A NOTE ON SIMPLE BINOMIAL SAMPLING PLANS

By B. Brainerd and T. V. Narayana¹

University of Toronto and University of Alberta

Introduction. This note gives two equivalent characterizations of simple sampling plans (s.p.'s) of size n, both of which prove the following Theorem: The number of simple sampling plans of size n is $n^{-1} \binom{3n}{n-1}$. The definitions and notations used will be those of M. H. DeGroot [1].

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. We indicate only the main steps in the proof, as the details are straightforward and can be filled in by reference to [1].

- 1. A simple s.p. of size n is characterized by the set C of its continuation points in the lattice quadrant.
- 2. A set C of lattice points in the quadrant is the set of continuation points of a simple s.p. S of size n if and only if
 - (i) the intersection C_k of C with each diagonal

$$A_k = \{x + y = k; x \ge 0, y \ge 0\}$$

is connected.

- (ii) C_k is non-empty if and only if k < n.
- (iii) No point of C_{k+1} is to the left of the leftmost point of C_k or below the lowest point of C_k . (If A, B are any two points in the lattice plane, A is to the left of B if and only if the x coordinate of A is less than that of B and A is below B if and only if the y coordinate of A is less than that of B).
- 3. Each non-empty C_k is characterized by how far southeast, t_k , its top is from (0, k) and how far northwest, b_k , its bottom is from (k, 0). t_k , b_k are non-negative integers.
 - 4. The only restrictions on $\{t_k, b_k\}$ of a simple s.p. of size n are

$$t_k + b_k \leq k,$$
 $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1,$ $0 \leq t_k \leq t_{k+1},$ $0 \leq b_k \leq b_{k+1},$ $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 2.$

5. The number of different solutions of the above set of inequalities is the number of different simple s.p.'s of size n.

The combinatorial problem posed in 4, 5 may be solved thus. (A more general treatment of such problems is contained in [2].)

If $(x, y)_n$ denotes the number of simple s.p.'s of size n with $t_{n-1} = x$ and $b_{n-1} = y$, then plainly

(1)
$$(x, y)_n = \sum_{a=0}^x \sum_{b=0}^y (a, b)_{n-1} \qquad \text{for } x + y < n$$

$$= 0 \qquad \text{for } x + y > n.$$

Received July 28, 1959; revised February 3, 1961.

¹ This note was prepared while the authors were fellows at the Summer Research Institute of the Canadian Mathematical Congress.

The condition $(0, 0)_1 = 1$ together with (1) determines $(x, y)_n$ recursively for all non-negative integers x, y and positive integers n.

The number of different simple s.p.'s with $t_{n-1} + b_{n-1} = k$ is $k_{(n)}$, where

(2)
$$k_{(n)} = \sum_{x+y=k} (x, y)_n = \sum_{x+y=k} \sum_{a=0}^x \sum_{b=0}^y (a, b)_{n-1}$$
$$= \sum_{c=0}^k (k-c+1)c_{(n-1)} \text{ for } k < n, \qquad k_{(n)} = 0 \text{ for } k \ge n,$$

and $0_{(1)} = 1$. These conditions determine $k_{(n)}$ recursively. Experiment leads to the conjectured solution

(3)
$$k_{(n)} = \frac{2n - 2k}{2n + k} {2n + k \choose 2n} = \frac{2n - 2k}{2n + k} {2n + k \choose k}$$
$$= {2n + k \choose k} - 3 {2n + k - 1 \choose k - 1} \qquad \text{for } k \le n$$
$$= 0 \qquad \text{for } k \ge n.$$

Recalling the simple general formula

(4)
$$\sum_{b=0}^{c} \binom{a+b}{b} = \binom{a+c+1}{c},$$

it is straightforward to verify that (3) does determine $k_{(n)}$. Then (3) and (4) together show that the total number of simple s.p.'s of size n is

(5)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k_{(n)} = {3n \choose n-1} - 3 {3n-1 \choose n-2} = \frac{1}{n} {3n \choose n-1},$$

and the problem is solved.

Characterization by boundary points. Let us define a symmetric s.p. of size n as one symmetric about the line x = y. From the above, a simple symmetric s.p. of size 2n is characterized by the vector of non-negative integers

(6)
$$(t_2, t_3, \dots, t_{2n-1})$$
 $(t_1 = t_0 = 0).$

where $t_2 \leq t_3 \leq \cdots \leq t_{2n-1}$ and $t_i \leq [i/2]$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Consider the vectors of non-negative integers (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) satisfying

(7)
$$a_1 \ge \cdots \ge a_{n-1} \ge 0, \quad a_i \le 2n - 2i, \quad i = 1, \cdots, n-1.$$

From a vector (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) satisfying (6) we obtain a vector (t_2, \dots, t_{2n-1}) satisfying (7) (and conversely) by the following 1:1 correspondence:

Given (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) construct a vector (t_2, \dots, t_{2n-1}) in which

The first
$$(2n - 2 - a_1)t$$
's are zero.
The next $(a_1 - a_2)t$'s are one.

(8)
$$\vdots$$
The next $(a_{n-2} - a_{n-1})t$'s are $n-2$.
The last $a_{n-1}t$'s are $n-1$.

908 v. n. murty

Thus the simple symmetric s.p.'s of size 2n can also be characterized by the vectors (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) satisfying (7); or more precisely the vectors $(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, 0, 0, 0, a_{n-1}, \dots a_1)$ satisfying (7) characterize simple symmetric s.p.'s of size 2n. The a's in fact represent the "distances" of its boundary points from the points on the line x + y = 2n. From known results [3. p. 170], the number of simple symmetric s.p.'s of size 2n is $n^{-1} \binom{3n}{n-1}$.

Evidently, a 1:1 correspondence similar to (8) yields a characterization of any simple s.p. of size n in terms of the "distances" of its boundary points from the line x + y = n. The vectors (a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) depend on both (t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) and (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) in this case, but the method as well as the conditions satisfied by (a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) can be easily derived. Since the lattice-theoretic ideas developed in [2, 3] yield a simple 1:1 correspondence between the vectorial representations (using boundary points) of simple s.p.'s of size n and simple symmetric s.p.'s and their interpretation as a distributive lattice applies with little change to other problems in probability theory, and yields a unified approach for rederiving and extending many results. [cf., 2].

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to the referee for his helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Morris H. DeGroot, "Unbiased sequential estimation for binomial populations," Ann. Math. Stat., Vol. 30 (1959), pp. 80-101.
- [2] T. V. NARAYANA, "An analogue of the multinomial theorem," to appear in Can. Math. Bull.
- [3] T. V. NARAYANA AND G. E. FULTON, "A note on the compositions of an integer," Can. Math. Bull., Vol. 1 (1958), pp. 169-173.

AN INEQUALITY FOR BALANCED INCOMPLETE BLOCK DESIGNS

By V. N. Murty

Central Statistical Organization, New Delhi

1. Summary. For a resolvable balanced incomplete block design, R. C. Bose [1] obtained the inequality $b \ge v + r - 1$, and P. M. Roy [2] and W. F. Mikhail [3] proved this inequality without the assumption of resolvability, but with the weaker assumption that v is a multiple of k. In this note an alternative and simpler proof of Roy's theorem is given.

Received October 29, 1960.