COMPLETELY INJECTIVE SEMIGROUPS

E. H. FELLER AND R. L. GANTOS

A semigroup S with identity is termed completely right injective if every right unitary S-system is injective. The semigroup S is called completely injective if every right and left unitary S-system is injective. We prove that S is completely injective if and only if S is a semigroup with zero, where every right ideal and every left ideal of S is generated by an idempotent. This condition is equivalent to the statement that S is an inverse semigroup with zero, whose idempotents are dually well-ordered.

If S is completely injective and if e is an idempotent in S, then eSe, and every two-sided ideal of S, is completely injective.

A completely injective semigroup S is termed *central* if S is the union of groups. If S is completely injective and S has a finite number of right ideals, or if the two-sided ideals of S are local, then S is central.

2. Main theorems. Throughout this paper S will always denote a semigroup with 1, and all S-systems will be unitary. The set of idempotents of any semigroup T will be denoted by E(T).

Using 2.2, and the proof of 2.7 of [3], we have the first part of the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. If S is completely right injective, then every right ideal is generated by an idempotent. Thus the right ideals form a chain under set inclusion, which is dually well-ordered. In addition, S contains a zero element.

Proof. From the proof of 2.6 of [3], we have S contains a left zero 0. Now $0S = \{0\}$ is contained in every right ideal. Hence for $a \in S$, then $0S \subseteq a0S$. Thus 0 = a0x = a0.

LEMMA 2.2. Let $e, f \in E(S)$.

- (i) If every right ideal of S is generated by an idempotent, then $Se \subseteq Sf$ implies $eS \subseteq fS$.
- (ii) If every left ideal of S is generated by an idempotent, then $eS \subseteq fS$ implies $Se \subseteq Sf$.
- (iii) If every right and left ideal of S is generated by an idempotent, then $Se \subseteq Sf$ if and only if $eS \subseteq fS$. In particular, Se = Sf if and only if eS = fS.

Proof. Clearly, $eS \subseteq fS$ if and only if fe = e, and $Se \subseteq Sf$ if and only if ef = e. To prove (i), suppose $Se \subseteq Sf$. Then ef = e. Either $eS \subseteq fS$ or $fS \subset eS$. The latter is impossible for then $f \neq e$ and ef = f.

Part (ii) is proved in a similar way, while (iii) follows from (i) and (ii).

LEMMA 2.3. If every right and left ideal of S is generated by an idempotent, then S is an inverse semigroup. Moreover, E(S) is a chain under the natural partial ordering, which is dually well-ordered.

Proof. The fact that S is regular follows from Lemma 1.13 of [1, p. 27]. We shall now prove (i) of Lemma 1.17 of [1, p. 28] to show that S is inverse.

For $e, f \in E(S)$, then either $eS \subseteq fS$ or $fS \subseteq eS$. If $eS \subseteq fS$, then by 2.2 we have $Se \subseteq Sf$. Hence fe = ef = e, and $e \subseteq f$ under the natural partial ordering. Thus the idempotents of S commute, and form a chain.

Since E(S) is commutative, then $e \leq f$ if and only if $eS \subseteq fS$. By 2.1, the dual well-ordering of the right ideals implies that any nonempty subset $\{e_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in I\}$ of E(S) contains a greatest element; namely the idempotent which generates $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} e_{\alpha}S$.

LEMMA 2.4. If T is an inverse semigroup and $e \in E(T)$, then aea^{-1} and $a^{-1}ea$ are in E(T).

Proof. Since $a^{-1}a \in E(T)$ and E(T) is commutative, then

$$(aea^{-1})(aea^{-1}) = a(a^{-1}a)e^2a^{-1} = aea^{-1}$$
.

Similarly, $(a^{-1}ea)^2 = a^{-1}ea$.

FIRST MAIN THEOREM 2.5. A semigroup S is completely injective if and only if S is a semigroup with zero, and every left and right ideal of S is generated by an idempotent.

Proof. From 2.1, we have the "only if" part of this theorem.

Suppose now S is a semigroup with zero, and every right and left ideal is generated by an idempotent. From 2.3, S is an inverse semigroup and E(S) is dually well-ordered. Using the same technique employed in the proof of Theorem 2.6 of [3], we show every right S-system is injective. A similar argument shows left S-systems are injective.

