

CONVERGENCE CRITERIA FOR
CONTINUED FRACTIONS*

BY H. S. WALL

1. *Introduction.* The object of this paper is to present two new criteria for the convergence of the continued fraction

$$F(\alpha, z) = \frac{1}{\alpha_1 z + \alpha_2} + \frac{1}{\alpha_3 z + \alpha_4} + \frac{1}{\alpha_5 z + \alpha_6} + \dots,$$

in which the numbers α_i are real and different from zero.

Necessary and sufficient conditions for convergence have been discovered for the case that $\alpha_i > 0$ by Stieltjes;† and by Hamburger‡ when $\alpha_{2i+1} > 0$. There seem to be no necessary and sufficient conditions known for the general case, although several sufficient conditions have been found. Van Vleck§ showed that if k is the greatest modulus of the limit points of the numbers $1/(\alpha_i \alpha_{i+1})$, then $F(\alpha, z)$ converges, except for isolated points, within the circle $|z| = 1/(4k)$. Inasmuch as k may be infinite while at the same time $\alpha_i > 0$, $\sum \alpha_i$ diverges, it follows from the work of Stieltjes that $F(\alpha, z)$ may converge to an analytic limit even when the circular region $|z| = 1/(4k)$ vanishes. The theorems which I shall give include certain cases of this sort.

2. *Notation.* Let $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots; b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots$, be two infinite sequences of real non-zero numbers connected by the relations

$$(1) \quad a_{2i} = b_{2i+1}/(\delta_{i-1}^b \delta_i^b), \quad a_{2i+1} = b_{2i+2}[\delta_i^b]^2,$$

where

$$\delta_i^b = b_1 + b_3 + \dots + b_{2i+1}.$$

It is easily seen that if we set

$$g_i^a = a_2 + a_4 + \dots + a_{2i}, \quad (g_0^a = 0),$$

* Presented to the Society, April 3, 1931.

† Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse, vol. 8, J, pp. 1-122, and vol. 9, A, pp. 1-47.

‡ Mathematische Annalen, vol. 81, pp. 234-319; vol. 82, pp. 120-187.

§ Transactions of this Society, vol. 2, pp. 476-483.

then

$$(2) \quad \delta_i^b = b_1 / (1 - b_1 g_i^a),$$

$$(3) \quad b_{2i+1} = b_1^2 a_{2i} / [(1 - b_1 g_i^a)(1 - b_1 g_{i-1}^a)], b_{2i+2} = a_{2i+1} (1 - b_1 g_i^a)^2 / b_1^2.$$

If we are given a set of non-zero a_i , it is clearly possible to find a set of non-zero b_i such that (1) holds. The formulas (3) effect a transformation,

$$(4) \quad b = [a],$$

of the a_i into the b_i . By means of (4) a continued fraction $F(a, z)$ is transformed into another continued fraction $F(b, z)$.

Denote by P_n^a / Q_n^a the n th convergent of $F(a, z)$. Then certain formulas* which I gave in a recent article may be used to connect the polynomials P_n^a, Q_n^a with the P_n^b, Q_n^b . They run as follows:

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} Q_{2n}^a = Q_{2n+1}^b / (z \delta_n^b), \\ P_{2n}^a = b_1^{-1} Q_{2n}^a - P_{2n+1}^b / \delta_n^b, \\ Q_{2n-1}^a = \delta_n^b Q_{2n}^b - Q_{2n+1}^b / z, \\ P_{2n-1}^a = b_1^{-1} Q_{2n-1}^a - z [\delta_n^b P_{2n}^b - P_{2n+1}^b / z]. \end{cases}$$

3. *Convergence of $F(a, z)$.* If a continued fraction $F(a, z)$ is transformed by (4) into a continued fraction $F(b, z)$, the equations (5) serve to connect the convergents of $F(a, z)$ with those of $F(b, z)$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $F(b, z)$ be the continued fraction obtained from $F(a, z)$ by means of the transformation $b = [a]$, and suppose that $\sum |b_i|$ converges. Then there is a value of z for which $F(a, z)$ converges if and only if*

$$(6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |g_n^a| = \infty.$$

If $F(a, z)$ converges for a single value of z , then there exist two entire functions $p(z)$ and $q(z)$ such that

$$\lim_n z P_{2n-1}^a = - \lim_n z \delta_n^b P_{2n}^a = p(z),$$

$$\lim_n z Q_{2n-1}^a = - \lim_n z \delta_n^b Q_{2n}^a = q(z),$$

* Transactions of this Society, vol. 33 (1931), Theorem 1, p. 514.

uniformly over every finite closed region, and hence $F(a, z)$ converges over the entire plane except at isolated points, and its limit is $p(z)/q(z)$.

In fact, by a theorem of von Koch,* it follows from the convergence of $\sum |b_i|$ that the sequences of polynomials

$$P_{2n-1}^b, Q_{2n-1}^b, P_{2n}^b, Q_{2n}^b$$

converge uniformly over every finite closed region to entire limit functions

$$r^b, s^b, R^b, S^b,$$

respectively; and

$$(7) \quad r^b S^b - R^b s^b \equiv +1.$$

Now by (2) and (6),

$$(8) \quad \lim_n \delta_n^b = \delta^b, \quad \delta^b = 0.$$

We find that if (6) does not hold, the only alternative to (8) is that

$$(9) \quad \lim_n \delta_n^b = \delta^b, \quad \delta^b \neq 0,$$

where δ^b is finite.

It now follows immediately from (5) that

$$\lim_n z Q_{2n-1}^a = z \delta^b S^b - s^b = z s^a,$$

$$\lim_n z P_{2n-1}^a = b_1^{-1} z s^a - z [z \delta^b R^b - r^b] = z r^a,$$

$$- \lim_n z \delta_n^b Q_{2n}^a = -s^b = z S^a,$$

$$- \lim_n z \delta_n^b P_{2n}^a = b_1^{-1} z S^a + z r^b = z R^a,$$

uniformly over every finite closed region. When (8) holds we find that $r^a/s^a \equiv R^a/S^a$, and hence $F(a, z)$ converges as stated in the theorem. The entire functions $p(z)$ and $q(z)$ are as follows:

$$p(z) = z r^b - b_1^{-1} s^b, \quad q(z) = -s^b.$$

When, on the other hand, (9) holds, we find with the aid of (7)

* Bulletin de la Société Mathématique, vol. 23, pp. 33-40.

that $R^a S^a - r^a S^a = \delta^b$, and therefore if (6) does not hold, $F(a, z)$ diverges for every value of z .*

4. *Another Theorem on Convergence.* Another theorem may be obtained if we transform $F(a, z)$ into $F(b, z)$, and then $F(b, z)$ into $F(c, z)$ by means of the transformations $b = [a]$, $c = [b]$. We find with the aid of (2) and (3) that

$$(10) \quad \delta_i^c = c_1 / (1 - c_1 g_i^b),$$

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} c_{2i+1} = \frac{c_1^2 a_{2i-1} (1 - b_1 g_{i-1}^a)^2}{b_1^2 (1 - c_1 g_i^b) (1 - c_1 g_{i-1}^b)}, \\ c_{2i+2} = \frac{b_1^2 a_{2i} (1 - c_1 g_i^b)^2}{c_1^2 (1 - b_1 g_i^a) (1 - b_1 g_{i-1}^a)}. \end{cases}$$

Also by (5),

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_{2n}^a &= (\delta_{n+1}^c Q_{2n+2}^c - Q_{2n+3}^c / z) / (z \delta_n^b), \\ P_{2n}^a &= (b_1^{-1} - c_1^{-1} z) Q_{2n}^a + z (\delta_{n+1}^c P_{2n+2}^c - P_{2n+3}^c / z) / \delta_n^b, \\ Q_{2n+1}^a &= \delta_n^b Q_{2n+1}^c / (z \delta_n^c) - \delta_{n+1}^c Q_{2n+2}^c / z + Q_{2n+3}^c / z^2, \\ P_{2n-1}^a &= (b_1^{-1} - c_1^{-1} z) Q_{2n-1}^a + z^2 (\delta_n^b P_{2n+1}^c / (z \delta_n^c) - \delta_{n+1}^c P_{2n+2}^c / z + P_{2n+3}^c / z^2). \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2. Let $F(a, z)$, $F(b, z)$, $F(c, z)$ be connected by the relations

$$b = [a], \quad c = [b],$$

and suppose $\sum |c_i|$ converges. Then $F(a, z)$ converges over the entire plane except at isolated points in the following cases:

$$(i) \quad \sum c_{2i+1} = 0, \quad (ii) \quad \sum c_{2i+1} \neq 0, \quad \sum b_{2i+1} = 0.$$

In every other case $F(a, z)$ diverges for all z . In fact, by (12),

$$\frac{P_{2n}^a}{Q_{2n}^a} = b_1^{-1} - c_1^{-1} z + z^2 \left[\frac{z \delta_{n+1}^c P_{2n+2}^c - P_{2n+3}^c}{z \delta_{n+1}^c Q_{2n+2}^c - Q_{2n+3}^c} \right].$$

Now if (i) holds, the numerator and denominator of the fraction on the right converge uniformly over every finite closed region to entire limit functions

$$- r^c, \quad - s^c,$$

* Except possibly at $z=0$. But one may verify directly that, at $z=0$, r^a/s^a has a pole, while R^a/S^a is regular at $z=0$.

respectively. It follows that

$$\lim_n \frac{P_{2n}^a}{Q_{2n}^a} = b_1^{-1} - c_1^{-1}z + z^2 \frac{r^c}{s^c},$$

everywhere except for isolated values of z . Again by (12)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_{2n-1}^a}{Q_{2n-1}^a} &= b_1^{-1} - c_1^{-1}z \\ &+ z^2 \left[\frac{zP_{2n+1}^c - z\delta_{n+1}^c(\delta_n^c/\delta_n^b)P_{2n+2}^c + (\delta_n^c/\delta_n^b)P_{2n+3}^c}{zQ_{2n+1}^c - z\delta_{n+1}^c(\delta_{2n}^c/\delta_n^b)Q_{n+2}^c + (\delta_n^c/\delta_n^b)Q_{2n+3}^c} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $f_n(z)$ the fraction on the right, and suppose that z is not a root of s^c . We find that the sequence

$$f_1(z), f_2(z), f_3(z), \dots$$

is compact. Indeed every infinite subsequence contains a subsequence with the limit r^c/s^c , so that the sequence also converges to the same limit. Hence

$$\lim_n \frac{P_{2n-1}^a}{Q_{2n-1}^a} = b_1^{-1} - c_1^{-1}z + z^2 \frac{r^c}{s^c},$$

and therefore $F(a, z)$ converges, except at isolated points.

If (ii) holds, then we find that $\sum |b_i|$ converges, and hence by Theorem 1, $F(a, z)$ converges if and only if $\sum b_{2i+1} = 0$.

5. *Example.* Let

$$\alpha_n = \begin{cases} \sigma^i, & \text{if } n = 2i, \\ \rho^i, & \text{if } n = 2i - 1, \end{cases}$$

where ρ, σ are real and not zero. Then we find that $F(\alpha, z)$ is a meromorphic function by Theorem 1 when

$$|\rho| < 1, \quad |1/\sigma| < 1, \quad |\rho\sigma^2| < 1;$$

and when

$$|\sigma| < 1, \quad |1/\rho| < 1, \quad |\rho^2\sigma| < 1,$$

by Theorem 2. Here $\lim_n |1/(\alpha_n\alpha_{n+1})| = \infty$, and the continued fraction does not, in general, satisfy any of the criteria mentioned at the beginning of this article.