

ON THE PROJECTIVE PLANE OF AN H -SPACE¹

BY

WILLIAM BROWDER AND EMERY THOMAS

1. Introduction

Let G be a topological group. Milnor [8] defines a sequence of principal G -bundles (E_n, B_n, G) ($1 \leq n < \infty$) such that

$$SG = B_1 \subset B_2 \subset \cdots \subset B_\infty = B_G,$$

where SG denotes the suspension of G and B_G is a classifying space for G . The work of Borel [3], [4] gives relations between the cohomology of G and that of B_G , whereas Rothenberg [10] investigates the cohomology of the spaces B_n .

Suppose now that X is an H -space, that is, X has a continuous multiplication with unit. One then may not be able to define a classifying space B_X , but Stasheff [11] has defined the *projective plane* of X , $P_2 X$, which has the homotopy type of the space B_2 in case X is actually a group. The purpose of this paper is to discuss the relationship between the cohomology of X and that of $P_2 X$.

Consider commutative, associative, and graded algebras A over a field k such that A_0 is isomorphic to k . We denote the ideal of positive-dimensional elements by \bar{A} and set

$$D^1 A = \bar{A}, \quad D^n A = D^{n-1} A \cdot \bar{A} \quad (n \geq 2).$$

We call $D^2 A$ the ideal of decomposable elements. If A and B are two algebras, we define their tensor product $A \otimes B$ in the usual way with grading

$$(A \otimes B)_k = \sum_{i+j=k} A_i \otimes B_j \quad (k \geq 0).$$

Let X be an H -space. For the rest of the paper we shall assume that X is arcwise connected and that the integral singular homology groups of X are finitely generated in each dimension. Now take singular cohomology with coefficients in a fixed field k . Recall that an element $u \in H^*(X)$ is called primitive if

$$m^* u = \pi_1^* u + \pi_2^* u,$$

where m^*, π_i^* ($i = 1, 2$) are the homomorphisms induced by the maps from $X \times X$ to X given respectively by the multiplication and the projection on the i^{th} factor. In §3 we define a (group) homomorphism

$$\iota : H^{q+1}(P_2 X) \rightarrow H^q(X) \quad (q > 0)$$

Received March 9, 1962.

¹ This research has been partially supported by the National Science Foundation, the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, and the John Simon Guggenheim Foundation.

such that

Image $\iota = P(H^*(X))$, the subspace of primitive classes in $H^*(X)$.

Let P^- and P^+ denote respectively the subspaces of odd- and even-dimensional primitive classes of $\tilde{H}^*(X)$, where $\tilde{H}^*(X)$ denotes the positive-dimensional cohomology of X . Let $\{u_i\}, \{v_j\}$ be bases for P^-, P^+ respectively, and choose classes $\{y_i\}, \{z_j\}$ in $\tilde{H}^*(P_2 X)$ so that

$$\iota y_i = u_i, \quad \iota z_j = v_j.$$

We shall prove

THEOREM (1.1). *Let X be an H -space such that the algebra $H^*(X)$ is primitively generated. Then there is an ideal S in $H^*(P_2 X)$ such that*

$$\iota S = 0, \quad S \cdot \tilde{H}^*(P_2 X) = 0,$$

and one has the following k -algebra splitting:

$$H^*(P_2 X) = (A/D^3 A) \oplus S,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \otimes_i k[y_i] \otimes_j \Lambda(z_j) \quad \text{if characteristic } k \neq 2, \\ A &= \otimes_i k[y_i] \otimes_j k[z_j] \quad \text{if characteristic } k = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover if $k = \mathbb{Z}_p$ (p a prime), there is a group splitting

$$H^*(P_2 X) = (\tilde{A}/D^3 \tilde{A}) \oplus \tilde{S},$$

where $\tilde{A} = \otimes_i k[y_i]$ and \tilde{S} is an $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_p$ -module. Therefore $\tilde{A}/D^3 \tilde{A}$ can be given the structure of an \mathcal{G}_p -algebra.

Here \mathcal{G}_p denotes the mod p Steenrod algebra, and $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_p$ the subalgebra of \mathcal{G}_p generated by the operations \mathcal{O}^i ($i \geq 0$). (Recall that $\mathcal{O}^i = \text{Sq}^{2^i}$, if $p = 2$).