Let M, P, and R be S-systems where $P \subseteq R$. If $f: P \to M$ is a

S-homomorphism of P_s into M_s , let (P_0, f_0) be the maximal pair defined in the proof of 2.6 of [3]. To show M is injective, it suffices to show $P_0 = R$. Suppose $r \in R$, $r \notin P$, and let $A = \{a \in S \mid ra \in P_0\}$. As in 2.6 of [3], we will reach a contradiction for $P_0 \neq R$, if we can show the existence of an S-homomorphism $h: rS \to M$ which agrees with f_0 on $P_0 \cap rS$. If A is empty, the argument is the same as in 2.6 of [3].

Suppose A is nonempty. Then A = eS, for $e \in E(S)$. Let h be the same mapping, h(rs) = zes for all $s \in S$, defined in 2.6 of [3]. We need only show that h is single-valued. The argument of 2.6 of [3] will then complete the proof.

As shown in 2.6 of [3], h will be single-valued if and only if $rs_1 = rs_2$ implies $res_1 = res_2$, for all $s_1, s_2 \in S$. Since S is inverse, then $res_1 = r(es_1s_1^{-1}s_1) = r(s_1s_1^{-1})es_1 = (rs_1)s_1^{-1}es_1 = rs_2s_1^{-1}es_1$. Likewise $res_2 = rs_1s_2^{-1}es_2$. Since es_1 , and es_2 belong to A, then res_1 and res_2 belong to P_0 . Therefore $s_2s_1^{-1}es_1$ and $s_1s_2^{-1}es_2$ belong to A. Since A = eS, then $s_2s_1^{-1}es_1 = es_2s_1^{-1}es_1$; consequently $res_1 = res_2s_1^{-1}es$. Likewise $res_2 = res_1s_2^{-1}es_2$. Using the fact that idempotents commute and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{split} res_1 &= (res_2)s_1^{-1}es_1 = (res_1s_2^{-1}es_1)s_1^{-1}es_1 \\ &= res_1(s_2^{-1}es_2)(s_1^{-1}es_1) = res_1(s_1^{-1}es_1)(s_2^{-1}es_2) \\ &= r(es_1s_1^{-1}es_1)s_2^{-1}es_2 = res_1s_2^{-1}es_2 = res_2 \;. \end{split}$$

SECOND MAIN THEOREM 2.6. A semigroup T is completely injective if and only if T is an inverse semigroup with zero, and E(T) is dually well-ordered.

Proof. The definition of completely injective implies such semigroups contain an identity 1. Using 2.5 and 2.3, we have the necessity.

Conversely, suppose T is inverse with zero and E(T) is dually well-ordered. Using the argument in the proof of Lemma 2.1 of [4], the greatest element of E(T) is the identity element of T.

Let R be any right ideal of T. By Theorem 1.13 of [1, p. 27], the principal right ideals of T are generated by idempotents. Therefore $E(T) \cap R$ is not empty. Since E(T) is dually well-ordered, then $E(T) \cap R$ contains a greatest element f. It follows R = fT. In this way every right and left ideal is generated by an idempotent. Applying 2.5 we have T is completely injective.

If S is completely injective, it is of interest to note that the \mathcal{R} -classes of S, defined in [1, p. 47], are of the form $eS \setminus fS$, where fS is maximal in eS.

Example 2.7. N. R. Reilly [4] called a semigroup T an ω -semi-

group if and only if there exists a one-to-one may φ of E(T), which is commutative, onto the set of nonnegative integers such that

$$\varphi(e) \leq \varphi(f)$$

if and only if $f \leq e$. Thus E(T) is dually well-ordered. Applying 2.6, for any inverse ω -semigroup T, we have $T^{\circ} = T \cup 0$ is completely injective. The bisimple ω -semigroups are concrete examples of inverse ω -semigroups. In particular, the bicyclic semigroup of [1, p. 43] with zero adjoined is completely injective. These provide examples of completely injective semigroups, which are not the union of groups, as discussed in [3].

A trivial example of a completely right injective semigroup which is not completely left injective is a right zero semigroup containing two or more elements with 0 and 1 adjoined. In fact, applying the technique of 2.5, the authors have shown that if S is a right 0-simple semigroup containing an idempotent $e \neq 0$, then $S^1 = S \cup 1$ is completely right injective.

3. Properties of completely injective semigroups. In §'s 3 and 4, S will always denote a completely injective semigroup. We begin this section with a discussion of a one-to-one correspondence between the lattices of right ideals and of left kernel congruences belonging to S-endomorphisms of ${}_{S}S$. The left kernel congruence belonging to a S-endomorphism g of ${}_{S}S$ is defined to be that left congruence ρ on S given by $a\rho b$ if and only if g(a) = g(b).

