

## FAST RECURSION FORMULA FOR WEIGHT MULTIPLICITIES<sup>1</sup>

BY R. V. MOODY AND J. PATERA

The purpose of this note is to describe and prove a fast recursion formula for computing multiplicities of weights of finite dimensional representations of simple Lie algebras over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Until now information about weight multiplicities for all but some special cases [1, 2] has had to be found from the recursion formulas of Freudenthal [3] or Racah [4]. Typically these formulas become too laborious to use for hand computations for ranks  $\geq 5$  and dimensions  $\geq 100$  and for ranks  $\approx 10$  and dimensions  $\approx 10^4$  on a large computer [5, 6]. With the proposed method the multiplicities can routinely be calculated, even by hand, for dimensions far exceeding these. As an example we present a summary of calculations [7] of all multiplicities in the first sixteen irreducible representations of  $E_8$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a semisimple Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  with root system  $\Delta$  and Weyl group  $W$  relative to a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Let  $\Delta^+$  be the positive roots with respect to some ordering and  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$  the set of simple roots. Let  $Q$  and  $P$  be the root and weight lattices respectively spanning the real vector space  $V \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ . If  $X \subset P$  we denote by  $X^{++}$  the set of dominant elements of  $X$  relative to  $\Pi$ .

Let  $M$  be an irreducible  $\mathfrak{G}$ -module with highest weight  $\Lambda$  and weight system  $\Omega$ . An important feature of the approach is the direct determination of  $\Omega^{++}$  without computing outside the dominant chamber. Since every  $W$ -orbit is represented by one weight  $\lambda \in \Omega^{++}$  of the same multiplicity, it suffices to compute such  $\lambda$ 's.

The recursion formula for computing the multiplicities is a modification (Proposition 4) of the Freudenthal formula in which the Weyl group has been exploited to collapse it as much as possible. After describing the procedure, we present the  $E_8$  example. Finally the necessary proofs are given.

---

Received by the editors August 24, 1981.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 17B10; Secondary 22E46, 17B20.

<sup>1</sup>Work supported in part by the National Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada and by the Ministère de l'Éducation du Québec.

**Computation of dominant weights and their multiplicities. Examples.**

*Determination of  $\Omega^{++}$ .* We define inductively a set of disjoint subsets ('layers') of  $P^{++}$ ,  $L_k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , by

$$(1) L_0 = \{\Lambda\}, \quad L_k = \{\gamma \in P^{++} - L_{k-1} \mid \gamma = \lambda - \beta, \lambda \in L_{k-1}, \beta \in \Delta^+\}.$$

Then (Proposition 1)  $\bigcup_{k=0}^\infty L_k = \Omega^{++}$ . Thus  $\Omega^{++}$  can be found directly by computing the layers beginning with  $L_0$ . After  $\Omega^{++}$  is computed in this way it is reordered according to level. If  $\rho^\vee \in V$  is defined by  $(\rho^\vee, \alpha_i) = 1$  for all  $i$ , then the new (partial) ordering of  $\Omega^{++}$  is given by the integers  $(\lambda, \rho^\vee), \lambda \in \Omega^{++}$ .

*Computation of the multiplicity  $m_\lambda$  of  $\lambda \in \Omega^{++}$ .* An  $m_\lambda$  of level  $k$  is given in terms of the multiplicities  $m_{\lambda'}$  of weights  $\lambda'$  of levels above the  $k$ th one.

Let  $\text{Stab}_W(\lambda)$  be the stabilizer of  $\lambda$  in  $W$ . Then  $\text{Stab}_W(\lambda) = W_T := \langle r_i \mid i \in T \rangle$ , where  $T = \{i \mid (\lambda, \alpha_i) = 0\}$  [8]. Let  $\hat{W}_T = \langle W_T, -1_V \rangle$ , where  $1_V$  is the identity transformation on  $V$ .  $\hat{W}_T$  decomposes  $\Delta$  into orbits  $o_1, \dots, o_n$ . Each orbit  $o_i$  contains a unique  $\xi_i = \sum n_{ij} \omega_j$ ,  $\xi_i \in \Delta^+$  and  $n_{ij} \geq 0$  for all  $j \in T$  (Proposition 3). The modified Freudenthal formula is

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n |o_i| \sum_{p=1}^\infty (\lambda + p\xi_i, \xi_i) m_{\lambda+p\xi_i} = (c_\Lambda - c_\lambda) m_\lambda,$$

where  $|o_i|$  is the number of elements of  $o_i$  and, for all  $\mu \in P$ ,

$$(3) \quad c_\mu := (\mu + \rho, \mu + \rho) - (\rho, \rho), \quad \rho := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha.$$

The sum on  $p$  is reality finite and by standard properties of weight strings  $\lambda + p\xi_i \notin \Omega \Rightarrow \lambda + q\xi_i \notin \Omega$  for  $q > p$ .

It is advantageous to work in the  $\omega$ -basis of the fundamental weights when computing  $m_\lambda$ . Thus writing  $\lambda = \sum n_i \omega_i$ ,  $T = \{i \mid n_i = 0\}$ . When the positive roots are expressed in this basis one easily determines  $\xi_i$ 's. With  $S_i := \{j \in T \mid (\xi_i, \alpha_j) = 0\}$  the orbit sizes  $|o_i|$  are given by subgroup indices  $[W_T : W_{S_i}]$  or  $2[W_T : W_{S_i}]$  (Proposition 3).

If in the relation (2) some weight  $\mu = \lambda + p\xi_i = \sum n_j \omega_j$  is not in  $P^{++}$ , then some  $n_j < 0$  and  $r_j \mu = \mu - n_j \alpha_j$  is on a higher level. A finite number ( $\leq |\Delta^+|$ ) of reflections  $r_i$  transforms  $\mu$  into  $\nu \in P^{++}$  and  $m_\nu$  is already computed.

If an extensive computation of weight multiplicities is to be undertaken, it is important to notice that for a given  $\mathfrak{G}$  there are only finitely many subsets  $T$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, l\}$  and corresponding  $\xi_i$  and  $|o_i|$ . It is natural to compute this information once and for all. We are preparing such a table.

Consider an example of the  $E_8$  representation of dimension 4 096 000. There are only nine weights in  $\Omega^{++}$ . In the basis of fundamental weights these are (after reordering according to levels)  $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_8$  (see Table). Here the layers are  $L_0 = \{\lambda_8\}$ ,  $L_1 = \{\lambda_7, \lambda_6, \lambda_3\}$ ,  $L_2 = \{\lambda_5, \lambda_4, \lambda_2, \lambda_1\}$ ,  $L_3 = \{\lambda_0\}$ . Given  $\Delta^+$  in the  $\omega$ -basis, even by hand, the computation of (4) can be done in a matter of minutes.

diag.	weight	$\lambda_0$	$\lambda_1$	$\lambda_2$	$\lambda_3$	$\lambda_4$	$\lambda_5$	$\lambda_6$	$\lambda_7$	$\lambda_8$	$\lambda_9$	$\lambda_{10}$	$\lambda_{11}$	$\lambda_{12}$	$\lambda_{13}$	$\lambda_{14}$	$\lambda_{15}$	$[\# : \lambda_i]$
1	0	1	8	35	140	420	570	1 407	2 960	4 480	1 765	6 000	4 104	18 688	43 065	37 680	64 470	1
2	0	248	0	7	35	29	111	455	1 056	1 624	645	2 296	1 584	7 504	18 081	15 959	28 441	$2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$
3	0	3 875	0	1	7	6	29	135	350	552	224	826	560	2 864	7 279	6 504	12 103	$2^4 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5$
4	0	30 380	0	0	1	1	6	34	105	174	74	275	198	1 028	2 790	2 535	4 938	$2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$
5	0	27 000	0	0	0	1	-	7	27	56	29	77	63	356	1 008	959	1 897	$2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$
6	0	147 250	0	0	0	1	7	7	28	48	21	84	62	344	1 007	932	1 925	$2^4 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5$
7	0	779 247	0	0	0	1	6	12	6	12	6	22	17	104	338	323	705	$2^5 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$
8	0	2 450 240	0	0	0	1	2	2	1	5	4	28	103	28	103	101	243	$2^6 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$
9	0	4 096 000	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	-	-	6	27	34	71	2^5 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7	
10	0	1 763 125	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-	7	-	$2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$
11	0	6 696 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	6	28	27	$2^5 \cdot 2^3 \cdot 5$
12	0	4 881 384	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	-	7	6	21	$2^4 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5$
13	0	26 411 008	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	7	21	21	$2^{10} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5$
14	0	76 271 625	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5	$2^6 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$
15	0	70 680 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	146 325 270	100 002	1	-	$2^8 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$
16	0	146 325 270	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	$2^8 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$

Table of weight multiplicities for the first 16 irreducible representations of  $E_8$  ordered by the levels of their highest weights  $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{15}$ . Below the step diagonal the  $\lambda_i$ 's are expressed in terms of the fundamental weights.

The determination of the multiplicity  $m_{\lambda_2}$  is representative. Suppose we already know  $m_{\lambda_8} = 1, m_{\lambda_7} = 2, m_{\lambda_6} = 12, m_{\lambda_5} = 48, m_{\lambda_4} = 56, m_{\lambda_3} = 174$ . First we find the quantities  $\xi_i$  and  $|o_i|$  for  $\lambda_2$ . They are  $\xi_1 = 0000001, |o_1| = 28, \xi_2 = -1000000, |o_2| = 128, \xi_3 = -1000010, |o_3| = 84$ . Next we find the weights  $\lambda_2 + p\xi_i$  which either are in  $\Omega^{++}$  or are transformed there by a sequence

of reflections  $r_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, 8$ . These weights are  $\lambda_2 + \xi_1 = \lambda_6, \lambda_2 + \xi_2 = \lambda_5, \lambda_2 + \xi_3 = \lambda_3$ , and  $\lambda_2 + 2\xi_3 = -1^0 0 0 0 0 2 0$  which after 16 reflections  $r_j$  is transformed into  $\lambda_7$ . Hence (2) reads

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} &|o_1|(\lambda_6, \xi_1)m_{\lambda_6} + |o_2|(\lambda_5, \xi_2)m_{\lambda_5} + |o_3|(\lambda_3, \xi_3)m_{\lambda_3} \\ &+ |o_3|(\lambda_2 + 2\xi_3, \xi_3)m_{\lambda_7} = (c_{\lambda_8} - c_{\lambda_2})m_{\lambda_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the corresponding values into (4), one has  $28 \cdot 4 \cdot 12 + 128 \cdot 3 \cdot 48 + 84 \cdot 2 \cdot 174 + 84 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 = (186 - 96)m_{\lambda_2}$  which gives  $m_{\lambda_2} = 552$ .

The Table summarizes our results for the 16 irreducible representations of  $E_8$ . A useful check of the results is the equality of dimensions

$$(5) \quad \dim(M) = \sum_{\lambda_i \in \Omega^{++}} [W: W_{T_i}]m_{\lambda_i},$$

where the dimensions  $\dim(M)$ , the number  $[W: W_{T_i}]$  of weights on each  $W$ -orbit, and the multiplicities  $m_{\lambda_i}$  are given in the Table.

**Theory.**

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $M$  be an irreducible  $\mathfrak{G}$ -module with highest weight  $\Lambda$ . Then for  $\lambda \in P^{++}$  with  $\lambda \neq \Lambda, \lambda \in \Omega^{++}$  if and only if  $(\lambda + \Delta^+) \cap \Omega^{++} \neq \emptyset$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $\lambda \in P^{++}, \alpha \in \Delta^+$  and  $\mu := \lambda + \alpha \in \Omega^{++}$ . For all  $\beta \in \Delta^+, (\lambda, \alpha) \geq 0$ . Then  $(\mu, \alpha) = (\lambda + \alpha, \alpha) > 0$ . Since the weight string through  $\mu$  is  $\mu + q\alpha, \dots, \mu, \dots, \mu - p\alpha$  where  $p - q = 2(\mu, \alpha)/(\alpha, \alpha)$ , [3], it follows that  $p > 0$  and hence  $\lambda \in \Omega \cap P^{++} = \Omega^{++}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\lambda \in \Omega^{++}, \lambda \neq \Lambda$ . We show that there is an  $\alpha \in \Delta^+$  with  $\lambda + \alpha \in \Omega^{++}$ . There is a  $\beta \in \Delta^+$  with  $\lambda + \beta \in \Omega$ . If  $\lambda + \beta$  is dominant we are done. If not  $(\lambda + \beta, \alpha_j) < 0$  for some  $j$  so by the argument above the  $\alpha_j$ -weight string through  $\lambda + \beta$  contains  $\lambda + \beta + \alpha_j$ . Also  $(\lambda, \alpha_j) \geq 0$  since  $\lambda \in P^{++}$  so  $(\beta, \alpha_j) < 0$ . Then  $\beta + \alpha_j$  is a root,  $\beta + \alpha_j \in \Delta^+$ , and  $\lambda + \beta + \alpha_j \in \Omega$ . We can replace  $\beta$  by  $\beta + \alpha_j$  in the above and repeat. The process cannot continue indefinitely, so the required  $\alpha$  exists.

