

## THE VANISHING OF COHOMOLOGY ASSOCIATED TO DISCRETE SUBGROUPS OF COMPLEX SIMPLE LIE GROUPS\*

HANS-CHRISTOPH IM HOF AND ERNST A. RUH

(Received September 1, 1980)

### 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  denote a connected complex simple Lie group and  $K$  a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ . The quotient  $M=G/K$  is a riemannian symmetric space of non-compact type. Let  $\Gamma$  denote a discrete subgroup of  $G$  with compact quotient  $\Gamma\backslash G$ , and let  $\rho$  denote an irreducible non-trivial complex representation of  $G$  in a finite dimensional complex vector space  $F$ . In this paper we prove that for such representations a certain quadratic form defined by Matsushima and Murakami [3] is positive definite, and hence  $H^*(\Gamma, M, \rho)$  vanishes.

The motivation for this paper is a result of Min-Oo and Ruh [4] on comparison theorems for non-compact symmetric spaces, where an estimate from below for the first eigenvalue of the Laplace operator on 2-forms with values in a bundle associated to the adjoint representation is essential. This estimate is an immediate consequence of the positivity of the above quadratic form. The vanishing of  $H^*(\Gamma, M, \rho)$ , without the information on the first eigenvalue, is a special case of [1, Ch. VII, Th. 6. 7].

### 2. The result

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  denote the Lie algebra of left-invariant vector fields of the simple Lie group  $G$ ,  $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(F)$  the representation induced by  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(F)$ , and  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$  a Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $\mathfrak{k}$  the Lie algebra of a maximal compact subgroup  $K$ . We identify the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with the corresponding vector fields on  $\Gamma\backslash G$ .

Let  $A(\Gamma, M, \rho)$  ( $A_0(\Gamma, M, \rho)$  in the notation of Matsushima and Murakami [3]) denote the vector space of  $F$ -valued differential forms on  $\Gamma\backslash G$  which are horizontal and  $\text{ad}K$ -equivariant, i.e.,  $\eta \in A(\Gamma, M, \rho)$  satisfies  $i_X \eta = 0$  and  $\theta_X \eta = -\rho(X)\eta$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{k}$ , where  $i_X$  is interior multiplication and  $\theta_X$  is the Lie

---

\* This work was done under the program Sonderforschungsbereich "Theoretische Mathematik" (SFB 40) at the University of Bonn.

derivative. A  $q$ -form  $\eta \in A(\Gamma, M, \rho)$  is determined by its values  $\eta_{i_1, \dots, i_q} = \eta(Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_q})$  on  $q$ -tuples of basis vectors of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . According to [3, (6.7)], the Laplace operator

$$\Delta: A(\Gamma, M, \rho) \rightarrow A(\Gamma, M, \rho)$$

is a sum of a differential operator  $\Delta_D$  and an operator  $\Delta_\rho$  associated to the representation  $\rho$ . Restricted to  $q$ -forms these operators have the following coordinate expressions.

$$(\Delta_D \eta)(Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_q}) = - \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k^2 \eta_{i_1, \dots, i_q} + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{u=1}^q (-1)^u [Y_{i_u}, Y_k] \eta_{ki_1, \dots, \hat{i}_u, \dots, i_q},$$

$$(\Delta_\rho \eta)(Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_q}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \rho(Y_k)^2 \eta_{i_1, \dots, i_q} - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{u=1}^q (-1)^u \rho([Y_{i_u}, Y_k]) \eta_{ki_1, \dots, \hat{i}_u, \dots, i_q},$$

where  $\{Y_i; i=1, \dots, n=\dim M\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{p}$  with respect to the Killing form  $\varphi$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  restricted to  $\mathfrak{p}$ . As in [3], the definition of  $\Delta$  requires a choice of an admissible hermitean inner product on  $F$ . The inner product  $\langle, \rangle_F$  is called admissible if for all  $u, v \in F$  the following conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \rho(X)u, v \rangle_F &= -\langle u, \rho(X)v \rangle_F & \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{k}, \\ \langle \rho(Y)u, v \rangle_F &= \langle u, \rho(Y)v \rangle_F & \text{for } Y \in \mathfrak{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Matsushima and Murakami [3] prove that admissible hermitean inner products always exist.

The following result is well known.

**Proposition 1.** *The vector space  $H^*(\Gamma, M, \rho)$  is canonically isomorphic to the vector space  $\{\eta \in A(\Gamma, M, \rho); \Delta\eta=0\}$  of harmonic forms.*

The restriction of the Killing form  $\varphi$  to  $\mathfrak{p}$  together with the scalar product  $\langle, \rangle_F$  on  $F$  induce a hermitean scalar product  $(, )$  on  $A(\Gamma, M, \rho)$ , obtained by integrating the pointwise defined scalar product

$$\langle \eta, \omega \rangle = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_q} \langle \eta_{i_1, \dots, i_q}, \omega_{i_1, \dots, i_q} \rangle_F.$$

Here  $\eta_{i_1, \dots, i_q}$  and  $\omega_{i_1, \dots, i_q}$  are the coordinates of  $q$ -forms with respect to an orthonormal basis in  $\mathfrak{p}$ , and  $\langle \eta, \omega \rangle$  is defined to be zero if  $\eta$  and  $\omega$  are of different degrees.

The following result is proved in [3].

**Proposition 2.** *The quadratic forms  $\eta \mapsto (\Delta_D \eta, \eta)$  and  $\eta \mapsto (\Delta_\rho \eta, \eta)$  are positive semi-definite.*

A differential form  $\eta \in A(\Gamma, M, \rho)$  is a section of the trivial vector bundle on

$\Gamma \backslash G$  with fibre  $\text{Hom}(\Lambda \mathfrak{p}, F)$ , the homomorphisms from the exterior algebra over  $\mathfrak{p}$  to  $F$ . The operator  $\Delta_\rho$  does not involve derivatives and thus can be viewed as a linear map

$$\Delta_\rho: \text{Hom}(\Lambda \mathfrak{p}, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\Lambda \mathfrak{p}, F).$$

Our main result concerns the positivity of the quadratic form  $\eta \mapsto \langle \Delta_\rho \eta, \eta \rangle$  on  $\text{Hom}(\Lambda \mathfrak{p}, F)$ , which by Proposition 2 implies the vanishing of the cohomology vector space  $H^*(\Gamma, M, \rho)$ .

**Theorem.** *Let  $\rho$  denote an irreducible non-trivial complex representation of a complex simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  on a finite dimensional complex vector space  $F$ . Then the quadratic form  $\eta \mapsto \langle \Delta_\rho \eta, \eta \rangle$  on  $\text{Hom}(\Lambda \mathfrak{p}, F)$  is positive definite, and therefore  $H^*(\Gamma, M, \rho) = (0)$ .*

The basic ideas of the proof are similar to those of Raghunathan [6]. Our restriction to complex Lie groups allows us to prove the optimal result. In addition, Assertions III and IV of [6], which lead to difficulties, can be avoided.

### 3. The proof

The restriction to complex Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  allows us to identify  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\Lambda \mathfrak{p}, F)$  with  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}(\Lambda \mathfrak{g}, F)$ . In the following we suppress the subscripts  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathbf{C}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{p} = i\mathfrak{k}$ , multiplication with  $i$  is a  $\mathbf{R}$ -vector space isomorphism  $J: \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $\Lambda J: \Lambda \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \Lambda \mathfrak{p}$  denote the induced isomorphism and define

$$\text{Hom}(\Lambda \mathfrak{p}, F) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}(\Lambda \mathfrak{k}, F) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}(\Lambda \mathfrak{g}, F),$$

where the first isomorphism is composition with  $\Lambda J$ , and the image  $\xi$  of  $\xi' \in \text{Hom}(\Lambda \mathfrak{k}, F)$  under the second isomorphism is defined by  $\xi(X \otimes \lambda) = \lambda \xi'(X)$ , for  $X \in \Lambda \mathfrak{k}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ .

From now on we identify  $\text{Hom}(\Lambda \mathfrak{g}, F)$  with  $F \otimes \Lambda \mathfrak{g}^*$  and view  $\Delta_\rho$  as an element in the endomorphism ring of  $F \otimes \Lambda \mathfrak{g}^*$ . Let  $c$  denote the Casimir element with respect to the Killing form  $\varphi$  in the universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The representation  $\rho$  extends to  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ . In the following lemma  $\sigma$  denotes the dual of the representation  $\Lambda \text{ad}$  induced by the adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Lemma 1.**  $2\Delta_\rho = 3(\rho \otimes 1)(c) + (1 \otimes \sigma)(c) - (\rho \otimes \sigma)(c)$

This lemma proves in particular that  $\Delta_\rho$  is a selfadjoint endomorphism with respect to the scalar product  $\langle, \rangle$  introduced earlier.

**Proof.** Let  $\{X_k; k=1, \dots, n\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{k}$  with respect to  $-\varphi$  restricted to  $\mathfrak{k}$ , and  $\{Y_k\} = \{iX_k\}$  the corresponding basis in  $\mathfrak{p}$ . The image

$\xi \in \text{Hom}(\Lambda\mathfrak{g}, F)$  of  $\eta \in \text{Hom}(\Lambda\mathfrak{p}, F)$  under the isomorphism defined above evaluated on  $(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_q})$  is

$$\xi(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_q}) = \eta(iX_{i_1}, \dots, iX_{i_q}) = \eta(Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_q}).$$

With this identification of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Lambda\mathfrak{g}, F)$  and  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\Lambda\mathfrak{p}, F)$ ,  $\Delta_\rho$  operates on  $\xi$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_\rho \xi)(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_q}) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \rho(iX_k)^2 \xi(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_q}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{u=1}^q (-1)^u \rho([iX_{i_u}, iX_k]) \xi(X_k, X_{i_1}, \dots, \hat{X}_{i_u}, \dots, X_{i_q}) \\ &= (S\xi)(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_q}) + (T\xi)(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_q}). \end{aligned}$$

In view of the identification  $\text{Hom}(\Lambda\mathfrak{g}, F) = F \otimes \Lambda\mathfrak{g}^*$ , the first summand is given in terms of the Casimir element  $c$  as

$$S = (\rho \otimes 1)(c),$$

since  $\{X_k\}$  and  $\{-X_k\}$  are dual bases with respect to  $\varphi$  and therefore  $c = -\sum X_k^2$ . To deal with the second summand, we abbreviate  $E = \Lambda\mathfrak{g}^*$  and specialize to  $\xi = f \otimes e$  with  $f \in F$  and  $e \in E$ . The immediate goal is to prove that in this case

$$T(f \otimes e) = \sum_{k=1}^n \rho(X_k) f \otimes \sigma(X_k) e.$$

Let  $c_{ij}^k$  denote the structure constants of  $\mathfrak{k}$  (and  $\mathfrak{g}$ ) with respect to the basis  $\{X_k\}$ ;

thus  $\sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k X_k = [X_i, X_j]$ , and

$$\rho([iX_{i_u}, iX_k]) = -\rho([X_{i_u}, X_k]) = -\sum_{s=1}^n c_{i_u k}^s \rho(X_s) = -\sum_{s=1}^n c_{s i_u}^k \rho(X_s),$$

where the last equality holds because  $c_{ij}^k$ , in terms of an orthonormal basis with respect to  $-\varphi$ , is skew symmetric in each pair of indices. We have

$$(T\xi)(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_q}) = \sum_{s=1}^n \sum_{u=1}^q (-1)^u \rho(X_s) \xi([X_s, X_{i_u}], X_{i_1}, \dots, \hat{X}_{i_u}, \dots, X_{i_q}).$$

Abbreviating  $X = X_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge X_{i_q}$  we obtain  $(T\xi)(X) = \sum_{k=1}^n \rho(X_k) \xi(-\Lambda \text{ad}(X_k)X)$ , and for  $\xi = f \otimes e$  and  $\sigma$  the dual representation of  $\Lambda \text{ad}$  we obtain

$$T(f \otimes e) = \sum_{k=1}^n \rho(X_k) f \otimes \sigma(X_k) e.$$

To conclude the proof we compute as in [6]

$$2\rho(X_k) \otimes \sigma(X_k) = (\rho \otimes \sigma)(X_k)^2 - \rho(X_k)^2 \otimes id_E - id_F \otimes \sigma(X_k),$$

and obtain

$$2T = (\rho \otimes 1)(c) + (1 \otimes \sigma)(c) - (\rho \otimes \sigma)(c).$$

To prove the Theorem we will show that all the eigenvalues of  $\Delta_\rho$  are positive. The basic observation (see Lemma 2 below) is that for any irreducible representation  $\rho$ , the endomorphism  $\rho(c)$  is a scalar operator whose eigenvalue is given in terms of the highest weight of  $\rho$ . This fact will be applied individually to the irreducible components of  $\rho \otimes 1$ ,  $1 \otimes \sigma$ , and  $\rho \otimes \sigma$ .

First we introduce some notation. As above we fix a Cartan decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ , where  $\mathfrak{k}$  is a compact real form of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{p} = i\mathfrak{k}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  compatible with the given Cartan decomposition. Then  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{p}$ , where  $\mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p} = i\mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{k}$ . Let  $\Delta$  denote the root system of the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ . To each  $\alpha \in \Delta$  we associate  $H_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$  such that  $\alpha(H) = \langle H_\alpha, H \rangle$  for all  $H \in \mathfrak{h}$ , where the Killing form is denoted by  $\langle, \rangle$  from now on. Then  $\mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{p}$  coincides with the real vector space spanned by  $\{H_\alpha; \alpha \in \Delta\}$ , so  $\Delta$  may be viewed as a subset of  $\mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{p}^*$ , the real dual of  $\mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{p}$ . The Killing form  $\langle, \rangle$  is real and positive definite on  $\mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{p}$ , hence it induces a scalar product  $\langle, \rangle$  on  $\mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{p}^*$ . By fixing a basis of  $\Delta$  we once and for all determine a set  $\Delta^+$  of positive roots. We define  $\delta = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(F)$  be any irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with highest weight  $\lambda$ , then  $\rho(c) = \langle \lambda, \lambda + \delta \rangle \cdot id_F$ .*

For a proof see Raghunathan [5, Lemma 4], or Bourbaki [2, Ch. 8, §6, n° 4].

Lemma 2 immediately applies to our given representation  $\rho$  and thus enables us to compute the contribution of  $3(\rho \otimes 1)(c)$  to the eigenvalues of  $2\Delta_\rho$ . The second term  $(1 \otimes \sigma)(c)$  involves the representation  $\sigma = \Lambda ad^*$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $E = \Lambda \mathfrak{g}^*$ . This representation is no longer irreducible, so Lemma 2 applies to each component of  $\sigma$  separately. Thus the knowledge of the highest weights of the irreducible components of  $\sigma$  is required.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\mu$  be the highest weight of an irreducible component of  $\sigma$ . Then  $\mu$  is of the form  $\mu = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} m_\alpha \alpha$ , with  $m_\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$ .*

Proof. The weight space decomposition of  $ad: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$  with respect to the Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  equals the root space decomposition of the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ , i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

The dual representation  $ad^*: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$  leads to the analogous decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} (\mathfrak{g}^*)_\alpha, \quad \text{with} \quad (\mathfrak{g}^*)_\alpha = (\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha})^*.$$

Now let  $n = \dim \mathfrak{g}^*$ ,  $r = \dim \mathfrak{h}^*$ , and observe  $\dim(\mathfrak{g}^*)_\alpha = 1$ . Then  $E = \Lambda \mathfrak{g}^* = \Lambda(\mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} (\mathfrak{g}^*)_\alpha)$  is isomorphic to a sum of subspaces of the form

$$\Lambda^h(\mathfrak{h}^*) \otimes (\mathfrak{g}^*)_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes (\mathfrak{g}^*)_{\alpha_k},$$

where  $0 \leq h \leq r$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ ,  $\alpha_i \in \Delta$ , and  $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$  for  $i \neq j$ .

Such a subspace is invariant under the action of  $\mathfrak{h}$ , it has weight  $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k$ . This implies in particular that the highest weights of the irreducible components occurring in  $\sigma$  are of the form  $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k$ ,  $\alpha_i \in \Delta$ ,  $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$  for  $i \neq j$ .

Let now  $E = \sum E_\mu$  be the decomposition of  $E$  into its irreducible components  $E_\mu$  indexed by their respective highest weights. Lemma 2 enables us to compute the eigenvalues of  $(1 \otimes \sigma)(c)$  on  $F \otimes E_\mu$ . The third term,  $(\rho \otimes \sigma)(c)$ , in Lemma 1 involves the representation  $\rho \otimes \sigma$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $F \otimes E$ . This space certainly decomposes into the sum  $\sum F \otimes E_\mu$ , but each of the components  $F \otimes E_\mu$  may further decompose into a sum  $F \otimes E_\mu = \sum V_\mu^\nu$ , where the subspaces  $V_\mu^\nu$ , irreducible under  $\rho \otimes \sigma$ , are indexed by their respective highest weights  $\nu$ .

For each  $\mu$  there is exactly one component  $V_\mu^{\lambda+\mu}$  of  $F \otimes E_\mu$  with highest weight  $\lambda + \mu$ . All other components  $V_\mu^\nu$  have highest weights  $\nu < \lambda + \mu$ . The following lemma allows us to restrict our attention to the spaces  $V_\mu^{\lambda+\mu}$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  be two irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respective highest weights  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ . Then  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$  implies*

$$\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_1 + \delta \rangle > \langle \lambda_2, \lambda_2 + \delta \rangle$$

*Proof.* Let  $\beta = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$  and assume  $\beta > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \lambda_1, \lambda_1 + \delta \rangle - \langle \lambda_2, \lambda_2 + \delta \rangle &= \\ 2\langle \lambda_2, \beta \rangle + \langle \beta, \beta \rangle + \langle \beta, \delta \rangle &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\lambda_2$  and  $\delta$  are dominant.

According to Lemma 4, the maximal eigenvalue of  $(\rho \otimes \sigma)(c)$  restricted to  $F \otimes E_\mu$  is attained on the space  $V_\mu^{\lambda+\mu}$ . Since  $(\rho \otimes 1)(c)$  and  $(1 \otimes \sigma)(c)$  are positive scalar operators on the whole space  $F \otimes E_\mu$ , and  $(\rho \otimes \sigma)(c)$  occurs with a minus sign in  $2\Delta_\rho$ , the *minimal* eigenvalue of  $2\Delta_\rho$  restricted to  $F \otimes E_\mu$  is attained on the space  $V_\mu^{\lambda+\mu}$ . This minimal eigenvalue involves only  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ , according to Lemma 2. Our claim is now reduced to the

**Assertion.** *Let  $\mu$  be any of the highest weights occurring in the decomposition  $E = \sum E_\mu$ . Then the eigenvalue of  $2\Delta_\rho$  is positive on  $V_\mu^{\lambda+\mu}$ .*

*Proof.* On  $V_\mu^{\lambda+\mu}$  we have

$$2\Delta_\rho = \{3\langle \lambda, \lambda + \delta \rangle + \langle \mu, \mu + \delta \rangle - \langle \lambda + \mu, \lambda + \mu + \delta \rangle\} \cdot \text{id}.$$

By a straightforward computation this reduces to

$$\Delta_p = \{\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle + \langle \lambda, \delta - \mu \rangle\} \cdot \text{id}.$$

The term  $\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle$  is obviously positive, since  $\lambda$  is the highest weight of a non-trivial representation. Now  $\delta = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha$ , and according to Lemma 3,  $\mu = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} m_\alpha \alpha$  with  $m_\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$ , hence  $\delta - \mu = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} n_\alpha \alpha$  with  $n_\alpha \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Therefore  $\langle \lambda, \delta - \mu \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} n_\alpha \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \geq 0$ , since  $\lambda$  is dominant.

---

### References

- [1] A. Borel and N. Wallach: Continuous cohomology, discrete subgroups, and representations of reductive groups, *Ann. of Math. Study 94*, Princeton University Press 1980.
- [2] N. Bourbaki: *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Ch. 7, 8, Act. Sci. Ind. 1364, Hermann, Paris, 1975.
- [3] Y. Matsushima and S. Murakami: *On vector bundle valued harmonic forms and automorphic forms on symmetric riemannian manifolds*, *Ann. of Math.* **78** (1963), 365–416.
- [4] Min-Oo and E.A. Ruh: *Vanishing theorems and almost symmetric spaces of non-compact type*, *Math. Ann.* **257** (1981), 419–433.
- [5] M.S. Raghunathan: *On the first cohomology of discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups*, *Amer. J. Math.* **87** (1965), 103–139.
- [6] M.S. Raghunathan: *Vanishing theorems for cohomology groups associated to discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups*, *Osaka J. Math.* **3** (1966), 243–256; Corrections *ibid.* **16** (1979), 295–299.

Hans-Christoph Im Hof and Ernst A. Ruh  
 Mathematisches Institut der Universität Bonn  
 Wegelerstrasse 10  
 D-5300 Bonn, Germany

