

SOME THEOREMS ON C-FUNCTIONS

BY LESLIE COHN¹

Communicated by E. M. Stein, November 29, 1973

The purpose of this note is to announce certain results I have obtained about the behavior of the Harish-Chandra C -function as a meromorphic function. The notation and terminology, if not explained, are that of [2], [3], or [6].

1. **The C -ring.** Let (P, A) be a fixed parabolic pair of a semisimple Lie group G having finite center, $P=MAN$ the corresponding Langlands decomposition, K a fixed maximal compact subgroup. Let \mathcal{G} , \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{H}_M , \mathcal{M} be the universal enveloping algebras of G , K , K_M , and M , respectively ($K_M=K \cap M$)—i.e. of their complexified Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$, $\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}$, $\mathfrak{k}_{M,\mathbb{C}}$, $\mathfrak{m}_\mathbb{C}$. Let $b \rightarrow b'$ ($b \in \mathcal{G}$) denote the unique anti-automorphism of \mathcal{G} such that $X' = -X$ ($X \in \mathfrak{g}$). Consider \mathcal{H} to be a right \mathcal{H}_M -module via the multiplication in $\mathcal{H}: b \circ d = bd$ ($b \in \mathcal{H}$, $d \in \mathcal{H}_M$), and consider \mathcal{M} to be a left \mathcal{H}_M -module via the operation $d \circ c = cd'$ ($d \in \mathcal{H}_M$, $c \in \mathcal{M}$). We can then form the tensor product $\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_M} \mathcal{M}$ of \mathcal{H}_M -modules. (We write $b \hat{\otimes} c$ for elements of $\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_M} \mathcal{M}$, $b \otimes c$ for elements of $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{M}$.) The group K_M acts on $\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_M} \mathcal{M}$ via the (well-defined) representation $\rho: \rho(m)(b \hat{\otimes} c) = b^m \hat{\otimes} c^m$ ($b \in \mathcal{H}$, $c \in \mathcal{M}$, $m \in K_M$). Let $(\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_M} \mathcal{M})^{K_M}$ denote the K_M -invariants.

PROPOSITION 1. *$(\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_M} \mathcal{M})^{K_M}$ is a ring (i.e., the “obvious” multiplication is well defined). In fact, it is a left and right Noetherian integral domain (noncommutative, in general), hence has a quotient division algebra.*

We refer to $(\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}_M} \mathcal{M})^{K_M}$ as the C -ring associated to the pair (P, A) .

Let τ be a left or double representation of K on a finite-dimensional Hilbert space V . Then there exists a representation λ_τ of the ring $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ on $C^\infty(M: V)$ defined as follows:

$$\lambda_\tau(b \otimes c)\psi(m) = \tau(b)\psi(c'_i m) \quad (b \in \mathcal{H}, c \in \mathcal{M}, m \in M, \psi \in C^\infty(M: V)).$$

Let $C^\infty(M, \tau_M)$ denote the space of $\psi \in C^\infty(M: V)$ such that

$$\tau(k)\psi(m) = \psi(km) \quad (k \in K_M, m \in M)$$

if τ is a left representation of K or such that

$$\tau(k_1)\psi(m)\tau(k_2) = \psi(k_1 m k_2) \quad (k_1, k_2 \in K_M, m \in M)$$

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 43A90, 33A75; Secondary 39A10.

¹ Research partially supported by NSF Grants GP-25320 and GP37121.

if τ is a double representation of K on V . Then the rule

$$\lambda_\tau(\sum b_j \hat{\otimes} c_j)\psi(m) = \sum \tau(b_j)\psi(c_j^t m) \quad (b_j \in \mathcal{H}, c_j \in \mathcal{M})$$

defines a representation of the C -ring $(\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{X}_M} \mathcal{M})^{K_M}$ on $C^\infty(M, \tau_M)$. Clearly the spaces $\mathcal{C}(M, \tau_M)$ and ${}^o\mathcal{C}(M, \tau_M)$ of Schwartz functions and cusp forms in $C^\infty(M, \tau_M)$ respectively are invariant subspaces.