If X is a group and if $H^*(X)$ is an exterior algebra, then (1.1) is a special case of the results obtained in [10].

The theorem (for the case $k = \mathbb{Z}_2$) has the following applications. In [13] the group splitting given at the end of (1.1) is used to study the behaviour of the Steenrod squares in the mod 2 cohomology of an H -space satisfying the hypotheses of (1.1). In particular it is shown that the primitive classes whose dimensions are one less than a power of two form a set of generators for $H^*(X)$ as an \mathcal{G}_2 -algebra. In [14] this result is combined with (1.1) to show that if an H -space (satisfying the hypotheses of (1.1)) has no 2-torsion, then its lowest positive-dimensional rational cohomology occurs in dimension 1, 3, or 7.

The remainder of the paper is devoted to the proof of (1.1).

2. The space $E_1 X$

Let X be an H -space with multiplication m . Denote by CX the (reduced) cone on X , which we think of as the space obtained from $[0, 1] \times X$ by identify-

ing $\{0\} \times X$ and $[0, 1] \times \{e\}$ with a point $*$ (e denotes the unit of X). Following Stasheff [11] we define $E_1 X$ to be the space obtained from the disjoint union of $X \times CX$ and X by identifying $(x, (1, y))$ with $m(x, y)$: that is,

$$E_1 X = (X \times CX) \cup_m X.$$

Let SX denote the (reduced) suspension of X , obtained from CX by identifying $\{1\} \times X$ with a basepoint $*$. We define

$$p : E_1 X \rightarrow SX$$

by $p(x, (t, y)) = (t, y)$.

Now $E_1 X$ may be regarded as the total space of a proper triad [6] $(E_1 X, M_1, M_m)$, where M_1 and M_m denote respectively the mapping cylinders of π_1 and of m . That is,

$$M_1 = \{(x, (t, y)) \in E_1 X, 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}\},$$

$$M_m = \{(x, (t, y)) \in E_1 X, \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1\},$$

so that

$$M_1 \cup M_m = E_1 X, \quad M_1 \cap M_m = X \times X.$$

Take cohomology in the field k , and denote by Δ the Mayer-Vietoris coboundary [6, Chapter I, §15] from $H^q(X \times X)$ to $H^{q+1}(E_1 X)$ ($q > 0$). From the exactness of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence it follows that the kernel of Δ is the subspace of $H^*(X \times X)$ spanned by $m^* \bar{H}^*(X)$ and $\pi_1^* \bar{H}^*(X)$. Since k is a field and X has homology of finite type, $H^*(X \times X) \approx H^*(X) \otimes H^*(X)$; and a simple argument shows that Δ restricted to $\bar{H}^*(X) \otimes \bar{H}^*(X)$ is an isomorphism:

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta : \bar{H}^*(X) \otimes \bar{H}^*(X) \approx \bar{H}^*(E_1 X).$$

Let $X \# X$ denote the collapsed product of X , which is obtained from the Cartesian product $X \times X$ by identifying the axes $X \vee X$ to a point. Let

$$\eta^* : H^*(X \# X) \rightarrow H^*(X \times X)$$

denote the homomorphism induced by the projection $X \times X \rightarrow X \# X$. By the Künneth formula, η^* is a monomorphism, and its image is a direct summand of $H^*(X \times X)$:

$$\eta^* H^q(X \# X) = \sum_{i+j=q} \bar{H}^i(X) \otimes \bar{H}^j(X) \quad (q > 0).$$

Thus

$$\bar{H}^*(X \# X) \approx \bar{H}^*(X) \otimes \bar{H}^*(X),$$

and therefore from (2.1) we obtain²

$$(2.2) \quad \Delta \circ \eta^* : \bar{H}^*(X \# X) \approx \bar{H}^*(E_1 X).$$

² The existence of such an isomorphism follows from the fact that $E_1 X$ has the homotopy type of $X * X$ (where $*$ denotes the join), but we shall find it convenient to have this specific form of the isomorphism.

We define a homomorphism

$$(2.3) \quad \phi : \tilde{H}^*(X) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^*(X \# X)$$

by requiring that $\eta^* \circ \phi = m^* - \pi_1^* - \pi_2^*$. Since

$$\text{Image } (m^* - \pi_1^* - \pi_2^*) \subset \text{Image } \eta^*,$$

and since η^* is a monomorphism, ϕ is well defined.

We also can regard the suspension SX as the total space of a proper triad (SX, C_0, C_1) , where C_0 and C_1 denote respectively the upper and lower cones of SX . Thus,

$$C_0 \cup C_1 = SX, \quad C_0 \cap C_1 = X.$$

We can take the map p to be a triad map $(E_1 X, X, M_1, M_m) \rightarrow (SX, C_0, C_1)$, and then

$$p | X \times X = \pi_2 : X \times X \rightarrow X.$$

Therefore we obtain the following commutative cohomology diagram, where σ denotes the suspension isomorphism and p^* is induced by p .

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^q(X \times X) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & H^{q+1}(E_1 X) \\ \uparrow \pi_2^* & & \uparrow p^* \\ H^q(X) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & H^{q+1}(SX). \end{array}$$

Since $\eta^* \circ \phi = m^* - \pi_1^* - \pi_2^*$, and since $\Delta \circ (m^* - \pi_1^*) = 0$, we have

$$(2.5) \quad -\Delta \circ \eta^* \circ \phi = p^* \circ \sigma.$$

3. The projective plane

Let X be an H -space. Following Stasheff [11] we define the projective plane of X as

$$P_2 X = C(E_1 X) \cup_p SX.$$

That is, $P_2 X$ is the cone on $E_1 X$ attached to SX by p . Thus SX is a subspace of $P_2 X$, and by Adem [2, §3] we have an exact sequence ($q > 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H^q(SX) &\xrightarrow{p^*} H^q(E_1 X) \xrightarrow{\mu} H^{q+1}(P_2 X) \\ &\xrightarrow{i^*} H^{q+1}(SX) \rightarrow \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where μ is a Mayer-Vietoris coboundary and i^* is the injection. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= \mu \circ \Delta \circ \eta^* : H^q(X \# X) \rightarrow H^{q+2}(P_2 X), \\ \iota &= \sigma^{-1} \circ i^* : H^{q+1}(P_2 X) \rightarrow H^q(X). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Delta \circ \eta^*$ and σ are each isomorphisms, using (2.5) we obtain³ the following exact sequence

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \rightarrow & H^q(X) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & H^q(X \# X) & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & H^{q+2}(P_2 X) \\ & & & & & & \xrightarrow{\iota} & H^{q+1}(X) & \rightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

Notice that

- (i) ϕ is an algebra homomorphism (and an \mathfrak{A}_p -map when $k = \mathbb{Z}_p$);
- (3.2) (ii) λ and ι are k -module homomorphisms (and \mathfrak{A}_p -maps);
- (iii) $\iota(D) = 0$,

where $D = D^2 H^*(P_2 X)$, since cup-products of positive-dimensional elements vanish in the cohomology of a suspension.

For cohomology classes $x_1, x_2 \in \tilde{H}^*(X)$ we denote by $x_1 \otimes x_2$ both the element in $\tilde{H}^*(X) \otimes \tilde{H}^*(X)$ and its counterimage under η^* in $\tilde{H}^*(X \# X)$. Suppose now that x_1 and x_2 are primitive classes. Then

$$m^* x_i = \pi_1^* x_i + \pi_2^* x_i \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

and so

$$\phi(x_1) = \phi(x_2) = 0.$$

Therefore by the exactness of (3.1) there are classes $y_1, y_2 \in \tilde{H}^*(P_2 X)$ such that $\iota y_i = x_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). In [12, (2.4)] it is shown that

$$(3.3) \quad \lambda(x_1 \otimes x_2) = (-1)^{r+1} y_1 y_2,$$

where $r = \dim x_1$, and where the product on the right is the cup-product in $H^*(P_2 X)$.

For $u \in H^*(X)$ and $c \in H_*(X)$ we denote by $\langle u, c \rangle \in k$ the Kronecker index of u and c , which gives us a dual pairing [7], $H^*(X) \otimes H_*(X) \rightarrow k$. If $u_1, u_2 \in \tilde{H}^*(X)$ and $c_1, c_2 \in \tilde{H}_*(X)$, then

$$(3.4) \quad \langle u_1 \otimes u_2, c_1 \otimes c_2 \rangle = \langle u_1, c_1 \rangle \langle u_2, c_2 \rangle.$$

Moreover,

$$(3.5) \quad \langle \phi(u), c_1 \otimes c_2 \rangle = \langle u, c_1 \cdot c_2 \rangle,$$

where $c_1 \cdot c_2$ denotes the Pontrjagin product of the homology classes c_1 and c_2 .