An interesting consequence of Proposition 1 is

**PROPOSITION 2 (NOTATION OF PROPOSITION 1).** *Let  $k$  be the largest integer such that  $L_k \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $L_k$  is a singleton  $\{\omega\}$ . Furthermore,  $\omega$  depends only on  $\Lambda \bmod 0$ . In particular  $0 \in \Omega$  if and only if  $\Lambda \in Q$ .*

For  $T \subset \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ , let  $V_T := \sum_{i \in T} R\alpha_i$ , and let  $\Delta_T$  be the root system based on sub-Coxeter-Dynkin diagram corresponding to the vertices labelled by  $T$ . Then  $V_T \cap \Delta = \Delta_T$ .

PROPOSITION 3. Let  $T \subset \{1, \dots, l\}$  be any subset. Then each orbit  $o$  of  $\hat{W}_T$  in  $\Delta$  contains a unique element  $\xi \in \Delta^+$  of the form  $\Sigma n_i \omega_i$  where  $n_i \geq 0$  for all  $i \in T$ . Furthermore, if  $S = \{i \in T | n_i = 0\}$  then either  $|o| = [W_T: W_S]$  if  $\xi \in \Delta_T$ , or  $|o| = 2[W_T: W_S]$  if  $\xi \notin \Delta_T$ .

PROOF (EXISTENCE). Let  $o$  be an orbit of  $\hat{W}_T$  in  $\Delta$ . Choose  $\xi = \Sigma n_i \omega_i = \Sigma c_i \alpha_i \in o$  with  $ht\xi := \Sigma c_i$  maximal. Since  $-1_V \in \hat{W}_T$ ,  $\xi \in \Delta^+$ . Since  $ht(r_i\xi) \leq ht(\xi)$  for all  $i \in T$ , we have  $(\xi, \alpha_i) \geq 0$  hence  $n_i \geq 0$ , if  $i \in T$ .

(UNIQUENESS). Let  $\pi: V \rightarrow V_T$  be the orthogonal projection onto  $V_T = \Sigma_{j \in T} \mathbf{R}\alpha_j$ . Then  $i \in T$ ,  $v \in V$ ,  $(\pi(v), \alpha_i) = (v, \alpha_i)$  from which it follows that  $\pi$  is  $W_T$ -equivariant. Furthermore,  $\pi$  is injective on any  $W_T$ -orbit in  $V$  since  $V_T \cap \ker \pi = 0$ . Thus there is a unique element on each  $W_T$ -orbit in  $V$  whose projection is in the dominant chamber of  $V_T$  under  $W_T$ . If  $\mu = \Sigma u_j \omega_j$  is such an element then  $(\mu, \alpha_i) = (\pi(\mu), \alpha_i) \geq 0$  for all  $i \in T$ , that is  $u_i \geq 0$  for all  $i \in T$ .

Now let  $\xi \in \Delta^+$ . If  $\hat{W}_T \xi = W_T \xi$  then  $-\xi \in W_T \xi$  so  $W_T \xi \cap \Delta^- \neq \emptyset$ , from which  $\xi \in \Delta_T^+$ . Conversely, if  $\xi \in \Delta_T^+$  then  $-\xi \in W_T \xi$  so  $\hat{W}_T \xi = W_T \xi$ .

Finally suppose that distinct  $\xi, \xi'$  satisfy the hypothesis of the Proposition and define the same  $\hat{W}_T$ -orbit. Then by the above  $W_T \xi \neq W_T \xi'$  so in fact  $\hat{W}_T \xi \neq W_T \xi, \xi \notin \Delta_T, W_T \xi \subset \Delta^+$  and  $\xi' \in -W_T \xi \subset \Delta^-,$  a contradiction. This proves the uniqueness. The statement on the orbit size is immediate.

PROPOSITION 4 (MODIFIED FREUDENTHAL FORMULA). Let  $M$  be the irreducible  $\mathfrak{G}$ -module of highest weight  $\Lambda$  and let  $\lambda \in \Omega(\Lambda)$ . Let  $W_T = \text{Stab}_w(\lambda)$  and let  $o_1, \dots, o_n$  be the orbits of  $\hat{W}_T$  in  $\Delta$ . Let  $\xi_i \in o_i$  be as in Proposition 3. Then equation (2) holds.

PROOF. We may suppose the indexing of the orbits is taken so that  $o_1 \cup \dots \cup o_m = \Delta_T, 0 \leq m \leq n$ . For  $i \leq m, o_i = W_T \xi_i$  whereas for  $i > m, o_i = W_T \xi_i \cup -W_T \xi_i$  (disjoint). Begin with the form of Freudenthal's formula [3, Equation 48.2]:

$$(6) \quad c_\Lambda m_\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (\lambda + p\alpha, \alpha) m_{\lambda+p\alpha} + (\lambda, \lambda) m_\lambda.$$

For  $w \in W_T, (\lambda + pw\alpha, w\alpha) = (w(\lambda + p\alpha), w\alpha) = (\lambda + p\alpha, \alpha)$  and  $m_{\lambda+p\alpha} = m_{\lambda+p\alpha}$ , so the double sum of the right-hand side of (6) may be rewritten as

$$(7) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m |W_T \xi_i| \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (\lambda + p\xi_i, \xi_i) m_{\lambda+p\xi_i} + \sum_{i=m+1}^n |W_T \xi_i| \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \{(\lambda + p\xi_i, \xi_i) m_{\lambda+p\xi_i} + (\lambda - p\xi_i, -\xi_i) m_{\lambda-p\xi_i}\}.$$

Now for any  $\alpha \in \Delta$  there is the relation [3, §48]

$$(8) \quad \sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} (\lambda + p\alpha, \alpha) m_{\lambda+p\alpha} = 0$$

by which (7) becomes

$$(9) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m |W_T \xi_i| \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (\lambda + p\xi_i, \xi_i) m_{\lambda + p\xi_i} \\ + \sum_{i=m+1}^n |W_T \xi_i| \left\{ 2 \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (\lambda + p\xi_i, \xi_i) m_{\lambda + p\xi_i} + (\lambda, \xi_i) m_{\lambda} \right\}.$$

Collect the coefficients of  $m_{\lambda}$  appearing in (9). In the first sum occur those  $\xi_i$  which are in  $\Delta_T$ . Since  $(\lambda, \alpha_j) = 0$  for  $j \in T$ ,  $(\lambda, \xi_i) = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . In the second sum we have  $\sum_{i=m+1}^n |W_T \xi_i| (\lambda, \xi_i) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+ - \Delta_T^+} (\lambda, \alpha) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (\lambda, \alpha) = 2(\lambda, \rho)$ . Taking account of the  $(\lambda, \lambda) m_{\lambda}$  appearing in (6) and  $2|W_T \xi_i| = |o_i|$  for  $i > m$ , we arrive at

$$c_{\Lambda} m_{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^n |o_i| \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (\lambda + p\xi_i, \xi_i) m_{\lambda + p\xi_i} + (2(\lambda, \rho) + (\lambda, \lambda)) m_{\lambda}$$

which proves the proposition.

The authors gratefully acknowledge the hospitality of the Aspen Center for Physics where this paper was written.

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Freudenthal, *Zur Berechnung der Charaktere der halbfachen Lieschen Gruppen*. II, Koninkl. Nederl. Akademie, Proceedings Ser. A 57, No. 5 (1954), 487–491.
2. R. C. King and H. H. Al-Qubanchi, *The Weyl group and weight multiplicities of the exceptional Lie groups*, J. Phys. A 14 (1981), 51–75.
3. H. Freudenthal and H. deVries, *Linear Lie groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1969.
4. B. Kolman and J. A. Belinfante, *Survey of Lie groups and Lie algebras with applications and computational methods*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1972.
5. V. K. Agrawala and J. G. Belinfante, *Weight diagrams for Lie group representations: A computer implementation of Freudenthal's algorithm in ALGOL and FORTRAN*, BIT 9 (1969), 301–304.
6. W. McKay, J. Patera and D. Sankoff, *The computation of branching rules for representations of semisimple Lie algebras*, Computers in Non-Associative Rings and Algebras (R. Beck and B. Kolman, eds.), Academic Press, New York, 1977.
7. M. Bremner, R. Funk and R. Moody, *Implementation of the fast recursion formula in PASCAL*.
8. N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Chapitres 4–6, Hermann, Paris, 1968.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN, SASKATOON, SASKATCHEWAN, CANADA S7N 0W0

CENTRE DE RECHERCHE DE MATHÉMATIQUES APPLIQUÉES, UNIVERSITÉ DU MONTRÉAL, QUÉBEC, CANADA H3C 3J7