2. The difference equations satisfied by the C -function. By a polynomial function on a connected simply connected nilpotent Lie group N , we mean a function $f \in C^\infty(N)$ such that $X \rightarrow f(\exp X)$ ($X \in L(N)$) is a polynomial function on the Lie algebra $L(N)$ of N .

By a semilattice L in a real vector space V , we mean an additive semigroup generated by a basis of V .

PROPOSITION 2. *There exists a semilattice $L \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^*$ such that $\mu \in L$ implies that $e^{2\mu(H(\bar{n}))}$ is a polynomial function on \bar{N} .*

THEOREM 1 (The difference equations). *Let $\mu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ be such that $e^{2\mu(H(\bar{n}))}$ is a polynomial function on \bar{N} . Then there exist polynomials $b^\mu(\nu)$, $c^\mu(\nu)$ with coefficients in the C -ring $(\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{X}_M} \mathcal{M})^{K_M}$ such that, for all double unitary representations τ of K ,*

$$\lambda_\tau(b^\mu(\nu))C_{\mathcal{P}|P}(1:\nu) = \lambda_\tau(c^\mu(\nu))C_{\mathcal{P}|P}(1:\nu - 2i\mu) \quad (\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_C^*).$$

The polynomials $b^\mu(\nu)$ and $c^\mu(\nu)$ have the same degree and the same leading term, which we may assume lies in $C[\nu]$ (i.e., is a scalar polynomial). The coefficients of $c^\mu(\nu)$, in fact, lie in the subring \mathfrak{Z}_M (the center of \mathcal{M}) of the C -ring. The operators $\lambda_\tau(b^\mu(\nu))$, $\lambda_\tau(c^\mu(\nu))$ are never identically zero (as polynomials in ν).

Taking $\mu = \mu_1, \dots, \mu_l$ to be generators of a semilattice L as in Proposition 2, we get the result that the C -function $C_{\mathcal{P}|P}(1:\nu)$ satisfies a system of $l = rkP$ linear first order partial difference equations with polynomial coefficients.

3. The asymptotic development.

THEOREM 2. *Choose $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_C^*$ such that $\text{Re}\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle > 0$ for all roots α of the pair (P, A) . Then there exists a formal power series $\sum_{j=0}^\infty t^{-j} b_j^{(\lambda)}(\nu)$ with coefficients in $(\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{X}_M} \mathcal{M})^{K_M} \otimes C[\nu]$ (depending analytically on λ) such that*

- (1) $b_0^{(\lambda)}(\nu) \in C$;
- (2) $b_j^{(\lambda)}(\nu)$ is of degree at most $2j$ in ν ($j \geq 0$); and
- (3) for every double representation τ of K ,

$$C_{\mathcal{P}|P}(1:\nu + it\lambda) \sim t^{-s/2} \sum_{j=0}^\infty t^{-j} \lambda_\tau(b_j^{(\lambda)}(\nu)) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly for ν in compact subsets of \mathfrak{a}_C^* (both sides being considered as operators on the space ${}^{\circ}\mathcal{C}(M, \tau_M)$). This means that, for each integer $n \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^n \left| t^{s/2} C_{P|P}(1: \nu + it\lambda) - \sum_{j=0}^n t^{-j} \lambda_{\tau}(b_j^{(\lambda)}(\nu)) \right| = 0.$$

Here $s = \dim N$. Replacing τ by the trivial representation of K , we get the same asymptotic expansion for the integral $\underline{C}(\nu) = \int_{\bar{N}} e^{i\nu - \rho(H(\bar{n}))} d\bar{n}$.

COROLLARY 1. Suppose that λ is as in Theorem 2. Then there exists a constant ζ_{λ} such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{s/2} C_{P|P}(1: \nu + it\lambda) = \zeta_{\lambda} \times \text{id}$$

as an operator on ${}^{\circ}\mathcal{C}(M, \tau_M)$, the limit being uniform in ν on compact subsets of \mathfrak{a}_C^* .

4. The representation theorems.

THEOREM 3. Choose $\mu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ such that $\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle > 0$ for all roots α of (P, A) and $e^{2\mu(H(\bar{n}))}$ is a polynomial function on \bar{N} . Let $b(\nu) = b^{\mu}(\nu)$, $c(\nu) = c^{\mu}(\nu)$ be as in Theorem 1. Then

$$C_{P|P}(1: \nu) = \text{const} \times \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-s/2} \lambda_{\tau}(c(\nu + 2i\mu) \cdots c(\nu + 2in\mu))^{-1} \times \lambda_{\tau}(b(\nu + 2i\mu) \cdots b(\nu + 2in\mu))$$

(the constant being independent of τ).

THEOREM 4. Let (P, A) be an arbitrary parabolic subgroup of G ; and let τ be a double unitary representation of K . Then there exist $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and constants p_{ij}, q_{ij} ($i=1, \dots, r, j=1, \dots, j_i$) depending on τ such that

$$\det C_{P|P}(1: \nu) = \text{const} \times \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{j=1}^{j_i} \frac{\Gamma(-i\langle \nu, \alpha_i \rangle / 2\langle \mu_i, \alpha_i \rangle + q_{ij})}{\Gamma(-i\langle \nu, \alpha_i \rangle / 2\langle \mu_i, \alpha_i \rangle + p_{ij})},$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ are the reduced roots of (P, A) .

Open Question. Are the numbers p_{ij}, q_{ij} always rational?

5. Idea of the proofs. Theorem 1 is based on the following sequence of results.

Fix a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} containing \mathfrak{a} ; and let P_+ denote the set of roots β of $(\mathfrak{g}_C, \mathfrak{h}_C)$ such that $\beta|_{\mathfrak{a}} > 0$. Let $X_{\beta}, X_{-\beta}$ ($\beta \in P_+$) be root vectors such that $B(X_{\beta}, X_{-\beta}) = 1$ and $\theta(X_{\beta}) = -\bar{X}_{-\beta} = -X_{\theta\beta}$.

Define vector fields $q(X)$ ($X \in \mathfrak{g}$) on $\bar{N} = \theta(N)$ by the following rule:

$$q(X)f(\bar{n}) = - \sum_{\beta \in P_+} B(X, X_{\bar{\beta}}) f(\bar{n}; X_{-\beta}) \quad (f \in C^{\infty}(\bar{N})).$$

PROPOSITION 3. $X \rightarrow q(X)$ defines a representation of \mathfrak{g} by derivations of $C^\infty(\bar{N})$. The ring $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{N}}$ of polynomial functions on \bar{N} is a q -invariant subspace of $C^\infty(\bar{N})$.

Let $\sum_0(P, A) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ be the simple roots of (P, A) ; and choose $H_j \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that $\alpha_i(H_j) = \delta_{ij}$.

PROPOSITION 4. Suppose that $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then

$$e_\nu(X_i x) = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^l \langle i\nu - \rho, \alpha_j \rangle B(X, H_j^{k(x)}) \right\} e_\nu(x) \quad (x \in G).$$

(B is the Killing form on \mathfrak{g} ; $e_\nu(x) = e^{i\nu - \rho(H(x))}$.)

COROLLARY 2. Suppose that $Z \in \mathfrak{k}$. Then

$$q(Z)e_\nu(\bar{n}) = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^l \langle i\nu - \rho, \alpha_j \rangle B(Z, H_j^{\bar{n}}) \right\} e_\nu(\bar{n}) \quad (\bar{n} \in \bar{N}).$$

Let V_1, \dots, V_t ($t = \dim \mathfrak{m}$) be an orthonormal basis for \mathfrak{m}_C (with respect to the Killing form). Also, given $\psi \in C^\infty(M, \tau_M)$, define $\hat{\Psi}: G \rightarrow C^\infty(M:V)$ by

$$\hat{\Psi}(x | m) = \psi(xm) \quad (x \in G, m \in M).$$

PROPOSITION 5. Suppose that $Z \in \mathfrak{k}$ and $\psi \in C^\infty(M, \tau_M)$. Then

$$\lambda_\tau(Z \otimes 1)\hat{\Psi}(\bar{n}) = -q(Z)\hat{\Psi}(\bar{n}) - \sum_j B(Z, V_j^{\bar{n}})\lambda_\tau(1 \otimes V_j)\hat{\Psi}(\bar{n}) \quad (\bar{n} \in \bar{N}).$$

PROPOSITION 6. There exists a unique $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbf{C}[\nu]$ module homomorphism

$$F: \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{X}_M} \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbf{C}[\nu] \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{R}^- \otimes \mathbf{C}[\nu]$$

such that

- (1) $F(1) = 1$;
- (2) $F(\nu|\bar{n})(Z) = \sum \langle i\nu + \rho, \alpha_j \rangle B(Z, H_j^{\bar{n}}) - \sum B(Z, V_j^{\bar{n}})V_j \quad (Z \in \mathfrak{k})$;
- (3) $F(Zb) = F(b)F(Z) + q(Z)F(b) \quad (Z \in \mathfrak{k}, b \in \mathcal{H})$;
- (4) $F(b \hat{\otimes} c) = cF(b) \quad (b \in \mathcal{H}, c \in \mathcal{M})$.

PROPOSITION 7. Suppose that $b \in \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{X}_M} \mathcal{M}$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(b) > 0$ such that if $\text{Im} \langle \nu, \alpha_j \rangle \geq C(b)$ ($j = 1, \dots, l$), then

$$\lambda_\tau(b) \int_{\bar{N}} e_\nu(\bar{n})\psi(\bar{n}m) d\bar{n} = \int_{\bar{N}} e_\nu(\bar{n})\lambda_\tau(1 \otimes F(\nu | \bar{n})(b))\hat{\Psi}(\bar{n} | m) d\bar{n} \quad (m \in M),$$

both integrals being convergent.

PROPOSITION 8. Given $\phi(\bar{n}) \in \mathcal{R}_{\bar{N}}$, we can find $b(\nu) \in \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{X}_M} \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbf{C}[\nu]$ and $c(\nu) \in \mathfrak{Z}_M \otimes \mathbf{C}[\nu]$ such that $F(b(\nu)) = c(\nu)\phi$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. First apply Proposition 8 with $\phi(\bar{n})=e^{2\mu(H(\bar{n}))}$. Then apply Proposition 7.

The following is the essential step in the proof of Theorem 2.

PROPOSITION 9. *Suppose that $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_C^*$ and let $f_\nu(\bar{n})=\nu(H(\bar{n}))$ ($\bar{n} \in \bar{N}$). Then if $\langle \nu, \alpha \rangle \neq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \sum (P, A)$, $\bar{n}=e$ is the only critical point of f_ν , and $\bar{n}=e$ is a nondegenerate critical point. Furthermore if $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and $\langle \nu, \alpha \rangle > 0$ for all $\alpha \in \sum (P, A)$, then the critical point of the (real-valued) function $f_\nu(\bar{n})$ has index 0.*

Proposition 9 allows us to apply the method of steepest descent (see [1]) to derive the asymptotic expansion of $C_{P|P}(1:\nu)$ (Theorem 2).

Theorems 3 and 4 follow fairly easily, given Theorems 1 and 2.

6. **An example: the C-function for the group $SU(1, 2)$.** In this case, the set P_+ consists of three roots β_1, β_2 and β_3 , where β_1 and β_2 are simple and $\beta_3=\beta_1+\beta_2$. Also, the parabolic pair (P, A) is minimal; so the C-ring is isomorphic to \mathcal{K}^M . If $\mu=\alpha$ (the simple root of (P, A)), $e^{2\mu(H(\bar{n}))}$ is a polynomial function on \bar{N} ; the corresponding polynomials $b^\mu(\nu)$ and $c^\mu(\nu)$ are then as follows

$$b^\mu(\nu) = b_1^\mu(\nu)b_2^\mu(\nu),$$

where

$$b_1^\mu(\nu) = \{(\langle i\nu + \rho, \alpha \rangle - i(\sqrt{6/6})Z_{\beta_3})(\langle i\nu, \alpha \rangle + \frac{1}{2}V) + \frac{1}{3}Z_{\beta_1}Z_{\beta_2}^3\},$$

$$b_2^\mu(\nu) = \{(\langle i\nu + \rho, \alpha \rangle - \frac{1}{2}V)(\langle i\nu, \alpha \rangle + i(\sqrt{6/6})Z_{\beta_3}) + \frac{1}{3}Z_{\beta_1}Z_{\beta_2}\},$$

and

$$c^\mu(\nu) = \langle i\nu + \rho, \alpha \rangle \langle i\nu + \alpha, \alpha \rangle (\langle i\nu + \rho, \alpha \rangle + \frac{1}{2}V) (\langle i\nu + \rho, \alpha \rangle - \frac{1}{2}V).$$

Here $Z_{\beta_i} = \frac{1}{2}(X_{\beta_i} + \theta(X_{\beta_i}))$ (X_{β_i} normalized as above), and V is the element of \mathfrak{m}_C such that $\beta_1(V) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Using the polynomials $b^\mu(\nu)$ and $c^\mu(\nu)$, we obtain the following result.

PROPOSITION 10. *Let $\tau = \tau_{m,n}$ be the $(m+1)$ -dimensional representation of $K=U(2)$ such that $\tau(V - i\sqrt{6}Z_{\beta_3}) = n \times 1$. ($V - i\sqrt{6}Z_{\beta_3}$ spans the center of \mathfrak{k}_C .) Let $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^{(m,n)}$ denote the space of τ . Then \mathcal{V} has a basis x_j ($j=0, 1, \dots, m$) such that $\tau(V)x_j = \frac{1}{2}(m+n-2j)x_j$. Furthermore, the operator*

$$C_{P|P}^{(m,n)}(1:\nu) = \int_{\bar{N}} \tau(k(\bar{n})) e^{i\nu - \rho(H(\bar{n}))} d\bar{n}$$

on $\mathcal{V}^{(m,n)}$ has each vector x_j as an eigenvector; and the corresponding eigenvalue is

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(\zeta_1)\Gamma(\zeta_2)\Gamma(\zeta_3)\Gamma(\zeta_4)}{\Gamma(\zeta_5)\Gamma(\zeta_6)\Gamma(\zeta_7)\Gamma(\zeta_8)},$$

where $\zeta_1 = \zeta = -i\langle \nu, \alpha \rangle / 2\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$, $\zeta_2 = \zeta + \frac{1}{2}$, $\zeta_3 = \zeta + \frac{3}{2}j - \frac{3}{4}m - \frac{3}{4}n$, $\zeta_4 = \zeta - \frac{3}{2}j + \frac{3}{4}m + \frac{3}{4}n$, $\zeta_5 = \zeta + \frac{1}{2}j - \frac{3}{4}m - \frac{3}{4}n$, $\zeta_6 = \zeta + \frac{1}{2}j + \frac{1}{4}m - \frac{3}{4}n + 1$, $\zeta_7 = \zeta - \frac{1}{2}j - \frac{1}{4}m + \frac{3}{4}n$, and $\zeta_8 = \zeta - \frac{1}{2}j + \frac{3}{4}m + \frac{3}{4}n + 1$.

Detailed proofs of these results and some more examples will appear in a paper in preparation.

REFERENCES

1. M. V. Fedorjuk, *The stationary phase method and pseudo-differential operators*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **26** (1971), no. 1 (157), 67–112 = Russian Math. Surveys **26** (1971), no. 1, 65–111.
2. Harish-Chandra, *Harmonic analysis on semisimple Lie groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **76** (1970), 529–551. MR **41** #1933.
3. ———, *On the theory of the Eisenstein integral*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 266, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972, pp. 123–149.
4. N. Wallach, *Harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973.
5. ———, *On Harish-Chandra's generalized C-functions*, Amer. J. Math. (to appear).
6. G. Warner, *Harmonic analysis on semi-simple Lie groups*. I, II, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Bände 188, 189, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1972.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21218