We now use these various facts to obtain the proof of our theorem. As in §1 take cohomology with coefficients in the field k . Again denote by P^- and P^+ the respective subspaces of $\tilde{H}^*(X)$ spanned by the odd- and even-dimensional primitive classes. Let \tilde{D} denote the subspace of $\tilde{H}^*(X)$ spanned by P^+ and the decomposable elements. Assume now that the algebra $H^*(X)$ is primitively generated. Then,

$$\tilde{H}^*(X) = P^- \oplus \tilde{D},$$

³ A special case of this is considered by Adams in [1].

as a vector space over k . Choose a complementary subspace, \hat{D} , to P^+ in \bar{D} . Thus, $\bar{H}^*(X) = P \oplus \hat{D}$, where $P = P(H^*(X)) = P^- \oplus P^+$. Define

$$\hat{S} = \hat{D} \otimes \hat{D} \oplus \hat{D} \otimes P \oplus P \otimes \hat{D}$$

in $\bar{H}^*(X \# X)$, and set

$$S = \lambda(\hat{S}) \quad \text{in} \quad \bar{H}^*(P_2 X).$$

Let $\{u_i\}, \{v_j\}$ be respective bases for P^-, P^+ , and choose classes $\{y_i\}, \{z_j\}$ in $\bar{H}^*(P_2 X)$ such that

$$\iota y_i = u_i, \quad \iota z_j = v_j.$$

Each z_j is odd-dimensional, and consequently,

$$z_r \cdot z_s + z_s \cdot z_r = 0 \quad (\text{all } r, s).$$

In particular, if characteristic $k \neq 2, z_j^2 = 0$.

Denote by N the subalgebra of $\bar{H}^*(P_2 X)$ spanned by the classes $\{y_i\}$ and $\{z_j\}$. Since $P_2 X$ has category three (it is formed from the suspension by attaching a cone), the classes

$$(3.6) \quad \{y_i\}, \{z_j\}, \{y_i z_j\}, \{y_p y_q\} (p \leq q), \{z_r z_s\} (r \leq s),$$

span N , as a k -module. We show

LEMMA (3.7). *Suppose that the algebra $\bar{H}^*(X)$ is primitively generated. Set*

$$x = \sum_i a_i y_i + \sum_j b_j z_j + \sum_i \sum_j c_{ij} y_i z_j + \sum_{p \leq q} d_{pq} y_p y_q + \sum_{r \leq s} e_{rs} z_r z_s,$$

where $a_i, \dots, e_{rs} \in k$, and where each $e_{ss} = 0$ if characteristic $k \neq 2$. If $x \in S$, then all the coefficients a_i, \dots, e_{rs} are zero.

By definition, if $x \in S$, then $x = \lambda(\hat{s})$, for some $\hat{s} \in \hat{S}$, and therefore $\iota(x) = 0$, by the exactness of (3.1). Now

$$\iota(y_i z_j) = \iota(y_p y_q) = \iota(z_r z_s) = 0,$$

by (3.2)(iii), and by hypothesis

$$\iota y_i = u_i, \quad \iota z_j = v_j.$$

Thus, assuming $x \in S$ we have

$$0 = \iota(x) = \sum_i a_i u_i + \sum_j b_j v_j,$$

and hence each a_i, b_j is zero since $\{u_i\}$ and $\{v_j\}$ are respective bases for P^-, P^+ and $P^- \cap P^+ = 0$.

Set

$$\{w_m\} = \{u_i\} \cup \{v_j\}.$$

Thus $\{w_m\}$ is a basis for $P (= P^- \oplus P^+)$, and the classes $\{w_m \otimes w_n\}$ form a k -basis for $P \otimes P$. Let $\{\bar{w}_m\}$ denote a set of dual homology classes to $\{w_m\}$. That is,

$$\langle w_m, \bar{w}_n \rangle = \delta_{mn}, \quad \text{the Kronecker delta.}$$

Since $\bar{H}^*(X) = P \oplus \hat{D}$, and since $H^q(X)$ is finite-dimensional ($q \geq 0$), we may choose the classes $\{\bar{w}_m\}$ so that for all m ,

$$\langle \hat{D}, \bar{w}_m \rangle = 0.$$

Thus by (3.4) and the definition of \hat{S} ,

$$(3.8) \quad \langle \hat{S}, \bar{w}_m \otimes \bar{w}_n \rangle = 0 \quad (\text{all } m, n).$$

We define

$$A = \sum_i \sum_j c_{ij} u_i \otimes v_j + \sum_{p \leq q} d_{pq} u_p \otimes u_q - \sum_{r \leq s} e_{rs} v_r \otimes v_s$$

in $\bar{H}^*(X \# X)$ and obtain by (3.3) that

$$\lambda(A) = \sum_i \sum_j c_{ij} y_i z_j + \sum_{p \leq q} d_{pq} y_p y_q + \sum_{r \leq s} e_{rs} z_r z_s = x.$$

Consequently $\lambda(A - \hat{s}) = 0$, and hence by exactness there is an element $f \in \bar{H}^*(X)$ such that

$$\phi(f) = A - \hat{s}.$$

To show that each coefficient c_{ij} is zero, we observe that

$$\langle A, \bar{u}_i \otimes \bar{v}_j \rangle = c_{ij}, \quad \langle A, \bar{v}_j \otimes \bar{u}_i \rangle = 0,$$

by the choice of the dual classes \bar{u}_i and \bar{v}_j . Therefore if we define

$$\bar{g} = \bar{u}_i \cdot \bar{v}_j - \bar{v}_j \cdot \bar{u}_i,$$

we obtain, by (3.5) and (3.8), that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \bar{g} \rangle &= \langle \phi(f), \bar{u}_i \otimes \bar{v}_j - \bar{v}_j \otimes \bar{u}_i \rangle \\ &= \langle A - \hat{s}, \bar{u}_i \otimes \bar{v}_j - \bar{v}_j \otimes \bar{u}_i \rangle = c_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if $\bar{g} = 0$, then $c_{ij} = 0$. Now $H^*(X)$ is a primitively generated Hopf algebra, and therefore its dual algebra, $H_*(X)$, is commutative by (4.8) and (4.9) of [9]. Consequently since \bar{g} is the commutator of \bar{u}_i and \bar{v}_j , it must vanish, and hence so must c_{ij} . An entirely similar argument shows that the coefficients d_{pq} and e_{rs} are zero, when $p < q$ and $r < s$.

To show that the remaining coefficients d_{qq} and e_{ss} are all zero, we use the fact that

$$(3.9) \quad \langle \phi(f), \bar{u}_q \otimes \bar{u}_q \rangle = d_{qq}, \quad \langle \phi(f), \bar{v}_s \otimes \bar{v}_s \rangle = e_{ss}.$$

Suppose that characteristic $k = 2$. Then by (4.9) of [9], since $H^*(X)$ is primitively generated, we have $x^2 = 0$ for all classes $x \in \bar{H}_*(X)$. Thus,

$$\bar{u}_q^2 = 0, \quad \bar{v}_s^2 = 0,$$

and hence by (3.5), $d_{qq} = e_{ss} = 0$. On the other hand, if characteristic $k \neq 2$, then by hypothesis, $e_{ss} = 0$ (all s). But since \bar{u}_q is odd-dimensional and $H_*(X)$ is commutative, we must have $\bar{u}_q^2 = 0$, which shows by (3.9) that $d_{qq} = 0$, completing the proof of (3.7).

4. Proof of (1.1)

It follows from (3.7), by taking $x = 0$, that the classes given in (3.6) form a k -basis for the subalgebra N , and thus $N = (\bar{A}/D^3A)$, as given in (1.1). Moreover, $N \cap S = 0$, again by (3.7), and since $S = \lambda(\hat{S})$, it follows from (3.1) that $\iota S = 0$. We show in an appendix (§6) that $S \cdot \bar{H}^*(P_2 X) = 0$. Thus S is an ideal, and we complete the proof of the splitting given in (1.1) by showing that