DEFINITION 3.1. If K is a subset of S, let $\rho(K)[\lambda(K)]$ denote the right [left] congruence of S defined by: $(a, b) \in \rho(K)[(a, b) \in \lambda(K)]$ if and only if ka = kb[ak = bk] for all $k \in K$. If σ is a right [left] congruence on S, let $\angle(\sigma)[_{z}(\sigma)]$ denote the set of all $s \in S$ such that if $a\sigma b$, then sa = sb[as = bs]. Clearly, $\angle(\sigma)[_{z}(\sigma)]$ is a left [right] ideal of S.

PROPOSITION 3.2. If $e \in E(S)$, then $\iota(\lambda(eS)) = eS$ and $\iota(\rho(Se)) = Se$.

Proof. If $b \in r(\lambda(eS))$, then $\lambda(e) \subseteq \lambda(b)$. Thus the mapping $g: xe \to xb$ is an S-homomorphism of Se onto Sb. Now b = g(e) = eg(e). Therefore $b \in eS$ and $\ell(\lambda(eS)) \subseteq eS$. Since the opposite inclusion is immediate, we have equality. Similarly, $\ell(\rho(Se)) = Se$.

The left congruence $\lambda(eS)$, where $e \in E(S)$, is the left kernel congruence belonging to the S-endomorphism $h: {}_{S}S \to {}_{S}S$, where h(x) = xe for all $x \in S$. Conversely, every left kernel congruence belonging to a S-endomorphism h of ${}_{S}S$ is of this form. Indeed, the left kernel

congruence belonging to h is $\lambda(h(1)S)$, which equals $\lambda(eS)$ for some $e \in E(S)$.

Since $e_1S \subseteq e_2S$ implies $\lambda(e_1S) \supseteq \lambda(e_2S)$, then 3.2 implies that the mapping $eS \to \lambda(eS)$ is a one-to-one inclusion reversing correspondence between the lattice of right ideals of S and the set \mathscr{K} of all left kernel congruences belonging to S-endomorphisms of $_SS$. Thus we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. The lattice of right ideals of S and the lattice of left kernel congruences belonging to S-endomorphisms of ${}_sS$ are dual isomorphic.

Thus S satisfies the minimum condition (D.C.C.) on right ideals if and only if \mathcal{K} satisfies the maximum condition (A.C.C.). These results are similar to results for quasi-Frobenius rings.

Note that if $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$, then $\lambda(\mathfrak{s}(\sigma)) = \sigma$. It is not difficult to show this relation is not true for an arbitrary left congruence on S.

Next we show certain subsystems of S are completely injective.

Theorem 3.4. For every $e \in E(S)$, eSe is completely injective.

Proof. We show every left and right ideal of eSe is generated by an idempotent. Let L be a left ideal of eSe. It follows directly that $L = SL \cap eSe$. Now SL = Sf, for some $f \in E(S)$. Using Lemma 1.19 of [1, p. 30], we have $L = Sf \cap eSe = Sf \cap Se \cap eS = Sfe \cap eS = (eSe)f$. If ef = e, then L = eSe. If ef = f, then $f = efe \in eSe$, and L = (eSe)f. A similar argument holds for right ideals.

If H is a two-sided ideal of S, we have by 2.2 and Theorem 1.17(ii) of [1, p. 28], that H = eS = Se. Hence $H = eS \cap Se = eSe$ and we can write

COROLLARY 3.5. Every two-sided ideal of S is completely injective.

4. Central completely injective semigroups. Throughout this section, S denotes a completely injective semigroup and T an arbitrary semigroup. If E(T) is contained in the center of T, then T is termed central. In [3], the authors determined a structure for central completely injective semigroups. We use the fact that an inverse semigroup T is central if and only if T is the union of groups (see the proof of 2.8 of [3]). Applying this together with 2.6 we have 4.1 and 4.2.

Theorem 4.1. A semigroup T with 1 is central completely injective if and only if T is an inverse semigroup with 0, E(T) is

dually well-ordered, and T is a union of groups.

THEOREM 4.2. S is central if and only if S is a union of groups.

Certainly, there are many conditions on an inverse semigroup which imply that it is the union of groups. For example, 7.4 of [2, p. 41] would imply that S is central if and only if the left and right units of each element are equal.

Next we shall give a condition in terms of local semigroups. Using the terminology of [1, p. 21], if T is a semigroup with 1, then an element a in T is called a right [left] unit provided there exist $x \in T$ such that ax = 1[xa = 1]. A left and right unit is called a unit.

PROPOSITION 4.3. A semigroup T with 1 is termed local provided one of the following equivalent conditions are satisfied.

- (i) Every right unit is a left unit.
- (ii) The set of nonunits form a proper ideal of T.
- (iii) T contains an ideal, which is a unique maximal right ideal.

Theorem 4.4. S is central if and only if the two-sided ideals of S are local.

Proof. If S is central, then each two-sided ideal of S has the form eS, where the fS of 2.11 of [3] satisfies (iii) of 4.3. Thus eS is local.

To prove the converse we shall establish the statement, "all the idempotents of S are contained in its center". For S, E(S) is dually well-ordered. Thus we can list the elements of E(S) as

$$1 = e_0 > e_1 > e_2 \cdots > e_n > \cdots > 0$$

where the subscripts are ordinal numbers less than the ordinal number of E(S). It follows

$$S = e_0 S \supset e_1 S \supset e_2 S \supset \cdots \supset e_n S \supset \cdots \supset 0$$
.

We use transfinite induction to prove the above statement. To show that e_1 is in the center of S, let K be the set of nonunits of S. Since S is local, then K is a two-sided ideal which is a unique maximal right ideal of S. Thus $K = e_1 S$ and, as in the discussion preceding 3.5, $e_1 S = S e_1$. Hence e_1 is in the center of S.

Assume inductively that all e_{α} , for $\alpha < \beta$, are in the center. If β is not a limit ordinal, then $\beta = \alpha + 1$, where e_{α} is in the center. Hence $e_{\alpha}S$ is local. Using the fact that a right ideal of an ideal of S is itself a right ideal of S, then the argument in the preceding

paragraph can be applied to show that e_{β} is in the center.

If e_{β} is a limit ordinal, then $\bigcap_{\alpha<\beta}e_{\alpha}S=e_{\beta}S$. Since the $e_{\alpha}S$, for $\alpha<\beta$, are two-sided ideals, then $e_{\beta}S$ is a two-sided ideal and e_{β} is in the center.

One could use 4.2 to prove the following result. However, 4.5 follows directly from 7.5 of [2, p. 41]. The second part is a consequence of 3.3.

PROPOSITION 4.5. If S satisfies the minimum condition for right ideals, or the maximum condition for left kernel congruences belonging to endomorphisms of $_SS$, then S is central.

A right *T*-system is projective if the usual diagram of right *T*-systems can be completed. We call a semigroup *T* with identity 1 completely right projective if every right *T*-system is projective. In ring theory, completely projective is equivalent to completely injective. This is not the case for semigroups, which can be deduced from the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.6. If T is a completely injective and completely right projective semigroup, then T is a group with zero.

Proof. Let us denote the right annihilator of x by x^* . For any idempotent e of T, we have $eT \cap e^* = 0$. Since the right ideals of T form a chain, then $e^* = 0$ for any nonzero e in E(T).

Let N be a nonzero right ideal of T. Let T/N denote the Rees factor T-system of T by N defined in [2, p. 252]. Let g denote the natural homomorphism of T onto T/N. Since T/N is projective, there exists a monomorphism h of T/N into T such that gh=1 and hg is idempotent. Consequently $hg(1)=e, e\in E(T)$, and hg(x)=ex for all $x\in T$.

By the definition of g, we have hg(N) = h(0) = 0. On the other hand, hg(N) = hg(1)N = eN. Thus eN = 0. The discussion in the first paragraph together with the fact that $N \neq 0$ implies e = 0. Hence $T/N = \overline{0}$, and N = T. Therefore each element in the semigroup of nonzero elements has a right inverse in T and T is a group with zero.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, The algebraic theory of semigroups, Vol. I, Math. Surveys No. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1961.
———, Vol. II, 1967.

- 3. E. H. Feller and R. L. Gantos, Completely injective semigroups with central idempotents, Glasgrow Math. J. (to appear)
- 4. N. R. Reilly, Bisimple ω -semigroups, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. 7 (1966), 160-169.

Received May 31, 1968. Research supported by the National Science Foundation under grant GP-6816 for the first author.

University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee