lambda_{b} \in \Lambda_{B}$,

$$
\int_{A_{j}} \lambda_{b}=0 \text { for } j \in J_{1} \text { and } \int_{A_{j}} \lambda_{b}, \int_{B_{j}} \lambda_{b} \in L_{j} \text { for } j \in J_{2}
$$

We denote such a subspace by $\Lambda_{B}=\Lambda_{B}\left(\mathcal{L}, J_{1}, J_{2}\right)$ or just by $\Lambda_{B}$. It is now an easy matter to verify that $\bar{\Lambda}_{B}=\left\{\bar{\lambda}_{b} \mid \lambda_{b} \in \Lambda_{B}\right\}$ is also a behaviour space if $\Lambda_{B}$ is a behaviour space.

Definition 2.2. A meromorphic differential $\phi$ on $W$ will be said to have $\Lambda_{B}$-behaviour if there is a neighbourhood $U$ of the ideal boundary $\partial W$ of $W$ on which it can be written as

$$
\phi=\lambda_{b}+\lambda_{h m}+\lambda_{e 0}
$$

where $\lambda_{b} \in \Lambda_{B}, \lambda_{h m} \in \Lambda_{h m}$ and $\lambda_{e 0} \in \Lambda_{e 0} \cap \Lambda^{1}$.
A meromorphic function $f$ (not necessarily single-valued) on $W$ is said to
have $\Lambda_{B}$-behaviour if $d f$ has $\Lambda_{B}$-behaviour.
Remark. Let $\Lambda_{B}$ be as above. Then the space

$$
\Lambda_{B^{\prime}}=\Lambda_{B} \oplus \Lambda_{h m}
$$

satisfies
(0) $\Lambda_{B^{\prime}} \subset A_{\text {hse }}$,
(i) $\Lambda_{h}=\Lambda_{B^{\prime}} \oplus i \Lambda_{B^{\prime}}^{*}$,
(ii) $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda_{B^{\prime}}, \int_{A_{j}} \lambda=0$ if $j \in J_{1}$ and $\int_{A_{j}} \lambda, \int_{B_{j}} \lambda \in L_{j}$ if $j \in J_{2}$,
for we know the orthogonal decomposition $\Lambda_{h}=\Lambda_{h s e} \cap \Lambda_{h s e}^{*} \oplus \Lambda_{h m} \oplus \Lambda_{h m}^{*}$ (cf. [1], [5]). Hence $\Lambda_{B}$, is an immediate generalization of $\Lambda_{p}$ in [2] and also of $\Lambda_{0}$ in [5] (cf. Def. 6.1 in [2]). Conversely, every space $\Lambda_{B^{\prime}}$ satisfying (0)', (i) and (ii)' induces a subspace $\Lambda_{B}$ as in Definition 2.1. To see this, we only need to note that $\Lambda_{B^{\prime}}=i \Lambda_{B}^{*}{ }^{*} \supset i \Lambda_{h i s e}^{*}=i \Lambda_{h m}=\Lambda_{h m}$ and hence we can consider the quotient space $\Lambda_{B^{\prime}} / \Lambda_{h m}$. In other words, the spaces which we now consider correspond to the behavior space $\Lambda_{p}\left(J_{2}, J_{1}\right)$ in [2], $\S 6$ in a one-to-one manner.

Definition 2.3. Two behavior spaces $\Lambda_{B}$ and $\Lambda_{B}^{\prime}$ with the same partition $J=\left(J_{1}, J_{2}\right)$ are called dual to each other if and only if $L_{0}=L_{j} \circ L_{j}^{\prime}=\left\{z=z_{j} \cdot z_{j}^{\prime} \mid z_{j} \in L_{j}\right.$, $\left.z_{j}^{\prime} \in L_{j}^{\prime}\right\} \quad\left(j \in J_{2}\right)$, and

$$
\left(\lambda_{b}, \overline{\lambda_{b}^{\prime *}}\right) \in L_{0}, \quad \text { for } \lambda_{b} \in \Lambda_{B} \text { and } \lambda_{b}^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{B}^{\prime} .
$$

In the following we assume that $L_{0}=\boldsymbol{R}$. Let $P$ be a regular partition of the ideal boundary $\partial W$, and take the following real linear space of differentials.
$A^{P}=\{\phi \mid \phi$ is an analytic differential on some $U \in \mathcal{E}(W)$ and ( $P$ )-semiexact $\}$. Here by $\mathcal{E}(W)$ we mean a collection of neighbourhoods of the ideal boundary $\partial W$. Let $A_{\perp}^{P}=\left\{\phi \in A^{P} \mid \int_{A_{j}} \phi=0\right.$ for $j \in J_{1}$ and $\int_{A_{j}} \phi, \int_{B_{j}} \phi \in L_{j}$ for $j \in J_{2}$ and $\left.A_{j}, B_{j} \in \Xi(U)\right\}$, $A_{A_{B}}^{p}=\left\{\phi \in A_{\mathcal{C}}^{P} \mid \phi\right.$ has $\Lambda_{B}$-behaviour $\}$. And so we consider the quotient space

$$
V_{A_{B}}^{P}=A_{\perp}^{P} / A_{A_{B}}^{P} .
$$

Definition 2.4. The elements of $V_{A_{B}}^{P}$ will be called $(P) \Lambda_{B}$-singularities, and the subspaces of $V_{A_{B}}^{P}$ will be called $(P) \Lambda_{B}$-divisors.

Definition 2.5. Let $V=V\left(P, \Lambda_{B}\right)$ be a $(P) \Lambda_{B}$-divisor. A regular analytic differential $\lambda$ on $W$ is said to be a multiple of $V$ if there exist $\sigma \in V, \lambda_{b} \in \Lambda_{B}$, $\lambda_{h m} \in \Lambda_{h m}$ and $\lambda_{e 0} \in \Lambda_{e 0} \cap \Lambda^{1}$ such that

$$
\lambda=\sigma+\lambda_{b}+\lambda_{h m}+\lambda_{e 0}
$$

on some $U \in \mathcal{E}(W)$.
In this case we say $\lambda$ has $(P) \Lambda_{B}$-singularity $\sigma$. The following linear space will be a basis for our work.

$$
D(V)=\{\lambda \mid \lambda \text { is a multiple of } V\} .
$$

Now we are ready to give the uniqueness and existence theorems.
Theorem 2.6. (Uniqueness). Let $\phi \in D(V)$ be free of $(P) \Lambda_{B}$-singularities. If $\int_{A_{j}} \phi=0$ for $j \in J_{1}$ and $\int_{A_{j}} \phi, \int_{B_{j}} \phi \in L_{j}$ for $j \in J_{2}$, then $\phi \equiv 0$.

Proof. Since $\phi$ does not have $(P) \Lambda_{B}$-singularity, we can write

$$
\phi=\lambda_{b}+\lambda_{h m}+\lambda_{e 0}
$$

on some $U \in \mathcal{E}(W)$. Let $\Omega$ be a canonical regular region such that $\partial \Omega \subset U$. Then by Lemma 1.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\phi\|_{\Omega}^{2} & =(\phi, \phi)_{\Omega}=-i\left(\phi, \phi^{*}\right) \\
& =i \int_{\bar{\partial}, \Omega}\left(\int \phi\right) \bar{\phi}-i \sum_{\Omega}\left(\int_{A_{j}} \phi \int_{B_{j}} \bar{\phi}-\int_{B_{j}} \phi \int_{A_{j}} \bar{\phi}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we apply Lemma 1.1 to the first term in the right side

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\partial \Omega}\left(\int \phi\right) \bar{\phi}=\int_{\partial \Omega}\left(\int \lambda_{b}+\lambda_{h m}+\lambda_{e 0}\right)\left(\bar{\lambda}_{b}+\bar{\lambda}_{h m}+\bar{\lambda}_{e 0}\right)=-\left(\lambda_{b}+\lambda_{h m}+\lambda_{e 0}, \lambda_{b}^{*}+\lambda_{h m}^{*}+\lambda_{e 0}^{*}\right)_{\Omega} \\
+\sum_{\Omega}\left(\int_{A_{j}} \lambda_{b} \int_{B_{j}} \bar{\lambda}_{b}-\int_{B_{j}} \lambda_{b} \int_{A_{j}} \bar{\lambda}_{b}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

since $\phi=\lambda_{b}+\lambda_{h m}+\lambda_{e 0}$ on $\partial \Omega$ and $\int_{A_{j}} \lambda_{h m}=\int_{B_{j}} \lambda_{h m}=\int_{A_{j}} \lambda_{e 0}=\int_{B_{j}} \lambda_{e 0}=0$. On the other hand, by the hypothesis in the theorem,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{A_{j}} \phi=\int_{A_{j}} \lambda_{b}=0 \quad \text { for } j \in J_{1} \text { and } \\
\operatorname{Im}\left[\int_{A_{j}} \phi \int_{B_{j}} \bar{\phi}-\int_{B_{j}} \phi \int_{A_{j}} \bar{\phi}\right]=\operatorname{Im}\left[\int_{A_{j}} \lambda_{b} \int_{B_{j}} \bar{\lambda}_{b}-\int_{B_{j}} \lambda_{b} \int_{A_{j}} \bar{\lambda}_{b}\right]=0 \quad \text { for } j \in J_{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

And so

$$
\|\phi\|_{\Omega}^{2}=\operatorname{Im}\left(\lambda_{b}+\lambda_{h m}+\lambda_{e 0}, \lambda_{b}^{*}+\lambda_{h m}^{*}+\lambda_{e \rho}^{*}\right)_{\Omega}=\operatorname{Im} P_{\Omega} .
$$

Here

$$
P_{\Omega}=\left(\lambda_{b}+\lambda_{h m}+\lambda_{e 0}, \lambda_{b}^{*}+\lambda_{h m}^{*}+\lambda_{e 0}^{*}\right)_{\Omega} .
$$

By considering the orthogonal decompositions in $\S 1$ one can get $\lim _{\Omega \rightarrow W} \operatorname{Im} P_{\Omega}=\operatorname{Im} P_{W}$ $=0$, i. e., $\|\phi\|_{V}^{2}=0$, that is, $\phi \equiv 0$.

Theorem 2.7. Let $\left\{\alpha_{j}\right\}_{j \in J_{1}}$ and $\left\{\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j}\right\}_{j \in J_{2}}$ be given sets of non-zero complex numbers such that $\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j} \notin L_{j}$ for $j \in J_{2}$. Then there exist holomorphic differentials $\phi_{\alpha_{j}}(B)(j \in J)$ and $\phi_{\beta_{j}}\left(A_{j}\right)\left(j \in J_{2}\right)$ such that
(i) $\phi_{\alpha_{j}}\left(B_{j}\right)$ and $\phi_{\beta_{j}}\left(A_{j}\right)$ are free of $(P) \Lambda_{B^{-}}$-singularities, i.e., these have $\Lambda_{B^{-}}$ behaviour,
(ii) $\int_{A_{k}} \phi_{\alpha_{j}}\left(B_{j}\right)=\alpha_{j}\left(B_{j} \times A_{k}\right)$ for $k, j \in J_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{A_{k}} \phi_{\alpha_{j}}\left(B_{j}\right), \int_{B_{k}} \phi_{\beta_{j}}\left(A_{j}\right) \in L_{k} \quad \text { for } k, j \in J_{2},(k \neq j) \\
& \int_{A_{j}} \phi_{\alpha_{j}}\left(B_{j}\right)+\alpha_{j} \in L_{j}, \int_{B_{j}} \phi_{\beta_{j}}\left(A_{j}\right)-\beta_{j} \in L_{j} \quad \text { for } j \in J_{2} \\
& \int_{A_{k}} \phi_{\alpha_{j}}\left(B_{j}\right), \int_{B_{k}} \phi_{\alpha_{j}}\left(B_{j}\right) \in L_{k}, \quad \text { for } j \in J_{1} \text { and } k \in J_{2} \\
& \int_{A_{k}} \phi_{\alpha_{j}}\left(B_{j}\right)=\int_{A_{k}} \phi_{\alpha_{j}}\left(A_{j}\right)=0 \quad \text { for } j \in J_{2} \text { and } k \in J_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These are uniquely determined.
Proof. Regard $B_{j}$ as an oriented analytic Jordan curve. Let $R_{1}$ be relatively compact ring domain containing $B_{j}$. Define $v, C^{2}$-function on $R_{1}-B_{j}$ as follows

$$
v=\left\{\begin{aligned}
-i \alpha_{j}: & \text { on the left side of } B_{j} \\
0: & \text { on the right side of } B_{j},
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

We can extend $v$ as $\hat{v} \in C_{0}^{2}\left(W-B_{j}\right)$. Then $d \hat{v} \in \Lambda_{c}^{1}(W)$. (cf. [2], [5], [7]). If we consider the orthogonal decompositions

$$
\Lambda_{c}==\Lambda_{h} \oplus \Lambda_{e 0}=\Lambda_{h s e} \cap \Lambda_{h s e}^{*} \oplus \Lambda_{h m} \oplus \Lambda_{h m}^{*} \oplus \Lambda_{e \rho}
$$

and

$$
\Lambda_{h s e} \cap \Lambda_{h s e}^{*}=\Lambda_{B} \oplus i \Lambda_{B}^{*}=\Lambda_{B}^{*} \oplus i \Lambda_{B}
$$

then

$$
d \hat{\nu}=\lambda_{b}^{*}+i \lambda_{b}^{\prime}+\lambda_{h m}^{*}+\lambda_{h m}^{\prime}+\lambda_{e 0}
$$

where $\lambda_{b}, \lambda_{b}^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{B} . \quad \lambda_{h m}, \lambda_{h m}^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{h m}$ and $\lambda_{e 0} \in \Lambda_{e 0}$. If we set

$$
\phi_{\alpha_{j}}\left(B_{j}\right)=\left(\lambda_{b}+\lambda_{h m}\right)+i\left(\lambda_{b}+\lambda_{h m}\right)^{*},
$$

then $\phi_{\alpha_{j}}\left(B_{j}\right)$ is a holomorphic differential on $W$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\alpha_{j}}\left(B_{j}\right) & =\lambda_{b}+\lambda_{h m}+i d \hat{v}+\lambda_{b}^{\prime}-i \lambda_{h m}^{\prime}-i \lambda_{e 0} \\
& =i d \hat{v}+\left(\lambda_{b}+\lambda_{b}^{\prime}\right)+\left(\lambda_{h m}-i \lambda_{h m}^{\prime}\right)-i \lambda_{e 0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously $\lambda_{b}+\lambda_{b}^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{B}, \lambda_{h m}-i \lambda_{h m}^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{h m}$ and $-i \lambda_{e 0} \in \Lambda_{e 0}$. Since $d \hat{v}$ has compact support, this differential has $\Lambda_{B}$-behaviour.

Let $\gamma$ be any cycle. Then we can write

$$
\int_{r} \phi_{\alpha_{j}}\left(B_{j}\right)=\alpha_{j}\left(B_{j} \times \gamma\right)-\int_{r}\left(\lambda_{b}+\lambda_{b}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

If we take $A_{k}, B_{k}$ instead of $\gamma$ we obtain the period relations in (ii).
As for uniqueness, suppose that $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are two admissible differentials. Then $\phi_{1}-\phi_{2}$ satisfies the conditions in Theorem 2.6 and so $\phi_{1}-\phi_{2} \equiv 0$, i. e., $\phi_{1} \equiv \phi_{2}$. The proof for the case $\phi_{\beta_{j}}\left(A_{j}\right)$ is similar.

Before giving the existence of differentials with $(P) \Lambda_{B}$-singularities, we give
some more terminologies. Let $P: \partial W=\bigcup_{\nu \in I} \beta_{\nu}$ and take $\beta \in\left\{\beta_{\nu}\right\}$. We say that ( $P$ ) $\Lambda_{B}$-singularity $\sigma$ is zero outside of $\beta$ if we can find a representative $d s\left(\in A_{\mathcal{C}}^{P}\right.$ ) of $\sigma$ such that $d s \equiv 0$ on a neighbourhood of $\partial W-\beta$. In this case we call $d s$ a nice representative of $\sigma$. Take a ( $P$ ) $\Lambda_{B}$-divisor $V$. If all elements of $V$ are zero outside $\beta$ then we say $V$ is zero outside of $\beta$.

We denote by $V\left(P, \Lambda_{B} ; \beta, m\right)$ a $(P) \Lambda_{B}$-divisor which is zero outside of $\beta$ and is of dimension $m$ (as a real vector space), $0 \leqq m \leqq \infty$. We assume $m \neq 0$ whenever $\beta \neq \varnothing$.

Theorem 2.8. (existence of differential with ( $P$ ) $\Lambda_{B}$-singularity). Given $\sigma \in V$ $=V\left(P, \Lambda_{B} ; \beta, m\right)$ there exists a regular analytic differential $\phi$ on $W$ such that $\phi$ has $(P) \Lambda_{B}$-singularity $\sigma$. Moreover under the period conditions

$$
\int_{A_{j}} \phi=0 \text { for } j \in J_{1} \text { and } \int_{A_{j}} \phi, \int_{B_{j}} \phi \in L_{j} \text { for } j \in J_{2}
$$

$\phi$ is uniquely determined.
Proof. Let $\sigma$ be a representative of $\sigma$ near $\partial W$. The domain of definition of $\sigma$ can contain the closure of some $U \in \mathcal{E}(W)$. Since $\sigma$ is $(P)$-semiexact then $\sigma \mid U$ can be extended to a differential $\hat{\sigma} \in \Lambda_{c}^{1}(W)$ such that supp. $\hat{\sigma} \cap \overline{W-U}$ is compact (cf. [5]). Since $\sigma$ is analytic on $U$ then $\sigma-i \sigma^{*}=0$ there. And so $\hat{\sigma}-i \hat{\sigma}^{*}=0$ near $\partial W$. Thus $\hat{\sigma}-i \hat{\sigma}^{*} \in \Lambda^{1}(W) \subset \Lambda(W)$. Because of the orthogonal decompositions $A=\Lambda_{h s e} \cap \Lambda_{h s e}^{*} \oplus \Lambda_{h m} \oplus \Lambda_{e 0} \oplus \Lambda_{e 0}^{*}$ and $\Lambda_{h s e} \cap \Lambda_{h s e}^{*}=\Lambda_{B} \oplus i \Lambda_{B}^{*}$, we can find $\lambda_{b}, \lambda_{b}^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{B} ; \lambda_{h m}, \lambda_{h m}^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{h m} ; \lambda_{e 0}, \lambda_{e 0}^{\prime} \in \Lambda_{e 0}$ such that

$$
\hat{\sigma}-i \hat{\sigma}^{*}=\lambda_{b}^{\prime}+i \lambda_{b}^{*}+\lambda_{h m}^{\prime}+\lambda_{h m}^{*}+\lambda_{e 0}^{\prime}+\lambda_{e 0}^{*} .
$$

And so we can get a harmonic differential

$$
\tau=\hat{\sigma}-\lambda_{b}^{\prime}-\lambda_{h m}^{\prime}-\lambda_{e 0}^{\prime}=i \hat{\sigma}^{*}+i \lambda_{b}^{*}+\lambda_{h m}^{*}+\lambda_{\hat{c}}^{*} .
$$

If we set

$$
\phi=\frac{1}{2}\left(\tau+i \tau^{*}\right)=\hat{\sigma}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{b}-i \lambda_{b}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(i \lambda_{h m}+\lambda_{h m}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(i \lambda_{e 0}+\lambda_{\ell 0}^{\prime}\right),
$$

it is easily seen that this is a required differential. The uniqueness follows from Theorem 2.6.

## § 3. A duality theorem and the Riemann-Roch theorem.

In this section our main object is to obtain an algebraic duality theorem which gives rise to the Riemann-Roch theorem (cf. [1] pp. 325, [2] [3]). For this purpose we need some new terminologies. Let $Q$ stand for canonical partition of $\partial W$. Let $P$ be a regular partition such that $\partial W=\alpha \cup \beta \cup \gamma$ where $\beta \cup \gamma \neq \varnothing$. The partition $P$ induces the partition $P_{\Omega}: \partial \Omega=\alpha_{\Omega} \cup \beta_{\Omega} \cup \gamma_{\Omega}$ of the relative boundary of each canonical regular region $\Omega$, such that $\alpha_{\Omega}, \beta_{\Omega}$ and $\gamma_{\Omega}$ are dividing cycles homologous to $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ respectively]

Given two dual behaviour spaces $\Lambda_{B}^{\prime}=\Lambda_{B}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}, J_{1}, J_{2}\right), \Lambda_{B}^{\prime \prime}=\Lambda_{B}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime \prime}, J_{1}, J_{2}\right)$ and two divisors $V_{Q}=V\left(Q, \Lambda_{B}^{\prime}, \beta, m\right), V_{P}=V\left(P, \Lambda_{B}^{\prime \prime}, \gamma, n\right)$ we define the following real vector space

$$
M\left(V_{Q}\right)=\left\{f=\int \phi \mid \phi \in D\left(V_{Q}\right) \text { and } \int_{A_{j}} \phi=0 \text { for } j \in J_{1}, \int_{A_{j}} \phi, \int_{B_{j}} \phi \in L_{j}^{\prime} \text { for } j \in J_{2}\right\}
$$

To be able to define a well-defined bilinear mapping from $M\left(V_{Q}\right) \times D\left(V_{P}\right)$ to $\boldsymbol{R}$ we need the following lemmas (cf. [1], pp. 325. [2], [3], [5]).

Lemma 3.1. If $\sigma=d s \in A_{\perp^{\prime}}, w \in D\left(V_{P}\right)$ and $f \in M\left(V_{Q}\right), \tau \in A_{C^{\prime}}^{P}$,

$$
\lim _{\Omega \rightarrow W} \operatorname{Im} \int_{\beta_{\Omega}} s w \text { and } \lim _{\Omega \rightarrow W} \operatorname{Im} \int_{r_{\Omega}} f \tau
$$

exist and are finite.
Proof. Let $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}\left(\supset \Omega_{1}\right)$ be sufficiently large canonical regular regions, and $G$ be a region bounded by $\beta_{1}=\beta_{\Omega_{1}}$ and $\beta_{2}=\beta_{\Omega_{2}}$.

Applying Lemma 1.1 to $G$ we get

$$
\int_{\beta_{2}-\beta_{1}} s w=-\left(\sigma, \bar{w}^{*}\right)_{G}+\sum_{G, J_{2}}\left(\int_{A_{j}} \sigma \int_{B_{j}} w-\int_{B_{j}} \sigma \int_{A_{j}} w\right)
$$

since $\int_{A_{j}} \sigma=0$ and $\int_{A_{j}} w=0$ for $j \in J_{1}$. Moreover we have $\left(\sigma, \bar{w}^{*}\right)_{G}=-i(\sigma, \bar{w})_{G}=0$ since analytic and antianalytic differentials are orthogonal to each other. Also, because of duality conditions in Definition 2.3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{A_{j}} \sigma \int_{B_{j}} w-\int_{B_{j}} \sigma \int_{A_{j}} w\right) \in L_{0} \equiv \boldsymbol{R} \text { and so } \\
& \operatorname{Im}_{G, J_{2}}\left(\int_{A_{j}} \sigma \int_{B_{j}} w-\int_{B_{j}} \sigma \int_{A_{j}} w\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\operatorname{Im} \int_{\beta_{2}-\beta_{1}} s w=0$, i. e., $\operatorname{Im} \int_{\beta_{2}} s w=\operatorname{Im} \int_{\beta_{1}} s w$. This means that $\operatorname{Im} \int_{\beta_{\Omega}} s w$ is independent of the choice of $\Omega$ provided that $\Omega$ is sufficiently large. Thus $\lim _{\Omega \rightarrow W} \operatorname{Im} \int_{\beta \Omega} s w$ exists.

A similar proof for the second part of lemma.
Lemma 3.2. Let $f \in M\left(V_{Q}\right)$ and $w \in D\left(V_{P}\right)$. If $f$ has $(Q) \Lambda_{B}^{\prime}$-singularity $\sigma$ and $w$ has $(P) \Lambda_{B}^{\prime \prime}$-singularity $\tau$, then

$$
\lim _{\Omega \rightarrow W} \operatorname{Im} \int_{\partial \Omega} f w=\lim \operatorname{Im} \int_{\beta_{\Omega}} s_{0} w+\lim _{\Omega \rightarrow W} \operatorname{Im} \int_{r_{\Omega}} f \tau_{0}
$$

for any nice representatives $d s_{0}$ of $\sigma$ and $\tau_{0}$ of $\tau$. Consequently,

$$
\lim _{\Omega \rightarrow W} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\beta_{\Omega}} s_{0} w=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \lim _{\Omega \rightarrow W} \operatorname{Im} \int_{\beta_{\Omega}} s_{0} w
$$

and

$$
\lim _{\Omega} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{r_{\Omega}} f \tau_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \lim _{\Omega \rightarrow W} \operatorname{Im} \int_{r_{\Omega}} f \tau_{0}
$$

are independent of the choice of nice representatives $d s_{0}$ of $\sigma$ and $\tau_{0}$ of $\tau$.
Proof. Write $d f=d s_{0}+\lambda_{b}^{\prime}+\lambda_{h m}^{\prime}+\lambda_{e 0}^{\prime}$ and $w=\tau_{0}+\lambda_{b}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda_{h m}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda_{e 0}^{\prime \prime}$ on some $U$. Let $\Omega$ be a canonical region such that $\partial \Omega \subset U$. Since $d s_{0}$ and $\tau_{0}$ are zero outside of $\beta$ and $\gamma$ respectively, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial \Omega} f w= & \int_{\alpha_{\Omega}+\beta_{\Omega}+r_{\Omega}}\left(\int\left(d s_{0}+\lambda_{b}^{\prime}+\lambda_{h m}^{\prime}+\lambda_{e 0}^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(\tau_{0}+\lambda_{b}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda_{h m}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda_{e 0}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
= & \int_{\alpha_{\Omega}+\beta_{\Omega}+r_{\Omega}}\left(\int\left(\lambda_{b}^{\prime}+\lambda_{h m}^{\prime}+\lambda_{e 0}^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(\lambda_{b}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda_{h m}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda_{e 0}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\int_{\beta_{\Omega}} s_{0}\left(\lambda_{b}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda_{h m}^{\prime \prime}+\lambda_{e 0}^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
& +\int_{r_{\Omega}}\left(\int\left(\lambda_{b}^{\prime}+\lambda_{h m}^{\prime}+\lambda_{e 0}^{\prime}\right)\right) \tau_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we apply Lemma 1.1 to the first term and consider the hypothesis on differentials, it follows that

$$
\int_{\partial, \Omega} f w=-\left(\lambda_{b}^{\prime}, \overline{\left.\lambda_{b}^{\prime *}\right)}+\varepsilon_{\Omega}+\sum_{\Omega, J_{2}}\left(\int_{A_{j}} \lambda_{b} \int_{B_{j}} \lambda_{b}^{\prime \prime}-\int_{B_{j}} \lambda_{b}^{\prime} \int_{A_{j}} \lambda_{b}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\int_{\beta, \Omega} s_{0} w+\int_{\gamma_{\Omega}} f \tau_{0},\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{\Omega}=- & {\left[\left(\lambda_{b}^{\prime}, \overline{\lambda_{h m}^{\prime \prime}}\right)_{\Omega}+\left(\lambda_{b}^{\prime}, \overline{\lambda_{e 0}^{\prime \prime *}}\right)_{\Omega}+\left(\lambda_{h m}^{\prime}, \overline{\lambda_{b}^{\prime *}}\right)_{\Omega}+\left(\lambda_{h m}^{\prime}, \overline{\lambda_{h m}^{\prime \prime *}}\right)_{\Omega}\right.} \\
& \left.+\left(\lambda_{h m}^{\prime}, \overline{\lambda_{e 0}^{\prime \prime *}}\right)_{\Omega}+\left(\lambda_{e 0}^{\prime}, \overline{\lambda_{b}^{\prime *}}\right)_{\Omega}+\left(\lambda_{e 0}^{\prime}, \overline{\lambda_{h m}^{\prime \prime}}\right)_{\Omega}+\left(\lambda_{e 0}^{\prime}, \overline{\lambda_{e 0}^{\prime \prime *}}\right)_{\Omega}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\lim _{\Omega \rightarrow W} \operatorname{Im} \varepsilon_{\Omega}=0$ by means of the orthogonal decompositions in $\S 1$. On the other hand, as $\Lambda_{B}^{\prime}$ and $\Lambda_{B}^{\prime \prime}$ are dual w.r.t. $\boldsymbol{R}$,

$$
\lim _{\Omega \rightarrow W} \operatorname{Im}\left(\lambda_{b}^{\prime}, \overline{\lambda_{b}^{\prime *}}\right)_{\Omega}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{W, J_{2}} \operatorname{Im}\left(\int_{A_{j}} \lambda_{b} \int_{B_{j}} \lambda_{b}^{\prime \prime}-\int_{B_{j}} \lambda_{b}^{\prime} \int_{A_{j}} \lambda_{b}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0
$$

Hence

$$
\lim _{\Omega \rightarrow W} \operatorname{Im} \int_{\tilde{\sigma}_{\Omega}} f w=\lim _{\Omega \rightarrow W} \operatorname{Im} \int_{\beta_{\Omega}} s_{0} w+\lim _{\Omega \rightarrow W} \operatorname{Im} \int_{\gamma_{\Omega}} f \tau_{0},
$$

q. e. d.

From this lemma we give the following definition.
Definition 3.3. We call $-\lim _{\Omega \rightarrow W} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\beta_{\Omega}} s_{0} w\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.-\lim _{\Omega \rightarrow W} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma_{\Omega}} f \tau_{0}\right)$ the residue of $s w$ at $\beta$ (resp. $f \tau$ at $\gamma$ ) and write $\operatorname{Res}_{\beta} s w$ (resp. $\operatorname{Res}_{\gamma} f \tau$ ), where $\sigma=d s$ (resp. $\tau$ ) is the $(Q) \Lambda_{B}^{\prime}$ (resp. $\left.(P) \Lambda_{B}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ singularity of $f \in M\left(V_{Q}\right)$ (resp. $w \in D\left(V_{P}\right)$ ). Similarly, we can define, for those $f$ and $w$ in Lemma 3.2, $\underset{\alpha}{\operatorname{Res}} f w$, $\underset{\beta}{\operatorname{Res}} f w, \underset{\gamma}{\operatorname{Res}}$ $f w$ and $\underset{\dot{\sigma} W}{\operatorname{Res}} f w$.

With this definition the above result can be written as

Lemma 3.4. Let $f$ and $w$ be as in Lemma 3.2. Then

$$
\underset{\alpha}{\operatorname{Res}_{\alpha}} f w+\operatorname{Res}_{\beta} f w+\operatorname{Res}_{\gamma} f w=\operatorname{Res}_{\beta} s w+\operatorname{Res}_{\gamma} f \tau .
$$

Now we define the following real vector spaces.

$$
\begin{gathered}
S\left(V_{Q} \| V_{P}\right)=\left\{f \in M\left(V_{Q}\right) \mid f \text { is single-valued on } W \text { and } \operatorname{Res}_{r} f \tau=0, \forall \tau \in V_{P}\right\} \\
D\left(V_{P} \| V_{Q}\right)=\left\{w \in D\left(V_{P}\right) \mid \operatorname{Res}_{\beta} s w=0, \forall d s \in V_{Q}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

After these definitions we state the duality theorem.
Theorem 3.5. (Duality Theorem). If

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left[M\left(V_{Q}\right) / S\left(V_{Q} \| V_{P}\right)\right]<+\infty,
$$

then

$$
M\left(V_{Q}\right) / S\left(V_{Q} \| V_{P}\right) \cong D\left(V_{P}\right) / D\left(V_{P} \| V_{Q}\right)
$$

holds.
Proof. If $f \in M\left(V_{Q}\right)$ and $W \in D\left(V_{P}\right)$, then we have

$$
-2 \pi \operatorname{Res} f w=\sum_{J} \operatorname{Im}\left(\int_{A_{j}} d f \int_{B_{j}} w-\int_{B_{j}} d f \int_{A_{j}} w\right) \text { (finite sum). }
$$

For $(f, w) \in M\left(V_{Q}\right) \times D\left(V_{P}\right)$ and the $(Q) \Lambda_{B}^{\prime}$-singularity $d s=\sigma$ of $f$, we define

$$
h(f, w)=\operatorname{Res}_{\beta} s w .
$$

Because of Lemma 3.2, $h$ is a well-defined bilinear mapping from $M\left(V_{Q}\right) \times D\left(V_{P}\right)$ into $\boldsymbol{R}$. From Lemma 3.4 we can write

$$
h(f, w)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{J} \operatorname{Im}\left(\int_{A_{j}} d f \int_{B_{j}} w-\int_{B_{j}} d f \int_{A_{j}} w\right)-\operatorname{Res} f \tau .
$$

One can see that $S\left(V_{Q} \| V_{P}\right)$ is the left-kernel and $D\left(V_{P} \| V_{Q}\right)$ is the right-kernel of $h$. ([1], [2], [5]). The duality theorem follows.

Main Results:
From Theorem 3.5, as in [6] we can deduce the following theorems.
Theorem 3.6. If $m$ is finite, then

$$
\operatorname{dim} S\left(V_{Q} \| V_{P}\right)=m+2-2 \min (\# r, 1)-\operatorname{dim} D\left(V_{P}\right) / D\left(V_{P} \| V_{Q}\right),
$$

where $\# \gamma$ denotes the number of (ideal) boundary components of $\gamma$.
This is a generalization of the Riemann-Roch theorem in [2], [6]. Indeed, if $J_{1}$ (resp. $J_{2}$ ) is empty, then Theorem 3.6 reduces to Theorem 4, [6] (resp. Theorem 5, [2]).

Theorem 3.7. If the genus $g$ of $W$ is finite then

$$
\operatorname{dim} S(1 / \Delta)-\operatorname{dim} D(\Delta)=\text { Ind. } \Delta-2 g+2
$$

where $\Delta=V_{P}\left\|V_{Q}, 1 / \Delta=V_{Q}\right\| V_{P}$ and Ind. $\Delta=m-n-2 \min (\# r, 1)$ is the index of $\Delta$.
Let $W_{0}$ be any Riemann surface of finite genus and $\beta=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots, p_{r}\right\}$, $\gamma=\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, \cdots, q_{s}\right\}$ disjoint subsets of $W_{0}$ such that $\beta \cup \gamma \neq \varnothing$. Take the open Riemann surface $W=W_{0}-\beta \cup \gamma$ then $\partial W=\alpha \cup \beta \cup \gamma$ where $\alpha=\partial W_{0}$. Let ( $m_{1}, m_{2}$, $\cdots, m_{r}$ ) and ( $n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{s}$ ) be ordered sets of positive integers associated with $\beta$ and with $\gamma$ respectively. We set $m_{0}=\sum_{i} m_{i}, n_{0}=\sum_{j} n_{j}$. If $\beta=\varnothing$ we take $m_{0}=0$ and if $\gamma=\varnothing$ we take $n_{0}=0$.

As in [6] we consider the vector space $V(\beta)$ spanned by the differentials $w_{i}^{\mu_{i}}$ and $\tilde{w}_{i}^{\mu_{i}}$ which are holomorphic near $\partial W$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{i}^{\mu_{i}}\left(\text { resp. } \tilde{w}_{i}^{\mu_{i}}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d z_{i}}{z_{i}^{\mu_{i}+1}}\left(\text { resp. } \sqrt{ }=1 \frac{d z_{i}}{z_{i}^{\mu_{i}+1}}\right): \text { near } p_{i} \\
0(\text { resp. } 0): \text { near } \partial W-\left\{p_{i}\right\}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left(1 \leqq i \leqq r, 1 \leqq \mu_{i} \leqq m_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

And the vector space $V(\gamma)$ spanned by the differentials

$$
\varphi_{j}^{2 j}\left(\text { resp. } \tilde{\varphi}_{j}^{2 j}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d \zeta_{j}}{\zeta_{j j}^{2 j}}\left(\text { resp. } \sqrt{-1} \frac{d \zeta_{j}}{\zeta_{j}^{2 j}}\right): \text { near } q_{j} \\
0(\text { resp. } 0): \text { near } \partial W-\left\{q_{j}\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left.\phi_{k} \text { (resp. } \tilde{\phi}_{k}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d \zeta_{1}}{\zeta_{1}}\left(\text { resp. } \sqrt{-1} \frac{d \zeta_{1}}{\zeta_{1}}\right): \text { near } q_{1} \\
\frac{-d \zeta_{k}}{\zeta_{k}}\left(\text { resp. }-\sqrt{-1} \frac{d \zeta_{k}}{\zeta_{k}}\right): \text { near } q_{k} \\
0(\text { resp. } 0): \text { near } W-\left\{q_{1}, q_{k}\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\left(1 \leqq j \leqq s, 2 \leqq \nu_{j} \leqq n_{j}, 2 \leqq k \leqq s\right)$ for $\gamma \neq \varnothing$. If $\gamma=\varnothing$ we take $V(\gamma)=\{0\}$. Then we can write $m=\operatorname{dim} V(\beta)=2 m_{0}$ and $n=\operatorname{dim} V(\gamma)=2 n_{0}-2 \min \left(n_{0}, 1\right)$. And so we state the following theorem

Theorem 3.8.

$$
\operatorname{dim} S(1 / \Delta)-\operatorname{dim} D(\Delta)=2\left(m_{0}-n_{0}\right)-2 g+2,
$$

where $\Delta=V_{P} \| V_{Q}, V_{Q}=V\left(Q, \Lambda_{B}^{\prime} ; \beta, m\right), V_{P}=V\left(P, \Lambda_{B}^{\prime \prime}, \gamma, n\right)$.
Finally we remark that by particular choice of the space $\Lambda_{B}$ our result reduces to [3], which initiated the study of Riemann-Roch theorem on open Riemann surfaces.
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