$$\bar{H}^*(P_2 X) = N + S.$$

Let $x \in \bar{H}^*(P_2 X)$. Since $\iota(x)$ is primitive, we may write

$$\iota x = \sum_i a_i u_i + \sum_j b_j v_j.$$

where $a_i, b_j \in k$. Set

$$y = \sum_i a_i y_i + \sum_j b_j z_j \in N.$$

Then $\iota(x - y) = 0$, and therefore by the exactness of (3.1) there is a class $w \in \bar{H}^*(X \# X)$ such that $\lambda(w) = x - y$. However,

$$\bar{H}^*(X \# X) = \hat{S} \oplus (P \otimes P),$$

and since $\lambda(\hat{S}) = S$ and $\lambda(P \otimes P) \subset N$ (by (3.3)), we have $x \in N + S$, completing the proof of the splitting.

Assume now that $k = \mathbb{Z}_p, p$ a prime. As above let N denote the subalgebra of $\bar{H}^*(P_2 X)$ generated by the classes given in (3.6), and define \tilde{N} to be the subalgebra of N generated by the classes $\{y_i\}$. Let I denote the ideal of N generated by $\{z_j\}$. Then

$$N = \tilde{N} \oplus I,$$

as a k -module. Define $\tilde{S} = I \oplus S$, which is an ideal in $\bar{H}^*(P_2 X)$. Then by the splitting obtained above we have

$$\bar{H}^*(P_2 X) = \tilde{N} \oplus \tilde{S},$$

as a group. In §3 we defined \tilde{D} to be the subspace of $\bar{H}^*(X)$ spanned by P^+ and the decomposable elements. Define

$$\tilde{S}_1 = \tilde{D} \otimes \tilde{D} \oplus \tilde{D} \otimes P^- \oplus P^- \otimes \tilde{D}$$

in $\bar{H}^*(X \# X)$, and set

$$L = \text{the linear subspace of } \bar{H}^*(P_2 X) \text{ spanned by } \{z_j\}.$$

Then by (3.3) and the definition of the subspace S (see §3), we have

$$\tilde{S} = I \oplus S = L \oplus \lambda(\hat{S}_1).$$

Since the elements of $\hat{\alpha}_p$ all have even degree, $\hat{\alpha}_p(P^+) \subset P^+$ and $\hat{\alpha}_p(P^-) \subset P^-$. Moreover by the Cartan product formula, $\hat{\alpha}_p(D^2) \subset D^2$, where $D^2 = D^2H^*(X)$. Thus $\hat{\alpha}_p(\tilde{D}) \subset \tilde{D}$, and therefore (again by the Cartan formula), $\hat{\alpha}_p(\tilde{S}_1) \subset \tilde{S}_1$. Thus, by (3.2)(ii), $\hat{\alpha}_p(\lambda\tilde{S}_1) \subset \lambda\tilde{S}_1$. Since the elements of \tilde{N} all have even degree and those of L have odd degree, $\hat{\alpha}_p(L) \subset \tilde{S}$, and therefore $\hat{\alpha}_p(\tilde{S}) \subset \tilde{S}$, as required.

Since

$$\tilde{A}/D^3\tilde{A} \approx H^*(P_2 X)/\tilde{S},$$

we can regard $\tilde{A}/D^3\tilde{A}$ as an $\hat{\alpha}_p$ -algebra. Define \mathfrak{B}_p to be the ideal of \mathfrak{A}_p generated by the Bockstein operator β_p . Then $\mathfrak{A}_p = \mathfrak{B}_p \oplus \hat{\alpha}_p$, as a Z_p -vector space. Since the elements of $\tilde{A}/D^3\tilde{A}$ all have even degree, we then can regard $\tilde{A}/D^3\tilde{A}$ as an algebra over all of \mathfrak{A}_p by setting $\mathfrak{B}_p(\tilde{A}/D^3\tilde{A}) = 0$. This completes the proof of (1.1).

5. Remarks

The hypotheses of (1.1) can be altered in various ways. For example let k denote either the rational numbers Q or the field Z_p , p a prime; and suppose that the algebra $H^*(X)$ is not primitively generated. If, instead, one has that $H^*(X)$ is finite-dimensional (as a vector space), then the splitting given in (1.1) is still obtained, but one can no longer assert that \tilde{S} is an $\tilde{\alpha}_p$ -module. Since $H^*(X)$ is not primitively generated, we can no longer use [9] to obtain the appropriate lemma analogous to (3.7). Instead one now applies the results of [5], especially (6.8).

Another change is to use the integers for coefficients, rather than a field k . If one assumes that X has no torsion, and that $H^*(X; Z)$ is primitively generated and of finite rank, then a splitting analogous to that given in (1.1) is obtained. One uses the fact that $H^*(X; Z)$ is an exterior algebra on odd-dimensional, primitive generators. Thus, only the polynomial part (generated by the classes $\{y_i\}$) is obtained in the algebra N .

6. Appendix

Let X and Y be spaces, and f a map $X \rightarrow Y$. Denote by C_f the cone on X attached to Y by means of f . Then we have a proper triad (C_f, CX, M_f) , where CX is the cone on X and M_f is the mapping cylinder of f (see [2, §§2, 3] for details). Moreover,

$$CX \cup M_f = C_f, \quad CX \cap M_f = X,$$

and hence one has a Mayer-Vietoris coboundary

$$\mu : H^q(X) \rightarrow H^{q+1}(C_f).$$

We prove

LEMMA (6.1). *Let $x \in \tilde{H}^*(X)$ and $y \in \tilde{H}^*(C_f)$. Then*

$$\mu(x) \smile y = 0.$$

This clearly implies the result needed in (1.1)—that $S \cdot \bar{H}^*(P_2 X) = 0$ —since $S = \lambda(\bar{S})$ and λ is the composition of μ with other homomorphisms.

To prove (6.1) we recall the definition of μ ([2, §3]). This is given by the following commutative diagram, where δ is the coboundary, m^* is the excision isomorphism, and n^* is induced by the inclusion:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^q(X) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & H^{q+1}(C_f) \\ \downarrow \delta & & \uparrow n^* \\ H^{q+1}(M_f, X) & \xrightarrow{m^{*-1}} & H^{q+1}(C_f, CX). \end{array}$$

Since CX is acyclic, there is a class $v \in \bar{H}^*(C_f, CX)$ such that $n^*v = y$. Choose $u \in \bar{H}^*(C_f, CX)$ such that $m^*u = \delta x$. Then,

$$\mu(x) \smile y = n^*(u \smile v) = n^*m^{*-1}(\delta x \smile m^*v).$$

Let l^* denote the homomorphism induced by the inclusion $M_f \subset (M_f, X)$. Then, by the naturality of the cup-product and the exactness of the cohomology sequence of a pair, one has

$$\delta x \smile m^*v = (l^*\delta x) \smile m^*v = 0 \smile m^*v = 0,$$

completing the proof of the lemma.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. F. ADAMS, *H-spaces with few cells*, Topology, vol. 1 (1962), pp. 67-72.
2. J. ADEM, *Un criterio cohomológico para determinar composiciones esenciales de transformaciones*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana (2), vol. 1 (1956), pp. 38-48.
3. A. BOREL, *Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibrés principaux et des espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie compacts*, Ann. of Math. (2), vol. 57 (1953), pp. 115-207.
4. ———, *Sur l'homologie et la cohomologie des groupes de Lie compacts connexes*, Amer. J. Math., vol. 76 (1954), pp. 273-342.
5. W. BROWDER, *Torsion in H-spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2), vol. 74 (1961), pp. 24-51.
6. S. EILENBERG AND N. STEENROD, *Foundations of algebraic topology*, Princeton, Princeton University Press, 1952.
7. S. LEFSCHETZ, *Algebraic topology*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, vol. 27, 1942.
8. J. MILNOR, *Construction of universal bundles II*, Ann. of Math (2), vol. 63 (1956), pp. 430-436.
9. J. MILNOR AND J. MOORE, *On the structure of Hopf algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
10. M. ROTHENBERG, *On the Milnor construction of universal bundles*, Thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 1961.
11. J. STASHEFF, *On homotopy Abelian H-spaces*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., vol. 57 (1961), pp. 734-745.
12. E. THOMAS, *On functional cup-products and the transgression operator*, Arch. Math., vol. 12 (1961), pp. 435-444.

13. ———, *Steenrod squares and H -spaces*, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, vol. 77 (1963), pp. 306–317.
14. ———, *On the mod 2 cohomology of certain H -spaces*, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, vol. 37 (1962), pp. 132–140.

CORNELL UNIVERSITY

ITHACA, NEW YORK

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA